

Distr.: General 1 March 2012

English/French/Spanish only

Human Rights Council Nineteenth session Agenda item 3 Promotion and protection of all human rights, civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights, including the right to development

Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Juan E. Méndez

Addendum

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur visits to China, Denmark, Equatorial Guinea, Georgia, Greece, Indonesia, Jamaica, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Mongolia, Nepal, Nigeria, Paraguay, Papua New Guinea, the Republic of Moldova, Spain, Sri Lanka, Togo, Uruguay and Uzbekistan^{*}

* The present document is being circulated as received in the languages of submission only.



Contents

	Paragraphs	Pag
Introduction		
China		
Denmark		2
Equatorial Guinea		4
Georgia		5
Greece		8
Indonesia		10
Jamaica		12
Jordan		14
Kazakhstan		16
Mongolia		19
Nepal		20
Nigeria		23
Paraguay		25
Papua New Guinea		30
Republic of Moldova		31
Spain		40
Sri Lanka		45
Тодо		48
Uruguay		51
Uzbekistan		54

Appendix

Introduction

1. This document contains information provided by States, and other stakeholders, including National Human Rights Institutions and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), relating to the follow-up measures to the recommendations of the Special Rapporteur and his predecessors made after conducting country visits. In paragraph 5 d) of its resolution 16/23 on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment of March 2011, the Human Rights Council urged States "To ensure appropriate follow-up to the recommendations and conclusions of the Special Rapporteur." The report submitted to the fifty-ninth session of the Commission (E/CN.4/2003/68, para. 18), indicated that Governments of States to which visits have been carried out would regularly be reminded of the observations and recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur after such visits. Information would be requested on the consideration given to the recommendations, the steps taken to implement them, and any constraints that may prevent their implementation. Information from NGOs and other interested parties regarding measures taken in follow up to his recommendations would be welcome as well.

2. The Special Rapporteur follows the format of the follow-up report which was modified in 2008 with the aim of rendering it more reader-friendly and of facilitating the identification of concrete steps taken in response to the specific recommendations and their results. For this reason, follow-up tables have been created for each State visited by the mandate holders in the past ten years. The tables contain the recommendations of the Special Rapporteur and his predecessors, a brief description of the situation when the country visit was undertaken, an overview of steps taken in previous years and included in previous follow-up reports and measures taken in the current year on the basis of information gathered by the Special Rapporteur, from governmental and non-governmental sources.

3. By letter dated 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur submitted to the respective Governments for their consideration and comments the information on follow-up measures he had gathered. Letters were sent to the following States: China (People's Republic of), Denmark, Equatorial Guinea, Georgia, Greece, Indonesia, Jamaica, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Mongolia, Nepal, Nigeria, Paraguay, Papua New Guinea, the Republic of Moldova, Spain, Sri Lanka, Togo, Uruguay and Uzbekistan. The Special Rapporteur is grateful for the information received.

4. Owing to restrictions, the Special Rapporteur has been obliged to reduce the details of responses; attention has been given to reflect information that specifically addresses the recommendations, and which has not been previously reported.

5. The Special Rapporteur notes that invitation to the Special Rapporteur to conduct follow-up country visits constitutes a good practice that should be replicated.

China

⊸

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to China in November 2005 (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6, para. 82)

6. On 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of China requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to implementation of the recommendations. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government has not responded to his request. He looks forward to receiving information on China's efforts to follow-up to the recommendations and affirms that he stands ready to assist in efforts to prevent and combat torture and ill-treatment.

7. The Special Rapporteur remains concerned about the reports of excessive use and length of pre-trial detention, the lack of guarantees to challenge the lawfulness of detention and the continuing allegations about the use of forced labour as a corrective measures, ill-treatment of suspects in police custody, and harassment of lawyers and human rights defenders. He reiterates that the period of holding detainees in police custody should not exceed 48 hours, and that no detainee should be subjected to unsupervised contact with investigators. He regrets not having received information on the application of non-custodial measures and looks forward to receiving information on the use of alternative measures for non-violent or minor offences.

8. The Special Rapporteur expresses serious concern about the proposed amendments to China's Criminal Law Procedure, which is currently being considered by the National People's Congress, as it would permit the legalization of secret detention. He urges the Government to refrain from introducing the proposed amendment to China's Criminal Law Procedure as it will represent a major obstacle to its efforts to preventing torture and ill-treatment. The Special Rapporteur reminds the Government that detention in secret places facilitates the perpetration of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment and can in itself constitute a form of such treatment.

9. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to ensure that torture is defined as a serious crime as a matter of priority in accordance with Article 1 of the Convention against Torture, sanctioned with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture and ensure that any statement which is established to have been made as a result of torture is explicitly excluded and is not invoked as evidence in any proceedings, except against a person accused of torture as evidence that the statement was made.¹ This exclusionary rule is fundamental for upholding the absolute and non-derogable nature of the prohibition of torture by providing a disincentive to use torture.² It is imperative to ensure the inadmissibility of any extrajudicial statement that is not freely and promptly ratified before a court of law, and a specific prohibition of the use of extrajudicial statements even as "inferences" or "presumptions".

10. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to consider ratifying the Optional Protocol to the CAT (OPCAT), establish an independent and effective complaints procedure for victims of torture, and make a declaration under article 22 of the CAT providing the Committee against Torture with the competence to receive and consider individual complaints.

¹ See the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, art. 15.

² A/HRC/16/52, para. 52

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
a) The crime of torture should be defined as a matter of priority in accordance with article 1 of the Convention against Torture, with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture.	No explicit definition of torture in domestic legislation; the existing legislation relevant to the prohibition and criminalization of torture did not satisfy the requirements of art. 1 and 4 of CAT; in particular, it lacked the following elements: - mental torture; - the direct or indirect involvement of a public official or another person acting in an official capacity; and - Infliction of the act for a specific purpose. The penalization of acts of torture was stipulated in art. 247 and 248 of the Criminal Law (CL), however a number of other regulations permit exceptions (see infra Rec c)).	Non-governmental sources: It is reported that despite the introduction of new categories of offences relating to torture by the SPP, the definition of torture and the prohibition and criminalization of torture in Chinese law do not satisfy the requirements of Art. 1 and 4 CAT. It is reported that by including only a list of situations amounting to torture and ill-treatment, other torture methods risk to fall outside the law. Reportedly, in practice, the punishment against perpetrators of torture is very light in comparison to the gravity of the crime. It is alleged that it is still common that perpetrators of torture escape criminal punishment or any punishment at all. Reportedly, Chinese law, while criminalising torture, still fails to do so under a definition which conforms with international standards, in particular Article 1(1) of the UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (the Convention against Torture). Chinese law remains inadequate in three ways: Reportedly, the types of pain and suffering included within criminal law provisions for torture and other ill-treatment are insufficiently comprehensive and do not include mental pain and suffering. Articles 247 and 248 of the Chinese Criminal Code refer only the use of force or physical abuse. A definition in line with the Convention against Torture would capture the common practice, on the part of the Chinese authorities, of resorting to inflicting severe mental anguish on individuals held in detention. It is alleged that there has been frequent documentation of such treatment against human rights defenders, lawyers, and other political activists through threats and punishment of their family members, including house arrest, harassment, and infringement of rights of the wives and children of Chen Guangcheng and Liu	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Xiaobo, among others. It is alleged that the Government campaign of "transformation" of Falun Gong practitioners in detention, aimed at forcing them to submit and renounce their beliefs, and similar efforts to coerce Tibetans to denounce the Dalai Lama, are allegedly core features of the Government's campaign against those groups they perceive as subversive, and should also be viewed as a form of mental torture.	
		Reportedly, the range of the purposes of torture is restrictive. Chinese laws and regulations refer most often to torture for the purpose of coercing a confession or collecting information, as in Article 43 of the Criminal Procedure Law which prohibits the extortion of confessions or obtaining evidence through torture. This reportedly does not extend to the use of torture for other purposes including "coercing him or a third person" which in the Chinese context would cover practices such as coercing an individual to abandon his or her beliefs, religious or otherwise, nor for "any other reason based on discrimination of any kind." It is alleged that this would, for instance, exclude the mental and physical torture aimed at coercing individual detainees to renounce their faith or denounce their religious leaders, such as the "transformation" of Falun Gong practitioners in detention, or coercion of Tibetan detainees to denounce the Dalai Lama. According to testimonies received by NGOs, the mental torture associated with this process is, for some individuals, worse than the physical torture they may have to endure. Reportedly, provisions criminalising torture and other ill-treatment in Chinese law are restrictive in that they do not include temporary or quasi-governmental actors or non-governmental actors operating with the complicity, consent or acquiescence of a public official. This reportedly precludes the expanding use	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		of such personnel to inflict torture and other ill- treatment, including through the use of inmates to torture individuals in detention and the use of quasi- governmental personnel to beat up and intimidate human rights activists outside of detention.	
		Government: In 2006, the Ministry of Justice issued regulations prohibiting torture and ill-treatment by specific categories of public officials, such as "Six prohibitions for prison guards", "Six prohibitions for Re-education Through Labour" (RTL), etc.	
b) All allegations of torture and ill-treatment should be promptly investigated by an independent authority with	According to Article 18 of the Criminal Procedure Law (CPL), the SPP is the mechanism responsible for investigating	 In the Regulations on Case-Filing Standards in Cases of Rights Infringement through Dereliction of Duty, the Supreme People's Procuratorate (SPP) lists several acts amounting to the crime of coercing a confession, such as beatings, binding, prolonged use of cold, hunger, exposure or scorching to abuse detainees, severely injuring suspects or leading a suspect to commit serious self-injury or directly or indirectly ordering others to use torture for the purpose of extracting a confession. Government: The National Human Right Action Plan 2009-10 (NHRA) foresees the establishment and improvement of supervisory mechanisms for law enforcement and administration of justice by 	
no connection to the authority investigating or prosecuting the case against the alleged victim.	and prosecuting crimes committed by State functionaries. The Procurators are also mandated to monitor the police and prisons and exercise oversight functions. In his dual function of prosecution and monitoring the SPP is not an independent authority, as its primary interest is vested in	establishing responsibility and accountability systems. Non-governmental sources: It is reported that as of 2009, the Government rejects the release of concrete data about enforcement efforts and increased transparency in the criminal justice system. Reportedly, in most cases no effective investigations were conducted in torture cases documented by human rights organizations. It is alleged that if investigations were initiated, they failed to meet the	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
<u>, </u>	convicting suspects as charged.	requirements of promptness, effectiveness and impartiality. The police, SPP and courts are reportedly not independent and remain under the supervision of the Chinese Communist Party, including through "Politics and Law Commissions".	1 01
c) Any public official indicted for abuse of torture, including prosecutors and	The Public Security Organs' Regulations on Pursuing Responsibility for Policemen's	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Perpetrators of torture are reportedly rarely suspended, indicted or held legally accountable.	
	Errors in Implementing the Law and other regulations stipulated that "responsibility for 'errors', including forcing confessions or testimony will not be pursued where the law is unclear or judicial interpretations inconsistent" and allowed for a number of exceptions.	While there are reports of some public officials being prosecuted as a result of allegations of torture, such cases tend to be in high profile cases that have received considerable media attention. Reportedly, allegations of torture arising in politically sensitive cases seldom result in adequate investigation or prosecution. It is reported that individuals from politically targeted groups, including Uighurs, Tibetans, Falun Gong, democracy activists and human rights defenders, who allege torture or other ill-treatment in police custody or detention, are rarely able to pursue their case, and the authorities rarely open investigations into the allegations or bring the paramed to jurtice.	
d) The declaration should be made with respect to art. 22 CAT recognizing the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications from individuals who claim to be victims of a violation of the provisions of the CAT.	No declaration has yet been made to recognize individual complaint procedure.	accused to justice. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, Chinese authorities have provided no public indication that they are engaged in serious discussion concerning making a declaration with respect to article 22 of the Convention against Torture, or that they have a plan for moving in this direction. The ability of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications regarding the cases of individuals who have suffered torture or other ill-treatment is critical given the weak mechanisms within China for individuals to pursue allegations of such violations.	
e)Those legally arrested should not be held in	The CPL gave public security organs broad discretion to	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, China's Criminal Procedure Law continues to allow the police	

Recommendation <u>E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)</u> Tacilities under the control of heir interrogators or nvestigators for more than he time required by law to obtain a judicial warrant or ore-trial detention, which normally should not exceed a period of 48 hours. After his period they should be ransferred to a pre-trial tacility under a different nuthority, where no further insupervised contact with he interrogators or nvestigators is permitted;	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6) detain suspects for long periods in custody without judicial review. Coercive summoning (Juchuan) could be extended for up to 48 hours and the period of examination following formal arrest (Daibu) and prior to submitting the case to the Public Procuratorate for approval could take up to 7 days, and up to 30 days for suspects of organised crimes (Art. 69). Detention for the purpose of criminal investigation (Juliu) was generally possible for up to 14 days and could be prolonged for up to 37 days (Art. 61). Criminal detainees are held in detention centres (Juliusuo) under the jurisdiction of the Public Security Bureau (PSB).	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) and public security agents to hold suspects for long periods in custody without judicial review and under the supervision of the same authorities – the People's Procuratorate – responsible for preparing cases for prosecution. Suspects may be held up to seven days before the police submit a request to the People's Procuratorate for approval of their arrest. In the case of a "major suspect involved in crimes committed from one place to another, repeatedly, or in a gang", the time allowed for submitting a request for approval of arrest may reportedly be extended to 30 days. The People's Procuratorate then has seven days from the time of receiving the written request for approval of arrest to decide on the request. It is alleged that after arrest, criminal suspects may be held for up to two months for investigation, and in "complex cases" this may be extended for an additional month, with the approval of the People's Procuratorate at the next higher level. In "particularly grave and complex cases" the Supreme People's Procuratorate must submit a report to the standing committee of the National People's Congress for approval of postponing the hearing of the case. Other types of "grave" cases may also be extended for two months. Others factors, including if the criminal suspect did not provide his or her real identity, and if the police discover an additional crime which the suspect is believed to have committed, allow the authorities to extend the period of detention. - It is reported that this situation creates a conflict of interest as the same authorities are responsible for interrogating suspects and gathering evidence in support of prosecutions and also for monitoring	Information received in the reporting period
	- It is reported that this situation creates a conflict of interest as the same authorities are responsible for		

Recommendation	Situation in during visit in 2005	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2,	Information received in the
(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	(See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	reporting period
E/CN.4/2000/0/Add.0)	(See E/CN.4/2000/0/Add.0)	procuratorate's approval of arrest as a mechanism to	reporting period
		prevent torture. ³ The regulations oblige the	
		procuratorate to hear and question suspects directly	
		when making the assessment in five circumstances,	
		including when the suspect asks to be heard, is	
		underage, or there are clues or evidence that torture or	
		other unlawful means were used during initial police	
		investigations. Previously such direct hearings could	
		only take place in major cases where the evidence	
		against the suspect was questionable.	
		- It is reported that in practice individuals are often	
		held in police detention for periods longer than	
		allowed for by law prior to and following arrest. It is	
		reported that during this time, which in some cases	
		may last in excess of a year and for some many years,	
		individuals may not be able to hire a lawyer, may not	
		have access to their family, and with family members	
		not even being informed of their whereabouts.	
		- Amendments to the State Compensation Law	
		adopted in April 2010, to take effect in December	
		2010 also provide (Article 17) that those illegally	
		detained or detained beyond the time limits stipulated	
		in the Criminal Procedure Law and subsequently are	
		not prosecuted or are acquitted, have the right to	
		compensation.	
		- It is reported that in the last few years numerous	
		cases of death in custody as well as the numerous	
		miscarriages of justice resulting from confessions	
		coerced through torture and other ill-treatment were	
		reported in the Chinese media, leading to a public	
		outcry within the country. This reportedly led the	
		Supreme People's Procuratorate and the Ministry of	
		Public Security to carry out an inspection campaign	

³ Supreme People's Procuratorate, Ministry of Public Security, "Regulations on Questioning Criminal Suspects in the Approval of Arrest Phase". Issued on 31 August 2010, effective from 1 October 2010. Available at http://florasapio.blogspot.com "Criminal suspects – hearing - arrest approval".

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	0	A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) dealing with the regulation and law enforcement of detention facilities throughout China. In April 2009, the SPP stated that there had been 15 unexplained deaths in custody to date that year. - Reportedly, there are dozens of reports of deaths in custody received by NGOs – many from politically sensitive groups including Uighurs, Falun Gong, Tibetans, and petitioners suggest that the cases reported on by the media and acknowledged by the authorities are likely to be only a small proportion of the total. - The above-mentioned amendments to the State Compensation Law include measures aimed at strengthening the legal framework to address deaths in custody. Previously, the burden of proof that the death had been caused by "negligence" rested on the family that lodged the complaint. Now the revised law requires compensation to be paid if an individual in detention is found dead or incapacitated and the detention centre or prison fails to provide evidence that the death was not caused by "negligence" on the part of the authorities. <i>Non-governmental sources 2009:</i> It is reported that as of 2009, no steps had been taken to change the CPL and stop the practice of excessive periods of pre- trial detention.	0
f) Recourse to pre-trial detention in the Criminal Procedures Law should be restricted, particularly for	Upon approval by the procuratorate, suspects could be held for up to a total of seven months in investigative	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, pre-trial detention continued to be applied excessively and for prolonged periods; in cases involving State Secrets, detention could be indefinite. During the pre-trial	

A/HRC/19/61/A
l/Add.3

Situation in during visit in 2005	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2,	Information received in the
detention by the police (Daibu), which could be extended by the	<i>A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/10/52/Add.2)</i> phase, suspects remained in detention centres under the authority of the PSB.	reporting period
half months or, in the case of the discovery of new crimes,	Government 2008: The SPP placed extended detention in criminal cases within the sphere of oversight of the people's supervisors, which led to a	
China's domestic legislation did not provide for habeas	reduction of the use of extended pre-trial detention. Government: Under the NHRA, effective steps shall be taken to guarantee the lawful, timely and impartial	
recourse to challenge arrest and pre-trial detention before an independent court.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, no steps to guarantee prompt judicial review before an independent judicial authority of the lawfulness of the	
	not independent and remain politically controlled. The use of administrative detention at the discretion of the police and without legal procedure, such as	
	widespread. It is alleged that the use of black jails was systematically applied against petitioners during the Olympic Games and its preparations.	
- Article 43 of the CPL prohibited the extortion of confessions by torture or the threat of torture, but not the use	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that on 25 June 2010, the Supreme People's Court, the Supreme People's Procuratorate, the Ministry of Public Security, the Ministry of State Security and the	
of confessions extracted through torture as evidence before courts. - The Supreme People's Court held in 1999 that evidence and	regarding evidence in criminal cases; one dealing with the exclusion of confessions extracted through torture, and the other dealing with the exclusion of illegal evidence in capital cases which came into	
	(See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6) detention by the police (Daibu), which could be extended by the procuratorate for up to six and a half months or, in the case of the discovery of new crimes, indefinitely. China's domestic legislation did not provide for habeas corpus or any other legal recourse to challenge arrest and pre-trial detention before an independent court. - Article 43 of the CPL prohibited the extortion of confessions by torture or the threat of torture, but not the use of confessions extracted through torture as evidence before courts. - The Supreme People's Court	Situation in during visit in 2005(See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)(See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)detention by the police (Daibu), which could be extended by the procuratorate for up to six and half months or, in the case of the discovery of new crimes, indefinitely.phase, suspects remained in detention centres under the authority of the PSB.China's domestic legislation did not provide for habeas corpus or any other legal recourse to challenge arrest and pre-trial detention before an independent court.Government 2008: The SPP placed extended detention in criminal cases within the sphere of oversight of the people's supervisors, which led to a reduction of the use of extended pre-trial detention. Government: Under the NHRA, effective steps shall be taken to guarantee the lawful, timely and impartial trial of all cases.Non-governmental sources: Reportedly, no steps to guarantee prompt judicial review before an independent and remain politically controlled. The use of administrative detention at the discretion of the police and without legal procedure, such as house arrest and detention in 'black sites' remain widespread. It is alleged that the use of black jails was systematically applied against petitioners during the Olympic Games and its preparations. Non-governmental sources: It is reported that on 25 June 2010, the Supreme People's Court, the Supreme People's Procuratorate, the Ministry of Public Security, the Ministry of State Security and the Ministry of Justice jointly issued two regulations regarding evidence in criminal cases; one dealing with the exclusion of confessions extracted through torture, and the other dealing w

⁴"The regulations are "Rules Concerning Questions About Exclusion of Illegal Evidence in Handling Criminal Cases", and "Rules Concerning Questions About Examining and Judging Evidence in Death Penalty Cases." (According to the 13 June Notice from the various ministries

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
be expanded throughout the country.	confessions obtained through torture could not form the basis of a criminal charge, however it did not exclude their admissibility in judicial proceedings. - The Government has acknowledged the pervasiveness of torture for the purpose of extracting confession and the SPP announced in 2005 that eliminating confession through torture was among its priorities. - Piloting systems of audio and video recording in interrogation rooms had been started.	 interpreted by international and Chinese legal scholars as marking a positive step in that they underscore the seriousness with which national-level authorities view the problem of illegally obtained evidence. However, given past history the real test will be the effective implementation of the new regulations by local courts. It should be noted that the regulations cover evidentiary rules within formal criminal proceedings only, and not within the many forms of administrative detention. Also of concern is the absence of clear standards for the assessment of evidence. Article 6 provides that if a defendant or his or her defence counsel allege that the defendant's pre-trial confession was obtained illegally "the court shall request that he or she provide relevant leads or evidence, such as the person(s), time, place, manner, and content.". It reportedly does not specify which of these are necessary and/or sufficient for the court to make a determination for the defendant's claim. Article 7 is similarly unclear regarding the nature of the evidence that is necessary and/or sufficient for a prosecutor to provide to show the court that a confession was obtained legally. Of further concern is the length of time by which a prosecutor may delay a trial for further investigation or in order to obtain additional evidence to demonstrate that a confession was obtained legally. The articles in question, articles 7, 8 and 9, do not specify time limits for such postponement. Moreover, the court is required to agree to such a postponement requested by the prosecutor. If the defence makes a 	

introducing the regulations, the Death Penalty rules may also be used as a reference in handling other criminal justice cases). The Dui Hua Foundation has translated these into English at Http://www.duihua.org/hrjournal/evidence/evidence.htm

A/F
IRC
/19/6
61/A
dd.3

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		similar request for postponement, the court has discretion to agree "if it deems it necessary". (Article 9). - The conditions under which the appeals court, or court of second instance, is required to investigate a defendant's claim that a pre-trial confession was obtained illegally also appear limited. Article 12 provides for courts of second instance to investigate a defendant's claim, but it is only obliged to do so if the court of first instance did not investigate the allegation and used the confession as the basis of the conviction. This may conflict with China's Criminal Procedure Law which requires courts of second instance to conduct a full review of all facts. ⁵ - The Rules concerning Death Penalty cases stipulate that "only evidence that has been examined and verified to be true through an investigation process in court involving presentation, identification and cross examination may be used as a basis for conviction and determining sentence"(Article 4). However, it appears such scrutiny will not apply to evidence collected using "special investigative measures" (shanggui, opaque methods employed in counter- terrorism, state security, or other "complex cases"). Under Article 35, such evidence may serve as a basis for conviction "if the court has verified it to be true" which it must do without revealing the methods employed by the special investigators. This is in direct contravention of the legal principle that statements obtained by torture must be rejected as evidence on principled grounds, irrespective of the aspect of reliability. The Rules concerning Death Penalty cases reinforce	

⁵ Article 186 of the CPL states "A People's Court of second instance shall conduct a complete review of the facts determined and the application of the law in the judgement of first instance and shall not be limited by the scope of appeal or protest."

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		that neither a defendant's declaration obtained through illegal means such as coercing confession, nor witness statements obtained through violence, threats and other illegal means may serve as the basis for conviction (articles 19 and 12). - It is alleged that in practice, Criminal Law Article 306, and other administrative sanctions impose significant additional constraints on lawyers considering mounting a defence based on allegations of torture. Article 306 provides criminal liability and imprisonment of up to seven years for defence counsel who coerce or entice witnesses to "change testimony in defiance of facts or give false testimony", a charge which has been made against defence lawyers who allege that evidence used by the prosecution was obtained through torture, thus deterring the pursuit of such allegations.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources 2009:</i> Reportedly, there is no right to access a lawyer before the initial interrogation. It is reported that the use of evidence obtained through torture remains admissible and is still being used in judicial proceedings. The police reportedly retain full control over the recording and the disposal of the video material which has led to videotapes in alleged torture cases to go "missing".	
		Government: Art. 96 of the CPL provided for access to a lawyer after initial interrogations. In 2006, the Public Order Administration Punishment Law of the National People's Congress Standing Committee Article entered into force, which prohibited the use of evidence obtained by torture as the basis of a criminal charge (art. 75). The same year the SPP announced the nationwide implementation of audio-video recording of interrogations of criminal suspects in the procuratorates by the end of 2007.	
i) Judges and prosecutors	While Chinese law and prison	Government: Under the NHRA, a system of	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
should routinely inquire of persons brought from police custody how they have been treated and in any case of doubt (and even in the absence of a formal complaint from the defendant), order an independent medical examination. j) The reform of the CPL	detention regulations cover medical care for detainees quite comprehensively, none of the provisions establish the prisoners' rights to independent medical examinations.	 conducting a physical examination of detainees before and after the interrogation shall be established and promoted. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, no significant steps have been taken since the visit. The right to access to medical care provided for by law is reportedly denied for many human rights defenders as a form of punishment. Courts have been reported to frequently ignore torture allegations by defendants. Government: According to the NHRA, the State 	
should conform to fair trial provisions, as guaranteed in art. 14 of ICCPR, including the following: - the right to remain silent and the privilege against self-incrimination; - the effective exclusion of evidence extracted through torture; - the presumption of innocence;	conformity with international fair trial standards (e.g. it did not provide for the right to remain silent and privilege against self-incrimination); - The Rules on the Handling of Criminal Cases by Public Security Authorities permitted exceptions to the 24 hours time period for family notification; - Extensive periods of police custody permitted by law, no independent judicial review of arrest and detention; - Article 96 of the CPL provides for access to a lawyer only after the first interrogation;	 encourages the revision and abolition of laws, regulations and regulatory documents inconsistent with the Lawyers Law to guarantee the right to legal counsel. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is alleged that there continue to be numerous ways in which China's CPL, police, procuratorates and court practices fail to conform with international fair trial standards, and recent legal and regulatory amendments fail to address many of these failings. Reportedly: There have been no legal amendments, enacted or proposed, that would guarantee the right to remain silent; There have been no legal amendments, enacted or proposed, that would grant to suspects a presumption of innocence, or that places the burden of proof on the prosecution; Right to counsel remains restricted: Suspects are not allowed to meet with their lawyer until after their first interrogation by police; Lawyers still need to give notice to the police before meeting with their clients and the police have up to 48 hours to make the necessary arrangements; In "serious and complicated" cases, a meeting with counsel may take place up to five days after an application is made; 	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 Police retain the discretion to be present during meetings between lawyers and their clients, according to "necessity and circumstances"; In cases involving "state secrets", the CPL requires that the appointment of a lawyer and meetings between lawyers and clients are approved by the "investigative organ"; The revised State Secrets Law (SSL) from 2010 fails to make provisions for the right of defendants suspected of a "state secrets" crime to access their lawyer.⁶ In more than a dozen cases investigated by NGOs, Falun Gong practitioners were either told they were not allowed to hire a legal counsel of their choice due to the nature of their case, or were not permitted access to their chosen or appointed lawyer without permission of the police or security organs. Lawyers who represent, or seek to represent Falun Gong practitioners, have come under intense harassment and intimidation by the authorities, and two had their licences permanently revoked in 2010 after walking out in protest at irregularities during a trial of a Falun Gong practitioner. In many other politically sensitive cases investigated by NGOs lawyers are intimidated and harassed, pressurized not to represent politically sensitive groups such as Tibetans, Uighurs and Falun Gong practitioners and prevented from seeing their clients or accessing necessary documents to mount a full defence. 	
		While the revised CPL of 1997 provides that People's Courts are to "exercise judicial power independently in accordance with law", the proceedings of local courts are routinely interfered with by local political	

⁶ The Standing Committee of the National People's Congress adopted revisions to the State Secrets Law on April 29, 2010.

on re peri	ed in	the	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information r reporting per
		authorities, seriously compromising judicial independence.	
(k)The power to order or approve arrest and supervision of the police and detention facilities of the procurators should be transferred to independent courts	There is no provision under Chinese law for individuals to be brought promptly before an independent judicial authority to assess the lawfulness of the detention. Decisions over an extension of custody and pre- trial detention rested with the	<i>Non-governmental sources 2009:</i> It is reported that the unconditional right of confidential access to a lawyer after initial interrogation (Lawyers Law) makes an exception for cases involving State secrets. The law contradicts the broad restrictions of legal counsel in the CPL. It is alleged that the vague concept of State Secret was used extensively and arbitrarily to deny access to legal representation, access to case files and to hold trials in camera. <i>Non-governmental sources 2008:</i> Reportedly, the Public Procuratorate remains in charge of decisions over extending police custody and pre-trial detention.	
l) Art. 306 of the Criminal Law, according to which any lawyer who counsels a client to repudiate a forced confession, for example, could risk prosecution, should be abolished.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Art. 37 was added to the newly amended Lawyers Law stating that lawyers are not legally responsible when acting on behalf of their clients or speaking for a defendant. Reportedly, this does not apply to lawyers "whose speech endangers the national security, or who maliciously slanders others and seriously disturbs the order of the court". It is reported that Art. 306 CL and 38 CPL continue to be used to intimidate lawyers and impede their efforts to defend clients and take on sensitive cases The repression and harassment of lawyers who take	

The repression and harassment of lawyers who take on "sensitive" cases has reportedly increased. In May 09, 18 lawyers, handling some of the most important human rights cases in 2008, lost their licenses. By the end of August, six cases of attacks on lawyers or their involvement in "accidents" were reported. Several

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		lawyers have been targeted, detained and convicted (e.g. for 'tax evasion').	
n) The OPCAT should be		(e.g. ioi tax evasion).	
atified, and a truly			
ndependent monitoring nechanism be established –			
where the members of the			
visiting commissions would			
be appointed for a fixed			
period and not subject to dismissal – to visit all places			
where persons are deprived			
of their liberty throughout			
he country. a) Systematic training		Non-governmental sources: It is reported that the	
programmes and awareness-		previously conducted 'in-house' education campaigns	
aising campaigns on the		have not yielded appreciable results in the past. China	
principles of the CAT for he public at large, public		has not fulfilled the obligation to widely educate its employees and citizens about human rights and the	
security personnel, legal		prohibition of torture. It has furthermore blocked	
professionals and the		access of civil society actors to information and	
udiciary.		human rights training courses. Websites reporting on human rights violations are reportedly blocked,	
		censored or closed down by the authorities.	
b) Victims of torture and ill-	The Law on State	Government: According to the NHRA, the economic	
reatment should receive	Compensation guaranteed the	compensation, legal remedies and rehabilitation to victims shall be improved.	
substantial compensation proportionate to the gravity	right to compensation for losses suffered through infringements	victims shari be improved.	
of the physical and mental	of civil rights by any State	Non-governmental sources: It is reported that most	
narm suffered, and adequate	organ or functionary, but it	victims of torture have not received any compensation or only small amounts.	
nedical treatment and rehabilitation.	contained an exception clause for criminal cases where	compensation of only small amounts.	
encontation.	confessions were "intentionally		
	fabricated" or other "evidence		
b) Death row prisoners	of guilt" was falsified. At the Beijing Municipality	Non-governmental sources: Reportedly, death row	
j Death fow prisoners	At the beijing municipanty	non-governmental sources: Reporteury, death low	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
should not be subjected to additional punishment such as being handcuffed and shackled.	Detention Centre, death row prisoners awaiting appeal were handcuffed and shackled with leg irons for 24 hours a day and in all circumstances.	prisoners were denied final farewell visits by their families.	
a) The restoration of Supreme People's Court SPC) review for all death sentences should be utilized as an opportunity to publish national statistics on the application of the death benalty.	The SPC restored its power of review in October 2005.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : It is reported that China continues to refuse to release national statistics on the application of the death penalty classifying such information as "state secrets". It is estimated that there have reportedly been more than 5,000 executions in 2008. Other observers estimate that death sentences were reduced by half since the review was returned to the SPC. In the absence of public statistics, it is impossible to verify the accuracy of such numbers. The appeal process in death penalty cases remains closed to outside observers Plans to implement the full audio-visual recording of appellate court proceedings in death penalty cases were announced.	
The scope of the death enalty should be reduced, .g. by abolishing it for conomic and non-violent rimes.	Chinese law provided for the death penalty in relation to a wide range of offences that did not reach the international standard of "most serious crimes"; among the more than 60 capital offences, there were many economic and other non- violent crimes.	 Non-governmental sources: The SPC was reportedly working on a judicial interpretation of "the most serious and vile" crimes, for which the death penalty should be applied exclusively. Non-governmental sources 2009: It is reported that the number of capital offences remains the same. It is alleged that the Government still executes persons for non-violent, political crimes and there are no indications that the practice may change. 	
s) Political crimes that leave large discretion to law enforcement and prosecution authorities such as "endangering national security", "subverting State power", "undermining the unity of the country",	The replacement of the crimes "counter-revolution" and "hooliganism" in 1997 with vaguely defined crimes in the CL left their application open to abuse, particularly against the peaceful exercise of the fundamental freedoms of	 Non-governmental sources: It is reported that China's use of a set of crimes that fall under the broad category of "endangering state security" has risen dramatically in the last couple of years, in a trend that goes sharply against the recommendation by the Special Rapporteur. It is reported that according to the 2009 China law Yearbook, 1,712 individuals were arrested and 1,407 indicted for crimes related to "endangering state 	

Recommendation	Situation in during visit in 2005	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2,	Information received in the
(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	(See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	reporting period
"supplying of State secrets	religion, speech and assembly.	security" in 2008, up from 742 arrested and 619	
to individuals abroad" etc.		indicted in 2007. It is reported that on July 13,	
should be abolished.		China's SPC issued detailed statistics regarding the	
		handling of various categories of criminal offenses.	
		According to these statistics the number of first-	
		instance trials concluded involving "endangering state	
		security (ESS) rose to around 760 in 2009, from	
		around 460 in 2008. ⁷ The SPC report also stated that	
		the proportion of individuals charged with	
		"endangering state security" crimes that received	
		heavy sentences—defined as a prison term of five	
		years or more, life imprisonment, or a death sentence	
		(including suspended death sentence) rose by 20	
		percent in 2009.	
		- Concern is raised in particular regarding the impact	
		of the increased use of "endangering State security"	
		and other political crimes to charge and convict	
		ethnic minorities for alleged "splittist" activities,	
		particularly in the context of the unrest in both the	
		Tibet Autonomous Region and the XUAR in 2008	
		and 2009. According to information published in the	
		Xinjiang Yearbook, from 1998 to 2003, more than	
		half of all trials involving the charge of "endangering	
		state security" were adjudicated in the XUAR.	
		- According to the report of the president of the	
		XUAR Higher People's Court in January 2010, there	
		was an increase from 268 in 2008 to 437 cases of	
		"endangering state security" adjudicated in the	
		XUAR, an increase of 63%. Sentences of at least 10	
		years in prison, life imprisonment or the death penalty	
		had reportedly been imposed on 255 individuals. ⁸	

⁷ This category includes crimes such as "subversion", "illegally providing state secrets to overseas entities", "splittism", and espionage.

⁸ For information about Uighurs and other ethnic minorities charged and sentenced for crimes of "endangering state security", see Uighur journalist detained, risks torture (Index: ASA 17/060/2009, 30 October 2009, available online at:

http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/ASA17/060/2009/en); Tibetan film-maker may face unfair trial, Dhondup Wangchen (Index: ASA

₽
E
R
Ω
E
2
61
5
<u>e</u>
ŝ

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		- Reportedly, there has also been significant use of the charge of "inciting subversion of state power" against human rights defenders involved in peaceful advocacy and active use of legal avenues for redress of violations including torture and ill treatment. ⁹	
t) All persons who have been sentenced for the peaceful exercise of freedom of speech, assembly, association and religion, on	Despite the revision of the CL in 1997, political dissidents sentenced before 1997 continued to serve long prison sentences for "hooliganism"	<i>Non-governmental sources 2009:</i> It is reported that the Government has taken no steps towards the abolition of political crimes. It is alleged that many people are still detained and sentenced for crimes such as "endangering state security" (ESS). It is reported that the specific targeting of human rights lawyers has increased (see supra, recommendation j). In addition, political prisoners face discrimination in the sentence reduction and parole process. While a 1997 notice by the SPC prescribes to handle their cases "strictly", notices issued by municipal and provincial high courts have shown to prohibit parole for ESS prisoners. According to an analysis of Government information released in its human rights dialogues, the rate of sentence reduction for ESS prisoners is roughly 50% lower than for other prisoners. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, no steps have been taken to release prisoners sentenced for the non-violent exercise of their rights.	

^{17/033/2009,17} July 2009, available online at: http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/ASA17/033/2009/en); Uighur website editor at risk of torture (Index: ASA 17/056/2009, 30 September 2009, available online at: http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/ASA17/056/2009/en).

⁹ For further reference regarding human rights defenders detained and charged on charges of China must ensure adequate care for activist: Hu Jia (Index: ASA 17/013/2010, 12 April 2010, available online at: http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/ASA17/013/2010/en); Chinese democracy activist detained: Liu Xianbin (Index: ASA 17/028/2010, 5 July 2010, available online at:

http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/ASA17/028/2010/en); Fear of Torture and Other Ill-Treatment: Tan Zuoren (m) (Index: ASA 17/014/2009, 2 April 2009, available online at: http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/ASA17/014/2009/en).

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
the basis of vaguely defined political crimes, both before and after the 1997 reform of the CL, should be released.	and other non-violent offences After the 1997 changes, political dissidents, journalists, writers, lawyers, human rights defenders, Falun gong practitioners and members of the Tibetan and Uighur ethnic, linguistic and religious minorities continued to be prosecuted for peacefully exercising their human rights on the basis of vaguely defined		
u) "Re-education through Labour" and similar forms of forced re-education in prisons, pre-trial detention centres and psychiatric hospitals should be abolished.	crimes and sentenced to long prison terms. RTL and other forms of administrative detention had been used for many years against political groups, Falun Gong practitioners and human rights defenders, accused of politically deviant and dissident behaviour, disturbance of the social order or similar petty offences. Some of these measures of re-education through coercion, humiliation and punishment were aimed at altering the personality of detainees up to the point of	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The discussions on RTL in the National People's Congress have not yielded official results. However, the available statistical data suggest that the use of RTL is declining, partly due to the sending of drug-related offenders to "coercive quarantine for drug rehabilitation (CQDR)", a new form of administrative detention for drug addicts initiated under the new Drug Prohibition Law (effective 1 June 08). Concerns over the treatment of drug users and persons with HIV/AIDS in administrative detention have been raised.	
v) Any decision regarding deprivation of liberty must be made by a judicial and not administrative organ.	breaking their will. RTL and other forms of forced re-education in administrative detention were solely based on administrative regulations and decisions without judicial control over the deprivation of	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that punitive administrative detention and RTL continue to be used to supplement formal criminal sanctions, without judicial oversight or access to a judge. In addition, the increasing use of house arrests and alleged black detention sites places detainees outside	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Situation in during visit in 2005 (See E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years (See A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	liberty.	both the judicial and administrative oversight mechanisms.	
w) The Special Rapporteur recommends that the			
Government continue to			
cooperate with relevant international organizations,			
including the UNOHCHR,			
for assistance in the follow-			
up to the above recommendations.			

Denmark

Follow-up Report to the Recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur on Torture (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Denmark from 2 March to 9 May 2008 (UN Doc. A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)

11. By letter dated 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Denmark, requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government has not responded to his request. He looks forward to receiving information on Denmark's efforts to follow-up to the recommendations and affirms that he stands ready to assist in efforts to prevent and combat torture and ill-treatment.

12. The Special Rapporteur takes note of Denmark's responses provided to the recommendations¹⁰ made in the course of its Universal Periodic Review on 2 May 2011. The Special Rapporteur trusts that the definition of torture, as covered by the existing provisions of the Danish Criminal Code, is in full conformity with the Convention against Torture, by which torture is defined as a serious crime, sanctioned with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture.

13. On the issue of the continued practice of solitary confinement, the Special Rapporteur stresses that solitary confinement is a harsh measure which may cause serious psychological and psychological adverse effects on individuals regardless of their specific conditions. He defines prolonged solitary confinement as any period of solitary confinement in excess of 15 days.¹¹

14. The Special Rapporteur encourages the authorities to ensure that detained persons held in solitary confinement are afforded genuine opportunities to challenge both the nature of their confinement and its underlying justification through the courts of law. This requires a right to appeal all final decisions by prison authorities and administrative bodies to an independent judicial body empowered to review both the legality of the nature of the confinement and its underlying justification. Thereafter, detained persons must have the opportunity to appeal these judgements to the highest authority in the State and, after exhaustion of domestic remedies, seek review by regional or universal human rights bodies.¹²

15. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the implementation by the Danish Parliament of the Directive 2008/115/EF of the European Parliament and the Council on common standards and procedures in Member States for returning third-country nationals illegally staying in member States, which sets an absolute limit to the length of detention of foreigners pending deportation. He expresses concern about the reports indicating that many asylum seekers continue to remain detained in Ellebæk for long periods of time and in excess of the 6-month period prescribed in the EU Directive 2008/115/EF. The Special Rapporteur is concerned that many of those individuals who are victims of trafficking, mentally ill or torture victims, are reportedly subjected to unduly long waiting periods and are allegedly held in solitary confinement.

¹⁰ A/HRC/18/4/Add.1, 13 September 2011.

¹¹ A/66/2685 August 2011, para. 79.

¹² A/66/268, 5 August 2011, para 98.

16. On the issue of diplomatic assurances, the Special Rapporteur welcomes the June 2011 decision of the High Court of Denmark, upholding the decision of Hillerød Court not to permit extradition on the grounds that diplomatic assurances. He further welcomes Denmark's assurances that pursuant to section 31 in the Danish Aliens Act a foreigner may not be returned to a country where he/she will be at risk of the death penalty or of being subjected to torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, or where the foreigner will not be protected against being sent on to such a country. The safeguard against refoulement is absolute.¹³

17. The Special Rapporteur notes that the Government did not accept the UPR recommendation to assess in an open and transparent manner the consequences of flights conducted over Danish territory.¹⁴ He reiterates his previous recommendation to ensure that investigations into alleged CIA rendition flights using airports in Denmark including Greenland are carried out in an inclusive and transparent manner.

¹³ A/HRC/18/4/Add.1, footnote 96.

¹⁴ A/HRC/18/4/Add.1, recommendation 106.132.

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
(a) Incorporate a specific crime of torture in the criminal law.	Section 157 (a) of the Criminal Code referred to torture as aggravating circumstance in relation to existing crimes and increased the maximum penalties for such acts.	Justice re-affirmed that the position of the Government, expressed in para 157 (a) of the Danish Criminal Code, considering torture as an aggravating circumstance, but not as a specific crime, remains unchanged.	Non-governmental sources: The situation remains unchanged. Several politicians have expressed an interest in working for the inclusion of a specific crime of torture in the Danish criminal law. On 1 July 2011, Denmark formally communicated to the Working Group of the UPR that it had accepted the UPR recommendation to ensure that all acts of torture are specific offences under its criminal law. Denmark considers that all acts of torture are cirminalized in the Danish Criminal Code, thus implying that there is no need for a specific crime of torture in the criminal code.
(b) Further reduce the use of solitary confinement, based on the unequivocal evidence of its negative mental health effects upon detainees.	Solitary confinement of remand prisoners on the basis of a court decision was used to isolate suspects during criminal investigations in pre-trial detention, whereas administrative solitary confinement (reduced or total exclusion from association with other detainees) may be imposed on remand and convicted prisoners on the basis	 Government: The status has not changed. Non-governmental sources: In 2009, there was no new legislation concerning solitary confinement. The statistics for 2008 were not available. Government: A report from the Danish Director of Public Prosecutions from 31 October 2008 shows that there has been a significant decrease in the use of solitary confinement of remand prisoners in 2007 compared to previous years. The total number of days remand prisoners were in solitary confinement was 13.838 in 2006 and 	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, administrative solitary confinement imposed on remand prisoners and convicted prisoners cannot be appealed to independent judicial review, but only appealed administratively to the Prison Directorate and ultimately the Minister of Justice. In addition, there is no upper limit to the extent of this measure, nor is the person subjected to this measure entitled to access to the information that

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
	of an administrative decision by	7.189 in 2007, a decrease of 48%. ¹⁵	forms the basis for the decision. The
	the prison authorities as a punishment for disciplinary infractions;	A report from the Danish Prison and Probation Service shows that the number of solitary confinements imposed as	measure can be imposed on prisoners on suspicion that they are pressuring or threatening other inmates, but evidence to substantiate such suspicions does not
	Solitary confinement of remand prisoners based on a court decision was strictly restricted	punishment for disciplinary infractions has decreased from 715 persons in 2006 to 631 persons in 2007. ¹⁶	have to be presented or procured.
	to situations where there are specific reasons to presume that the accused will impede the prosecution of the case;	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No new legislation has been adopted regarding solitary confinement since 2007.	
	Administration of Justice Act contains no provisions according to which solitary confinement can be imposed	- On 30 March 2010, the Ministry of Justice received a report from the Director of Public Prosecutions on the use of solitary confinement in 2008, according to which:	
	following a court decision, the decision to exclude a prisoner from association with others is to be made after having presented the case to the legal staff of the Chief Constable's	i. The total number of cases of solitary confinement has increased 20% from 273 cases in 2007 to 327 cases in 2008, while the average duration of solitary confinement decreased from 27 days in 2007 to 21 days in 2008.	
	office; Instances where pre-trial	ii. Four persons under the age of 18 were placed in solitary confinement.	
	detainees reported that the police used the threat of extending solitary confinement to coerce detainees to cooperate in an investigation.	iii. Solitary confinement was used in 5,3% of all instances of pre-trial detention. In approximately 91% of the cases it was used in relation to serious criminal offences.	
	-	- On 1 June 2010, the amendment of the Criminal Code lowering the age of criminal liability from 15 years to 14 years, could potentially impact on the use of solitary	

 ¹⁵ Anvendelsen af varetægtsfængsling i isolation i 2007, Rigsadvokaten, journal no. RA-2007-120-0037, available in Danish at http://www.justitsministeriet.dk/fileadmin/downloads/Pressemeddelelser2008/redegoerelse_isolation.pdf (20.08.2009)
 ¹⁶ Statistik 2007, Kriminalforsorgen [*Danish Prison and Probation Service*], available in Danish at: http://www.kriminalforsorgen.dk/publika/Statistik%202007/html/default.htm (20.08.2009)

Recommendations	Situation during visit in 2009	Steps taken in previous years and (See $\Lambda/HPC/12/20/A$ dd $5A/HPC/12/20/A$ dd $5A/HPC/16/52/A$ dd $2A$)	Information received in the reporting
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A) confinement of persons under the age of 18 years.	period
		- Although the Government acknowledged the need to decrease the number and duration of solitary confinement, it continues to use solitary confinement to secure the criminal investigation in serious offences, such as organized crime, gang crime, severe drug crime and terrorism.	
		Government: According to the report of the Danish Ministry of Justice from June 2009 ¹⁷ , from 2004 to 2007, there has been some decrease in the use of solitary confinement of remand prisoners with significant decrease registered during the period of 2006-2007 and an increase in the number of remand prisoners in solitary confinement registered in the period of 2007-2008.	
		The average length of solitary confinement has decreased in recent years. The total number of days remand prisoners were held in solitary confinement was 13.838 in 2006, 7.189 in 2007 and 6.910 in 2008. In 2008, the total number of days remand prisoners were in solitary confinement was the lowest since the registration started in 2001.	
		A report from the Danish Prison and Probation Service ¹⁸ shows that the number of persons excluded from association with other detainees was 715 in 2006 and 631 in 2007. 704 persons were excluded from	

 ¹⁷ Statistik om isolationsfængsling, juni 2009, Justitsministeriets Forskningskontor [Ministry of Justice], available in Danish at: http://www.justitsministeriet.dk/fileadmin/downloads/Forskning_og_dokumentation/Isolationsrapport%202008.pdf
 ¹⁸ Statistik 2009, Kriminalforsorgen [Danish Prison and Probation Service], available in Danish at: http://www.kriminalforsorgen.dk/Admin/Public/Download.aspx?file=Files/Filer/Statistik/Statistik_2009.pdf

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		association with other detainees in 2008 and in 2009 the number increased to 788.	
		The Danish Ministry of Justice is currently reviewing the recommendations issued by a working group under the Danish Prison and Probation Service in 2010 on limiting the use and duration of exclusion from association with other detainees.	
(c) set an absolute limit to the length of detention of foreigners pending deportation, and review the practice of habeas corpus under section 37 of the Aliens Act.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There was no amendment to the Aliens Act concerning a maximum limit to the length of deprivation of liberty for foreigners pending deportation. A bill amending the Aliens Act was adopted in Dec.08. The bill states that, unless there are particular reasons against it, the Danish authorities should instruct illegal immigrants who cannot be deported to take residence at asylum centre Sandholm and report to the local police at specific times. ¹⁹	detention (six months and an additional 12 months where there may be lack of cooperation by the third-country
		Government: By 5 November 2009, 45 aliens were detained in Ellebæk of which 18 were waiting to be returned or deported. 31 aliens who had been expelled and who are awaiting an effectuation of the decision of expulsion were remanded in custody. Furthermore, the National Commissioner of Police, Aliens Department, took over 27 cases of aliens who have been detained or remanded in custody in order to be deported from the local police districts. In a majority of these 58 cases, detention will therefore only be for a short period of time.	national or delays in obtaining the necessary documentation from third countries, in line with the EU Directive rules). Many asylum seekers continue to remain detained in Ellebæk for long periods of time and longer than the 6- month period prescribed in the EU Directive 20080/115/EF. Reportedly, many of those individuals are victims of trafficking, mentally ill or torture survivors. It is alleged that in addition to being held in solitary
		remanded in custody in order to be deported from the local police districts. In a majority of these 58 cases, detention will therefore	are victims of trafficking, men

¹⁹ Act no. 1397 of 27.12.2008 om ændring af udlændingeloven [Act amending the Aliens Act]; available in Danish at: https://www.retsinformation.dk/Forms/R0710.aspx?id=122943 (20.08.2009)

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		Parliament and of the Council on common standards and procedures in Member States for returning illegally staying third-country nationals establishes rules concerning the maximum length of detention. According to article 15 (5), each member state shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months. According to article 15 (6) member states may not extend the period referred to in paragraph 5 except for a limited period not exceeding a further twelve months in accordance with national law in cases where, regardless of all their reasonable efforts, the removal operation is likely to last longer owing to:	are subjected to body searches.
		- a lack of cooperation by the third-country national concerned, or	
		- delays in obtaining the necessary documentation from third countries.	
		Denmark decided to implement the directive on an intergovernmental basis and informed the Council thereof. The necessary changes to the Danish Aliens Act are expected to be proposed to Parliament in 2010.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although the Aliens Act was amended twice in 2009, no absolute time limit has been established for the length of detention for foreigners pending expulsion.	
		 The envisaged amendments of the Aliens Act pursuant to EU Directive 2008/115/EF (on common standards and procedures in Member States for returning illegally staying third-country nations) have not yet been proposed to Parliament. Government: By November 2010, 56 aliens 	

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		were detained in Ellebæk, of which 27 were waiting to be returned or deported. 21 aliens, who had been expelled and are awaiting an effectuation of the expulsion decision, were remanded in custody.	
(d) Give greater attention to the rehabilitation of victims of human trafficking in Denmark.	A Centre for Human Trafficking to coordinate action was created; An "Action Plan to Combat Trafficking in Human Beings 2007-2010" built upon the experience of earlier plans of action; Section 262a of the Criminal Code criminalizes trafficking in human beings, pursuant to the 2007-2010 plan of action, on 1 August 2007, an amendment to the Danish Aliens Act came into force, which provided for the so-called "assisted voluntary return programme", which entails improvements over the existing regime on trafficking; Despite greater attention to victims, in the opinion of the Special Rapporteur the efforts were not sufficiently victim- centered; the efforts appeared to be aimed less at the rehabilitation of victims of trafficking in Denmark than at repatriating them to their	 Non-governmental sources: In May 2008 a meeting place for foreign prostitutes was set in Copenhagen with the purpose of establishing contact with potential victims of trafficking. Social workers are employed at the centre and a health clinic with doctors and nurses were set up too. At the centre, the women receive health services, courses in contraception, language courses, counsel concerning rights and opportunities, etc.²⁰ Government: Health care services are being provided to potential victims of trafficking in prostitution in the established drop-incentre in Copenhagen and through special agreements with two major hospitals. Similar arrangements are being developed in areas outside the capital. Access to lawyers and legal advice in the reflection period has been extended. The Danish Centre for Human Trafficking draws on the expertise of lawyers specialized in human rights and immigration law to assist victims in cases concerning questions of residence, family reunification, asylum, deportation, work permits and integration. Additional funding has been allocated to services provided by specially trained personnel to support individual victims 	

²⁰ Statusrapport for 2007-2009 (June 2009), Den tværministerielle arbejdsgruppe til bekæmpelse af menneskehandel [Crossministerial working group for the elimination of human trafficking]. Available in Danish at: http://www.lige.dk/files/PDF/Handel/status_handel_juni2009.pdf

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
	countries of origin.	socially, psychologically and practically during the reflection period. Legal counselling is offered to potential victims of trafficking through outreach work.	
		The range of protected accommodation in the reflection period has been expanded. Victims of trafficking can be accommodated in a crisis centre exclusively targeted to them, a crisis centre for victims of domestic violence, a women's crisis centre housing female asylum seekers and trafficked women under the Danish Red Cross, as well as a range of general asylum centres offering various possibilities for support and education. The national action plan to combat trafficking includes all victims and is monitored by the Danish Centre for Human Trafficking and discussed regularly in various coordination meetings at all levels.	
		Special attention is given to children who are potentially trafficked. A screening is being carried out among unaccompanied minors and mechanisms are put in place for trafficked children.	
		Trafficking for labour exploitation gets special attention for the Centre for Human Trafficking in 2009 /2010. Different research projects are in plan. In relation to the Danish Au Pair-agreement it cannot be concluded that trafficking of human beings is taking place. ²¹ There are indications of exploitation both in the recruiting phase and during the time with the host families but not to a degree amounting to human	

²¹ The report is not finalised yet.

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		trafficking.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The report issued by the inter-ministerial working group for combating human trafficking in June 2010, specifies the steps undertaken to implement the government's plan of action for the period of 2007-2010. The types of support provided to victims include, inter alia, services such as medical, psychological and dental treatment, legal and social services.	
		Government: General awareness raising campaign is planned to take place in the end of 2010 and early 2011 to enhance the knowledge on trafficking among the general public. Through workshops, seminars and public debate settings, the campaign also aims at reaching professionals working with trafficking or in some way interacting with victims of trafficking. Furthermore, victims of trafficking from both EU-countries and non EU-countries shall have targeted information about their rights and the possibilities for assistance available to them in Denmark.	
		According to the evaluation carried out among 1004 persons following the public awareness raising campaign in 2006, 1/3 answered that they had fairly good knowledge about trafficking, 1/3 responded that they had bad knowledge of the topic, and 1/3 indicated that they had neither a bad nor good knowledge of the topic. A new survey carried out in 2009 among 1261 persons showed that 82% had heard about trafficking in women, 22% had heard about trafficking in children to Denmark, 9% had heard about trafficking in men to Denmark, 15% had never heard about the topic, and	

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		2% did not know. Furthermore, the investigation showed that 66% would notify the police if they knew about a case of trafficking.	
(e) Ensure that, where arrangements exist for male and female detainees to be accommodated in the same premises, the decision of a	Practice of accommodating male and female detainees in the same premises, based on the principle of normalization; while in most places such	Government: Special attention will be paid to the placement of female inmates in connection with the establishment of the new institution in Nuuk, which is planned to open in 2013.	
woman to be placed together with men is based on her completely free and informed decision, and scrupulously monitor appropriate safeguards to prevent abuse.	oman to be placed together ith men is based on her mpletely free and informed ecision, and scrupulously onitor appropriate	According to the Chief Governor of Institutions in Greenland, no cases of violence or sexual abuse of women have been registered in the existing institution in Nuuk even though close relationships are sometimes formed between female and male inmates. In addition, nothing indicates that the women form relationships with male inmates for protection purposes.	
		Staff will continue their awareness of the female inmates and of the need to intervene if there are any signs of imbalance in the relationship or problems between male and female inmates.	
		Government: The Director of the Prison and Probation Services in Greenland has reported that there have been no changes in the situation concerning female detainees in Nuuk Prison. The practice of the prison and Probation Service to place inmates-male or female-as close to home as possible, implies that women serving a sentence in Greenland will be placed in units together with male inmates. The Director of the Prison and Probation Service in Greenland has been instructed to monitor the situation closely, and should problems related to placing female inmates together with male inmates arise, the Prison and Probation Service will	

₽
Ħ
\mathbf{z}
0
1
ž
E
dd
ۍ ا

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		 seek to change the current practice. Unforeseen events during the planning process have delayed the opening of the new institution in Nuuk until late 2015. The conditions of female detainees in the new institution will be at the centre of attention. 	
		- According to Copenhagen Prisons, male inmates are rarely placed in women's unit. As of 2010, a new unit in the Herstedvester Institution was only for female inmates. The female inmates have the possibility to work and study together with male inmates if they wish to, however in the new unit it is possible to choose to work and engage in activities during leisure time without the presence of male inmates.	
		- A research project focusing on female inmates was concluded in November 2010 and will form the basis for an assessment on how to improve the conditions for female inmates.	
(f) Refrain from the use of diplomatic assurances as a means of returning suspected terrorists to countries known for practicing torture.	The Government considered to employ diplomatic assurances to return suspected terrorists to countries known for their practice of torture; Memorandum of Understanding between the Ministry of Defence of Afghanistan and the Ministry of Defence of Denmark of 8 June 2005 concerning the transfer of persons between the Danish contingent of the International Security Assistance Force and the Afghan authorities.	 Non-governmental sources: According to Bill No. L 209 of 28 April 2009 on administrative expulsion, etc. adopted on 28 May 2009, diplomatic assurances can be used in concrete cases. Individual assessment will be made in each case and in light of Denmark's international obligations. Government: Danish legislation contains no provisions on diplomatic assurances, and this device has not been applied by Denmark. The white book upon which Act No 209 of 28 May 09 on administrative expulsion was based, states that "it cannot be denied, that it is possible to apply diplomatic assurances without violating international law, but the possibility is 	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In June 2011, the High Court upheld the decision of Hillerød Court not to permit his extradition on the grounds that diplomatic assurances from the central Government were in fact inconsequential and ineffective since the central Government did not have control over the conditions and practices of the prison system in West-Bengali. On 1 July 2011, Denmark formally communicated to the Working Group of the UPR indicating that it had accepted the UPR recommendation to strictly observe the principle of <i>non-refoulement</i> and not to resort to diplomatic

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2) Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
	limited." The white book lists a number of restrictions and strict preconditions in this respect.	assurances to circumvent it.
	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> On 1 September 2010, at an open consultation in the Parliament's Legal Affairs Committee, the Minister for Refugees, Immigrants and Integration re-affirmed the government's decision to use diplomatic assurances if this is considered to be safe in each individual case.	
	On 9 April 2010, the Danish Ministry of Justice decided to extradite a Danish citizen for prosecution in India. The decision was taken on the basis of the Indian authorities' acceptance of several conditions, including:	
	i. That capital punishment may not be executed for the criminal offense,	
	ii. That the enforcement of the sentence shall be based on the principle of conversion on the sentence; and that	
	iii. That the detention shall be in accordance with the UN Standard Minimum Rules for the treatment of prisoners.	
	Government: The information provided by the NGO, refers to the consultation of the Minister for Refugee, Immigration and Integration Affairs in the Parliament on 1 September 2010 (see column 3).	
	With respect to the information on decision to extradite a Danish citizen to India, the ruling of the court against the extradition has been subsequently appealed to the High Court of Eastern Denmark.	
(g) Ensure that investigations A Danish documentary nto alleged CIA rendition broadcast on 30 January 2008	Government: The Governmental report on secret CIA-Rendition flights in Denmark,	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that the investigation into the

_	A/HRC/19/61/Add.3
)	/Add.3

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
flights using Danish and Greenlandic airports are carried out in an inclusive and transparent manner.	alleged that Danish and Greenlandic airports (e.g. Narsarsuaq) were used by the CIA to transport prisoners as part of its renditions programme. An inter-ministerial working group had been established to investigate these allegations.	Greenland and the Faroese Islands, written by the Inter-ministerial Working Group, was released the 23 October 2008. There is no basis to conclude that the Government bears (co-)responsibility for illegal activities of the CIA or other foreign authorities. The existing Danish control regimes are adequate to ensure that the relevant authorities have the necessary possibilities to intervene should the authorities receive concrete knowledge of any rendition flight heading towards or being in Danish, Greenlandic or Faroese airspace. ²² In connection with the publication of the report on Secret CIA-flights in Denmark, Greenland and Faroe Islands on the 23 Oct. 08, the Government endorsed the recommendations made by the Inter- ministerial Working Group and immediately initiated the implementation process of the recommendations. In accordance with the recommendations, the Government informed the US of the Danish position and law in relation to renditions, through a note verbal of 27 Oct. 08. In the note, it strongly condemned the use of extraordinary renditions. Just prior to the publication of the report the Danish Government received on the 22 October a future guarantee from the US underlining that no rendition will take place through the airspace or territory of the Kingdom of	 alleged CIA rendition flights was not carried out with the inclusion of independent experts and civil society to ensure a fully inclusive and transparent process. It is alleged that <i>Wikileaks</i> has reportedly made a number of documents public underlining the double sided dispositions of the Danish Government into the alleged CIA rendition flights. Reportedly, in a released correspondence between the American embassy in Denmark and the US State Department, the Danish Government has reportedly stressed the importance of resolving the issue on the rendition flights in the most agreeable manner, while the Government in the Danish media has reportedly portrayed anger and frustration over not being able to get straight answer from the American authorities on the rendition flights. On 1 July 2011, Denmark rejected the UPR recommendation to assess the flights that were conducted over Danish territory and landings that took place in the context of the CIA extradition program. Reportedly, during the recent election campaign in Denmark, the three opposition parties ensured that they would conduct an open and transparent investigation into the use of Danish

 ²² The report is available in Danish (English Summary at page 99) at http://www.um.dk/NR/rdonlyres/7325C86F-F9DA-4329-8B16-B3F135BDC24F/0/CIA.pdf (20.08.2009).
 ²³ http://www.demotix.com/news/553359/rendition-flights-debate-wikileaks

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		Denmark by or on behalf of any US authorities without the prior explicit permission of Danish authorities.	airspace in the course of the CL rendition program as part of a large investigation into the Danis
		The Government will actively engage in discussions at regional or international level on the question of a common definition of civilian state aircrafts and whether the existing rules on supervision with foreign intelligences, supervision of flights and immunity provide an adequate protection against human rights violations.	participation in the Iraq war and the war on terror. ²⁴
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No independent investigation has been conducted.	
		- On 23 October 2008, the inter-ministerial working group issued a report on the investigation into the secret CIA-Rendition flights in Denmark, Greenland and the Faroe Islands. Three journalists complained to the Ombudsman about not being granted access to information to documents exchanged within the working group. On 18 March 2010, the Ombudsman issued an opinion, stating that "the so-called CIA working group, which has investigated the alleged CIA-flights in Danish airspace, cannot be considered as an independent authority", and requested reconsideration of the request.	
		Government: Since 2005, the Government has consistently stated that no governmental authority possessed information on CIA over flights or stopovers in Denmark, Greenland and on Faroe Islands. The Government has	

 $^{^{24}\,}http://politiken.dk/politik/ECE1382711/villy-soevndal-snublede-i-sin-egen-udenrigspolitik/$

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		on several occasions discussed the matter with the USA and clearly indicated that Denmark do not accept the use of Danish Greenlandic and Faroese airspace nor airports for flights and stopovers, which are not in accordance with international law.	
		By Government's decision and in light of the new information about the landing of an aircraft in Narsarsuaq and the possible linkage between these aircrafts and the CIA, the Interministerial Working Group for the Compilation of the Report Concerning Secret CIA Flights in Denmark, Greenland and on Faroe Islands (The CIA Working Group) was established to investigate all prior information on alleged CIA flights in Denmark, Greenland and the Faroe Islands and to report on examination of the existing information. The report also contains information concerning events outside of Denmark, which are of importance to the case.	
		The working group concluded that based on the existing information it has not been possible to confirm or deny the "CIA's Danish Connection". On the basis of the working group's conclusions, the CIA Working Group recommended that the Government informs the USA that any kind of renditions through Danish Greenlandic and Faroese airspaces without the explicit permission of the Danish authorities will be an unacceptable violation of Danish sovereignty; inform the USA that Denmark disapproves extrajudicial renditions, which take place outside the realm of the relevant national and international law; consistently at any given opportunity rejects all means which violate the rights of the detainee,	

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		including secret detentions, indefinite detention, as well as the use of torture and other cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment.	
(h) Continue to promote and support international and national efforts relating to rehabilitation for victims of torture.	Initiatives at the Human Rights Council and the General Assembly, efforts on the implementation of the European Union's foreign policy guidelines on torture in third countries and a long history of generous support to civil society both at home and abroad, particularly in the area of rehabilitation for victims of torture.	 Non-governmental sources: On 25 June 2009, several organizations appealed to the Minister of Integration and Asylum Affairs, arguing that rejected asylum seekers from Iraq should be issued a humanitarian residence permit. The organizations encouraged the Government to observe the recommendations from UNHCR not to forcibly deport rejected asylum seekers who had been in Denmark for a long period of time to certain parts of Iraq. In total, 282 persons, including women, children and especially victims of torture, who might not be able to receive the proper treatment in their home of origin, given the current situation in Iraq, await deportation.²⁵ In August 2009, a group of 18 rejected male asylum seekers who had occupied a church in Copenhagen were arrested by the police with the aim of deporting them to Iraq. This caused public debate. The detention of possible victims of torture with the aim of deportation was criticized by a former member of CAT. Government: Denmark is continuing its active international policy against torture, and sponsored several res. Both at the GA and at the HRC. All rejected Iraqi asylum seekers have had 	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that the previous conditions of granting humanitarian residence permit by the Ministry for Refugee, Immigration and Integration Affairs to persons who suffer from a physical or a mental illness, and who, among others, cannot receive the necessary medical treatment in their home country, is no longer a fair and correct account of the practices pursuant to a new proposal for an amendment made in March 2010, called L187 ²⁶ in which the Government modified the requirement to obtain a humanitarian residence permit by stating that the treatment must be <i>not at all</i> available in the country of origin. This condition was emphasised in the explanatory comments to the bill. As such, the personal circumstances of the individual; neither his or her financial resources nor the distance he or she resides from the appropriate medical facilities, are taken into consideration. In 2010, the Government amended the rules on acquisition of permanent residence (Aliens Act no 572/2010) to allow well-integrated immigrants to acquire a permanent residence permit

 ²⁵ The document is available in Danish at: http://www.rct.dk/sitecore/content/Root/Home/Link_menu/News/2009/Rejected_Iraqis0609.aspx
 ²⁶ Adopted on 25 May 2010, and entering into force on 1 August 2010.

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		their cases thoroughly reviewed by the refugee authorities based on a factual and individual assessment of all relevant information. The Refugee Appeals Board shall stay updated and informed about the general situation in the countries from which Denmark receives asylum-seekers, and the	the conditions or situations that entitle the applicants to dispensation, such as severe physical impairment or menta illness, due to severe trauma (torture) as is the case with the legislation or acquisition of citizenship.
		board has an extensive collection of background information which includes – but is not limited to – recommendations and guidelines from UNHCR. Iraqi asylum- seekers who have had their cases reviewed by the refugee authorities also have the opportunity to apply for a residence permit on humanitarian grounds. According to the	According to a circular on naturalization (no 61/2008), persons suffering from post-traumatic stress syndrome (PTSD are explicitly excluded from obtaining a dispensation, even if the condition is chronic and documented by a certificate issued by a medical doctor.
		Danish Aliens Act, Section 9 b, subsection 1, a residence permit on humanitarian grounds can be granted to a foreign national who is registered by the Immigration Service as an asylum seeker in Denmark. The applicant must be in such a situation that significant humanitarian considerations warrant a residence permit. The Parliament decided that humanitarian residence permits should be the avagation of the sula	Reportedly, the tightening of the rule: on citizenship and permanent residence has in some instances made the situation for torture survivors, who are undergoing rehabilitation very difficult due to the uncertainty of their legal status.
		should be the exception, not the rule. Applications for a residence permit on humanitarian grounds are considered by the Ministry of Refugee, Immigration and Integration Affairs. The Ministry conducts a factual assessment of each individual application. In making this individual assessment, the Ministry places importance on the applicant's personal situation. According to the Ministry's practice, a humanitarian residence permit may be granted to persons who suffer from a physical or a mental illness of a very serious nature, who cannot receive the necessary medical treatment in their home country, as well as persons who, upon return to a home	Reportedly, an increasing number of torture survivors in Denmark have had to forego rehabilitation due to extreme poverty. This is due to the fact that many torture survivors receive the so called starting allowance, which was introduced in 2002 with the purpose of increasing the incentives for refugeer and immigrants to seek employmen (Act on Active Social Policy 2002). The starting allowance amounts to 650 EUF per month, while the regular unemployment benefit, cash allowance amounts to 1.320 EUR. Reportedly living on such a small amount does not

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		country with difficult living conditions, will be at risk of developing or experiencing a worsening of a severe disability.	allow for payment of transport fees to and from rehabilitation centres. Whereas some torture survivors have been able to
		According to the practice of the Ministry, there is a possibility of granting a residence permit on humanitarian grounds based on the applicant's long stay in Denmark.	have their transport fees reimbursed by the Danish Regional authorities, those not covered by these rules must instead seek reimbursement from their local
		Section 9 b, subsection 1, of the Danish Aliens Act does not allow for granting humanitarian residence permits to groups of persons as it is granted on the basis of a	municipality, who in some instances have not granted reimbursement. This means that, reportedly, a number of torture survivors are unable to receive treatment.
		The Ministry's ruling regarding a humanitarian residence permit is final and cannot be appealed. If an asylum seeker receives a final rejection, he/she must leave Denmark immediately, but will be granted adequate time to prepare for departure. In this connection, authorities will show due consideration to a rejected asylum seeker who is suffering from acute illness, is in an advanced stage of pregnancy, or has given birth shortly before the final ruling. If a rejected asylum seeker refuses to leave Denmark voluntarily, it is the responsibility of the police to ensure his/her departure.	
		In general asylum seekers are at any time during the asylum procedure offered treatment. Newly arrived asylum seekers are offered an appointment with a Danish Red Cross nurse. Asylum seekers who have been subjected to torture receive consultations with a psychologist or psychiatrist and receive physiotherapy. In some cases the Danish Immigration Service has to approve the treatment.	

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		ratified the Convention on the rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), but is yet to accede to its Optional Protocol.	
		-Denmark lacks a genuine specialization in the area of rehabilitation in the health care system and does not promote development of basic and higher education of health professionals with regard to rehabilitation. Moreover, since the introduction of municipal reform in 2007, rehabilitation has been delegated to municipalities, where expertise is often lacking.	
		Government: Medical competence is an integral part of the medical specialty rheumatology. Medical doctors undergoing specialization in rheumatology need to acquire and demonstrate specific skills in treating patients in need of rehabilitation.	
		- The number of medical specialists in rheumatology is expected to increase by more than 50% until 2030, thus significantly increasing the availability of skilled medical practitioners in the Danish health care system.	
		Municipalities are responsible for providing the appropriate and necessary rehabilitation services to people in need of assistance. In recent years, the central authorities have launched a number of initiatives to support the municipalities in providing effective rehabilitation services locally, such as allocating ½ billion Danish kroner for services to people with chronic disease.	
		The following paragraphs should be inserted between the phrase "According to the practice of the Ministry, there is a possibility of granting a residence permit on	

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		humanitarian grounds based on the applicant's long stay in Denmark" and the phrase "Section 9 b, subsequent 1, of the Danish Aliens Act does not allow for granting humanitarian residence permits to groups of persons, as it is granted on the basis of a concrete assessment of a case":	
		"The fact that a person claims to have been exposed to torture cannot, according to the Ministry's practice, lead to the granting of a humanitarian residence permit. However, seriously mental or physical illness as a result of torture can form the basis for a humanitarian residence permit. The Ministry's practice regarding humanitarian residence permit based on a combination of a serious illness and torture is restrictive."	
(i)The Special Rapporteur recommends, as a priority for the Greenland Home Rule Government, that it develop and implement an adequately	High incidence of assault and sexual offences against women in Greenland: a study by the National Institute for Public Health showed that 60 per cent	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : According to an inquiry into the matter, in February 2009 a draft [National Strategi for sundhedsfremme og forebyggelse af vold og seksuelle overgreb] was expected "soon".	
esourced plan of action gainst domestic violence in Greenland in cooperation vith actors with relevant xperience, such as the Ainistry of Welfare and Gender Equality.	Government: The multi-faceted approach in the National Action Plan to combat domestic violence 2005-08 and the former action plan will continue as 35 million Danish kroner has been allocated to a new National Strategy to combat violence in intimate relations 2009-12. This strategy is currently being developed and the two main ambitions are to fully integrate the specific initiatives on partner violence in the existing support system and to improve prevention of		
	The Home Rule Government had committed to elaborating a "National strategy for prevention of rape, sexual harassment and assaults".	support system and to improve prevention of partner violence at all levels. The national strategy will ensure a continued focus on this problem, including among the public. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> On 1 September 2010, the Danish Minister of	

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
		Justice informed the Parliament about the Greenland Home Rule Government's intention to develop a strategy for prevention of sexual violence and rape.	
		Government: Within the framework of "A safe Childhood 2010", several initiatives are in progress, including:	
		- with a view of increasing the competence of the personnel at the crises centres, the personnel has started attending 6 training courses scheduled for 2010-2012.	
		- In 2010, the Government of Greenland increased the grants for the crises centres substantially.	
		- To address the widespread problem of violence among adolescents, the Ministry of Social Affairs is exploring the possibilities of cooperation with an NGO or foundation.	
		-In 2011, the Government of Greenland will pass the Children and Youth Strategy to the Parliament for its approval. The strategy includes such issues as failure of child care, violence and addiction.	
		-A range of initiatives have already been implemented under the public health programme "Inuuneritta", one of the focus areas of which is preventing violence and promoting sexual health:	
		- From 2009, educational courses called "Ready to raise a child" have been provided for all pregnant women and their husbands with a focus on preventing violence in the family.	
		- All 9th grade pupils are taught subject on family planning which includes, among others, debates on violence in relationships	

Recommendations (A/HRC/10/44/Add.2)	Situation during visit in 2008	Steps taken in previous years and (See A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2A)	Information received in the reporting period
· · · · · ·		and violence against children. From 2009, all pupils are obliged to take care of a "Real- Care-baby-dolls" for 48 hours.	
		- In 2011, a project on preventing unhealthy parenting will be implemented in all municipalities. An action plan for all families "at risk" is developed. Participants are offered treatment against abuse of alcohol and drugs, and are given courses about violence and the role of parents.	
		-At the community level, the focus on sexual health within the framework of Community- Based Participatory Research projects is on how to minimize sexual abuse against children.	
		- Educational local public health consultants lead and run local projects on violence and child abuse in all cities.	
		- Financial support was allocated to screen certain plays that provoke human suffering and are related to the consequences of domestic violence, suicide and sexual abuse.	
		- An anonymous phone-line operating for 2 hours per day has been established for children and youth.	
		- As a result of close cooperation between the public health programme and municipalities and schools, an initiative on crime prevention and violence among youth has been launched among children of 8th grade, offering them a weekly course called "Conversation instead of violence". These courses are offered by the police, held during school hours and include other initiatives that involve parents.	

Guinea Ecuatorial

Seguimiento a las recomendaciones del Relator Especial (Manfred Nowak) en su informe relativo a su visita a Guinea Ecuatorial del 9 al 18 de noviembre de 2008 (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)

18. El 22 de noviembre de 2011, el Relator Especial envió la tabla que se encuentra a continuación al Gobierno de Guinea Ecuatorial solicitando información y comentarios sobre las medidas adoptadas con respecto a la aplicación de sus recomendaciones El Relator Especial lamenta que el Gobierno no haya proporcionado una respuesta a su solicitud. Él espera recibir información en cuanto a sus esfuerzos para aplicar las recomendaciones, e informa de su disposición a ayudar al Estado en los esfuerzos para prevenir y combatir la tortura y los malos tratos.

19. El Relator Especial lamenta que el Gobierno no haya proporcionado información sobre el tema de la detención en secreto y le exhorta a hacerlo lo antes posible. Además, lamenta que la pena de muerte siga en vigor y reitera su preocupación al respecto.

20. Con relación a las condiciones de detención, el Relator Especial nota su preocupación sobre la falta de separación de mujeres y hombres así como de menores de edad y adultos, y por la falta de un sistema adecuado para el registro de las detenciones; el uso de aislamiento y otros medios de limitar el movimiento de los reclusos durante períodos prolongados. Considera recomendable que el Estado proporcione información a este respecto. Sin embargo, el Relator Especial toma nota sobre la rehabilitación y modernización de la cárcel pública de Malabo y Beta, y exhorta al Gobierno a seguir con esta labor en los demás centros de detención. Considera además un paso positivo el convenio firmado entre el Gobierno y el Comité Internacional de la Cruz Roja (CICR) que facilita visitas periódicas de los delegados del CIRCR a los centros penitenciarios ecuatoguineanos para verificar las condiciones físicas y psicológicas de los detenidos así como el trato que se les da.

21. El Relator Especial toma reitera su recomendación de otorgar a los inmigrantes detenidos todos los derechos de las personas privadas de libertad reconocidos en los instrumentos internacionales, incluido el derecho a ponerse en contacto con sus representaciones consulares.

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
para que Guinea Ecuatorial cumpla sus obligaciones en virtud del derecho internacional y su Constitución es indispensable realizar una amplia	manera general, no ha habido cambios institucionales significativos que permitan esperar una evolución positiva en el país.	
eforma institucional y legal para crear organos de aplicación de la ley basados en el estado de derecho, una judicatura ndependiente y mecanismos eficaces	En la sesión parlamentaria de marzo 2009 se estudió y aprobó el proyecto de reforma de la Ley Orgánica del Poder Judicial, que aporta algunos	
le supervisión y rendición de cuentas. Solo si se adoptan estas medidas podrá uplicarse la Ley Nº 6/2006 que, en principio, constituye una buena base	cambios en la administración de la Justicia, aunque sigue sin haber independencia entre los diferentes poderes del Estado.	
para prevenir y luchar eficazmente pontra la tortura.	Gobierno: Las disposiciones legales en materia de derechos humanos reconocen la responsabilidad del	
	estado por los daños y perjuicios que pudiera sufrir un ciudadano como consecuencia del funcionamiento	
	normal o anormal de las instituciones y órganos del Estados En materia de protección de los derechos del ciudadano, se ha creado	
	un mecanismo no jurisdiccional (que incluye el Departamento Encargado del Sector Social y Derechos Humanos	
	adscrito a la Presidencia del Gobierno, la Comisión Nacional de Derechos Humanos de la Cámara de los Representantes del pueblo y el Centro para la Promoción de Derechos	
	Humanos).	

El Gobierno aprobó la ley nº 6/2006

₽	
H	
R	
2	
19	
6	
1/2	
2	
d.	
(ب)	

	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4,	
Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	sobre la prevención y sanción de la	
	tortura cuyo objetivo esencial es	
	prevenir, prohibir y castigar con	
	carácter permanente los actos de	
	tortura y armonizar por consiguiente la	
	legislación nacional con el Derecho	
	Internacional. La ley prohíbe la tortura	
	y todos los tratos o penas crueles,	
	inhumanas o degradantes cometidos	
	por funcionario público u otra persona	
	actuando en ejercicio de funciones	
	oficiales o por instigación o con el	
	consentimiento o aquiescencia de tal	
	funcionario o persona. Establece la	
	responsabilidad civil del Estado para	
	el resarcimiento de todos los daños y	
	perjuicios resultantes de este crimen	
	contra la humanidad, ya sea contra la	
	victima o sus derechohabientes. La ley	
	prevé una pena de prisión menor de	
	seis meses y un día a seis años de	
	privación de libertad, multa de	
	trescientos mil F.Cfa. e inhabilitación	
	para el desempeño de cualquier cargo,	
	empleo o comisión publica por dos	
	tantos del lapso de privación de	
	libertad impuesta en sentencia. La ley	
	también es preventiva pues prevé que	
	el Gobierno llevará a cabo programas	
	de orientación y asistencia de la	
	población con la finalidad de vigilar la	
	exacta observancia de las garantías	
	individuales.	
	Guinea Ecuatorial también ha adherido	
	y ratificado (en Octubre de 2002), la	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	Convención Internacional contra la Tortura y Otros Tratos o Penas Crueles o Degradantes, formulando dos reservas (no acepta la competencia del Comité contra la Tortura, ni se siente obligado por el art. 30. par. 1: procedimiento de solución de controversias y aceptación de la jurisdicción de la Corte Internacional de Justicia).	
	La Republica de Guinea tiene la voluntad política firme e inequívoca de erradicar la tortura de su territorio así como la de la integración en el ordenamiento jurídico ecuatoguineano de los instrumentos internacionales en la materia.	
a) Aplicar las recomendaciones que iguran en el informe del Grupo de Trabajo sobre la Detención Arbitraria obre su visita a Guinea Ecuatorial A/HRC/7/4/Add.3, párr. 100). En particular, el Gobierno debería, con carácter urgente, poner fin a la letención en secreto; revisar el marco	<i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> No solo se siguen practicando detenciones secretas sino que se ejecuta a los secuestrados en países vecinos y detenidos en secreto en Guinea Ecuatorial. El 21 de agosto fueron ejecutados media hora después de leerse la	
legal penal del país, con miras a aplicar las normas mínimas internacionales, incluida la introducción de un procedimiento eficaz de hábeas corpus; reformar la judicatura para hacerla independiente; y permitir el funcionamiento independiente de las organizaciones de la sociedad civil.	sentencia condenatoria cuatro ciudadanos ecuatoguineanos después de un juicio sumario. Los cuatro habrían sido secuestrados en Nigeria, llevados clandestinamente a Guinea Ecuatorial en enero 2010 y detenidos en Black Beach, donde sufrieron torturas y malos tratos. Las autoridades guineanas nunca reconocieron su presencia en Black	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	Beach. Existe una Ley de Habeas Corpus en Guinea Ecuatorial que nadie respeta (como pasa con todas las leyes del país).	
	Gobierno : La ley del Poder Judicial (5/2009) establece un nuevo organigrama para el Poder Judicial, con una Corte Suprema de Justicia, Audiencias Provinciales, Juzgados de Vigilancia Penitenciaria; Magistratura de trabajo, Juzgado de familia y tutelares de menores, Juzgados de Primera Instancia, Juzgados de Instrucción, Tribunales de lo tradicional y Juzgados de Paz. La misma ley también prevé el recurso de casación contra las sentencias de la jurisdicción militar.	
	El Tribunal Constitucional ocupa un lugar crucial en tanto que controlador del respeto, en el marco de cualquier proceso judicial, gubernativo o administrativo, de las exigencias constitucionales en materia de derechos humanos y libertades publicas. El artículo 218 establece la sumisión funcional de las fuerzas del orden público de la policía judicial a los órganos del Poder Judicial y del Ministerio Público.	
	El Gobierno prevé la adopción de una nueva ley penitenciaria que regulará el	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	funcionamiento de los Juzgados de	
	Vigilancia Penitenciaria (creados por Ley del poder Judicial 5/09),	
	encargados de asegurar y controlar el	
	cumplimiento de las penas. A estos	
	juzgados compete controlar las penas	
	privativas de libertad, el control	
	jurisdiccional de la potestad	
	disciplinaria de las autoridades penitenciarias y el amparo de los	
	derechos y beneficios de los reclusos.	
	Ello supondrá el sometimiento a	
	revisión y el control jurisdiccional del	
	conjunto de las actuaciones que	
	puedan darse en el cumplimiento de las penas.	
b) Separar a las mujeres de los	Las mujeres no estaban separadas de	
hombres en todos los lugares de	los hombres adultos en las prisiones ni	
detención.	en los lugares de detención de la	
	policía y la gendarmería.	
	Fuentes no gubernamentales: Esta	
	práctica no se ha reformado.	
(c) Tener en cuenta la recomendación n) del Grupo de Trabajo de que	No había separación alguna entre adultos y menores de edad.	
introduzca un sistema de justicia juvenil		
y asegure la estricta separación entre		
nenores y adultos		
d) Introducir un sistema de registro		
decuado de las detenciones policiales		
en cierto modo, los registros de la gendarmería pueden servir de ejemplo)		
establecer un sistema de registro		
adecuado en las prisiones.		
(e) Formular un reglamento	Las políticas de visita variaban entre	
transparente que permita visitas	lugares de detención, desde políticas	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4) familiares regulares en todos los lugares de detención (f) Reducir al mínimo la utilización del régimen de aislamiento10 y se abstenga de usar grilletes y demás medios de limitación de los movimientos. (g) Mejorar las condiciones de los centros de detención de la policía y la gendarmería, en particular proporcionando comida y agua potable, y asegurando que los detenidos tengan acceso a atención médica, inodoros e instalaciones sanitarias.	 (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) muy permisivas hasta la prohibición de las mismas. Se utilizaba el aislamiento durante períodos prolongados de hasta cuatro años y los detenidos llevaban grilletes en los tobillos prácticamente todo el tiempo. El Relator observó hacinamiento, celdas en condiciones deplorables, húmedas y sucias, en algunos casos en una obscuridad total, sin acceso a alimentos ni agua suficiente, sin acceso médico, y sin la posibilidad en algunos casos de ducharse o hacer ejercicio. Gobierno: el Gobierno ha rehabilitado y modernizado la cárcel pública de 	Información recibida en el periodo reportado Gobierno: el Gobierno ha rehabilitado y modernizado la cárcel púb de Malabo, y está rehabilitando la de Beta a fin de que la pena privativa de libertad se desarrolle en un marco de respeto a la digni y a la preservación de la salud de los penados. El Gobierno también firmó un convenio con el Comité Internaciona de la Cruz Roja por el cual sus delegados visitan periódicamente to los centros penitenciarios ecuatoguineanos con el objeto de verifica las condiciones físicas y psicológicas de los detenidos así como el trato que se les da. En materia de prevención de la tortura, la Ley contra la Tortura pre la profesionalización de los cuerpos policiales y otros uniformados, como la de los servicios que participen en la custodia y tratamiento toda persona sometida a arresto, detención o prisión.

	Situación durante la visita	
	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.4,	
Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	policiales y otros uniformados, así	
	como la de los servicios que participen	
	en la custodia y tratamiento de toda	
	persona sometida a arresto, detención	
	o prisión.	
(h) De conformidad con las	Las condiciones eran aún peores que	
conclusiones del Grupo de Trabajo	las de los ecuatoguineanos, con poco o	
evitar, cuando sea posible, detener a los	ningún acceso a alimentos y agua, y	
extranjeros y otorgue a los inmigrantes	limitadas posibilidades para establecer	
detenidos todos los derechos de las	contacto con los representantes	
	consulares de sus países.	
personas privadas de libertad reconocidos en los instrumentos		
	Fuentes no gubernamentales: Un	
internacionales, incluido el derecho a	Proyecto de Ley Reguladora del	
ponerse en contacto con sus	Derecho de Extranjería en Guinea	
representaciones consulares.	Ecuatorial fue estudiado y aprobado en	
	las sesiones parlamentarias del 16 de	
	marzo de 2010. Sin embargo la	
	situación real de los extranjeros no ha	
	cambiado.	
	Gobierno: Existe la ley 1/2004, de 14	
	de septiembre, sobre el tráfico ilícito	
	de emigrantes y trata de personas. En	
	general, la legislación prevé igual	
	tratamiento y acceso a la jurisdicción	
	de las personas físicas y la prohibición	
	de discriminación.	
(i) Abstenerse de practicar la detención	El Relator Especial recibió varias	
en secreto y los secuestros en los países		
vecinos.	5	
	Fuentes no gubernamentales: Esta	
	práctica sigue en vigor.	
(j) Abolir la pena de muerte.	Establecida en la Constitución y en el	
	Código Penal.	
	Fuentes no gubernamentales: La	
	pena de muerte sigue en vigor en	
	pena de muerte sigue en vigor en	

₽	
H	
R	
2	
19	
6	
1	
d.	
č	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.4, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado	
	Guinea Ecuatorial, como lo indicó el		
	Gobierno durante la revisión bajo el		
	Examen Periódico Universal. El		
	Presidente Obiang lo confirmó en su		
	discurso del 1º de septiembre de 2010		
	en el Parlamento.		
(77) Por lo que hace a la comunidad	Fuentes no gubernamentales:		
internacional, el Relator Especial	Algunas empresas transnacionales		
observa que, a raíz del descubrimiento de reservas considerables de petróleo en	subvencionan becas de estudios para		
el territorio de Guinea Ecuatorial,	los hijos de grandes dirigentes y emplean a agentes del régimen y		
muchas empresas transnacionales están			
operando en el país. Asimismo, varios	deseadas.		
donantes bilaterales y multilaterales	deseddus.		
están ejecutando programas de			
asistencia técnica, también en las			
esferas del mantenimiento del orden y			
la administración de justicia. El Relator			
Especial invita a los actores de la			
comunidad internacional presentes en el			
país a que tengan en cuenta que el			
Relator Especial ha constatado que la			
policía practica la tortura			
sistemáticamente, y velen por que, en			
sus actividades e iniciativas conjuntas,			
no sean cómplices de violaciones de la			
prohibición de la tortura y los malos			
tratos.			

Georgia

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Georgia in February 2005

(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3, paras. 60-62)

22. By letter dated 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Georgia, requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations. He expresses his gratitude to the Government for providing detailed information on steps taken during the reporting period.

23. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention following the country visit to Georgia from 15 to 24 June 2011, and the report of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT), on its visit to Georgia carried out from 5 to 15 February 2010.

24. The Special Rapporteur is encouraged by efforts made by the Government to reduce the risk of ill-treatment and excessive use of force by the police at the time of apprehension, interrogation and transfer of detainees. He calls upon the Government to strengthen the procedural safeguards during the arrest, interrogation and transfer of detainees by inter alia ensuring proper registration of suspects at the time of apprehension and access to a lawyer of their choice.

25. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the Action Plan for the Prevention of Torture and other Cruel or Degrading Treatment or Punishment in Georgia and the objectives set therein for the period of 2011-2013, takes note of the establishment of Inter-Agency Coordinating Council Combating Ill-treatment (The Council), its new Strategy on Fight against Ill-treatment and looks forward to receiving its respective Action Plan and Evaluation Report for 2010-2011.

26. The Special Rapporteur remains concerned about the low number of initiated criminal prosecutions of cases of torture and other ill-treatment allegedly committed by public officials implicated in colluding on, or ignoring evidence of, torture or ill-treatment and expresses concern that their names have neither been disclosed to the public nor to the Public Defender. The Special Rapporteur highlights the importance of ensuring public acknowledgment of the plight of the victims, including the facts and acceptance of responsibility and public apology.

27. It is imperative to expedite prompt, impartial and thorough investigations into allegations of torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment and launch timely prosecutions and conclude them without delay, where the evidence warrants it. ²⁷

28. The Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that detainees refrain from filing complaints out of fear of reprisal. There is no protection afforded by the State to victims of torture. He calls on the Government to introduce independent, effective and accessible complaints mechanisms within all places of detention by installing telephone hotlines or confidential complaints boxes and ensure that complainants do not suffer any reprisal.

²⁷ See also para 98.e A/HRC/19/57/Add.2, 27 January 2012. Report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, Mission to Georgia.

29. The Special Rapporteur notes with appreciation the measures envisaged under the new Code on Imprisonment of October 2010, to address the issues pertinent to prison overcrowding, and encourages the Government to further improve the effectiveness of existing alternatives to pre-trial detention and consider the introduction of new alternatives through encouraging the use of non-custodial measures such as bail, reporting to a police station, or house arrest.

30. The Special Rapporteur calls on the Government to take steps to ensure that medical staff in places of detention is independent, by transferring them from the Ministry of Corrections and Legal Assistance to the Ministry of Health and provide training for the forensic medical services in the medical investigation of torture and other forms of ill-treatment.

31. The Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that the NPM has reportedly been unable to oversee all places of detention comprehensively. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to ensure budgetary allocations and equip it with sufficient human and other resources to ensure its effective functioning in accordance with the OPCAT.

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
Anti-torture Action Plan and criminal justice reform.		The Anti-Torture Action Plan was adopted on 12 June 2008 by Presidential Decree 301; On 13 December 2008, the President of Georgia signed Decree No. 591 creating the Criminal Justice Reform Inter-Agency Coordinating Council. Its main objectives are: To elaborate relevant recommendations regarding the Criminal Justice Reform in line with the principles of the rule of law and the protection of human rights; To review and periodically revise the existing Criminal Justice Reform Strategy; To coordinate intergovernmental activities in the elaboration of the Criminal Justice Reform Strategy; and	Government: The Code of Administrative Offences envisages administrative detention as a sanction for violation of relevant provisions of the respective Code. Article 32 defines that administrative detention shall be applied to only as a last resort in cases explicitly defined by the Code for the term of up to 90 days. Only judge of relevant city (district court) is entitled to sentence person to an administrative detention. In 2011, the Parliament of Georgia has prepared new draft Code on Administrative Offences, with a view to ensuring its greater conformity with international human rights standards.
		To elaborate proposals and recommendations regarding issues related to penal reform, probation, juvenile justice and legal aid. The members of the Council are high level governmental representatives (deputy ministers and heads of relevant services); members of the Judiciary, and the Public Defender of Georgia. Membership is open to representatives of international organizations and non-	The team of experts revised existing Draft Code in line with the proposed recommendations. The draft Code will be submitted for further expertise to the Council of Europe in the upcoming weeks. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, the maximum period of punishment for an administrative offence has increased with three months. Since the Code of Imprisonment does not apply to detention
		governmental organizations, as well as to criminal justice system experts. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The Strategy of Criminal Justice System	in temporary police isolators, administrative detainees seem to be practically deprived of any legal safeguards and rights, such as regular contact with the outside world. In addition

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Reform was approved by the Parliament and the Government. The Government elaborated and approved the Action Plan for the Implementation of the Criminal Justice Reforms but most goals set by the strategy paper have not been achieved.	the possibility to lodge an appeal against an order of administrative detention is no duly followed and implemented in practice; and compensation for illegally imposed detention has reportedly never been awarded.
		Government: Regarding the Anti-torture Action Plan, two Working Groups have been established: one related to public awareness measures and a second one for the preparation of the new Action Plan envisaged for the next 2-3 years. The revised Criminal Justice Reform Strategy incorporates a specific chapter on juvenile justice and on probation. The Criminal Justice Reform Inter-Agency Council ('the Council') has created four Working Groups (juvenile justice, penal system reform, probation and legal aid) which are to elaborate recommendations and conduct field studies in order to adapt the Strategy and the Action Plan of the Criminal Justice Reform. - The Council has entrusted its secretariat to monitor the implementation of the Strategy and the related Action Plans on a permanent basis. The respective reports prepared by the Secretariat will be publicly available. - A draft Code on Imprisonment was elaborated, which (1) provides for a complaint procedure for prisoners (draft article 99); (2) provides that a complaint related to the allegation of torture or	

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(a) The highest authorities, particularly those responsible for law enforcement activities, declare unambiguously that the culture of impunity must end and that torture and ill treatment by public officials will not be tolerated and will be subject to prosecution.	No equivocal condemnation of torture.	 inhuman or degrading treatment is a case of special importance, which has to be immediately reviewed; (3) establishes a mechanism for disciplinary proceedings within the penitentiary institution, which can be appealed before an court of ordinary jurisdiction; (4) considers the possibility of a twice a year short-term leave from a semi-closed custodial establishment; and (5) introduces Parole boards/Commissions for conditional release. Prosecution Service and police publish information regularly; A Manual containing clearer guidelines on the modalities of the use of force and subjecting the use of force to a stricter review has been elaborated. Impunity for perpetrators of killings of seven detainees and physical injury of at least 17 during suppression of a riot. Government: In 2009, investigations were initiated in 11 allegations of torture under Article 144 para. 1 (Torture) of the Criminal Code that were allegedly committed by public officials. Two of these cases were closed, while the others are in progress. Two investigations are ongoing into the allegations of ill-treatment under Article 144 para. 3 (Degrading or Inhumane Treatment) of the Criminal Code allegedly committed by the public officials/servants. 	Government: The Inter-agency Coordinating Council against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (the III-treatment Council) established in 2007 has elaborated first Action Plan and monitored its two year of implementation. In 2010, the Council adopted a new Strategy on Fight against III-treatment and its respective Action Plan. The new Strategy prioritizes development of effective complaint procedure for persons deprived of liberty; development of prompt, impartial and effective investigation of all allegations of ill-treatment; protection, compensation and rehabilitation of victims of ill-treatment; improvement of internal and external monitoring systems for early detection and prevention of ill-treatment in detention facilities, capacity building of relevant state and other institutions. The evaluation report for 2010-2011 will be published at Council's website both in Georgian and in English languages. During the Reporting period Council

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			 closely cooperated with the Council of Europe (CoE) Committee on the Prevention of Torture and the CoE regional Project "Combating Ill-treatment and Impunity". According to the Report to the Government on the visit to Georgia carried out by the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture or inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment from 4 to 15 February 2010, no cases of torture have been revealed during the committee' examination. In addition, the CPT has also noted 80% decrease in number of ill-treatment cases from the police in course of last five years. The Criminal Justice Reform (CJR) InterAgency Coordination Council, which was created in 2008, continues its activities in the criminal justice sphere. The Government periodically drafts progress reports on the implementation of the reforms within the framework of the criminal justice reform strategy and action plans. The progress reports are published on the webpage of the Ministry of Justice of Georgia. The information about the ongoing reforms is publicly available at the Council's website. Within the framework of the Criminal Justice Prevention about the ongoing legislative acts were adopted: the new Criminal Procedure Code of Georgia, New Imprisonment Code, the Juvenile Justice Prevention Strategy and the Prison Overcrowding Concept. Currently, the CJR Council works on the revision of the existing

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			Criminal Code of Georgia and adoption of the new Code on Administrative Offences
			In 2011, investigation was commenced in relation to approximately 10 cases of ill- treatment in the penitentiary establishmen No. 8 in Gldani, No. 15 in Ksani and Medical Establishment No. 18 in Gldani. Investigations in these cases are ongoing.
			On 4 March 2011, the Prosecutor's Office of Georgia commenced investigation on the suicide case of the prisoner Z.O. based on Article 115 of the Criminal Code of Georgia. The investigation is currently underway.
			In cooperation with Public Defenders Office the adapted versions of the lists of procedural rights for persons with administrative and criminal charges has been elaborated and translated into English, Russian, Azeri and Armenian languages. Since March 2011, these lists in five languages (including Georgian) are provided in all temporary detention isolators, displayed in the form of posters at visible places (at cells, rooms of investigation) and corresponding version is handed to each detainee upon apprehension. The list also contains Hot lines of General Inspection which is in charge of revealing and sanctioning any violation of ethics and discipline in the Ministry, as well as any fact of poor professional performance and wrongdoing

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			by the police officers.
			<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Due to recerpolice reforms and increased professionalization, noticeable improvements have been achieved in relation to eradicating torture during police interrogations and police detention
			Reportedly, there are persistent accounts of torture and other forms of ill-treatment of detainees within the penitentiary system. The ill-treatment consists mostly of beatings, but also of other forms of humiliation, such as insults and provocations. In addition, "telefono" (slamming the ears with both hands) and the hanging upside-down are reportedly used as torture methods in prison. Newly arrived detainees are reportedly subjected to a "welcoming beating" after being transferred to prison. According to some sources, there was an average of one fata beating per year in prison. In late March 2011, one detainee had reportedly died of his severe wounds inflicted on him by prison guards in Gldani Prison No. 8. Reportedly, the situation of torture and il treatment vary between different facilitie The facilities most often referred to in thi connection are Gldani Prison No. 8 and Prison No. 15 in Ksani. Both the administration of prisons and the prison guards are allegedly involved in abuses o detainees. In addition, special task forces both at the central penitentiary departmer in the Ministry of Corrections and Legal

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			Assistance (MCLA) and in the prisons, are refereed to repeatedly in connection with ill-treatment of prisoners.
(b) Judges and prosecutors routinely ask persons brought from police custody how they have been treated, and even in the absence of a formal complaint from the defendant, order an independent medical examination.	Not in place.	CPC para. 73(f) states that a medical examination is an absolute right that can neither be denied nor restricted. Article 73(f) refers to medical expertise (needed for the determination of important factual circumstances of a case), which is subject to a court decision; Article 922 of the Law on Imprisonment of 23 June 2005 requires a medical examination after every transfer;	Despite prisoners' complaints alleging torture and inhuman or degrading treatment, criminal cases are reportedly launched under Art. 333 of the Criminal Code (CC) (exceeding official powers) instead of Article 144.1 (torture) and Art. 144.3 (inhuman or degrading treatment) of the CC. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The newly introduced adversarial system has reportedly brought about an increased indifference of the judiciary <i>vis-à-vis</i> complaints or signs of ill-treatment of defendants before court. Reportedly, there were deficiencies both in law and in practice regarding the crucial role of the judiciary in combating torture, including in relation to shifting the burden of proof and the inadmissibility of evidence obtained by torture.
		CPC article 263, provides that, if information recorded upon routine medical examination shows that a prisoner has injuries, the prosecutor can initiate a preliminary investigation, even in the absence of allegations from the detainee; Internal Guidelines of the Prosecutor General regarding Preliminary Investigation into allegations of torture, inhuman and degrading treatment of 7	Under the current legal system, full medical examinations are reportedly no longer mandatory at the time of entry to the penitentiary but only take place upon request; persons entering prison are only screened for obvious injuries or diseases. The medical staff at prisons is employed by the MCLA and is reportedly under considerable pressure of the prison administration; thus, their ability to do their work independently is seriously challenged.

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		October 2005 require the automatic opening of a case if reports on torture are received and fix maximum delays for preliminary investigations.	Under the new Criminal Procedure Cod (CPC) in force since October 2010, the right of a defendant envisaged under Ar 75 (f) of the old Code remained unchanged.
			The new CPC does not envisage obligation of a judge to ask a defendant about physical injuries even if the injurie are visible. Only Art. 212 of the new CP provides that the judge before approving plea bargaining shall make sure that the defendant was not subjected to torture, inhuman and/or degrading treatment. In majority of administrative cases brought before the court, the detainees suffered from numerous visible injuries a result of being beaten up by police, however, the judges examining their cass under the Code of administrative Offences, never inquired about the reaso of those physical injuries. Even when lawyers ask judges to indicate in the protocol that the detainees had physical injuries, reportedly in none of those case was investigation launched on the basis this information.
			Similarly, in several criminal cases, eve when the defendants indicated in the con- that the confession was extracted by torture and ill-treatment, no investigatio was initiated and judges did not inquire further details. Reportedly, neither the current CPC nor
			the Code of Administrative Offences envisage obligation of a judge to inquir

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(c) All allegations of torture and ill-treatment be promptly and thoroughly investigated by an independent authority with no connection to that which is investigating or prosecuting the case against the alleged victim.	No mechanism to conduct such investigations independently.	Human Rights Protection Units exist in the Office of the Prosecutor General and the Ministry of the Interior; however they are not independent; both agencies also have General Inspection Units in charge of ensuring internal discipline (see below); According to CPC article 62, any crime committed by a policeman shall be investigated by the Investigative Unit of the Prosecution Service; therefore, investigating officials are not from the same service as those who are subject of the investigation; A Decree of the Penitentiary Department of 7 August 2006 requires every member of the Special Task Force to have identification insignia consisting of four numbers on his/her uniform; Ministerial Order of 19 February 2007 para. 1 requires heads of territorial and structural units to ensure that every person in their subordination, who carries out investigative activities in connection with a specific criminal case and has direct access to detainees, shall be identifiable; the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Georgia is seeking to improve the system of identification numbers. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Prisoners of	and /or inform the investigative authorities if a defendant and /or detainee has physical injuries. Government: According to the new Code on Imprisonment, in case of violation of the human rights of inmates, the convict/pre-trial has the right to file a complaint against the staff of the penitentiary establishment. A pre- trial/convict's lawyer, legal representative or close relative has the right to file a complaint as well, if they have a reasonable doubt about violation of an inmate 's rights or if health condition of an inmate does not allow him/her to file a complaint personally. An inmate is also authorized to file a confidential complaint. The complaint boxes are placed in every penitentiary establishment, and are accessible for all inmates. According to the Code on Imprisonment, complaints on torture, inhuman and degrading treatment are considered as a special case and shall be reviewed immediately with due respect of confidentiality. In 2010 and 2011, the Penitentiary Department of MCLA proactively published and distributed 40, 000 and 50, 000 complain forms and envelopes for the prisoners that also included information about their rights and procedure for filing a complaint. The investigative jurisdiction of the Prosecutor's Office extends to all crimes if they are committed by public officials. In

≥	
H	
R	
2	
9	
6	
1	
d	
2	
ŝ	

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Gldani Prison are subject to systematic beatings. Cases where excessive force had allegedly been used, and which led to death in custody were not investigated.	addition, for the interest of justice, the Chief Prosecutor of Georgia has the authority, on ad-hoc basis, to re-allocate investigation of the criminal case from o prosecutorial jurisdiction to the other,
		Government: In 2008, preliminary investigations were initiated under article 118 of the Criminal Code ('Less serious	excluding any bias in investigation of the ill-treatment case by the prosecution against public officials.
		damage to health on purpose'), in 21 cases of allegations at Gldani prison, of which 5 were closed and 16 cases are ongoing. In one case, a preliminary investigation was opened under article 333 of the Criminal Code ('Exceeding	Under Article 100 of the Criminal Procedure Code, investigator or prosecur are required to promptly initiate investigation once they receive information regarding the crime.
		Official Powers'). In 2009, preliminary investigations were initiated in 18 cases under article 118, of which 5 were closed, while 13 cases are still ongoing. Preliminary investigations were initiated in one case under article 333 of the Criminal Code.	In 2011, investigation was commenced in 23 cases under Article 144.1 (torture), or of which in 6 cases investigation was halted and 3 cases reached prosecution. During the same period, out of 5 investigations commenced under Article 144.3 (inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment); 2 investigations were halted and 1 case reached prosecution.
			<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Reportedly no investigation has been carried out inte the allegations of ill-treatment by the police of participants of the peaceful demonstration of 15 June 2009. Despite numerous complaints submitted to the prosecutor's office about cases of ill- treatment while in detention and afterwards, no investigation has been carried out. Several police officers have reportedly been given disciplinary

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			of Ethics; however, the names of those subjected to disciplinary penalties have never been disclosed to the public and to the office of the Public Defender.
			Reportedly, 83 cases of ill-treatment by the police had been reported in 2009; none of which had allegedly happened in isolators, but during arrest, interrogations and transfer of detainees. Investigations and prosecutions into allegations of torture are reportedly neither effective nor prompt. Allegations of ill- treatment are frequently ignored and victims not questioned. One apparent obstacle to prompt and effective investigations seems to lie in timely obtaining forensic evidence of the alleged ill-treatment.
			The Forensic Bureau, which has formerly been under the Ministry of Justice, has recently become an independent legal entity. However, reportedly the Bureau only has old equipment at its disposal; its doctors are well trained but under a lot of pressure from the prosecutors. Furthermore, access to an independent forensic examination is almost impossible to obtain. There is also lack of skills to investigate cases of ill-treatment, inconclusive medical evidence and lengthy forensic examinations.
			Prosecutors have their own investigators a their disposal or can in certain cases use

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			the services of the police. The police and the prosecution are perceived by many stakeholders as not independent.
			The new CPC does not regulate the jurisdiction of the investigative bodies over investigating the crime. It is now regulated under Article 2 of the Decree # 178 of the Ministry of Justice, dated 29 September 2010, which is mostly similar to what was stated in Art 62 of the old CPC. However, in practice there are cases, when this requirement is not fulfilled. Crimes, which might involve responsibility of a police officer, to certain extent (most important investigative measures are conducted), if not fully, is investigated by the investigators of Ministry of Interior, the same Ministry to which the police subordinates.
			Reportedly, prisoners reporting ill- treatment in penitentiary institutions to the NPM members usually refuse to apply for opening investigation on those facts, as they allegedly do not want to have problems with the prison administration. According to non-governmental sources, in 2008 five cases under Art 144.1 of the CC (torture) were examined by the first instance court and nine under Art 144.3 (inhuman and degrading treatment). In 2009, no cases have been examined by the national courts under Art 144.1, and only one case was examined under Art 144.3.

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(d) Plea bargain agreements entered into by accused persons are without prejudice to criminal proceedings they may institute against allegations of torture and other ill-treatment.		Amendments to the CC along with Internal Guidelines of the Prosecutor General regarding Preliminary Investigation into allegations of torture, inhuman and degrading treatment adopted on 7 October 2005 introduced a number of safeguards, notably supervision by a judge and presence of a defence lawyer; The guidelines also provide that no plea agreements should be used with respect to victims of torture and/or with respect to persons accused of torture, threat to torture and inhumane and degrading treatment. No legal-administrative act regulating plea agreement proceedings exists within the Office of the Prosecutor General; however, the Prosecutor has issued Internal Guidelines of a recommendatory character as an authoritative guideline for prosecutors in accordance with recommendations by international experts.	Government: The new Criminal Procedure Code (CPC), 2010, is based on a number of fundamental principles, such as the independence of judiciary, adversarial proceedings and the jury trials It provides several important safeguards against torture and ill-treatment, upholds the impermissibility to influence the freedom of the will of a person by means of torture, violence, cruel treatment, as well as by means of affecting the memory or mental state of a person (Article 4.2.). Under Article 100 of the CPC, investigato or prosecutor are required to promptly initiate investigation once they receive information regarding the crime. At the same time, Georgian legal framework ensures independent and effective investigation into the facts of torture and ill-treatment if committed by the policeman. Under the new CPC, it is prohibited to enter into plea agreement which limits the defendant's constitutionally guaranteed right to request prosecution of relevant people in cases of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment (Article 210.5.). Before approving plea agreement, the court must get confirmation from the defendant that torture, inhuman or degrading treatment was not exercised on the defendant from the police or other law enforcement agency. The judge must in addition inform the defendant that should

the defendant decide to file a complaint about being subjected to torture, inhuman

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			or degrading treatment, this will not hold up the plea agreement which was concluded in compliance with the law (Article 212.4.)
			<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Detainees are often afraid to complain about alleged torture and ill-treatment out of fear of reprisals, negative consequences for their possibility to enter into a plea bargaining agreement or reverse effects on parole.
			Reportedly, retaliation for complaints of torture and ill-treatment by prison staff takes various forms, including in many cases, persons complaining of abuses being put under a stricter regime or threatened that their situation was going to be worsened in case they complained. The impediments to complain were reportedly graver in the prison system. The practice of plea bargaining in Georgia, where some 80% of all convictions are reportedly plea bargained and the acquittal rate stands at the extremely low rate of 0.01%, has an adverse effect on the launching of complaints in case of ill-treatment by the police.
(e) Forensic medical services be under judicial or another independent authority, not under the same governmental authority as the police and the penitentiary system. Public forensic medical services should not have a monopoly	Forensic services were part of the police/penitentiary services.	On 31 October 2008 the Parliament of Georgia adopted the Law on a Legal Entity of Public Law "Levan Samkharauli National Bureau of Judicial Expertise", which entered into force on 1 January 2009 and creates the National Bureau as an independent legal entity of public law, rather than an institutional part of the	Government: According to Article 38, paragraphs 2 and 9 of the new CPC, the medical examination is guaranteed for every individual upon arrest or detention. According to Article 144 (1) of the Code, the medical expertise may be requested by the party of the case, if the possible outcomes of the expertise are important

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
 on expert forensic evidence for judicial purposes. (f) Any public official indicted for abuse or torture, including prosecutors and judges implicated in colluding in or ignoring evidence, be immediately suspended from duty pending trial, and prosecuted. 	None	 to carry out remunerated activities as noted in its statute. Government: No special license requirement is envisaged for forensic medical expertise services. Under the present legislation, forensic expertise can be carried out by a medical institution with a relevant medical license. Any person having completed higher medical education and owning a state certificate in forensic medicine can work as a forensic expert. There is a right to conduct alternative forensic medical expertise bureaus exist in the country, including one public legal entity and other private ones. Article 183 CPC provides that a suspect can be suspended from duty by a judge if some pre-conditions are fulfilled. Para. 1(4) of the Anti-Torture Action Plan 	enhancement of professionalism of Samkharauli Medical Forensic Agency staff in accordance with the Istanbul Protocol. Government: In relation to the case of ill- treatment of a prisoner R.P. in the Penitentiary Establishment No. 2 in

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(g) Victims receive substantial compensation and adequate medical treatment and rehabilitation.	No mechanism in place	CPC article 30(1) provides that a person harmed by any crime can attach a civil action for compensation to a criminal case with CPC article 33(4) containing a safeguard ensuring the protection of the best interests of the victims; CPC article 33(4), which provides that the failure to identify the perpetrator is not a hindrance for a victim to bring an action before the civil courts on the basis of state liability, came into force on 1 January 2007; CPC articles 219-229 deal with compensation for damages sustained as a result of illegal actions by law- enforcement organs. Campaigns aimed to raise awareness are foreseen by para. 5(3) of the Anti-Torture Action Plan; the latter also contains detailed provisions on adequate medical treatment and rehabilitation. Non-governmental sources: The major goal of the criminal justice reform is to create conditions for the rehabilitation and re-integration of convicts, an aim set by article 39 of the Criminal Code of Georgia. At the moment, no measures are in place to ensure such re-integration.	 a. Preparation for release program b. Psychiatric health program c. Employment opportunities for prisoners d. Contact with outside world e. Education f. Community Service Victims of ill-treatment/excessive use of force have enforceable right to compensation for non-pecuniary damages Article 92 of the CPC foresees opportunit to initiate civil/administrative procedure to request a compensation for damages suffered during the criminal proceedings or as a result of an unlawful court decision. In 2009, compensation was

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(h) Necessary measures be taken to establish and ensure the independence of the judiciary in the performance of their duties in conformity with international standards (e.g. the Basic Principles on the Independence of the Judiciary). Measures should also be taken to ensure respect for the principle of the equality of arms between the	Not respected in practice.	 2008, the victim applied to the Administrative Chamber of the Tbilisi City Court, which accorded the person in 9000 GEL (approx. 5280 USD). Rehabilitation programmes are provided by a non-profit, non-governmental organization, which offers professional medical, social and psychological services to the victims and their family members. Activities are conducted in centre's facilities and through outreach programmes. Reform in line with the Criminal Law Reform Strategy and the Government's Action Plan to be completed in early 2009. Its guiding principles are: Strengthened independence and impartiality of the judiciary; Improve social guarantees for judges as well as non-judicial staff in the judiciary; improved training for both categories; Systemic reorganization of the judiciary ensuring effectiveness and efficiency of the whole judicial process; 	Government : Under the 11.07.2007 N 5279 amendment to the Law of Georgia on the Disciplinary Responsibility and Disciplinary Prosecution of Judges of Common Courts of Georgia, article 2 was reformulated to provide more specific grounds for disciplinary proceedings against judges. Special measures have been undertaken for strengthening guarantees of impartiality and independence of judges.
prosecution and the defence in criminal proceedings.		 Development of infrastructure for the judiciary including construction of new buildings and the provision of necessary technical equipment; and Reform of established court/case management systems. Constitutional amendments were introduced in December 2007 to minimize the authority of the President in the judicial system; the High Council of Justice appoints and dismisses judges; the 	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> 1. Formation of the High Council of Justice: reportedly, politicization of the High Council members appointed by the President and the Parliament is not excluded; only the head of the Supreme Court of Georgia (the SC) can nominate judges for the membership to the High Council of Justice. Although, the judges to be nominated by the head of the SC are elected by the Assembly of Judges, in the

≥	
H	
R	
2	
19	
6	
5	
d	
d.	
ω	

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 Chairman of the Supreme Court of Georgia chairs the meetings of the High Council; 2007 Law on the "Rules of Communication with Judges of General Courts of Georgia"; Revision of the Code of Judicial Ethics to ensure compliance with the European Standards of Judges' Ethical Behaviour adopted by the Conference of Judges on 20 October 2007; A competitive selection process for judges is conducted periodically by the High Council of Justice; training improved, salaries raised; Illegal decisions by judges were decriminalized by law; amendments to the Law on "Disciplinary responsibilities of judges of common courts of Georgia" of 19 July 2007 make explicit that wrongful interpretation of the law based on intimate convictions of the judge cannot form the basis for disciplinary proceedings and the judge cannot be prosecuted for such conduct; On 10 October 2008, amendments to the Constitution of Georgia merged the Prosecution Service with the Ministry of Justice; a new Law on the Prosecution Service, adopted on 21 October 2008, incorporated the prosecution service in the Ministry of Justice; the Chief Prosecutor is nominated by the President. 	court in Tbilisi can be transferred without any justification and time-limit to any other district, city and/or appellate court in any other region of the country. This mechanism is frequently used as punishment and/or reward. 4. Reportedly, there is no objective criteria set by the law for giving benefits to judges, which leads to the subjective decisions taken in this regard. 5. Grounds for disciplinary responsibilities under the law are too broad, which might lead to subjecting judges to disciplinary sanctions for a minor misdoing; this is particularly striking because the procedure of disciplinary responsibility of the judges is secret and cannot be disclosed to anyone; after the reform no one is familiar with the details of its implementation in

	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(j) Non-violent offenders be removed from confinement in pre-trial detention facilities, subject to non-custodial measures (i.e. guarantees to appear for trial, at any other stage of the judicial proceeding, and should occasion arise, for execution of the judgement) (i) and Recourse to pre-trial detention in the Criminal Procedure Code be restricted, particularly for non-violent, minor or less serious offences, and the application of non-custodial measures such as bail and recognizance be increased.		 The Prosecutor General issued Internal Guidelines dated 26 January 2007 promoting the application of non-custodial measures in particular bail; CPC article 159 holds that detention as a measure of restraint as a rule is not used towards seriously ill persons, minors, persons over a certain age (women 60 and men 65), women who are 12 or more weeks pregnant or have a baby (of up to one year), and also towards persons who have committed a crime out of negligence. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The prison population is steadily increasing. There are too many people on probation per probation officer, which limits the supervisory function of the probation service to the formal registration procedure. Government: The number of persons in pre-trial detention amounts to 2.912. In the first nine months of 2009, the percentage of the cases in which pre-trial detention was applied was between 42 and 52 %. The percentage for custodial bail granted varied between 11 and 17 %. In 0,4 to 2,3 % of all cases, a personal guarantee was recognized as sufficient Regarding the reform of the probation service, a separate Strategy and an Action 	Government: The Code on Imprisonment, apart from easing the legal status of inmates, has considerably refined the mechanism of early conditional release, making it much more flexible, efficient and transparent. New Code on Imprisonment established Early Conditional Release Councils (ECHR Councils) within the MCLA, ECR Councils review issues in relation to early conditional release, commutation of the remaining term of a sentence into a less grave punishment and substitution of the remaining term of a sentence into a Community Service. There are three ECR Councils; two for adults and one specializing on juveniles. Each Council consists of 5 members: representatives from the MCLA, the National Probation Agency, local non-governmental organization, the High Council of Justice and a local municipality. Due to creation of ECR Councils, the number of conditionally released convicts has increased by 4% in 2011 in comparison to 2010. The Probation Agency has established the Probation Early Release System at the end of 2011. This council is modelled based of the ECR Councils within Penitentiary. It has recently held the first meeting and released 270 probationers. Non-governmental sources: The new

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Plan were elaborated. Work continues on the assessment and further development of the legislation related to the probation system. In August 2009, the Probation Service introduced a dactyloscopy system improving tracking and registration process. This allows probation officers to focus on social work and individual rehabilitative schemes. Efforts are being undertaken to create employment opportunities in different firms for the probationers. In addition, probationers receive regular classes on foreign languages and in computer programmes.	CPC does not have any provision similar to the Art 159 of the old CPC. According to Art 205 of the current CPC, detention, as a preventive measure can be used exclusively in cases where it is the only means: a) to prevent risk of absconding and obstruction of justice by the defendant; b) to prevent obstruction in obtaining evidence; c) to prevent further commission of a crime by the defendant . Similar approach is guaranteed under Art 198 of the CPC. Although there are six forms of restrictive measures envisaged under Art 199 of the new CPC, reportedly, according to the statistical data of the Supreme Court of Georgia, the percentage of using restrictive measure other than bail and detention has been less than 2 % in 2009, and less than 1 % in 2010, in comparison to 47.3 % bail and 51.1 % detention in 2009, and 45.1 % bail and 54.2 % detention in 2010.
(n) Confinement in detention not exceed the official capacity (1); Existing institutions be refurbished to meet basic minimum standards (m); and new remand centres be built with sufficient accommodation for the anticipated population to the extent that the use of non- custodial measures will not eliminate the overcrowding problem.	Severe overcrowding; very poor conditions.	Financial resources allocated have drastically increased and considerable refurbishment programs are underway, funded from the State budget; The outsourcing of food provision has already produced tangible results and allows providing special diets for those prisoners who need it. Many prison facilities underwent substantial reconstruction to bring them in line with international standards and the Action Plan for the Reform of the Penitentiary System for 2007-2010	Government: Penitentiary Reform Strategy as well as Concept on Addressing Prison Overcrowding stresses importance to increase use of pre and post-trial alternatives such as diversion among adults and juveniles, use of non-custodial measures and community service as a sanction.

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		foresees further refurbishment; The official capacity of the prisons as of 26 January 2009 has been determined by Decree No. 24 of the Minister of Justice of Georgia (see appendix 2, table 1); The Medical Monitoring Unit of the General Inspection supervises the activities of the medical services of penitentiary establishments, as well as the conditions of detention and leads actions	system by setting prisons standards and rights of detainees. It addresses the issues pertinent to prison overcrowding, development of strong conditional release system (release on parole), wider application of the alternatives to pre-trial detention, promotion of the community work and development of the proper infrastructure.
		aimed at combating HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and other illnesses; Employment and educational programs have been gradually introduced, libraries improved.	MCLA is carrying out infrastructural reforms in order to improve inmates' living conditions. In the framework of these reforms, three new penitentiary establishments were opened and some were renovated in 2010. In 2011, living
		Government: The Ministry of Correction and Legal Assistance (MCLA) adopted a Penitentiary Strategy and an Action Plan	conditions of 80% of inmates are commensurate with European standards.
		which focus on the implementation of the draft Code on Imprisonment and measures tackling prison overcrowding. In addition, measures are being taken regarding the food supply (outsourcing of food supply; establishment of shops in all penitentiary institutions that provide a possibility for	
		prisoners to buy additional food and hygiene items). In addition, new medical	One of the main priorities of the MCLA is to broaden the access of inmates to medical care. Nowadays, each penitentiar establishment has its own medical unit, operating 24/7. The medical service involves a full-service dental facility comprising of dental therapy, surgery and orthopaedics, as well as consultations of psychiatrists and psychologists. In

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		hospitals within the penitentiary system provide medical treatment to convicts). Furthermore, a healthcare strategy for the Penitentiary System is being developed. MCLA is working on new educational programmes for both juvenile and adult inmates. A general education curriculum was elaborated for juvenile inmates by the Ministry of Education and Science. In 2009, three inmates successfully passed the National Unified Entry Exams and were enrolled in higher educational institutions. Vocational programmes such as language and computer classes continue to take place at penitentiary institutions.	addition, there is a special medical facility for convicted inmates, where almost all types of surgeries may be performed. All inmates have a full medical control while entering in the penitentiary establishment. The medical examination is also mandatory when inmate is transferred from one penitentiary establishment to another before allocation to the concrete cell. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In certain establishments prisoners reportedly complain about the food ration and qualit of meal. Despite the reform undertaken in the healthcare system of penitentiary institutions, inadequate medical treatments of prisoners remains the most serious problem in almost all establishments. Prisoners reportedly complain about delayed medical treatment and delayed transfer to the medical institutions. Requirements of the new Code of Imprisonment about routine medical check-ups, medical examination after being transferred from one establishment to another and/or when entering the prison establishments. For these purposes the establishments. For these purposes the establishments still use approximately on week quarantine regime for the new prisoners before assigning them to the cell The conditions in some facilities are

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(k) and Pre-trial and convicted prisoners be strictly	Several cases where they were not separated	Article 19 of the Law on Imprisonment establishes different types of regimes in	reportedly dreadful. On a more positive note, the newly appointed Minister of Health, Labour and Social Affairs has made reforms and the refurbishment of hospitals his priority. Reportedly, overcrowding, lack of health care and cases of deaths in custody continue to persist. Government: The Code on Imprisonment of Georgia establishes the rule of strict
separated.		the same penitentiary facility, but requires strict separation of the various categories.	
(o) In accordance with the		2005: accession to the Optional Protocol	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although under Art 9(2) of the new Code on Imprisonment, pre-trial and convicted prisoners shall be separated in mixed penitentiary establishments, in #8 mixed prison establishment in Gldani, which is the only establishment confining the pre- trial prisoners, convicted prisoners are reportedly mixed with the pre-trial prisoners. Government: In 2009, the Public
Optional Protocol to the		to the Convention against Torture	Government: In 2009, the Public Defender was designated as a National

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3) Convention against Torture, establish a truly independent monitoring mechanism.		 (OPCAT). In summer 2006 monitoring councils for psychiatric hospitals and orphanages were set up under the Public Defender's office; In December 2008, the Ministry of Justice presented a draft proposal regarding the designation of the Public Defender of Georgia as national preventive mechanism (NPM) in accordance with OPCAT. Article 93 of the Law on Imprisonment refers to Local Monitoring Commissions and the criteria for the appointment of the Members; Ministry of Justice Decree No. 2190 sets out the corresponding rules; Local Monitoring Commissions may enter a penitentiary institution at any time without prior notification of the prison administration to conduct monitoring, receive complaints etc. On the basis of a 2004 Memorandum of 	is mandated to carry the functions of the national preventive mechanism, i.e., visit and monitor all places of deprivation of liberty in Georgia. The prevention and Monitoring unit under the Public Defender conducts monitoring of temporary detention cells, penitentiary institutions, military detention cells, child care institutions, psychiatric institutions,
		 Understanding between the Ministry of Interior and the Public Defender, representatives of NGOs authorized by the Ombudsman can enter temporary detention facilities without prior notice; although the possibility of sending reports to the Prosecutor's office is provided, this has not been done in more than three years. Non-governmental sources: There are no independent monitoring systems in place. The Local Monitoring Commissions cannot be considered independent since 	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Despite the commendable work of the Public Defender and the NPM, there is room for improvement of the system. The NPM is reportedly unable to monitor all places of detention comprehensively, given the reported number of some 24.000 detainees held within the prison system alone. The creation of the NPM has reportedly been used as an excuse to abandon public oversight in places of detention. Although it is generally admitted that there have been problems with the functioning of these committees, their complete abolition

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 their members are recruited and appointed by the Ministry of Justice. Several NGOs have been deprived of the possibility to have their representatives in these commissions. Government: The 1996 Organic Law of the Public Defender of Georgia was amended by Parliament on 16 July 2009 and now provides for the following: (1) The Office of the Public Defender (PDO) was officially designated as a National Preventive Mechanism (article 31 (1)), being expressly obliged to cooperate with all relevant international human rights bodies/institutions in line with the NPM mandate and creation of the National Preventive Group (article 31 (3)); (2) Adequate resources to be provided for carrying out its mandate (article 31 (2)); (3) Unimpeded access to all places of detention, access to relevant information and right to conduct private interviews (article 19 (1) and (2)); Confidentiality criteria: respect towards confidential/private data of detainees (article 19 (3)); (4) Expertise and professionalism of the members of the National Preventive Group; (article 191 (2)); (5) Right to make recommendations, including the presentation of the NPM report before the Parliament of Georgia (article 21); and (6) Privileges for the members of the National Preventive Group is they have a right withhold giving 	had a number of adverse effects to the prevention of torture and ill-treatment. The former relative transparency of the Georgian prison system has been abandoned and human rights defenders reportedly no longer have any first-hand information of the situation of detainees but only get this information through defence lawyers. According to the legal provisions establishing the NPM, the Public Defender can be assisted by a group of civil society experts, the so-called "Special Preventive Group", in carrying out the NPM mandate. The current Public Defender has established a roster of 22 civil society experts after issuing an open call for applications. However, the legal provisions relating to the inclusion of civil society experts in the NPM were reportedly criticized due to the lack of clarity. On the one hand, the terminology "can be assisted" leaves the door open to a lot of discretion for the Public Defender and could, in principle, be interpreted in a manner that civil society experts are completely excluded from the NPM; on the other hand, the selection of civil society members was not considered to have been entirely transparent and objective.

₽	
E	
R	
Q	
1	
×	
Ĕ	
A	
d	
5	
9	

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(p) All investigative law enforcement bodies establish effective procedures for internal monitoring and disciplining of the behaviour of their agents, with a view to eliminating practices of torture and ill-treatment.		 testimony concerning the facts that were provided to them during the accomplishment of their functions; (article 191 (5)). The Office of the Public Defender has been provided with additional financial resources to cover respective NPM expenses. The Office has recently opened a call for the selection of experts for the National Preventive Mechanism. Law enforcement agencies, namely the Ministries of Justice and Interior and the Prosecution Service, have so-called "General Inspections", responsible for supervising the performance of their personnel and investigating misconduct; On 19 June 2006, the Code of Ethics for Prosecutors was approved by Order No. 5 of the Prosecutor General; A Code of Police Ethics for the Ministry of Internal Affairs signed by the Minister of Interior on 5 January 2007 and entered into force; The Human Rights Unit within the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Georgia conducts random and unscheduled checks in temporary detention isolators including the register, complaints, allegations of mistreatment, etc.; steps to ensure more transparency of the activities of the Unit within the penitentiary system conducts visits, providing on the spot legal consultations; the Medical Supervision 	Government: The special department of the MCLA – the General Inspection – is i charge of internal monitoring within the Ministry and its subordinate entities. The General Inspection ensures discipline and legitimacy, detects facts of violations of citizens' constitutional rights and legal interests, official misconduct and other illegal activities of their agents. It has also the obligation to identify the facts related to the allegations and to conduct investigation in the appropriate way. In 2011, Human Rights Unit was created within the General Inspection which has been specifically trained in order to enhance the monitoring process of penitentiary establishments. This unit efficiently ensures the total conformity of inmates' nutrition, living and sanitary conditions, as well as the level of medical services with internationally recognized human rights Unit at the Office of Chief

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Unit checks the health conditions of prisoners.	Prosecutor of Georgia was placed at the Department for the Supervision of Prosecution. Apart from the overall monitoring over prosecution and supervision of the compliance with national and international human rights standards, the Department is also tasked with statistical and analytical activities within the prosecution system of Georgia. The Human Rights Unit continues to monitor and respond to the notifications regarding the alleged violations of human rights in the organs of the Prosecution Services, detention facilities and isolators, as well as to identify and respond to the facts of torture, inhuman, cruel and degrading treatment or punishment. The Unit considers recommendations of the national and international human rights institutions and takes responsive measures.
(q) Law enforcement recrui undergo an extensive and	ts	The curriculum of the Police Academy of the Ministry of Internal Affaires contains	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> A human rights unit established at the General Prosecutor's Office was reportedly mandated to monitor and supervise human rights related criminal cases. Reportedly, it does not have the competence and/or capacities to effectively supervise torture- related criminal cases, such as ordering a prompt forensic medical examination or ordering referral to a prosecutor different from the one investigating the criminal case against the defendant. Government: On 13 May 2011, one year long Program on Crowd Management for

86	Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	thorough training curriculum, which incorporates human rights education throughout, including on effective interrogation techniques, the use of police equipment, and existing officers should undergo continuing education.		 an extensive tactical training course, a course on local legislation, as well as one on international human rights law; issues covered include the legal framework for the use of force; the use of coercive force by police; the human rights law course puts special emphasis on the right to life; Numerous training programs were held at the Probation and Prison Training Centre (established in 2005 respectively 2006) with support from international organizations. During this course students also acquire the necessary negotiation skills for managing critical situations and for ensuring that coercive force is used as a last resort. Use of special means and firearms – practical training for prospective use of special means. At the end of the course a practical exam is held, where unsuccessful students are unable to graduate from the academy. 	the staff of the Ministry of Internal Affairs was concluded. 175 police officers were trained during given Program. In cooperation with Public Defenders' Office, Training for Trainers (ToT) on the issues of discrimination are planned jointed and will resume in 2012.
			Government: Several series of trainings for prosecutors, judges, members of the police force and employees of the Ministry of Corrections and Legal Assistance on issues related to the fight against torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment were conducted in 2008 and 2009.	

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recommendations (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (See: A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
Improve conditions of			
detention in the territories of			
Abkhazia and South Ossetia			
Abolish the death penalty in		The death penalty in Abkhazia is still	
Abkhazia		used; one persons remains on death row.	

Greece

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur in the report of his visit to Greece from 10 to 20 October 2010 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)

32. On 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Greece requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of his predecessor's recommendations. He expresses his gratitude to the Government for providing detailed information on the implementation of the recommendations issued in this report.

33. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the report of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT), on the visit to Greece carried out from 19 to 27 January 2011.

34. He recognises the challenges faced by the Government in copying with the influx of irregular migrants in recent years and welcomes the open acknowledgment by the authorities of the structural deficiencies in Greece's detention policy of irregular migrants. The Special Rapporteur believes that while many of the problems are caused by a lack of financial resources, some important steps could be taken that are not resource-dependent, to redress the current situation. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to ensure that the migrants and refugees are offered adequate screening and reception centres, in humane conditions, operated by civil authorities.

35. The Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that despite the repeated assurances of the Government and the ongoing reforms, the situation of migrants, including unaccompanied migrant children, remains unchanged and in some instances has further deteriorated in terms of severe overcrowding, unhygienic conditions and poor health-care provision. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to take measures to improve the treatment and standards of care of irregular migrants and refugees held in detention facilities, including legislative provisions to ensure that those arrested while trying to enter or leave the country on false documents are not detained for a prolonged period; that all persons deprived of their liberty are registered from the very moment of their detention and ensure that law enforcement officials keep accurate registers and custody records, and that pre-trial detainees are separated from convicted prisoners, and juveniles are separated from adults in all detention facilities.

36. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the adoption of law 3907/2011 of 18 January 2011, establishing reception centers and an independent asylum service. He urges the Government to pass the draft directive that will process the backlog of first instance asylum cases and to ensure a speedy transition to the implementation of the pending draft law on refugees and asylum seekers. He takes note of the draft new Penal Code submitted to the Minister of Justice, Transparency and Human Rights and of the initiative to reform the criminal and criminal procedure law aimed at decriminalizing certain offences and reducing prison sentences and applying non-custodial measures.

37. The Special Rapporteur welcomes Law 3938/2011 establishing, within the Ministry of Citizen's Protection, an Office responsible for collecting, recording and investigating complaints of acts of torture and ill-treatment. He urges the Government to establish independent mechanism for the investigation of allegations of torture and other forms of ill-treatment by police officers under a different authority than the Ministry of Citizen's Protection and to ensure that the police detention is subject to rigid time limits and judicial review by a court.

38. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the commencement of the ratification process of the OPCAT and encourages the Government to establish an independent and effective national preventive mechanism mandated to carry visits to all places of detention.

39. Finally, the Special Rapporteur expresses concern about reports of inhumane and degrading treatment, including routine sedation, the use of cage beds to their and other abuse of children and adults with disabilities residing at the Children's Care Center of Lechaina in Ilias Prefecture in Greece. He calls upon the authorities to conclude the investigations into the reported death of three people at the center, launch public prosecutions without delay, where the evidence warrants it and make the names of perpetrators known to public.

Recommendations (A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Situation during visit (See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
Refugee and Asylum System		
(a) Promptly enact new legislation based on the Government's adoption of the "Greek Action Plan on Migration Management".	of aliens and has adopted a	all migrants in a humane manner. Its efforts to bring its laws in line with international human rights and refugee rights standards and engagement in a wide consultation process with civil society groups prior to
(b) Continue to work in close consultation with UNCHR so that its asylum system is in line with international standards.(c) Undertake measures so that the police, currently under the Ministry of Citizen's Protection, shall no longer have responsibility for the refugee status determination procedure.	The asylum procedure has collapsed and refugees are denied access to any meaningful refugee determination procedure.	
	The foreseen legal amendments by the draft Presidential Decree on the procedure to grant refugee status or subsidiary protection to third-country nationals and stateless persons, that was signed by the Minister of Citizen's Protection on 24 September	

Recommendations (A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Situation during visit (See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
(d) All law enforcement officials, with the help of UNCHR, need to accept and register asylum claims, so they can be accessed by those who wish to file a claim.	2010, is to be welcomed. A new timeframe for the issuance of asylum decisions-the accelerated process takes three months and the normal process six months. The asylum procedure will be handed over to Refugee Committees comprised of qualified civil authorities. In the meantime, there was supposed to be a procedure in place to deal with the transitional period in between the old and new system, however, this transitional period has been stalled for over one year.	Information received in the reporting period
(e) Bring the responsibility for asylum applications and the asylum procedures under civil authority and reinstall an effective second instance for appeals.	Law 3907 of 26 January 2011, was published in the Government Gazette (A/26 Jan 2011). The law will provide for the establishment of an Asylum Agency and a First Reception Service for Immigrants, as well as for harmonization of the Greek legislation with the provisions of Directive 2008/115/EC on common standards and procedures in Member States for returns of illegally staying third country nationals.	
(f) Ensure that the legislative amendments guarantee that migrants and refugees are offered adequate screening (including efficient procedures		

	Situation during visit (See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
regarding identification and registration) and reception centres, in humane conditions, operated by civil authorities which are provided with the necessary human and financial resources.		
(g) Urge the Council of State to pass the draft directive that will process the backlog of first instance asylum cases currently pending and to ensure a speedy transition to the implementation of the pending draft law on refugee and asylum seekers.	Inclusion of a provision to address the backlog of first instance asylum cases in a Draft Law on the establishment of an office to address incidents of arbitrariness in the Ministry of Citizen Protection. The law is currently being discussed in the Parliament.	
aliens, resorting to detention only as a last resort and if absolutely necessary and proportionate in the individual case. Vulnerable groups, including asylum seekers, unaccompanied minors, families, single women, persons with disabilities should in principle not be detained. Women should always be separated from men and children from adults.	The Special Rapporteur witnesses unaccompanied minors being held with adults in a number of facilities, such as Omonia CID, Feres Border Guard Station as well as Fylakio and Venna Migration Detention Centers. The procedure to identify minors, and assess their age and vulnerability appears to be completely inadequate as many juveniles reported being registered as adults. Male and female detainees were generally found to be separated in the places of detention visited. However, due to the recent influx of aliens over the Greek- Turkish border and the overcrowding of the facilities located in the Evros region, the Special Rapporteur found women detained with men at the	Non-governmental sources: Despite Government's repeated assurances and the ongoing reform process, the situation for migrants, including for unaccompanied migrant children, remains woeful and in some instances has worsened. It is reported that migrants, including vulnerable groups, are held for weeks or months in detention conditions that amount to inhuman and degrading treatment, despite available alternative facilities that would offer adequate conditions. The Children's Care Center, situated in Lechaina (Ilias Prefecture), hosts persons with disabilities, including adults, is in dire conditions. There is insufficient number of doctors and nurses; systematic sedation; and practices such as tying children and adults with development disabilities to their beds to reduce self-harm and the use of wooden cage beds. The care center does not have the required qualified personnel. The residents do not appear to be given regular medical nor rehabilitation services.

Recommendations	Situation during visit	
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
(i) Take measures to improve the treatment and standard of care of irregular migrants and refugees held in all detention facilities, including legislative provisions to ensure that those arrested while trying to enter or leave the country on false documents are not detained for a prolonged period.	border guard stations of Feres and Soufli.	There have been reported cases of deaths and alleged abuse in the care centre, including news reports of beatings and two deaths in the span of a few days in March 2011. Reportedly, investigation has been launched by the Prosecutor's Office but no information has been made available on the status of these investigations. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, at the end of 2010, up to 1,000 documented migrants, who had recently crossed from Turkey into Greece, were held in extremely overcrowded detention conditions that failed to provide minimum hygienic standards for detainees. The number of migrants detained exceeds the capacity limits of some facilities, including in Venna and Fylakio detention centres and Tychero police station detention cells, Feres police station detention cells. Reportedly, guards at detention centers beat
		or kick detainees for random reasons, including for requesting water and for being late for the headcount.
 (j) Enact minimum operating standards for special detention facilities for aliens in compliance with international human rights law. (k) Re-negotiate the readmission agreement with Turkey out of concern that Turkey is not complying with minimum standards for the detention of irregular migrants and failing to protect refugees from being summarily returned to Iran, Iraq, or the Syrian Arab Republic. 	Since taking office in October 2009, the Government has made the issue of detention of irregular migrants and refugees a priority and is planning a substantive reform of the asylum system and migration management.	

Recommendations (A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Situation during visit (See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting perio
		5 1 01
	The lack of any automatic individual assessment of the	
	Readmission Agreement with	
	Turkey, by Greek police,	
	prosecutors of judges whether	
	citizens of the Islamic Republic	
	of Iran, Iraq or the Syrian Arab	
	Republic face a serious risk of	
	being deported by Turkish	
	authorities to their countries of	
	origin, constitutes a violation of	
	the principle of <i>non-refoulement</i> .	
(1) Bring all current legislation in line with the absolute prohibition of refoulement and make sure that the police authorities, prosecutors and		
judges carry out individual risk assessments in expulsion cases.		
Criminal Justice System		
(m) To combat the severe overcrowding in detention facilities by		Government: Law 3904/2010 was passed
reforming the criminal and criminal procedure law aimed at		with favorable arrangements in cases for
decriminalizing certain offences (above all in relation to drug offences)		which smaller sentences are imposed for
and reducing prison sentences and applying non-custodial measures;		violation of criminal legislation and with
Pass into law the Bill pending before the Legal Committee on Reform		major favorable changes concerning the
of the Correction Code to increase the use non-custodial measures		prerequisites for a prisoner to serve the

of the Correction Code to increase the use non-custodial measures such as community service.

94

prerequisites for a prisoner to serve the imposed sentence through social service. The main arrangements are:

- prohibition of detention for legal costs or monetary fines up to EUR 3,000;
- conversion, as a rule, of custodial sentences ranging from two to three years into monetary fines;
- the payment of sentences converted into monetary fines can be made within a period of two to three years or converted into social service;
- reinforcement of the institution of

ecommendations	Situation during visit	
/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
		social service which had become
		obsolete, since joint ministerial
		decision 19945/14 March 2011
		(Government Gazette 563 B/11
		April 2011) increased the number
		of bodies throughout the country
		that can admit persons for social
		service to 285;
		- for sentences of three to five years,
		of which 1/5 may be redeemed or
		converted into social service, and
		prisoners are released;
		- sensitive prisoner groups (suffering
		from multiple and terminal
		diseases, persons with over 80%
		disability, as well as mother
		prisoners with children in prison)
		enjoy a beneficial calculation for
		the serving of sentences, since one
		day of stay in a penitentiary facilit
		is calculated as two days of
		sentence served;
		- the institution of suspended sentence
		is extended to all persons with
		custodial sentences of up to five
		years, provided that they have not
		served any custodial sentences of
		over one year in the past;
		- house arrest is extended to all
		persons with custodial sentences,
		who are over 75 years of age.
		A draft of the new Penal Code has been
		submitted to the Minister of Justice,
		Transparency and Human Rights and the
		process of consultation will begin
		immediately to be forwarded to the

Recommendations	Situation during visit	
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
		Parliament to pass into Law.
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, although some detention centers are clearly overcrowded to the extent that the wellbeing and safety of detainees are immediately at risk, the Government does not consider alternatives to detention, nor does it transfer detainees to other facilities. In early 2011, the Samos detention facility for migrants, a newly built center with a capacity of roughly 200 persons stood empty.
(n) Pass into law the Bill pending before the Legal Committee on the Code of Narcotics so that drug users will not be imprisoned.		Government: The new Code for Narcotics, that introduces more lenient provisions for drug users, is going to be submitted to the Parliament to pass into Law.
(o) Reform the judicial system to guarantee that pre-trial detainees receive a fair and speedy trial.		
(p) Subject police detention to rigid time limits and judicial review by a court on the legality of the detention, in line with international standards.	It has reportedly been difficult for aliens to challenge their detention as there is no regular automatic judicial review. The absence of interpreters and legal aid was said to make it practically impossible to complain before an administrative court.	
(q) Install an effective and independent mechanism for the investigation of allegations of torture and other forms of ill-treatment by police officers, under a different authority than the Ministry of Citizen's Protection.(r) Amend interrogation rules and procedures to allow the use of audio		
or video-taping, with a view to prevent torture and ill-treatment. (s) Transfer the responsibility for health care, including psychological care, in prisons and police detention facilities to the Ministry of		Government: The Law 3772/2009 stipulates the inclusion in the National

Recommendations	Situation during visit	
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
Health.		Health System (NHS) of the special treatment facilities of the Ministry of Justice, Transparency and Human Rights, namely: a) the prisoner psychiatric clinic, b) the prisoner hospital, c) the prisoner detoxification centre of Eleonas-Thiva, and d) two new centres to be put in operation in the future.
		A special committee has been established for the said arrangement, which will submit proposals for the issuance of a Presidential Decree that will determine the necessary relevant issues.
		Cooperation takes place with university bodies and non-governmental organizations that can contribute with their scientific staff to the provision of psychiatric and medical services in prisons. Relevant memoranda of association have been already signed with a number of psychiatric clinics.
(t) Have the Ministry of Justice work closely with other relevant Ministries to provide better services in education and reintegration programmes.		1.5
Safeguards and prevention (u) Register <i>all</i> persons deprived of their liberty from the very moment they are detained.	Due to great number of new arrivals every day and the lack of staff and in particular interpreters, an adequate registration and documentation is often not possible. The main authority in Attica, Petrou Ralli, was so severely understaffed that it had at times accepted asylum claims on only one day of the week and then	call concerning the provision of computers for the Prison Facilities and it is soon

Recommendations (A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Situation during visit (See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting perio
	was not able to register more than around 20 applications.	
(v) Insist that law enforcement officials keep accurate registers and custody records to ensure that every detainee is accounted for and held in an official place of detention.		
(w) Ensure that unrecorded and informal detention of persons in CID	The Special Rapporteur	
be immediately abolished and that those responsible for illegal	welcomes the Government's	
detention are held accountable.	plans to reform the system of	
	detention which foresees to	
	detain asylum-seekers for no	
	longer than 15 days before they	
	are being transferred to open	
	reception centres.	
(x) Ensure that all detainees, including irregular migrants and refugees,	There is no system of free legal	
have the right, in practice, to contact legal representatives, consular	aid and a general lack of	
authorities and family members.	registered lawyers. Many	
	refugees are not informed of the	
	procedures of seeking protection	
	or have claimed that it is not	
	possible for them to make their	
	protection claim heard due to the	
	lack of interpreters. Only in the	
	border guard station in Feres,	
	there were information brochures	
	in different languages on the	
	asylum procedure.	
(y) Ensure that detained aliens are informed about the reasons of their	The interpreters were reported to	
detention and all proceedings concerning their detention are explained	be largely unavailable during the interviews making the	
in a language they can understand.	e	
	assessment of a claim practically impossible. The dysfunctional	
	system has created a backlog of	
	more than 52,000 cases to be	
	examined as of August 2010.	
(z) Ensure that all refugees can access protection in Greece and file	Refugees seeking protection in	
(z) Ensure that all refugees can access protection in Greece and file their asylum claims without any major obstacles, including by	Refugees seeking protection in Greece had no confidence in the	

Recommendations (A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Situation during visit (See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
	often refrain from filing a claim despite a serious fear of being returned to their country of origin.	
	Many aliens were afraid to file an asylum claim in gear of being detained longer as a consequence or being deprived of seeking asylum in another EU member State.	
aa. Perform medical and psychiatric assessments upon detention, so that those suffering from a disability receive medical treatment in line with international minimum standards.	In most detention facilities visited, psychiatric evaluations were not performed on a routine basis. Despite a Ministerial Decision (164484/2009 (GG B 52/2010)) that grants conditional release of detainees suffering from certain illnesses and disabilities, there were several detainees with a condition.	Government: The prison administrations observe the procedures stipulated by the provisions of articles 23 and 24 of the Correctional Code in relation to medical examination and interview of new prisoners. They are normally examined by physicians within one day of their admission to prisons and the relevant information is kept in their personal file. For any psychiatric incident that the facility's doctor considers that it should be treated by a doctor outside the prison, the detainee is transferred to the Psychiatric Facility of Korydallos Complex of Prisons Establishments and if it is considered as necessary, an order for transfer to a Psychiatric Hospital is issued immediately.
		The Ministerial Decision 164484/2009 (GG B 52/2010), it should be noted that the Decision regulates the process of granting of immediate release for the special groups of detainees defined by the provisions of the Article 110A of the Penal Code (HIV positive, or chronic renal failure, or resistant tuberculosis, or cancer in the last stage).

Recommendations	Situation during visit	Information massingling the new orting and
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	<i>Information received in the reporting perio</i> Since 2009, 10 requests for release have
		been submitted, of which seven have already been granted, one has been rejected
		one is pending and one prisoner passed away before the completion of the procedure.
Conditions of detention		procedure.
bb. Ratify and implement OPCAT; establish an independent and effective national preventive mechanism mandated to carry out visits to all places of detention.		Government: The ratification process of the OPCAT has commenced. On the other hand, a special committee has been appointed, with a mandate to propose an effective mechanism to conduct independent inspections in the Prison establishments.
as Sananata nua trial datainaga from convicted prisonara in line with		In the Ministry of Justice, Transparency and Human rights, the Agency of Inspection an control of the Prison Facilities is competent for conducting visits and inspections to all Detention Facilities to ensure they operate under the rule of law.
cc. Separate pre-trial detainees from convicted prisoners in line with Article 10 ICCPR.		
dd. Separate juveniles from adults in all detention facilities.		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : In Tychero, Feres, and Soufli, single women and mothers with children were detained in the same space with unrelated adult men in overcrowded conditions.
ee. Ensure that detainees are confined in facilities complying with international minimum sanitary and hygienic standards and that they are provided with basic necessities (adequate floor space, bedding, food, water and health care).	The conditions of detention in police stations, Criminal Investigation Departments (CIDs), border guard stations and migration detention cetres were very poor throughout the country.	Government: Prison administration take care of the strict observance of hygiene rules and the maintenance of facilities, always in compliance with personal hygien and sanitation rules governing the operation of detention facilities, as well as the relevan instructions of the Ministry of Justice, Transparency and Human Rights.
	The conditions of detention were	r ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Recommendations	Situation during visit	
A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
	particularly appalling at	The Ministry of Justice, Transparency and
	Venizelos Airport Police Station	Human Rights has elaborated a programme
	in Athens. At the CIDs of	for the construction of new detention
	Omonia, Agiou Panteleimonos	facilities in order to increase prison capacity
	and Akropolis in Athens, the	and thereby improve detention conditions.
	conditions of detention were	
	particularly appalling.	The detention facility of Central Macedonia
	The border guard stations in Soufli and Feres were facing	III (at Nigrita, Serres region) has already been delivered and one ward operates; it
	immense problems due to the	now accommodates 118 prisoners, to be
	mass influx of aliens over the	increased to 600 in full operation.
	Greek-Turkish border. The	mereased to ovo in full operation.
	situation at the Feres Border	A 50-person ward has also been delivered a
	Guard Station was particularly	the detention facility of Larissa. Moreover,
	severe, holding 123 detainees in	the delivery and operation of the detention
	a facility designed for 28.	facilities of Drama and Chania (with a
	a facility designed for 20.	capacity of 600 persons each), which have
	Some prisons (Korydallos,	been almost completed, will reduce even
	Komotini) were hosting three	more the problem of overcrowding. Finally
	times more prisoners than their	a Prisoner Detoxification Centre is being
	maximum capacity.	constructed at Kassandra, Halkidiki
	mannan capacity.	
	In the two migration detention	The full operation of the new detention
	centres in the Evros region,	facilities depends on the ability to hire new
	Fylakio and Venna, the	staff, which is however, currently restricted
	conditions were inadequate to	by the legislative fiscal constraints.
	meeting the most basic needs of	
	detainees.	Non-governmental sources: Reportedly,
		detainees lack adequate medical care and
	A positive example was the	access to outdoor areas. Reportedly, in one
	Mersidini Migration Detention	of the detention cells of the Tychero police
	Centre in Chios, where adequate	station, migrants had to sleep on cardboard
	care was provided to detainees.	and the concrete floor and remained without
	The detainees were able to	access to toilets. Generally, migrants are
	access the outside, their cells	detained without any consideration of their
	were clean and well-equipped,	vulnerability. Unaccompanied children,
	and the most basic needs were	families with babies, as well as migrants

Recommendations	Situation during visit	
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
	adequately being cared for.	who suffer from mental disorders and small children were held behind bars in
	The Special Rapporteur welcomes the completion of a	unacceptable conditions.
	new detention facility in Nigeria,	
	Serres, with a capacity of 700, reportedly part of a Greek government plan to construct more detention facilities. It is	two detention facilities for migrants at Athens old airport Ellinikon, were mostly deprived of natural light, had only once
	hoped that the planned constructions in Drama and Chania will be completed as scheduled in 2012.	been let into an adjacent courtyard for 15 minutes for the past two months, and were not given any access to the outside yard during the entire winter. Both facilities hadred medical personnel and items for
	scheduled in 2012.	lacked medical personnel, and items for personal hygiene.
	The establishment of a joint committee that visits police	
	detention facilities to verify compliance with terms and	
	conditions relating to hygiene and safety of detainees and the protection of their rights.	
ff. Provide daily outdoor exercise for at least one hour. Convicted prisoners should be provided with opportunities for work, education, recreation and rehabilitation.		Government: In the context of the Greek Correctional Code, many prisoners are entitled to work. About 4753 prisoners were working until recently in all prisons. Over 600 additional jobs have been created by Ministerial Decisions.
		19 educational, vocational, consulting and

19 educational, vocational, consulting and psychological support programmes were implemented in 2010 and continued in 2011 in 9 prisons, in association with the Ministry of Education, Lifelong Learning and Religious Affairs, the Prefectural People-s Education Committees, the Social Youth Support Organisation "Arsis" and other bodies (e.g. Greek, English, French

Recommendations (A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Situation during visit (See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
		language, computers, mathematics, etc).
		11 vocational training programmes are
		being implemented in 5 prisons in association with the Ministry of
		Employment and Social Security. The
		Ministry of Justice, Transparency and
		Human rights intends to include all prisons of the country in the said programmes.
		7 second-hand schools are operating in
		seven prisons, as well as 2 lower and middle
		education schools in juvenile detention
		facilities. In association with the competent Ministry of Education, Lifelong Learning
		and Religious Affairs, a study is being
		conducted for the establishment or
		vocational training departments within
		prisons.

Impunity

gg. Establish accessible and effective complaints mechanisms in all places of detention. At a minimum, there should be a mechanism to allow for complaints of torture and ill-treatment, to be promptly and thoroughly investigated by an independent authority and those responsible held accountable including by disciplinary and penal measures as appropriate. Furthermore, complainants must be protected for victims of physical abuse. from reprisals.

The lack of an effective complaints mechanism, independent investigation and monitoring create and environment or powerlessness The Government plans to establish a Bureau within either the Ministry of Citizen's Protection of the Ministry of Interior to examine police misconduct. As of October 2010,

Government: With the circular 51282/2 June 2011 of the Special Secretary of the Ministry of Justice, Transparency and Human Rights, the Vaginal Search Register in the country's detention facilities was established. This register records the incident, the name of the doctor who conducted the search, justification of the search, the date and time of the search and explicit reference to the prosecutor's order, on the basis of which it was conducted.

Recommendations (A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Situation during visit (See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
(A/HKC/10/32/Add.4)	a Bill had yet to be submitted to Parliament.	The Special Secretary of the Ministry, by circular 51271/30 May 2011, asked the administrations of the detention facilities to create and regularly update a relevant Prisoner Injury Register. This register records injuries identified by medical examination to a. new prisoners, b. persons already detained in prisons, with explicit reference to the type of injury and the reported cause, as well as the date and time of examination. When the reported cause of injury is violence or suspected violence, the administration of the detention facility must notify the Supervising Prosecutor and the General Directorate of Penitentiary Policy of the Ministry of Justice, Transparency and
		Human rights <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Asylum seekers, among them numerous unaccompanied children, who have spent as much as 50 days in squalid conditions, were reportedly discouraged by Greek detention guards from lodging claims.
		Although the Greek Government has pledged to hold perpetrators of violence accountable, in practice there has been little evidence of meaningful steps towards this goal. There is a continued climate of impunity and widespread reluctance by victims to file complaints due to an absence of a safe complaints mechanisms, insufficient numbers of interpreters, and a lack of trust in authorities.
		There is no independent complaints

Recommendations	Situation during visit	
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting period
		mechanism to allow victims of police abuse, including migrants, to submit a complaint prompting an immediate and impartial investigation. Although the establishment of an office responsible for addressing misconduct within the Ministry of Citizen Protection is a positive measure, its mandate is limited to ruling on the admissibility of complaints. Cases will then be transferred to the relevant disciplinary bodies of the security forces for further investigation.
 a. Establish an accessible system for applicants to file for reparations, in accordance with Law 2311/2009, which provides compensation to victims of intentional violent crimes. b. Promptly execute judgments rendered by the ECtHR in regard to violations committed by police officers. 		5
jj. Detention for unaccompanied minors should be a last resort measure. In order to ensure adequate protection to juveniles, the Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Interior should cooperate closely to ensure that they are placed in separate reception centres.	Unaccompanied minors are systematically held in detention, instead of being held at reception centers under the authority of the Ministry of Health designed exclusively for them. Minors have no possibility to enroll in schools and to receive a proper education. Most of the unaccompanied minors had not been adequately informed about the asylum procedure and their rights, and were generally ignorant about the system.	
kk. Create more places in reception centres so that all unaccompanied minors, including girls, can be hosted.	The reception conditions for asylum-seekers do not meet their most basic needs. There are only 865 reception places available for thousands of asylum seekers.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Reportedly, unaccompanied children are often detained for longer periods than adults because of the lack of suitable alternatives. For example, while adult Afghans are released after a few

Recommendations	Situation during visit	Information and it is a set in the set of th
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	Information received in the reporting perio
	The level of medical and educational services is very low and they receive no financial allowance. As a consequence, many are forced to live on the streets and have to resort to illegal activities to survive.	days as they cannot be deported to Turkey under the Greece-Turkey readmission agreement, some unaccompanied children out of 120 children held in Fylakio detention centre had been held for 40 days and longer. Children are detained because they can only be released once they are assigned a place in a care centre.
Women		
II. The Special Rapporteur concurs with the CAT recommendation to amend article 137A of the Penal Code on torture so as to explicitly include rape and others forms of sexual violence as a form of torture rather than the phrase "a serious breach of sexual dignity" as found in the existing legislation.	The women held at Venizelos Airport Police Station were held in much better conditions than the men. However, they complained about the lack of cleaning supplies and hygiene products.	Government: No intra body search took place in 2011 in the Prison Establishment o Korydallor.
	Many women detained in migration detention centers were even more affected by the appalling conditions of detention and the lack of hygiene as they had to take care for small children.	
	One of the most serious complaints received was the ongoing practice of interbody searches. The Government reported that the practice of "vaginal searches" of female prisoners was abolished in September 2010. The Penitentiary Code (section 23, para. 6) provides the basis on which an inter-body search may	

Recommendations	Situation during visit	Information received in the reporting period
'A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)	<i>(See: A/HRC/16/52/Add.4)</i> be conducted, which says there must be "reasonable cause" to justify such a search. In Korydallos, the Special Rapporteur found that the practice continued to take place on a regular basis whenever female prisoners were returned to the facility from an outside visit, i.e. to attend court or visit the doctor.	Information received in the reporting period
To the European Union and its member States (a) To fundamentally rethink the EU asylum and migration policy and replace or renegotiate the Dublin II Regulation in view of securing a fairer system of burden sharing which also takes into account legitimate concerns of refugees and irregular migrants. b) to welcome the steps taken by some EU States to halt all returns to Greece under the Dublin II Regulation and urge other States to immediately suspend all returns under the Dublin II Regulation and to proceed with the refugee determination procedure. (c) To provide Greece with substantial financial support and investment to respond to the disproportionate influx of irregular migrants and refugees in order to guarantee their reception under adequate and human conditions in line with international standards. (d) To provide funding to the financial program of the European Refugee Fund to create a pool of interpreters and psychologists to help reduce the mental stress experienced by refugees as they try to navigate their way through the asylum Procedures. To United Nations agencies and international organizations That they encourage UNCHR to continue to work in close consultation with the Government to assist in reforming the asylum system so that it is in line with international standards.		

Indonesia

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Indonesia from 10 to 23 November 2007 (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7)

40. On 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Indonesia requesting information and comments on follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of his predecessor's recommendations. He expresses his gratitude to the Government for providing relevant information on the implementation of the recommendations issued in this report.

41. The Special Rapporteur notes with appreciation the Government's efforts to ensure that all allegations of torture and illtreatment committed by personnel of the Indonesian National Armed Forces and Indonesian National Police are investigated, and regrets not having received data on the number of complaints of torture and ill-treatment received, including the results of any investigation undertaken in this respect, prosecutions initiated and number of convictions. He commends the Government for undertaking steps to establish various complaints mechanisms, including the Sub-Section of Complaint Services and the Internal Supervision Unit of the Penitentiary and looks forward to receiving statistics with respect to investigations of allegations of torture and efforts to address the quasi-total impunity for security personnel, the police and military, for current as well as past violations.

42. The Special Rapporteur takes note of Law No. 39/1999 and the Regulation of the Commander of the Indonesian National Armed Forces on Acts against Torture and Other Inhuman Treatment in Enforcing the Law in the Indonesian National Armed Forces, and regrets that the draft bills to introduce legal provisions containing a definition and prohibition of torture in line with the Convention have not been adopted. He expresses concern that torture is equated to "maltreatment" in the Criminal Code thus lacking several elements of the definition of torture in article 1 of the Convention against Torture, such as the elements of purpose, mental pain or suffering, and agency. The Special Rapporteur encourages the Government to define torture as a matter of priority in accordance with articles 1 and 4 of the Convention against Torture, with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture.

43. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the clarification received regarding the provision of the Criminal Procedure Code allowing detainees the right to challenge the validity of detention, and expresses hope that this procedure is effectively used in practice. The Special Rapporteur reiterates his concern that there have been no new developments regarding reducing the time limit for police custody from 61 days to maximum of 48 hours.

44. The Special Rapporteur notes that the Indonesian Constitutional Court has increased the minimum age of criminal responsibility at 12 years old. He encourages the Government to expedite the revision of the Law on Juvenile Justice System and the adoption of a restorative justice system for children in conflict with the law.

45. The Special Rapporteur commends the Government for the efforts made to improve detention conditions, in particular with a view to providing health care, improving the quantity and quality of food, ensuring the separation of minors from adults and of pre-trial prisoners from convicts.

46. Finally, the Special Rapporteur wishes to reiterate the appeal to the Government to make a declaration under article 22 of the CAT providing the UN Committee against Torture with the competence to receive and consider individual complaints. He commends the Government for commencing the ratification process of the OPCAT providing for a National Preventive Mechanism.

Recommendations		Steps taken in previous years	
(A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72-		(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and	Information received in the reporting
92)	Situation during visit	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	period

Impunity

and criminalized as a matter of priority and as a concrete demonstration of Indonesia's commitment to combat the problem, in accordance with articles 1 and 4 of the Convention against Torture, with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture.

73. Torture should be defined Indonesia's domestic legal norms Non-governmental sources: By 2009 did not contain a definition of Convention of Torture;

> Indonesia's Criminal Code referred only to "maltreatment", which lacked several elements of the torture definition. such as the elements of purpose, mental pain or suffering, and agency. Draft bills to rectify these shortcomings had been considered for several years without being adopted;

The Criminal Code outlawing inter alia the extraction of a confession stipulated a maximum imprisonment of only four years;

Law 39/1999 on Human Rights referred to the prohibition of torture, however lacks an effective mechanism for dealing with individual complaints since it was restricted to cases perpetrated as part of "a broad and systematic attack against civilians".

there was no legal provision containing a torture which was in line with the definition and prohibition of torture in line with the United Nations Convention against Torture (UNCAT). The draft bill to rectify these shortcomings is still pending. Similarly, no amendments have been made with regard to introducing penalties which would be commensurate to the gravity of the crime. Komnas HAM (the National Human Rights Commission) can take up individual complaints; however, it is only mandated to formulate recommendations.

> Government: The revision of the Penal Code is underway. The Ministry of Law and Human Rights, the Supreme Court, the Office of the Attorney General and the Indonesian Parliament are involved in drafting the bill to this effect which will include the definition of torture in accordance with the Convention against Torture. The review of the current system is a lengthy process and the bill will be adopted only when it is passed as a whole.

Torture, as stipulated in Article 1, section 4 of Law no. 39/1999 on Human Rights. is defined as "every act conducted intentionally, which causes severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, in order to obtain confession or information from somebody or a third person, punishing him for an act he or a third person has committed, or intimidating or coercing him or a third person, or for any reason based on discrimination of any

Government: The Regulation of the Commander of the Indonesian National Armed Forces No. 73/IX/2012 from 20 September 2010 on Acts against Torture and Other Inhuman Treatment in Enforcing the Law in the Indonesian National Armed Forces defines torture (Article 1) and provides legal sanction on any violations to such acts (Article 12). as stipulated in the Indonesian Penal Code (Articles 351-355). Torture is equated with maltreatment which shall be punished with imprisonment ranging from 2 to 9 years. Such maltreatment is processed through the Public Court with the investigation by the Police, the prosecution by the Attorney and the trial by the District Court.

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity."	
		Law no. 39/1999 provides a broader and more comprehensive scope with regard to the definition of torture.	
74. The declaration should be made with respect to article 22 of the Convention recognizing the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications from individuals who claim to be victims of a violation of the provisions of the Convention.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No declaration has been made under article 22.	
75. The Government should ensure that corporal punishment, independently of the physical suffering it causes, is explicitly criminalized in all parts of the country.	Sharia law, incorporated into the 2005 Aceh Criminal Code, provided for flogging and affected disproportionally women; Corporal punishment was regularly applied in several prisons and openly acknowledged by prison officials; Despite a prohibition of corporal punishment of children, minors and children were at high risk of corporal punishment in their families, schools, and in detention.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In September 2009 the Aceh Legislative Council adopted a new Islamic Criminal Legal Code which imposes severe sentences for consensual extra-marital sexual relations, rape, homosexuality, alcohol consumption and gambling. Among other sanctions, the Code imposes the punishment of stoning to death for adultery for those who are married; 100 cane lashes for adultery committed by those individuals who are unmarried; caning for individuals engaging in sexual activities out of wedlock; although the law is applicable to the population as a whole, in practice women are far more likely to become victims of stoning due to patriarchal and discriminatory practices and policies, as well as biological differences such as	

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during winit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
2)	Situation during visit	pregnancy.	periou
		Government: After the adoption of Qanun Jinayah by the Aceh House of Representative (DPRA) in September 2009, the provincial government of Aceh submitted an official letter rejecting this Qanun in its present form and requested a revision of the provisions relating to stoning in the above-mentioned law. The Governor of Aceh has not yet signed Qanun Jinayah. His approval is mandatory before a provincial law can be formally enacted (article 23 (1), Law no. 11/2006).	
		Law No. 23/2004 on Domestic Violence ensures that any act of violence against children will be punished by law. The National Action Plan for the Eradication of Violence against Children of 2006, the "Stop Violence Against Children" campaign and various pilot projects conducted by Friendly Schools for Children in several regions further strengthened the implementation of the law.	
76. Officials at the highest evel should condemn torture and announce a zero-tolerance policy vis-à-vis any ill- reatment by State officials. The Government should adopt an anti-torture action plan which foresees awareness- raising programmes and raining for all stakeholders, ncluding the National Human Rights Commission and civil society representatives, in		 Non-governmental sources: To raise human rights awareness, the Chief of the Indonesian Police issued regulation nr. 8/2009 concerning the principles of implementation and standards of human rights for the police when on duty. Government: The Indonesian National Police Office has adopted Regulation No.8/2009 regarding the implementation of the Principles and Standards of Human Rights in the course of duty for Police Officers. Any officer of the Indonesian 	Government: The dissemination and simulation of the Regulation No. 73/IX/2012 has been convened in several areas. The Indonesian National Police has further implemented the <i>Community</i> <i>Policing Strategy</i> as stipulated in Regulation No, 7/2008 of the Chief of the Indonesian National Police regarding the Basic Guidelines on <i>Community</i> <i>Policing Strategy</i> and No. 8/2009 on Implementation of Principles and Standards of Human Rights to the

₹
H
\mathbf{z}
0
1
×
Ĕ
\mathbf{A}
ğ
5
u

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
order to lead them to live up to their human rights obligations and fulfil their specific task in the fight against torture.		National Police, who is in breach of human rights principles, will be punished in accordance with Article 60, paragraph 2, of Government Regulation No.2/2003 and regulation No.7/2006 of the Chief of the Indonesian National Police regarding the Enforcement of the Professional Ethics of Police Officers.	behaviour of Police Officers on duty. The Indonesian National Police and the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights, in collaboration with IOM conducted a programme to raise awareness and a training for other stakeholders or the National Action Plans for Human Rights and Community Police in 26 provinces.
		The Indonesian National Police has further implemented the Community Policing Strategy as stipulated in Regulation No.7/2008 of the Chief of the Indonesian National Police regarding the Basic Guidelines on Community Policing Strategy and Implementation as they apply to the behaviour of Police Officers on duty. Until 2010, the Indonesian National Police will collaborate with the IOM in disseminating information about the regulations which incorporate a human rights aspect for the country's police officers. The effective implementation of these regulations will be beneficial for fostering a violence-free society.	
		The Indonesian National Armed Forces are currently preparing regulations concerning anti-violence course of actions in compliance with the provisions of the Convention against Torture.	
77. All allegations of torture and ill-treatment should be promptly and thoroughly investigated ex-officio by an independent authority with no connection to the authority investigating or prosecuting the case against the alleged	There was a lack of adequate mechanisms to investigate allegations of torture and quasi- total impunity for security personnel, especially of the police and military, for current as well as past violations;	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : In 2009 there was still widespread impunity for members of the security forces responsible for serious violations of human rights, including torture, particularly with regard to atrocities committed in East Timor, Papua, Aceh, the Malukus and Kalimantan. A number	Government: A proper and comprehensive action, including due process of law, will be taken for every act involving torture or inhuman treatment committed by personnel of the Indonesian National Armed Forces. Similar procedure is also applied to the

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
victim.	Investigating authorities were mostly institutionally linked to suspected perpetrators, and therefore not independent.	of internal and external mechanisms exist in Indonesia to monitor police work, but none of these institutions had the mandate, independence and authority to hold police officers accountable for human rights violations. An independent public complaints board that would guarantee that police officials who violate human rights would be brought to justice and victims receive reparations was still lacking. Komnas Ham can investigate allegations of torture as an independent institution and has the authority to conduct monitoring or inquiries into allegations.	Indonesian National Police.
priority, the period of police custody should be reduced to a time limit in line with international standards (maximum of 48 hours); after this period the detainees should be transferred to a pre- trial facility under a different authority, where no further unsupervised contact with the interrogators or investigators should be permitted.	iod of police be reduced to a e with ndardsCode authorized a maximum length of 61 days only in very specific circumstances, the imposition of such a long period was applied as a standard procedure;8 hours); after erred to a pre- er a different e no further intact with the investigatorsCode authorized a maximum length of 61 days only in very specific circumstances, the imposition of such a long period was applied as a standard procedure;Detainees remained under exclusive police authority for a period exceeding many times the maximum period permitted under intermationel law, making abusen	Government: Currently, there are no new developments regarding the length of police custody.	Government: Detention is regulated by Law No. 8/1981 on Criminal Proceeding Code, particularly Articles 24-29. A warrant of detention or warrant of further detention shall be served on a suspect/an accused who is strongly presumed to have committed an offense based on sufficient evidence. The detention shall meet the following requirements: it shall be preceded by a warrant of detention; a copy of such warrant must be provided to the suspect/the accused person's family; such warrant shall only be valid for at the most 20 days.
	significantly more difficult since visible traces were likely to have disappeared once the detainee had been released or transferred.		According to Article 29, Section 1, for the purpose of an examination, the period of detention of a suspect/an accused may be extended on the basis of proper and unavoidable reasons, because: the suspect/accused is suffering from a serious physical/mental disturbances as evidenced by a doctor's certificate, or the case being examined is liable to

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	~ ~ ~		imprisonment of nine years or more.
			Article 29, Section 6, stipulates that after 60 days period, even though the case in question is still being examined or has not yet been decided, the suspect/accuse must have been released from detention by operation of law.
			The Ministry of Justice and Human Rights, Ministry of Finance, the Indonesian National Police and the Attorney have concluded a MoU dated 9 June on the Management of Penitentiaries which are not managed by the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights.
79. All detainees should be effectively guaranteed the ability to challenge the lawfulness of the detention before an independent court, e.g. through habeas corpus proceedings.	Whereas the Criminal Procedure Code contains a provision allowing detainees the right to challenge the validity of detention, the Special Rapporteur has received numerous indications that this procedure is not used in practice; Women held in Social Welfare Centres have no access to judicial review of their detention.		Government: The <i>habeas corpus</i> act procedure in a format of pre-trial hearing is stipulated in Articles 77-83 Law No. 8/1981 on Criminal Proceedings Code. The articles enable the accused/defendant/members of their family to submit a request for an examination of the legality of an arrest/detention. Following such request within 3 days, the judge shall set the day of the pre-trial hearing. Within 7 days at the latest, the judge must have passed his/her judgment. In the event that the judgment rules that an arrest/detention is illegal, the suspect/defendant must immediately be released.
			A suspect/an accused shall have the rights to obtain legal assistance from on or more legal counsels during the period of and at every stage of examination.
			The penitentiary will not detail a

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72-		Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and	Information received in the reporting
92)	Situation during visit	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	<i>period</i> suspect/an accused without a warrant.
80. Judges and prosecutors should routinely ask persons arriving from police custody how they have been treated, and if they suspect that they have been subjected to ill-	Judges and prosecutors did not routinely enquire whether persons had been ill-treated during police custody or initiated any ex-officio investigations;	Government: Complete police records s on the medical condition of prisoners are essential for transferring prisoners from	suspectrali accused without a warrant.
treatment, order an independent medical examination in accordance with the Istanbul Protocol,	Reports about non-action of judges, prosecutors and other members of the judiciary vis-à- vis allegations of torture;		
even in the absence of a formal complaint from the defendant.	No medical examinations are carried out after transfer of detainees;	-	
	No forensic examinations are carried out in cases of allegations of abuse.		
81. The maintenance of custody registers should be scrupulously ensured.	Registers were either inexistent or lacked the most important information;	Armed Forces and the Indonesian National Police.	Government: The Indonesian National Armed Forces has established four military penitentiaries under the
	Not all persons were registered;		supervision of the Central Military Penitentiary, which also receives regular
	Insufficient registers blurred accountability and rendered		updated data and report on the detainees.
	external scrutiny more difficult. Cases of torture were more easily hidden.	Any actions by police officers, including detention, are registered in registration books B1 to B17, as stipulated in the Book of Technical Guidelines, a Book of Action Guidelines concerning administrative procedures in conducting investigations for criminal acts.	
82. Confessions made by persons in custody without the presence of a lawyer and which are not confirmed before a judge shall not be	Many allegations of confessions under torture, which were admissible during court proceedings, were received.	Government : Investigators in the Criminal Investigation Section of the National Police have been instructed by their superior officers to provide access to the media when interrogating suspects.	Government: In accordance with Article 171 Law No. 31/1997 on Military Court, a judge shall not sentence a defendant, except when the judge acquires at least 2 legal evidences and convinces that a

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
admissible as evidence against the persons who made the		However, as no legal provision exists to strengthen such a procedure, the	criminal act has taken place and the defendant is guilty of the offense.
confession. Serious consideration should be given to video and audio taping of interrogations, including of all persons present.		Indonesian National Police will provide the legal basis through a Regulation of the Chief of the Indonesian National Police concerning Media Coverage during the Interrogation of Suspected Persons. When this regulation comes into effect, any interrogation of suspects which is not carried out with sufficient video and audio taping will not be accepted.	In every criminal case, an accused/a defendant has the right to obtain legal assistance from a legal counsel in the event that: a. a request is made by the accused/defendant; b. a suspect/an accused is suspected of or accused of having committed a criminal offense which is liable to a 15 year or more imprisonment. In this case, the officer in charge shall be obliged to assign a legal counsel for the accused/defendant (Article 217, Section 1, Law No. 31/199 on Military Court).
83. Accessible and effective complaints mechanisms should be established. These should be accessible from all over the country and from all	No effective and independent complaints mechanism; Torture survivors had no possibility to address their	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although there are a number of internal and external mechanisms monitoring police work in 2009, none of these institutions has the mandate, independence and	Government: The Indonesian National Armed Forces has established monitorin bodies at the central and district levels to conduct an investigation and a routine examination on every report.
over the country and from all places of detention; complaints by detainees should be followed up by independent and thorough investigations, and complainants must be protected against any reprisals. The agencies in charge of conducting investigations, inter alia Probam, should receive targeted training.	authority to hold police officers accountable for human rights violations. There is no independent public complaints board that would guarantee that police officials who violate human rights are brought to justice and victims receive reparations. Torture survivors, however, have the possibility to address	With regard to access to complaint mechanism, complaints boxes, as well a online and SMS texting, are available in every penitentiary. In addition, The Sub Section of Complaint Services and the Internal Supervision Unit of the Penitentiary have been established.	
		their complaints to Komnas HAM and its regional representatives, which may open inquiries and make recommendations. The Indonesian Police follow up on Komnas HAM's recommendations.	The Ministry of Justice and Human Rights has established the Directorate for Community Communication Service aiming at addressing human rights issue In practice, it closely cooperates with the Committees for the National Action Pla for Human Rights at the national and
		Government : The Indonesian National Police encourages the general public to make complaints about acts of violence	district levels. The Standard Operation Procedure (SOP) for the Community Communication Service has also been

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		committed by police officers, including establishing Mail Box 777, SMS texting, Cell Phone, the Public Service Centre, the Care Centre for Women and Children and the National Police Commission.	prepared.
		The National Commission on Human Rights and National Police Commission are amongst the institutions mandated to deal with cases of torture. The establishment of The Victim and Witness Protection Body has strengthened the existing mechanisms put in place to protect victims and witnesses of torture.	
84. The Government of Indonesia should expediently accede to the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture, and establish a truly independent National Preventive Mechanism (NPM) to carry out unannounced visits to all places of detention.	Indonesia was not party to the OPCAT; The National Human Rights Action Plan (2004-2009) foresaw the ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture in 2008.	Non-governmental sources: In 2009 Indonesia had not yet signed the Optional Protocol. Komnas HAM proposed to the Indonesian Police to give Komnas HAM authority to visit police detention facilities with or without announcement. Government : The process of ratification of the OPCAT has not yet been completed. The Government is closely working with other stakeholders to ensure a steady progress on the process of ratification.	Government: In line with the ongoing ratification process of the OPCAT, in collaboration with other countries, the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights is establishing a roadmap as an initial step to prepare a training program on Convention against Torture for law enforcement officers. The Indonesian National Armed Forces has stipulated regulations to prevent torture in line with the Regulation of the Commander of the Indonesian National Armed Forces No. 73/IX/2010 dated 20 September 2010 on Acts against Torture and Other Inhuman Treatment in Enforcing the Law in the Indonesian National Armed Forces.
85. The Government of Indonesia should support the National Commission on Human Rights and the National Commission on Violence against Women in their endeavours to become	The National Human Rights Commission and the Police signed a Memorandum of Understanding granting free access to police facilities. However, its visits so far were in reaction to complaints, and no	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : In 2009 the Memorandum of Understanding between Komnas HAM and the Police was scheduled for review, including a proposal by Komnas HAM to open up police facilities to unannounced visits and private interviews with detainees.	Government: The Indonesian National Armed Forces fully support the work of the National Committee for Human Rights (<i>Komnas HAM</i>) and the National Committee for Women to eradicate torture in accordance with Article 8 of the Regulation No. 73.

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
effective players in the fight against torture and provide them with the necessary resources and training to ensure their effective functioning.	unannounced visits to places of detention and/or private interviews with detainees took place; The National Commission on	With the aim of strengthening the implementation of its tasks, Komnas HAM has been developing amendments to the Laws on Human Rights (39/1999) and Human Rights Courts (No. 26/2000).	The Security Unit and the Field Intelligence Unit of the Indonesian National Armed Forces also conducts a strict supervision, particularly in the conflict areas.
lunctioning.	Violence against Women monitors the situation of violence against women in the country, but undertakes visits to places of detention only on an ad hoc basis.	Government: The Indonesian National Police is currently studying the proposal of Komnas HAM (National Committee for Human Rights) regarding unannounced visits and private interviews, in accordance with a review of the Memorandum of Understanding between the National Committee for Human Rights and the Indonesian National Police.	
Excessive violence			
86. The Special Rapporteur recalls that excessive violence during military and police actions can amount to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. The Government of Indonesia should take all steps necessary to stop the use of excessive violence during police and military operations, above all in conflict areas such as Papua and Central	There were consistent allegations about the use of excessive force by security forces, who routinely engaged in largely indiscriminate village "sweeping" operations in search of alleged independence activists and their supporters, or raids on university boarding houses, using excessive force.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In 2009 the army, police and particularly mobile paramilitary units (Brimob) conducted largely indiscriminate village "sweeping" operations in the Central Highlands of Papua, often using excessive, sometimes lethal force against civilians. Soldiers routinely arrested Papuans without legal authority, transferred them to military barracks and ill-treated them. Prison guards continued to torture inmates inside Abepura prison.	Government: Military personnel assigned in Papua carry out their duty i accordance with the main duty of the Indonesian National Armed Forces. An military personnel who commit an act inconsistent with the law will be duly processed before the law. Concerning the case of Abepura, the Indonesian National Police explained that the protestors used violence against
Sulawesi.		Government: The security issues in Papua have been addressed based on the prevailing laws and regulations in Indonesia. In addition to the various laws prohibiting the use of torture and ill- treatment in prisons, the government has also adopted a policy to address the demands of prisoners to be supervised by local prison officers from the Papua	the police officers resulting in the deat of four officers. The protesters also got hurt in the event. The investigation by the National Committee for Human Rights (<i>Komnas HAM</i>) found no evidence of violation of human rights the the personnel of the Indonesian Nation Police.

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		province.	the Indonesian National Police successfully caught the offenders and seized the weapons for committing the violence. They have been duly processed before the law and most of them have been sentenced to 5 to 15 years of imprisonment. At present, the situation in Poso is more conducive and is back to normalcy.
			In carrying out their duty, police officers refrain from committing discriminatory acts based on particular religion or ethnic group.
Conditions of detention			
87. The Government of Indonesia should continue efforts to improve detention conditions, in particular with a view to providing health care, treat rather than punish persons with mental disabilities, and improve the quantity and quality of food. The Government, in all detention contexts, should ensure the separation of minors from adults and of pre- trial prisoners from convicts and train and deploy female personnel to women's sections of prisons and custody facilities.	Conditions of detention varied considerably throughout the country, facilities in urban areas were overcrowded, while prisons outside of Java offered enough space; Overcrowded facilities, e.g. Chipinang prison, were confronted with sanitary and health difficulties, corruption, and inter-prisoner violence; Numerous complaints about the quality of food were voiced; Punishment cells as well as new arrival areas were not in line with international standards; Persons with mental disabilities were often held in punishment cells; Convicted and pre-trial detainees were not separated in many	 Government: The Ministry of Justice and Human Rights made several improvements in detention centres and prison facilities: - the detention centre and prison facilities in Cipinang Prison have been expanded into prisons, temporary detention centres and prisons for detainees charged with narcotic substances, - From 2007 to 2009, Cipinang Prison has conducted reintegration programs for detainees on conditional release, or cuti bersyarat (temporary conditional release). Between 2007 and September 2009, 16.400 prisoners were released. - A MoU was signed with the Ministry of Health on the improvement of sanitation and the development of internal infirmary units equipped with standard treatment facilities in all prisons, which are expected to be implemented in 2010. - Minors/Juvenile prisoners have been 	 The Ministry of Justice and Human Rights has made several improvements to the detention centre and prison facilities, such as: a. improving the menu by changing the 7-day-variation to 10-day-variation of the menu and providing the calories needed; b. improving the health service for detainees by increasing the budget. The latter, however, is not sufficient to meet the ideal standard health treatment. In addition, the Indonesian National Police has intensified the Center for Coordinated Service in the Police Hospitals as well as Public Hospitals in the areas prone to trafficking in persons. c. Applying gender-friendly system, such as separating female

Information received in the reporting period
detainees from male detainees
and allocating female super
visors for female and child
detainees.
The Indonesian National Armed Forces,
as well as the Indonesian National Police,
has also made several improvement,

a.	Conducting physical and mental
	training to detainees by
	professional personnel;

such as:

- b. Applying gender-friendly system, such as separating female from male detainees and allocating female supervisors for female detainees;
- c. Applying no child detainee policy, as the Regulation of the Government of Indonesia No. 39/2010 on the Administration of the Soldiers stipulates that the minimum age to be a soldier is 18 years old by the time of inauguration;
- d. Intensifying specialized education and trainings for officers to deal with victims of violence including trafficking in persons, particularly women and children, and providing a special room for them to submit their complaints to guarantee their safety and security (Ruang Pelayanan Khusus/RPK).

Government: The Memorandum of Understanding between the Indonesian

88. The Government of Indonesia should ensure that Corruption was deeply ingrained in the criminal justice system,

Situation during visit

facilities.

Non-governmental sources: In 2009 efforts to combat corruption ran the risk

Steps taken in previous years

separated from regular prisoners. The

Ministry of Health and UNICEF have

medical centres for minors/juvenile

conducted advance research to develop

prisoners and are providing guidance on

building prisons for juvenile detainees.

(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and

A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)

Recommendations

92)

(A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72-

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
the criminal justice system is non-discriminatory at every stage, combat corruption, which disproportionately affects the poor, the vulnerable and minorities, and take effective measures against corruption by public officials responsible for the administration of justice, including judges, prosecutors, police and prison personnel.	leading to discrimination in terms of conditions, notably access to food, sanitary facilities, health care and the possibility to receive	of having no actual impact. Pending legislation potentially undermined the effectiveness and even very existence of the Anti-Corruption Commission, e.g. by limiting its mandate to investigative functions and reducing the number of ad- hoc judges to sit on trial panels. Government: The President has launched a campaign to combat mafia style networks within the Indonesian justice system making this task a key priority. In December 2009, a Task Force dedicated to the eradication of corruption in general and ensuring justice for all was established.	Corruption has been signed.
Death penalty			
89. The death penalty should be abolished. While it is still applied, the secrecy surrounding the death penalty and executions should stop immediately.	The death sentences were executed.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In 2009 the death penalty continued to be imposed and executed. According to available information, 10 persons were executed in 2008.	
		The October 2009 Islamic Criminal Legal Code in Aceh stipulates stoning to death for adultery for those who are married.	
		In September 2009, the Government agreed to adopt a bill providing the death penalty as possible punishment for leaking state secrets.	
		Government : In 2007, during the judicial review of the death penalty, the Constitutional Court ruled that the death penalty was still applicable under the Indonesian Constitution. However, its application has been limited to perpetrators of serious crimes and does	

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
92)	Situation auring visit	not apply to children or pregnant women.	period
Children			
90. The age of criminal responsibility should be raised as a matter of priority. Through further reform of the juvenile justice system, Indonesia should take immediate measures to ensure that deprivation of liberty of minors is used only as a last resort and for the shortest possible period of time and in appropriate conditions. Children in detention should be strictly separated from adults.	Criminal responsibility started in Indonesia at the age of 8; Small children were put in detention facilities and prisons, very often mixed with much older children and adults.	Law No.23/2002 on the Protection of	 Government: Following the request for a judicial review from the Indonesian Commission for Child Protection, the Indonesian Constitutional Court has decided that the minimum age of a person who can be held legally accountable before the law is 12 years old. a. In March 2012, the Government has proposed a revision to Law No. 3/1997 on Trial Proceeding for Children, which is currentl under the discussion in the Parliament. The revision includes: b. Raising the minimum age of a person who can be held legally accountable before the law, from 8 years old to 12 years of
		 Expedite the revision of Law No. 3 of 1997 regarding Juvenile Justice System to focus on raising the minimum age of criminal responsibility from 8 years old to 12 years old, and adopt a restorative justice system for children in conflict with the law; Continue dissemination of the Convention and the Laws on Juvenile Justice and on Child Protection, especially to law enforcement personnel involved in juvenile criminal justice system; Intensify trainings on juvenile criminal 	 c. Detention and imprisonment are considered as the last resort; d. Changing the term "imprisonment" to "special treatment"; e. The arrangement of a child-friendly justice system; f. The approach of restorative justice for children in conflict with the law. Currently there are 16 child penitentiari in 16 provinces.

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72-		Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and	Information received in the reporting
92)	Situation during visit	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) justice system for law enforcement personnel;	period
		 Develop a data and information system documenting cases of children in conflict with the law; 	
		- Develop Women and Child Protection Units (UPPA) in all police office at district levels;	
		- Increase the involvement of public researchers on children in conflict with the law (BAPAS) in the court process; and	
		Develop a child-friendly justice system.	
Women			
91. In consultation with the Commission on Violence against Women, the Government should establish effective mechanisms to enforce the prohibition of violence against women, including in the family and wider community, above all through further awareness- raising within the law- enforcement organs.	household and establishing	Government: The Government has developed a system for registering and reporting cases of violence against children and the discrimination, harassment, mistreatment and neglect of child victims. This mechanism is in operation through the Women's Empowerment and Child Protection Bureau at the regency/municipality, provincial and national levels.	
	iaw.	Governmental Decree No 4/2006 on the Conduct of and Cooperation on the Rehabilitation of Victims of Domestic Violence, and Decree No 1/2007 of the Minister for the Empowerment of Women on the Coordination Forum on the Elimination of Domestic Violence, have been issued as guidelines for the implementation of Law No 23/2004. As a follow-up to the Joint Decree of the Minister for the Empowerment of Women, the Minister of Health, the	

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72		Steps taken in previous years(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 andInformation received in the reportion	
92)	Situation during visit	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Minister of Social Affairs, and the National Police, several institutions for the defense and protection of victims of domestic violence have been established, including the Women and Children Service Units in 305 Provincial and District Police Offices; 22 Crisis Centres/Women's Trauma Centres; 20 Integrated Crisis Centres in General Hospitals; and 42 Integrated Service Centres in Police Hospitals.	period
		Registration and reports on the action taken in handling acts of violence, exploitation and discrimination against women have been carried out through national surveys as well as through the reporting system in the Service Units since 2007. It is further strengthened by the establishment of reporting and registry facilitation teams in 15 provinces and 242 regencies/districts. In addition, coordinating forums between General Hospitals, Provincial, and District Police Offices, as well as social reintegration and rehabilitation service units have been established in almost half of the country.	
		The National Action Plan on the Elimination of Violence against Women has emphasized the need for prevention, empowerment and rehabilitation efforts for the victims of domestic violence. The enactment of Law No 21/2007 on the Elimination of Trafficking in Persons and the draft Law on the Protection of Domestic Helpers have further strengthened the protection provided to the victims. Media publicity on domestic violence has proved to be a useful tool in raising public awareness among the	I

Recommendations (A/HRC/7/3/Add.7, para. 72- 92)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
)2)	Situation during visit	general public.	periou
Recommendation to the international community			
92. The Special Rapporteur requests the international community to support the efforts of Indonesia in			
reforming its criminal law system. In particular, all measures to establish well-			
resourced and independent national preventive mechanisms in compliance with international standards			
that cover the entire territory of Indonesia should be treated as a priority and supported			
with generous financial assistance.			

Jamaica

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur in the report of his visit to Jamaica from 12 to 21 February 2010 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3) 2010 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)

47. By letter dated 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Jamaica, requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations. The Government of Jamaica responded by providing information on the measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations.

48. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the fact that torture is prohibited under the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms; however he remains concerned that there remains no definition of torture as a separate offence in the criminal law.²⁸ The Special Rapporteur welcomes the steps taken to review the Convention against Torture with a view to considering its ratification.

49. The Special Rapporteur expresses concern about the reported cases of torture and ill-treatment by law enforcement bodies, including the reported *de facto* extrajudicial killings by police, and the lack of prompt and thorough investigations launched into allegations of ill-treatment or excessive use of police force and number of convictions held. He calls upon the authorities to ensure prompt and through ex officio investigations for all allegations of ill-treatment or excessive use of police force by clarifying the mandates of the Independent Commission of Investigations (INDECOM) and the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions with respect to the conduct of investigations and prosecutions.²⁹

50. The Special Rapporteur notes that although the Office of the Public Defender is mandated to visit police lock-ups, it has reportedly been facing ongoing difficulties for undertaking preventive visits to places of detention due to recently withdrawn requirement of 48-hour advance notice prior to undertaking the visit and an incident of death threat to ones if its members. He calls upon the Government to establish an effective independent national human rights institution, and provide it with adequate financial and human resources.

51. The Special Rapporteur expresses concern that according to the information received, the detention periods in pre-charge detention have reportedly ranged from three to 25 days, in violation of domestic law. The Special Rapporteur urges the Government to reduce, as a matter of priority, the period of police custody to a maximum of 48 hours; ensure that access to lawyers of the suspect's own choosing is granted from the very moment of apprehension and that no further unsupervised contact with the interrogators or investigators should be permitted.

52. The Special Rapporteur observes that although complaints and allegations of abuse are reportedly sent to the Inspectorate Unit of the Ministry of National Security for investigation, the fact remains that this mechanism is marred by allegations of a lack of independence and ineffectiveness and that the complaints are essentially addressed to the same body alleged to have perpetrated the

²⁸ See para. 21 of the Concluding observations of the Human Rights Committee, Jamaica, 2011.

²⁹ See also Concluding observations of the Human Rights Committee, Jamaica, 2011, para. 10.

ill-treatment. The Special Rapporteur looks forward to receiving statistics and information on complaints received by these mechanisms, including the number of individuals prosecuted and convicted, and the reparations awarded to the victims.

53. The Special Rapporteur takes note with appreciation of various bills introduced in 2010 to address the root causes of violent crime and encourages the Government to focus on preventative measures.

54. While the Special Rapporteur notes the efforts to improve the situation in the police lockups at May Pen and Montego Bay police stations, he regrets that they continue to hold remandees. The Special Rapporteur expresses concern that although the conditions at remand and correctional facilities are generally better than in police stations; many prisons remain overcrowded, lacking sanitary facilities. He calls upon the Government to ensure that detention conditions comply with international minimum sanitary and hygienic standards and that detainees are provided with basic necessities, such as bedding, food and health care.

55. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government decided not to consider the abolition of the death penalty. He agrees with his predecessor's recommendation on abolishing capital punishment because, under the conditions of its imposition and execution in Jamaica, the practice constitutes cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or, in some cases, torture.

56. Finally, the Special Rapporteur encourages the Government to reconsider its decision not to re-accede to the First Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, providing the Committee with the competence to examine individual complaints, with a view to ensuring that the rights of individuals to an effective remedy are strengthened.³⁰

³⁰ See also Concluding observations of the Human Rights Committee, Jamaica, 2011, para 10.

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
Impunity		
 (a) Issue a public condemnation of torture and ill-treatment, including excessive use of police force; (b) Ratify the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman Degrading Treatment or punishme and the Optional Protocol thereto; providing for regular preventive visits to all places of detention by independent domestic monitoring body; a declaration should be mad with respect to article 22 of the Convention; 	an	 Government: The Government does not condone or subscribe to the torture, ill-treatment or abuse of its citizens. Statements and public declarations to this effect have been made at variou intervals by Government officials. Government: Jamaica is reviewing the Convention against Torture with a view to taking a decision on ratification. The Jamaican Constitution, however, expressly prohibits torture, inhuman or degrading punishment or other such treatment. There is, therefore, specific constitutional redress against torture. In addition, there are provisions in the Offences against the Person Act, which criminalize offences such as assault occasioning bodily harm, wounding with intent and unlawful wounding, which comprise elements of the act of torture as defined by the Convention. There is opportunity for visits to be undertaken to places of detention. It is critical, however, that advance notice is given since the police have an obligation to ensure safety and security of visitors, members of the force, prisoners and members of the public Defender, for example, can visit police lock-ups and there is a procedure in place, in keeping with the aforementioned obligations Access cannot be facilitated if the procedure is not upheld, as has occurred in the past. Non-governmental sources: The Government has indicated that it is reviewing the CAT to decide whether or not to ratify it.³¹

³¹ Human Rights Council. (11 March 2011). Report of the Working Group on the Universal Periodic Review: Jamaica (Addendum). A/HRC/16/14/Add.1. (p.2paragraph (b)).

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
(c) Re-accede to the First Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, providing for the right to lodge individual complaints to the Human Rights Committee;		It is reported that there are on-going difficulties for undertaking preventative visits to places of detention. When in September 2010, the Office of the Public Defender (OPD) attempted to visit the Hunts Bay Police Station in Kingston, OPD personnel were barred from accessing the cells. Initial calls to the Commissioner of Police resulted in the granting of access on the condition that the OPD give 48 hours notice to the station. ³² However, the stipulation was later withdrawn due to public outery and OPD objections. ³³ It is alleged that within a week of the incident at Hunts Bay, another member of the OPD received a death threat that stipulated the inspector would be killed if they came to inspect the Spanish Town police lock-up. ³⁴ Government: While Jamaica is not party to the First Optional Protocol, individuals still retain the right to petition an international human rights body through the Inter American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR). The rights considered in a petition to the IACHR under the <i>American</i> <i>Convention on Human Rights</i> Committee under the ICCPR. The rights listed in the ICCPR are also assured under the <i>Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms</i> . Violations of those rights can be upheld in Jamaican courts by way of Constitutional redress.

 ³² Public Defender jail probe being met with resistance. (01 Sept 2010). RJR News. Available via http://rjrnewsonline.com/news/local/public-defender-jailprobe-being-met-resistance
 ³³ Ellington backtracks. (08 Sept. 2010). RJR News. Available via http://rjrnewsonline.com/news/local/ellington-backtracks
 ³⁴ Public Defender's representative threatened. (09 Sept. 2010). RJR News. Available via http://rjrnewsonline.com/news/local/public-defenders-

representative threatened.

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that the Governmen has reportedly stated it does not intend to re-accede to the Optional Protocol to the Covenant. ³⁵
(d) Pay adequate compensation to all successful complainants who lodged an individual communication under		Government: When the Government accepts liability in accordance with recommendations of the Human Rights Committee, ex gratia payments are made to petitioners.
the First Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights;		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> People in the territory of Jamaica do not have recourse to seek compensation or individual complaint under the First Optional Protocol, due to the fact that the Government is not a signatory.
(e) Amend domestic penal law to include the crime of torture in full accordance with article 1 of the CAT, and to ensure that it is subject to adequate penalties;	Torture is not defined in criminal legislation in Jamaica, nor is Jamaica a party to the CAT.	Government: While torture is not defined in criminal legislation in Jamaica, there is a reference in civil law, i.e. <i>Section 13(6) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights an Freedoms</i> provides that "no person shall be subjected to tortur or inhuman or degrading treatment or other punishment" <i>Section 13(7) of the Charter</i> provides that "Nothing containe in or done under the authority of any law shall be held to b inconsistent with or in contravention of subsection (6) to the extent that the law in question authorizes the infliction of an description of punishment which was lawful in Jamaic immediately before the commencement of the <i>Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms (Constitutiona Amendment) Act, 2011</i> ".
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> While the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms, Section 13(6) now protect any person from torture or inhuman or degrading punishment or other treatment, there remains no clear definition of torture Further, it is reported that Section 13(7) essentially retains the legality of punishments which constitute torture or inhuman or degrading punishment under the Covenant. In addition, the

³⁵ See: CCPR Human Rights Committee. (11 July 2011). Replies from the Government of Jamaica to the list of issues to be taken up in connection with the consideration of the third periodic report of Jamaica. CCPR/C/JAM/Q/3/Add.1. (p. 2, paragraph 5).

Offences Against the Person Act, would not, in the view of

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
(f) Ensure prompt and thorough ex officio investigations for all allegations of ill-treatment or excessive use of police force by an authority that is independent from the investigation and prosecution. Any officer known to be abusive should be removed from custody duties. Heads of police stations and	Many investigations are not prompt or effective, and prosecutions in cases involving the security forces are rare.	 civil society, protect all victims of torture in the Jamaican context, and does not provide a remedy to individuals who are subject to abuse by state agents which relates not only to acts that cause physical pain but also acts that cause mental suffering to the victim. Government: The Government is committed to the prompt and thorough investigation of all allegations of ill-treatment or excessive use of force by the police force. The procedure governing the removal of officers from frontline duty is contained in the <i>Police Services Regulations and the Constabulary Force Act.</i> Officers who are alleged to have committed acts with criminal implications are suspended from the service (i.e. active duty).
detention facilities should be made aware of their supervisory responsibility.		If it is unclear whether the matter will result in disciplinary action, the officer is assigned to desk duty so that he/she does not interfere with the investigations. This measure remains in effect until the circumstances determine whether disciplinary measures need to be taken. The Independent Commission of Investigations (INDECOM) continues to carry out its investigations of alleged excesses and abuse by agents of the state. It is incorrect to suggest an "ongoing power struggle" between the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions (ODPP) and INDECOM as the matter of concern related to the legislative provisions accorded to INDECOM and how this may conflict with the Constitutional Provisions related to the powers of the DPP. This matter is the subject of recommendations in INDECOM's Special Report to Parliament made in November 2011, which are to be considered by the Parliament.
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The Independent Commission of Investigations (INDECOM) has initiated investigations on cases of excessive use of force. However, as mentioned in (j), there is an on-going power struggle between the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) and INDECOM as to which agency has the authority to charge police officers. This is reportedly exacerbated by challenges to investigating and prosecuting

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		police officers. It is reported that the practices of witness intimidation, coercion, and extensive judicial delays are widespread. Reportedly, the Government continues to ignore requests to impose sanctions on official's accused of ill- treatment, and instead leaves them on full-duty whilst investigations are carried out. In some cases, officers are even promoted. Investigations are reportedly relying on the evidence of civilian eye-witnesses as opposed to forensic/scientific evidence. Reportedly, in circumstances where the witnesses are fearful to attend court, there is rarely enough substantial evidence available to convict the officers.
Safeguards and prevention	A 111 11. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
(g) Reduce, as a matter of urgent priority, the period of police custody to a time limit in line with international standards (maximum 48 hours);	Appalling conditions in police custody might be bearable for a maximum of 48 hours, but the fact that detainees remain there for several months or even years amounts to inhuman treatment.	Government: Under the <i>Constabulary Force (Interim</i> <i>Provisions for Arrest and Detention) Act,</i> a person may be remanded in custody for a period not exceeding seventy-two hours. This may only be done, however, if a Justice of the Peace is satisfied that the arrest or detention of the person is reasonably required in the interest of justice, having regard to such further investigations as may be required. At the expiration of that period of remand, the law requires that the person be taken before a Resident Magistrate. Where a Justice of the Peace is not satisfied that the arrest or detention of the person is reasonably required in the interests of justice, he must order that the detention of the person be released forthwith. The requirement that a Justice of the Peace make a determination in each case provides a safeguard for the protection of the rights of the detainee. The Ministry of Justice is actively sensitizing new and current Justices of the Peace about their responsibility and duty under this Act. The Legal Aid Council has also intensified its drive toward seeking Writs of Habeas Corpus in situations where persons are detained for extended periods without being charged.
		Non-governmental sources: It is reported that the Government has reportedly opted to extend pre-charge detention to 72 hours through the <i>Constabulary Force (Interim Provisions for Arrest and Detention) Act</i> (introduce immediately after the State of Emergency in 2010). The Act aims to improve the police force's ability to "catch perpetrator

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		of crime" and reduce the crime rate by temporarily extending the pre-charge detention period from 24 hours to 72 hours for one year (July 2010 – June 2011). As of July 2011, this temporary Act has been extended for an additional year. Even with the time limits imposed by this legislation, according to the Jamaica Constabulary Force's own data, the length of time for people being held in pre-charge detention can range from three (3) to twenty five (25) days, in violation of domestic law and international law. ³⁶ Thus, the detention periods have reportedly been extended without regard to the fact that individuals are disproportionately held in breach of the law for periods longer than that prescribed by Jamaican law. In addition, it is alleged that there is no central register of detainees available to human rights defenders or legal representatives.
(h) Establish accessible and effect complaints mechanisms in all plat of detention. Complaints by detainees should be followed up independent and thorough investigations, and complainants must be protected from reprisals;	aces by	Government: Allegations of abuse are investigated by an internal Inspectorate. Serious cases or those which would question the actions of the staff are sent to the Inspectorate Unit of the Ministry of National Security for investigation. The Department of Correctional Services is now duty bound to report all cases of serious injury/death of inmates involving staff members to the INDECOM for investigation.
		In the case of lock-ups, allegations of abuse are recorded and reported to the Senior Commanding Officer, and are investigated by the Jamaica Constabulary Force (JCF).
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, the Government has not taken any action to adequately address this recommendation. As stated in the Government's response to the Human Rights Committee's List of Issues, complaints of abuse in detention facilities are primarily treated from within

³⁶ Refer to Table in Annex A. "The Constabulary Force (Interim Provisions for Arrest and Detention) Act 2010. July 2010 – June 2011.

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		the corrections system. ³⁷ However, it is reported that the Government occasionally allows human rights NGOs to vise prisons and prisoners are able to make complaints to the Office of the Public Defender without censorship. ³⁸ However, there reportedly no system designed to safeguards inmates suffering from mental illness and their ability to make independent complaints.
(i) Ensure that justices of the peace and resident magistrates conduct regular visits to all police lock-ups;	Police lock-ups in Jamaica are used as de facto remand centres, where persons awaiting trial can be held for several months or years, despite current practices worldwide of holding detainees at police lock-ups for up to 48 or maximum 72 hours.	Government: As part of the Government's move to place emphasis on restorative justice and conflict prevention, the Minister of Justice has urged Justices of the Peace to be the checks and balances of State abuse and judicial excess. To the end, Justices of the Peace are sensitized by the Ministry of Justice about their duties regarding persons in Police Lock-up Resident Magistrates have also been sensitized and have renewed their commitment to making more frequent visits to Police Lack-ups.
		The JCF maintains a central database of all juveniles in poli- custody at the national level. In respect of adult inmates, central register is kept in each police Division and these a available for inspection.
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, justices of the peak are frequently used for and known to 'rubber stamp' the continued detention of individuals based upon the police version of events without adequately reviewing the legality of the detention. It is reported that the Minister of Justice has recently publicly taken up the issue related to the practice of signing arrest warrants without having reasonable grounds for detention. This issue is compounded by advocates and huma rights defenders not having access to a central database statist the names of those detained and the length of the detention.

 ³⁷ See: CCPR Human Rights Committee. (11 July 2011). Replies from the Government of Jamaica to the list of issues to be taken up in connection with the consideration of the third periodic report of Jamaica. CCPR/C/JAM/Q/3/Add.1. (p. 21, paragraphs 125-126).
 ³⁸ United Kingdom Border Agency (UKBA). (May 2011). Jamaica Country Report.

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Information received in the reporting period
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	(A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	
(j) Rapidly bring into force the Independent Commission of Investigation, equipped with	The Bureau of Special Investigations and the Office of Professional Responsibility are institutions within the Jamaican	Government: The INDECOM came into effect on 16 August 2010, to investigate abuses by members of the security forces and other state agents. INDECOM operates from three
sufficient powers and resources to investigate all forms of police misconduct, including allegations of extrajudicial killings, torture and ill- treatment ;	Constabulary Force, while the Police Public Complaints Authority is a State-funded independent body. The Authority and the Bureau of Special Investigations will be replaced by the Independent Commission of Investigation, created under the Independent	locations: Kingston, Mandeville and Montego Bay. A total of Jamaican USD 200 million was allocated for its operations for the fiscal year 2011/2. INDECOM, in its Special Report to Parliament, has made a number of recommendations for legal reform which are to be considered.
	Commission of Investigation Act (2009). However, there is no clear time frame for when the Commission will start its work.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> INDECOM commenced operations on 16 August 2010. INDECOM has been provided with resources from the Parliament to employ investigators, a process which has only recently concluded. However, it is reported that since INDECOM commenced their investigatory procedures, it has become evident that their powers to adequately and effectively ensure accountability of police officers have been hampered by the lack of cooperation between INDECOM and the DPP. ³⁹ The Government has reportedly acknowledged that it may need to clarify (and strengthen) the powers granted to INDECOM under the Independent Commission of Investigations Act.
(k) Break the cycle of violence by addressing the root causes of violent crime, including, inter alia, drug trade in firearms, links of criminal gangs to political parties, corruption, poverty and other socio-economic disparities;	The root causes of high level of violence in the country are, among others, the drug trade in firearms, links of criminal gangs to political parties, corruption, poverty and other socio-economic disparities within the country and within cities themselves.	Government: The Government of Jamaica remains committed to fighting corruption, dismantling gangs and garrisons in communities and addressing the root causes of violent crimes. The GOJ has adopted a multi-faceted approach to addressing violent crimes in communities through its Community Renewal Programme, which has an initial target of conducting social interventions in one hundred (100) vulnerable and volatile communities over the medium term (3 -5 years).
		The Government of Jamaica is also undergoing a major modernization of its national security and law enforcement infrastructure to reduce levels of violent crime and transform the national security environment. The Ministry of National

³⁹ Parliament moves to quell ODPP, INDECOM conflict. (3 June 2011). Jamaica Observer. http://www.jamaicaobserver.com/news/Parliamentmoves-to-quell ODPP--INDECOM-conflict_8949848

136	Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
			Security has begun to work with other Ministries, Departments and Agencies to develop an inter-departmental Crime Prevention and Community Safety Strategy utilizing a participatory and coherent approach that will result in the implementation of policies designed to prevent crime, reduce violence, particularly youth and gang related violence. A Crime Observatory is also in place and will be expanded. There continues to be a reduction in major crimes, including murder, over the last 2 years. The Government of Jamaica is also currently seeking to have Anti-Gang Legislation passed.
			Other programmes that have made inroads in communities include the Citizens Security and Justice Programme (CSJP) which is in its second 4-year phase; and the Safe School Programme that places emphasis on addressing violence and their causes in schools. An example of another educational initiative being pursued is the Alternative Secondary Transitional Education Programme (ASTEP), which is designed to provide a safety net for children at the end of the primary level who will require special intensive support and intervention, to successfully advance to the secondary level. The programme focuses on developing the literacy skills of students.
			The flow of weapons into Jamaica remains a challenge, especially since the country is not a manufacturer of small arms and light weapons. In keeping with the need to ensure that source countries undertake greater responsibility in preventing the illicit traffic of such weapons, a key priority for Jamaica remains increased collaboration with bilateral and regional partners to reduce the availability of illicit firearms and narco-trafficking to Jamaica as well as to facilitate cross- border identification and prosecution of traffickers. Of note is the fact that there is a regional task force on crime and security, which is responsible for law enforcement and national security in Member Countries of the Caribbean Community (CARICOM), and which is complemented by the 2011 CARICOM Declaration on Small Arms and Light Weapons. At the national level, the Government of Jamaica is working to

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		develop a comprehensive National Small Arms Policy which will seek to (a) implement legal and administrative controls to restrict the availability and misuse of firearms, ammunition and explosives and to ensure that they are properly and safely secured; and (b) develop programmes and policies that will address supply, possession and use of illicit firearms at the community level.
		As part of the National Programme for the Eradication o Poverty (NPEP), the Government addresses the needs of poo households under the Programme of Advancement Througl Health and Education (PATH). The PATH is a conditiona cash transfer programme which was implemented in 2001 to assist poor households in rural and urban areas in breaking the inter-generational cycle of poverty. While the main beneficiaries of the Programme are children, it also benefits the elderly, persons with disabilities, pregnant and lactating women, and a small number of indigent adults of working age.
Canditiana of detention		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that in 2010, th Government introduced six crime bills ⁴⁰ to address the current situation of violence in Jamaica. However, instead of focusing on preventative measures, the Anti-Crime Acts focus on crime that have already been committed and seem intent or correcting perceived or existing flaws in the operations of the police, the courts, and the correctional services. Reportedly despite many promises very little has been done to concretely break the links of criminal gangs to political parties, to tackle corruption, and poverty. There appears to have been som success in curbing the trade in firearms linked to the smashing of a distribution network emanating in the Police Armoury. ⁴¹
Conditions of detention (1) Ensure that persons deprived of	The conditions at remand and correctional	Government: While the Government aims to mee

⁴⁰ The six crime bills are in relation to: (1) the Bail Act; (2) the Firearms Act; (3) the Offences Against the Person Act; (4) the Parole Act; (5) the Plea Negotiations and Agreements Act; (6) the Constabulary Force (Interim Provisions for Arrest and Detention) Act.

⁴¹ Jamaica Observer. (11 March 2011). Police Armoury Reopens. Available via http://www.jamaicaobserver.com/news/Police-armoury-reopens_8506026

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
their liberty are confined in facilities where the conditions comply with international minimum sanitary and hygienic standards and that detainees are provided with basic necessities, such as adequate floor space, bedding, food and health care; convicted prisoners should be provided with opportunities for work, education, recreation and rehabilitation activities;	facilities were generally better than in police stations; however, many prisons were found to be overcrowded, lacking sanitary facilities and any meaningful opportunities for education, work and recreation. Corporal punishment was routinely applied in remand and correctional facilities.	international standards, it is severely hampered by a lack o financial resources due to budgetary constraints. The Government is, however, trying to improve conditions with the limited resources that are available and is actively seeking funding for the construction of new prison facilities. In the interim, however, repairs have been affected to a number o cells, dormitories and prison facilities in order to improve the living conditions of inmates. There is also closer monitoring o inmate/staff relationship and enhanced training opportunitie for prison staff to reduce the number of incidents of abuse a well as of conflicts between staff and inmates. Inmates are also exposed to education and skills training. The Government wil continue to use its best endeavours to address the situation in prisons and lock-ups. This is an area which will require international support and assistance.
(m) Place persons with mental disabilities, and particularly those suffering from severe mental illness, in a specialized psychiatric institution;	Persons with mental disabilities deprived of their liberty are not held in a separate psychiatric institution, but detained in a special wing of different correctional centres.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> While there has been little progress to address the horrendous conditions in the prisons there has been encouraging progress with rehabilitation programs. Whereas there were previously none, the Commissioner of Corrective Services has now committed to implementing programs in four (4) prisons around the island. ⁴² Government: Mentally ill persons who are detained are no kept in Police Custody but are usually transported to the Bellevue Hospital where they are treated by Mental Health Professionals at that institution. Thereafter, if it is necessary for them to remain in custody, they are taken to the Facilities manned by the Department of Correctional Services (e.g. Horizon Adult Remand Centre and the Fort Augusta Adul Correctional Centre) as these facilities are better equipped to treat mentally ill offenders. At the correctional facilities persons with mental issues are divided into two groups (at those which are unfit to plea and are held indefinitely until the court rules on the matter and (b) those that have beer sentenced. For those that are unfit to plea, it is a requirement by law that their situation be reviewed frequently. As such, the

⁴² Stand Up for Jamaica. (2011).

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		Department of Corrections provides a report to the Court a least each quarter on these individuals. The Court reviews th reports and decides whether to keep them in the facility of release them. As for those that are sentenced, they fall into the normal treatment plan where they see a psychiatrist an psychologist on a regular basis. Allegations of abuse is correctional facilities are investigated by an internal Inspectorate. Serious cases or those which would question the actions of the staff are forwarded to the Inspectorate at the Ministry of National Security.
		Section 14 (h) (ii) of the Charter speaks to the detention of individuals with mental disorders or addicted to drugs of alcohol where necessary, for his care or treatment or for the prevention of harm to himself or others. Detention is only to last for so long as is needed for that person's care or treatmen It is, therefore, not agreed that this will amount to a indeterminable time which would conflict with that person' right to be free from inhumane treatment.
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No progress has been made on this recommendation.
(n) Immediately close down the police lockups at May Pen and Montego Bay police stations;		Reportedly, the Charter of Rights permits the detention of individuals for psychiatric analysis for an undetermined period without legislating a scheduled time within which the detentio for such purposes should be reviewed. This permits an legalizes the detention of individuals in circumstances that ma constitute inhuman and degrading treatment for an indefinit period of time. Government: Efforts continue to be made to improve the situation and reduce the number of remandees at the mentione police lockups, including the in case of Montego Bay police station, through the refurbishing and retrofitting of Barnett Street Lockup.
Children		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No progress has been made in relation to this recommendation.

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Information received in the reporting period
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	(A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	
(o) Remove all children in conflict with the law from adult detention facilities, and ensure that children in need of care and protection from the State are not held with those in conflict with the law;	With regard to an "uncontrollable child", there is no clear definition or criteria for its identification in the legislation. The wide discretion currently allowed to the judiciary has led to a relatively large number of detentions of children under such orders. The lack of separation of children and juveniles in need of care and protection, uncontrollable juveniles and those in conflict with the law makes it extremely difficult, if not impossible, to address the individual needs of children. The Fort Augusta Correctional Centre for Women and the Horizon Remand Centre for men are adult institutions that also hold children.	Government: The Government is working to reverse the current practice of the incarceration of children in police lock. ups and to implement measures to protect children in juvenile correctional facilities. There is segregation in detention and prison facilities betweer juveniles and adults, persons on remand and convicts. Female juveniles at the Fort Augusta prison, for example, are kept in separate dormitories, except for those occasions during the day when they are being exposed to training and educational classes. As a result of the opening of the Metcalfe Stree Secure Juvenile Centre, no male juveniles are being housed in any adult facilities under the control of the Department of Correctional Services. The space created by the Metcalfe Stree Facility will allow girls on remand to be relocated to the Ric Cobre and St. Andrew remand centres previously occupied by boys. In addition, the Department of Correctional Services will continue to manage the Hill Top Juvenile Correctional Centres for boys and the Diamond Crest Juvenile Correctional Centres

The Jamaica Constabulary Force continues to provide the Child Development Agency (CDA) with a weekly report of children who are being held in lockups. The Agency's team continues to make the necessary arrangements for children to be removed to a Place of Safety or a pre-approved alternate site. This is typically achieved within 48 hours as required by the Child Care and Protection Act, 2004 (CCPA). As at December 5, 2011, 32 children (30 males, 2 females) were in police custody. This number varies and is not necessarily reflective of children being held in a police lockup for a prolonged period.

for girls. The Government is currently taking steps to prepare a facility for the remand of girls who are in conflict with the law.

As at the December 15, 2011, there were forty-five (45) children in conflict with the law who are being housed in the child protection residential system. Efforts are made to monitor these placement to ensure that there is no or very minimal disruption to care delivery to the general children

PecommendationSituation during the visitA/HRC/16/52/Add.3)(A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
	population and any related risk factors removed.
	Over the past five months, the CDA initiated a pilot project designed to separate children with severe behaviour management issues from other children. This pilot is now in place for the Glenhope Place of Safety (severe behaviour management issues) and Homestead Place of Safety (care and protection). The pilot will be reviewed in March 2012 and the findings of which will inform the necessary policy changes and next steps going forward.
	The term 'uncontrollable' is usually used to refer to instances where a child exhibits or otherwise acts out maladaptive and socially unacceptable behaviours that makes it challenging or difficult for parents or guardian to effectively provide care on their own and which may result in their seeking intervention from third-parties, one of whom is the Jamaican State. This matter will be reviewed as part of a more comprehensive review of the Child Care and Protection Act, which will commence in 2012. Section 8, Subsection 1A of the Child Care and Protection Act 2004, indicates that a child is considered to be in need of care and protection if that child "having no parent or guardian, or having a parent or guardian unfit to exercise care and guardianship, is falling into bad associations, exposed to moral danger, or beyond control".
	Section 24 of the Child Care and Protection Act 2004 governs the application of judgment on matters which children are deemed "beyond control" herein also referred to as 'uncontrollable', refers as follows: "(Subsection 1) The parent or guardian of a child may bring the child before a juvenile court and where such parent or guardian proves to the court that he is unable to control the child, the court may make an order in respect of the child if satisfied – (a) that it is expedient so to deal with the child, and (b) that the parent or guardian understands the results which will follow from, and consents to the making of, the order.

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		order; or (b) provide for the child (i) to be committed to the care of any fit person, whether a relative or not, who is willing to undertake the care of the child; or (ii) to be placed for a specified period, not exceeding three years, under the supervision of a probation and after-care officer, a children's officer or of some other person to be selected for the purpose by the Minister."
		A National Plan of Action for Child Justice (2010-2014) was approved in October 2011. This Plan of Action is a multi- sectorial response to the needs of children in conflict with the law as well as those in need of care and protection.
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Despite the Government's assurance that children would be removed from adult detention facilities. It is reported that the reality is that children continue to be held together with adults. The new facility, Metcalfe Street Juvenile Remand Centre for boys, which opened in June 2011, serves the detention of male juveniles only and thus does not effectively address the entirety of children being held in facilities alongside adults. Despite the opening of the new centre, as of 3 September 2011, 28 children remained in police lockups. It is alleged that female juveniles continue to be routinely incarcerated in Fort Augusta and Horizon Remand (adult prisons).
(p) Transfer the responsibility of places of detention for juveniles the Child Development Agency;	to	Government: After careful assessment by all stakeholders, i was decided that the management of places of detention fo juveniles will remain the responsibility of the Department o Corrections. To strengthen service delivery, the Child Development Agency remains an active member of an intersectoral working group, led by the Ministry of Nationa Security, to establish an independent inspectorate to carry ou annual audit inspections of Correctional and Remand Centre for both adults and children. The aforementioned National Plan of Action for Child Justice is also expected to treat with the issues affecting children in state of custody.

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
(q) Establish clear guidelines concerning punishments at children's homes, places of safety and correctional facilities, and ensure that its use is recorded in the register;	There was a poor completion of complaint entries in the police registers.	Non-governmental sources: Reportedly, even when the police attempts to have officers from the Child Development Agency (CDA) retrieve children who have been detained, the CDA fails to do so. Reportedly, this thereby "forces" the police to keep them housed with adults in contravention of national legislation. In addition, there continue to be inadequate facilities available for children who are in the State's care and custody. Too often children who are in conflict with the law and children who are in need of care and protection, are detained in the same facilities. Government: The imposition of corporal punishment as part of a sentence is no longer legal. The Courts prohibit its use as part of a sentence for a commission of a crime. Legislative provisions ban its use in early childhood education facilities and places of safety. The Child Care and Protection Act (CCPA) outlaws the use of Safety or by a Fit Person. This is further underscored by the Child Care and Protection (Children's Home, a Place of Safety or by a Fit Person. This is further underscored by the Child Care and Protection (Children's Homes) Regulations, 2007 in Paragraph 19 Sections 1 and 2 which states that "No licensee or member of staff of any children's home shall strike, cuff, slap or use any other form of physical violence towards any child who resides, or is, at the home. No child at a children's home shall be permitted to administer any form of punishment upon any other child." Should any such act occur it is classified and reported to the Child Development Agency's Monitoring Officers as a Critical Incident, and is thereafter investigated and acted upon as is required by law.
		The CDA continues to stress alternate methods of punishment which do not compromise the safety, well-being and dignity of any child. In an effort to promote the use of alternate methods of punishment, the Agency has developed a protocol "Child Abuse Prevention & Control within Residential Child Care Facilities (RCCF) Handbook" and is making final preparation for its dissemination and staff sensitization.

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> A private members Bill wa tabled in October 2010, to effectively repeal the Flogging Regulations Act and the Crime (Prevention of) Act, which condone the acts of flogging and whipping as punishment The Bills continue to be debated.
Death penalty		
(r) Abolish the death penalty	On 26 November 2008, parliament voted to keep the death penalty. At the time of the visit, six people remained on death row. No	Government: There are no plans by the Government, at this time, to abolish the death penalty.
	death sentence has been executed since 1988, however there is a rise in fatal shootings by the police, which are often alleged to amount to extrajudicial killings, as well as the apparent lack of investigation and accountability of those responsible.	With respect to allegations of extrajudicial killings, the Government is committed to ensuring that all such cases are appropriately investigated. The establishment of the INDECOM is also expected to effectively respond to an investigation allegations of abuse by agents of the State.
	The prisoners in death row in the Gibraltar 1 section in the St. Catherine centre were held in isolation.	As regards the issue of the number of persons killed during the operations in West Kingston (73), the circumstances of their death remain the subject of investigation.
		Emphasis is being placed on enhancing the training being offered to security personnel with a special focus on the fundamentals of ethics, use of force and human rights. Trainin in the area of human rights is being provided in collaboration with human rights NGOs. The overall objective is to reduce the number of complaints of police excesses and restore public confidence in and support for the police. The Jamaica Defence Force also put in place revised rules of engagement which focus on further reducing the chances of civilian deaths when members of the Force carry out their operations.
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Reportedly, by the newly entrenched Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms the Government decided to limit the effect of the Pratt and

(A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	(A/HRC/16/52/Add.3)	Information received in the reporting period
		Morgan43 case. The legal position established by Pratt and Morgan prevented death row prisoners from being subjected t inhuman and degrading treatment by reason of: (a) th conditions under which persons sentenced to death ar detained pending execution of the sentence; and (b) the lengt of time which elapses between the date on which the sentence is imposed and the date on which the sentence is executed. I is reported that the failure to ensure that individuals sentence to death in Jamaica are able to seek the review of the sentence on the basis established by Pratt and Morga constitutes the entrenchment within Jamaican Law of provision within the Charter that is wholly inconsistent wit the fundamental rights protected under the Covenant. Further, it is reported that the <i>de facto</i> executions by police remains unacceptably high, with the number of those killed having increased to 320 in 2010 (not including the 73 confirmed killed during the State of Emergency). Reportedly, this now results in 1 in 5 of all killings in Jamaica occurring at
78. The Special Rapporteur also ecommends that the relevant United Nations bodies, donor Governments and development agencies consider	I	the hands of the police.
the administration of justice as the highest priority, in particular the fight against violent crime, policing and the penitentiary system.		

Jordan

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Jordan in June 2006 (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3, paras. 72-73)

57. By letter dated 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Jordan, requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of his predecessor's recommendations. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government has not responded to his request. He looks forward to receiving information on Jordan's efforts to implement the recommendations and affirms that he stands ready to assist in efforts to prevent and combat torture and ill-treatment.

58. The Special Rapporteur notes that although the definition of torture has been included in article 208 of the Criminal Code, no steps have been undertaken to incorporate the prohibition of torture into the Constitution, as recommended by the Committee against Torture (CAT) in 2010.⁴⁴

59. The Special Rapporteur welcomes written and oral instructions issued by the General Intelligence Directorate to its personnel about refraining from using physical abuse, he remains concerned that no public official has ever been prosecuted for having committed torture under article 208 of the Criminal Code, and that only disciplinary sanctions and lenient penalties were imposed on public officials found guilty of abuse or torture. In this connection, he calls on the Government to define the offence of torture in accordance with articles 1 and 4 of the CAT, with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture and distinct from other crimes.

60. The Special Rapporteur regrets not having received data on the number of complaints of torture and ill-treatment received by the Public Security Directorate and the National Centre for Human Rights (NCHR), including the results of any investigation undertaken in this respect. He urges the Government to ensure the effective and independent functioning of these complaint mechanisms and looks forward to receiving statistical data on the number of complaints, investigations, prosecutions and convictions of cases of torture and ill-treatment.

61. The Special Rapporteur remains concerned about the reported cases of domestic violence against women and strongly encourages the Government to provide women at risk of violence with protection and support without placing them in "protective" custody but housing them in specific victim shelters.

62. Finally, the Special Rapporteur reiterates his recommendation to consider the ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture (OPCAT) and the establishment of a National Preventive Mechanism. He recalls the appeal to the Government to make declaration with respect to article 22 of the CAT, recognizing the competence of the CAT to receive and consider communications from victims of torture.

⁴⁴ Concluding observations of the Committee against Torture. (CAT/C/JOR/CO/2), Jordan, 25 May 2010.

Recommendation A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(a) The absolute prohibition of		Government: The fact that the Jordanian	F
orture be considered for	the prohibition of torture, or	Constitution does not contain a provision on the	
incorporation into the Constitution.		offence of torture, does not imply that torture is in	
•	treatment.	any way permissible. The absence of such a	
		constitutional provision cannot be legally	
		construed as derogating from the legal obligations	
		laid down in the CAT, nor can it be interpreted as	
		a failing of the Constitution.	
		1. The Constitution contains general norms which	
		place individual rights and freedoms in a general	
		framework.	
		2. Torture is defined as a criminal offence in	
		article 208 of the Criminal Code, which was	
		recently amended to include explicit reference to	
		the offence of torture, as was article 49 of the	
		Military Criminal Code.	
		3. After being published in the Official Gazette,	
		the Convention against Torture has become part of	
		the Jordanian penal legislation.	
		4. The Constitution guarantees that everyone has	
		the general and absolute right to seek a legal	
		remedy. Under article 256 of the Civil Code, a	
		plaintiff is entitled to seek damages for any injury suffered.	
b) The highest authorities,	Implicit accietal telerance for	- HE King Abdullah and the director of the Public	
	a degree of violence against	Security Directorate (PSD), Lt. Gen Muhammad	
aw enforcement activities, declare	5	Mahmud al-'Aitan issued clear instructions that	
inambiguously that the culture of	•	there was to be no torture.	
		e- The General Intelligence Directorate (GID) has	
and ill-treatment by public officials		issued written and oral instructions addressed to al	1
	and detainees occurs and	personnel to refrain from abusing any detainee	
prosecuted. The message should be		physically, verbally or emotionally, and providing	
spread that torture is an extremely		for an increase in penalties for violations.	
1	- Little public discussion about	-	
ounished with severe (long-term)	the situation of torture.	Government: Jordanian, Arab and international	

Recommendation	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2,	Information received in the reporting
(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	period
prison sentences.		non-governmental organizations play a key role in	-
		informing society about human rights issues,	
		including the CAT, through seminars, courses,	
		conferences, publications and booklets. The Media	L
		Office and Amman FM Radio receive complaints	
		and remarks from citizens and residents in Jordan	
		and guide them in following up their complaints.	
		In addition, operational procedures were carried	
		out to apply the principle of accountability in	
		which those who commit such practices are	
		prosecuted by public prosecutors in their	
		independent judicial capacity in accordance with	
		the Independence of the Judiciary Act and also by	
		investigation panels.	
		Non-governmental sources: According to the	
		NCHR 2008 annual report, the PSD adopted some	;
		effective measures in 2008, including: i)	
		Integrating the Anti-Torture Convention into basic	
		and training curricula, as well as lectures and	
		promotion tests for PSD personnel, particularly	
		those working at CRCs, with the view to	
		entrenching the Convention's provisions and	
		concepts into their thinking and practice; ii)	
		Carrying out investigations regarding complaints	
		of human rights violations, including torture,	
		despite the fact that the results are in general still	
		modest; iii} Showing seriousness in dealing with	
		complaints of torture and ill-treatment and	
		referring some of these complaints to the Police	
		Court.	
(c) The crime of torture be define	ed Torture was criminalized in	Penal Code Article 208 was amended by	
as a matter of priority in	accordance with article 208 of	temporary law No. 49 of 2007, to incorporate the	
accordance with article 1 of the	the Penal Code; however, the	definition of torture and increase the minimum	

Convention against Torture, with definition was not consistent prison sentence of three months to six months

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

148

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture.	with article 1 of the Convention against Torture.	nwhile restricting alternative and discretionary sentencing. Courts were expressly prohibited from taking into account mitigating circumstances and from imposing suspended sentences.	
		Government: Article 208 of the Penal Code criminalizes any acts of torture and imposes punishments for perpetrating torture, inciting its exercise, or approval or acquiescence thereof by any official or any person acting in an official capacity. The penalties imposed on the perpetrator of this crime have been set forth under articles 208/1 and 208/3 of the Penal Code, including imprisonment for six months to three years against exercising any kind of torture to obtain confession of a crime or information in connection thereof. This penalty would be increased to temporary hard labor if the act of torture has led to illness or serious injury. Furthermore, the court may not stop the enforcement of the sentenced punishment in the crimes listed in article 208, and it may not consider extenuating circumstances. Non-governmental sources: The minimum prison sentence regarding article 208 of the penal code is three months to three years' imprisonment, and	t 1
	not work effectively. The e presumption of innocence was e illusory, primacy was placed or obtaining confessions, public idofficials essentially demonstrated no sense of duty,	torture is considered a misdemeanour. Government: Most recently, an amendment to the Public Security Law has been enacted, whereby a civil judge shall be a member of the police court ncomposed of a chairperson and two members (three judges in total). - The claim that the State Security Court accepts , "confessions" allegedly obtained under torture while in custody is an unfounded and undocumented allegation. Special courts, including the State Security Court, are legal and	;

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	criminals, and the system of internal special courts served	based on the Jordanian Constitution. The State Security Court has limited authority over limited criminal offences against the country's security and public order. The litigation procedures of the special courts and the regular courts are similar. Public prosecutors apply the provisions of the articles set forth in the Criminal Proceedings Law No. 9 of 1961. By virtue of article 159 of this law, the court does not accept a proof or evidence that has been obtained under any kind of physical or mental coercion and considers it false and of no legal effect. A complainant has the right to challenge his statement before the prosecutor and court if he believes that it was obtained through physical or mental coercion by the law enforcement unit. The decisions of the special court are subject to appeal before the Court of Cassation, which is classified as a court of merit and court of law, and a trial or any of its stages car be voided if it was proved to be in violation of the Criminal Proceedings Law. - The Court of Cassation handed down a number of rulings annulling the verdicts of these courts because defendants had been put under physical and mental duress during questioning.	1
e) An effective and independent complaints system for torture and abuse leading to criminal investigations be established.	 Article 107 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (CCP), guaranteed every prisoner the right to complain to prison authorities, who have to forward the complaint to the Public Prosecutor. When allegations of torture 	 Non-governmental sources: There have been some steps to bring perpetrators to justice. The PSD established a radio station through which all complaints were directly aired and appropriate solutions sought; and installed complaints boxes in various prisons under the direct supervision of the PSD's Office of Complaints and Human Rights. The Ministry of Justice created a complaints mechanism and allocated qualified personnel to 	

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	 were made, the Department of Public Prosecutions had to register it in an investigation report and refer the person to a forensic doctor. Within the PSD a Complaints and Human Rights Office received complaints against its personnel. A human rights directorate within the Ministry of Interior was mandated to follow up on general human rights issues and complaints. The NCHR was tasked with addressing human rights issues through a monitoring mechanism and the examination of complaints 	handle complaints, which enabled the Prosecutor General to monitor the situation in prisons; - The Prosecutor General created a registry for complaints in the Attorney-General's Office; Prisoners can complain to the Ministry of Interior's PSD through Legal Affairs prosecutors who are present all the time in seven prisons: Muwaqqar, Qafqafa, Swaqa, Jweideh men, Jweideh women, al-'Aqaba and Birain. The prison-based prosecutors work closely with officials in the Complaints and Human Rights Office of the PSD, who visit the prisons every two weeks and empty the sealed complaints boxes; - In February 2008 the NCHR was allowed to oper an office inside Swaqa prison to receive complaints from prisoners on a weekly basis; However, the NCHR was not allowed access to Swaqa prison during disturbances which occurred in the prison in April 2008. The PSD has reportedly stopped cooperating with the NCHR following its critical reporting of the April 2008 events.	n
		Government: Under the Jordanian legislation, any person who alleges to be a victim of torture, may seek a judicial remedy and is entitled to request compensation in accordance with article 256 of the Civil Law. - The Office of Grievances and Human Rights in the PSD deals with complaints. Complaints are also received through reporting in person to the Office or submitting the complaint through official and unofficial correspondence. The complaints are then investigated, verified and followed up in an effective, immediate, comprehensive and impartial manner in order to reach a just conclusion.	

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		- Special monitoring and complaints office was set	t
		up in the Public Security Department and reports	
		directly to the Director of Public Security. A key	
		aim of the office is to verify that police procedures	5
		are correct and are implemented in a legal	
		framework that is fair and just. The functions of	
		the office can be summarized as follows:	
		(a) Receiving complaints from the public about	
		any violations or erroneous practices carried out	
		by public security services personnel;	
		(b) Coordinating with the relevant authorities in	
		regard to these complaints;	
		(c) Investigating complaints in accordance with	
		due process norms and submitting the findings to	
		the Director of Public Security;	
		(d) Receiving reports submitted by complaints	
		offices in police departments and taking the	
		necessary action thereon;	
		(e) Submitting report to the Director of Public	
		Security setting out the complaints received, the	
		action taken and appropriate recommendations;	
		(f) Following up on complaints, resolving them	
		and informing the parties concerned of the	
		outcomes;	
		(g) Producing regular publications for unit chiefs	
		containing information on any wrong practices	
		among their staff; these publications help to raise	
		awareness and offer advice and guidance in line	
		with the directives issued by the Director of Public	2
		Security.	
		- Within correctional and rehabilitation centres, the Grievances Office or the public prosecutors are in	5
		charge of these complaints and of all legal	
		procedures. Complaint boxes affiliated with this	
		Office were placed in all correctional and	
		rehabilitation centres.	
		rendomitation contros.	

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		- The NCHR receives complaints concerning human rights violations. The Centre monitors human rights situation and any violation of public freedoms by official bodies.	
(f) The right to legal counsel be legally guaranteed from the noment of arrest.	The CCP provided that, in the period following the arrest and	 Non-governmental source: Detainees may file complaints to the NCHR during their visits to detention centres, through their families or the existing hotline. In April 2009, the NCHR and the PSD signed a Memorandum of Understanding for further cooperation in the field of human rights, including the strengthening of the monitoring role of the NCHR. The CRC Department at the PSD established a hotline for detainees to file complaints. Government: A memorandum of understanding was signed with Jordan Bar Association. 	
g) The power to order or approve rrest and supervision of the police nd detention facilities of the	Public Prosecutor, legal counsel could not be sought. Security services were effectively shielded from independent criminal	Non-governmental sources: In July 2009, the Bar Association signed a Memorandum of Understanding with the PSD to allow lawyers to be present during the investigation period. The discussion regarding separation of the two authorities was ongoing.	
prosecutors be transferred to independent courts.	prosecution and judicial scrutiny as abuses by officials of those services were dealt with by a special court system, which lacked independence and impartiality.	I	
(h) All detainees be effectively guaranteed the ability to challenge the lawfulness of the detention before an independent court, e.g. through habeas corpus	Articles121 to 129 CCP		

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
proceedings.	extension of a detention order before the competent court. However, this mechanism was not effective in practice.		
(i) Judges and prosecutors routinely ask persons brought from police custody how they have been treated and, even in the absence of a formal complaint from the defendant, order an independent medical examination in accordance with the Istanbul Protocol.	It appeared that judges and prosecutors did not ask detainees how they had been treated in police custody.	Government: The Ministry of Interior instructed all administrative governors to allow lawyers to attend interrogations of suspects conducted by the administrative governors. - A medical care clinic was established within the detention centre, where two doctors and two nurses are available around the clock, in addition to a dental clinic and a pharmacy. Each detainee is examined by a doctor and given the necessary treatment; a medical file is opened for him and a counselor is made available for psychological consultation.	
judicial warrant of pre-trial detention, which should not exceed 48 hours. After this period they should be transferred to a pre-trial facility under a different authority, where no further unsupervised contact with the interrogators of investigators should be permitted.	him or her within 24 hours. An individual could bring action		

154

VHRC/4/33/Add.3)(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)periodO In paper, a file regarding each cluding recording of the time and time of arrival, state of health, cluding recording of the time and time of arrival, state of health, ace of arrest, the identity of the ersonnel, the actual place of authority which issued the tention, the state of health upon rival of the person at the e family and a lawyer were e family and a lawyer were on tracted and visited the detainee, uinformation on compulsory(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) periodperiodOn paper, a file regarding each cluding recording of the time and time of arrival, state of health tention, the state of health upon rival of the person at the e family and a lawyer were ontacted and visited the detainee, uinformation on compulsory edical examinations upon being oon transfer.On paper, a file regarding each tention centre and prepare a medical report, indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report hadA/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) periodperiodVIRC/10/24/Add.5On paper, a file regarding each detainee informed about the detainee informed about the authority which issued the efficience contransetAnother register recorded visitors, and a third register contained medical records. Outside of the erson's time at the centre. Upon arrival, detainees were to and the police doctor should prepare a medical report, indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report hadA/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) periodperiodVIRC/10/24/Add.5On paper, a file regarding each <th>Recommendation</th> <th>Situation during visit</th> <th>Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2,</th> <th>Information received in the reporting</th>	Recommendation	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2,	Information received in the reporting
gisters be scrupulously ensured, detainee informed about the cluding recording of the time and time of arrival, state of health, ace of arrest, the identity of the ersonnel, the actual place of authority which issued the etention, the state of health upon rival of the person at the e family and a lawyer were e family and a lawyer were ontacted and visited the detainee, ind information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and on transfer. do nt ransfer. do nt r	(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	•		
cluding recording of the time and time of arrival, state of health, ace of arrest, the identity of the ersonnel, the actual place of authority which issued the etention, the state of health upon rival of the person at the e family and a lawyer were e family and a lawyer were ontacted and visited the detainee, undergo a medical check-up ad information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and oon transfer. Cluding recorded visitors, and a third register contained medical records. Outside of the GID, detainees did not receive a standard medical examination. - In regular prisons registers generally contained the name of the detainee or prisoner, the Upon arrival, detainees were to nationality and charge, if any; the doctors had medical files of those seeking and receiving medical care, although no entry exam was the case, a forensic report had	(k) The maintenance of custody		6	
ace of arrest, the identity of the ersonnel, the actual place of authority which issued the etention, the state of health upon rival of the person at the e family and a lawyer were e family and a lawyer were ontacted and visited the detainee, ind information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and oon transfer. authority which issued the authority which issued the centre.				
ersonnel, the actual place of tention, the state of health upon rival of the person at the etention centre, the time at which e family and a lawyer were ontacted and visited the detainee, d information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and bon transfer. BID, detainees did not receive a standard medical examination. - In regular prisons registers generally contained the name of the detainee or prisoner, the undergo a medical check-up and the police doctor should prepare a medical report, indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report had	6 6	· · · · · ·	e ,	
etention, the state of health upon rival of the person at the etention centre, the time at which e family and a lawyer were ontacted and visited the detainee, information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and mon transfer.arrest warrant or verdict, and examination. - In regular prisons registers generally contained the name of the detainee or prisoner, the the name of the detainee or prisoner, the undergo a medical check-up medical check-up medical report, medical care, although no entry exam was medical care, although no entry exam	1 , 5			
rival of the person at the all details relating to the etention centre, the time at which effamily and a lawyer were by an arival, detainees were to nationality and charge, if any; the doctors had undergo a medical check-up and information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and bon transfer. In regular prisons registers generally contained the name of the detainee or prisoner, the upon arrival, detainees were to nationality and charge, if any; the doctors had undergo a medical check-up and the police doctor should medical files of those seeking and receiving medical care, although no entry exam was prepare a medical report, indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report had		5		
etention centre, the time at which person's time at the centre. the name of the detainee or prisoner, the Upon arrival, detainees were to nationality and charge, if any; the doctors had undergo a medical check-up and information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and to a detention centre and the police doctor should indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report had	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
e family and a lawyer were ontacted and visited the detainee, information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and on transfer.Upon arrival, detainees were to nationality and charge, if any; the doctors had medical files of those seeking and receiving medical care, although no entry exam was performed.undergo a medical check-up medical care, although no entry exam was performed.medical care, although no entry exam was performed.		ę		
ontacted and visited the detainee, undergo a medical check-up and information on compulsory edical examinations upon being rought to a detention centre and oon transfer.undergo a medical check-up medical check-up medical files of those seeking and receiving medical care, although no entry exam was performed.out to a detention centre and oon transfer.indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report hadmedical files of those seeking and receiving medical care, although no entry exam was performed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
and information on compulsory and the police doctor should medical care, although no entry exam was prepare a medical report, performed. indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report had		÷ · ·		
edical examinations upon being prepare a medical report, performed. rought to a detention centre and indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report had				
rought to a detention centre and pon transfer.indicating whether there were any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report had				
bon transfer. any traces of torture. If that was the case, a forensic report had	· · ·		performed.	
the case, a forensic report had				
	upon transfer.		S	
to be prepared and judicial authorities were to be notified.				
However, this process was not effective in practice.				
) Confessions made by persons in A confession could be accepted The Court of Cassation has issued several rulings	(1) Conforciona mada hu nargona ju		The Court of Consection has issued several rulings	
istody without the presence of a as the only evidence in a case if with regard to confessions made as a result of				
wyer and that are not confirmed the court was convinced that it violence: e.g. ruling No. 1513/2003 of 4 May				
	before a judge shall not be			
Imissible as evidence against the willingly (article 159 CCP). result of violence and coercion cannot be relied		2		
ersons who made the confession. The Court of Cassation has upon to convict a defendant".				
1	Serious consideration should be		upon to convict a defendant .	
			Covernment : Article 159 of the Criminal	
	interrogations, including of all			
	persons present.			
under torture. and in the absence of the public prosecutor is	persons present.			
considered void, and of no legal effect. It will not				
be accepted, unless the prosecution provides				
evidence of the circumstances, under which it was				
obtained and the court is convinced that the				
indicted, suspect or defendant has provided such				
evidence or statement voluntarily. The defendant				

Recommendation	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2,	Information received in the reportin
(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)		period
		may also dispute, before the public prosecutor and	
		the court, the statement obtained from him by the	
		law enforcement officer on the grounds that it was obtained under pressure or through physical or	
		mental coercion.	
		- The Court of Cassation handed down a number	
		of rulings annulling verdicts of courts, because	
		defendants had been put under physical and mental	
		duress during questioning. Ruling No. 450/2004 of	
		17/3/2004 states: "If the court concludes that the	
		confession which the defendant made to the police	
		was obtained under circumstances which must cast	
		doubt on its veracity and under the effects of	
		physical duress and torture, then the court is	
		entitled to disregard the confession." Also, ruling	
		No. 1513/2003 of 4/5/2006 stipulates: "Statements	
		obtained as a result of violence or coercion cannot	
		be relied upon to convict defendants." Other	
		similar rulings annulling court verdicts include:	
		No. 820/2003 of 23/11/2003; No. 552/99 of	
		23/8/1999; No. 256/98 of 19/5/1998; No. 51/98 of	
		23/3/1998; No. 746/97 of 20/1/1998; No. 327/94	
		of 22/8/1994; and No. 271/91 of 1/10/1992.	
(m) All allegations of torture and	- No ex officio investigations	Non-governmental sources: A prosecutor	
ill-treatment be promptly and	were undertaken even in the	appointed by the director of the PSD, who is at the	
thoroughly investigated by an	face of serious injuries	same time an official of the PSD, carries out	
independent authority with no		investigations into allegations of torture and ill-	
connection to the authority	- Impunity was total.	treatment against officials and prosecutes them in	
investigating or prosecuting the		a police court staffed by judges who are PSD	
case against the alleged victim.		officials appointed by the PSD director as well;	
		- Following encouragements by the international	
		community and HE King Abdullah, the police	
		prosecutor brought charges of "beatings leading to	
		death" against prison guards in Aqaba, who beat a	
		detainee to death in May 2007.	

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Independent investigations are conducted by the NCHR, but these cases are transferred to the PSD as the NCHR does not have the power to refer cases to court.	
n) Any public official found esponsible for abuse or torture in ne Special Rapporteur's report, neluding the present management f CID and GID, certain police or rison officials involved in torture r ill-treatment, as well as rosecutors and judges implicated n colluding in torture or ignoring vidence, be immediately uspended from duty, and rosecuted; on the basis of his owr very limited and short-time nvestigations) the Special capporteur urges the Government o thoroughly investigate all llegations contained in the ppendix with a view to bringing	sanctions as evidence that there was no impunity for isolated acts of ill-treatment not amounting to torture. Examples of sanctions included loss of salary imposed on officers, or dismissals from service.		
he perpetrators to justice. (o) Victims of torture and ill- reatment receive substantial compensation proportionate to the gravity of the physical and mental harm suffered, as well as adequate nedical treatment and			
rehabilitation. (p) The declaration be made with respect to article 22 of the Convention against Torture recognizing the competence of the			

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications from individuals who claim to be victims of a violation of the provisions of the Convention. (q) Non-violent offenders be removed from confinement in pre- trial detention facilities, subject to non-custodial measures (i.e. guarantees to appear for trial, at any other stage of the judicial proceeding and, should occasion arise, for execution of the judgement).		 A committee was created within the Ministry of Interior to consider alternative sentencing measures; An "Office for Prison Reform" has been mandated to devise strategies and plans to modernize mechanisms to accomplish the goal of combating torture; A new Reform and Rehabilitation Centre was built in Al-Muqar to address the problem of overcrowding; construction of more new centres is being considered; Measures were taken to improve the conditions in GID detention; Inmates working in prisons have been included 	
(r) Pre-trial and convicted prisoners be strictly separated.	The Government informed the Special Rapporteur that Correction and rehabilitation centres operate on a system	 in social security programmes; <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In July 2009, a Committee was set up by the Ministries of Interior Justice, Health and Social Development, together with the PSD and a representative from the NCHR to study the proposed amendments to the Law of Reform and rehabilitation centres and the Code on Criminal Procedure for the introduction of alternative sanctions and the enforcement of the presence of the judge during the implementation o the sentence. Two new prisons were opened in 2008; According to the Ministry of Interior's PSD, on 7 April 2008, authorities began to separate pre-trial and administrative detainees from convicted 	f

158

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	based on separation of convicted persons from persons awaiting trial.	 prisoners; Qafqafa, Swaqa and Muwaqqar prisons sseem to be intended exclusively for convicted prisoners. Convicts are further segregated according to age, health, crime, and general behaviour. Under article 3(d) of the 2007 Law on the Correction and Rehabilitation Centres, the classification is to be made by a psychiatrist, a general doctor and a social worker. 	
s) The Criminal Procedure Code		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Pre-trial and convicted detainees are separated.	
utomatic recourse to pre-trial letention, which is the current de acto general practice, be uthorized by a judge strictly only s a measure of last resort, and the ise of non-custodial measures, uch as bail and recognizance, are ncreased for non-violent, minor or			
conditions and routine practice of orture, the Al-Jafr Correction and Rehabilitation Centre be closed vithout delay.	and subjected to corporal punishment amounting to torture. The isolation and harshness of the desert environment compounds the	The Government closed Al-Jafr Prison in December 2006. Government: Al-Jafr Prison was closed down by order of His Majesty the King on 17 December 2006, and was converted into a vocational training school. In addition, new reform and rehabilitation ecentres with a capacity to accommodate more than 1000 inmates each are being constructed, one in Muwaqqar that was fitted out and recently began to admit prisoners, and another in Mafraq which is still under construction. The aim is to resolve once and for all the overcrowding problem in some centres and to leave scope for classifying prisoners	

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(u) Females not sentenced for a crime but detained under the Crime Prevention Law for being at risk of becoming victims of honour crimes be housed in specific victim shelters where they are at liberty but still enjoy safe conditions.	ewere received in the Juweidah F(Female) Correction and sRehabilitation Centre. There is a policy of holding females in "protective" detention, under the provisions of the 1954 Crime Prevention	according to age group, offence and its gravity. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> A victims' centre became operational in 2007, however, not all women in protective custody have been moved to the centre. Furthermore, the centre seeks reconciliation and does not have a mandate to protect the women at risk. Government: Protection is provided to potential fvictims of 'honor killings', or those who are vulnerable to domestic violence, in a safe house called the "Domestic Reconciliation House". Psychological, rehabilitation programmes, vocational trainings, medical and legal assistance is available to victims of domestic violence. - According to the protection houses' regulations, new instructions were issued to allow civil society organizations to establish and run sanctuaries to contribute to promoting the concept of protection in the society, and use the collaborative approach, such as the sanctuary affiliated to the Jordanian Women's Union and the one affiliated to the Jordan River Foundation in raising the level of	
(v) Security personnel shall undergo extensive and thorough training using a curriculum that incorporates human rights education throughout and that includes training in effective interrogation techniques and the proper use of policing equipment, and that existing personnel receive continuing education	been aware of any allegations of torture.	 protection in the society. Initiatives within the PSD include: distribution of the Convention against Torture to law enforcement personnel and encouragement of senior officers to bring it to the attention of their subordinates; Inclusion of CAT in all basic training curricula, lectures and promotion exams for security personnel; Several programmes and training courses have been implemented in this regard; the Royal Police Academy incorporated some sessions about torture and prisoners' rights in its curriculum. 	t

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(w) Security personnel		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The NCHR carried out a number of lectures and training courses for law enforcement officials. It produced a manual for detainees on their rights and duties, in cooperation with the PSD, which was distributed in all prisons. It also urged the PSD to issue instructions regarding the prevention of torture.	
recommended for United Nation beacekeeping operations be scrupulously vetted for their suitability to serve.	5		
(x) The Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture be ratified, and a truly independent monitoring mechanism be established – where the members of the visiting commissions would be appointed for a fixed period o	ld	Visits to detention facilities by the PSD's Office of Complaints and Human Rights, in conjunction with the NCHR and other civil society organizations have been intensified to prevent wrongful acts, to report and to ensure accountability.	f
time and not subject to dismissal to visit all places where persons deprived of their liberty through the country.	are	Government: Jordan's decision not to accede to the OPCAT should not be viewed as a lack of commitment to strengthening and enhancing the protection of persons deprived of their liberty. Jordan is determined to enhance the existing mechanisms mandated to undertake periodic visits to places of detention. The non-accession of	
		Jordan to the OPCAT at this stage does not necessarily preclude the possibility to reconsider such position in the future. -A number of bodies such as the Grievances and Human Rights Office of the PSD, the NCHR, ICRC, and some international NGOs have been	
		carrying out regular visits to all investigation and detention centres, as well as rehabilitation facilities to ensure compliance and respect for human rights A Memorandum of Understanding was signed in	

Recommendation	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2,	Information received in the reportin
(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	(A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) 2009, between the PSD and the NCHR for the purpose of facilitating its role in conducting unannounced visits to all rehabilitation centres in the kingdom. Prior to that, a human rights office related to the NCHR was established at Souagha Rehabilitation Centre. Amendments to the law, pertaining to correctional facilities and rehabilitation centres n° 9 of 2004, have been proposed that include provisions on the	period
		conditional release system, as well as provision to further facilitate visits and periodic inspection of these centres. The Minister of Justice or his delegates has the power to carry out visits at any given time to correctional facilities to ensure the implementation of court decisions.	1
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The Optional Protocol has not been ratified, but the NCHR conducts unannounced visits to places of detention, in cooperation with the PSD, as a preventive measure.	
(y) Systematic training programmes and awareness-raisin campaigns be carried out on the principles of the Convention against Torture for the public at large, security personnel, legal professionals and the judiciary.	concepts through awareness- raising programmes disseminated by the media and recently incorporated these concepts into the academic	 Training sessions for judges in the Judicial Institute emphasize the need to combat torture in prisons. Prosecutors, together with judges, have been trained by national and international NGOs on the Convention against Torture and on juvenile justice matters; Several training workshops have been carried out by the National Human Rights Centre. 	
	with government officials the Special Rapporteur found a lack of awareness of the seriousness of torture.	Government: The Convention against Torture was disseminated among the members of security forces who were instructed to adhere to its provisions and include its articles in their training courses.	

Recommendation (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/4/33/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (to be found in A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		The Grievances and Human Rights Office issued	
		nine circulars that included the Convention agains	st
		Torture, Police Charter of Honor, legal inspection	
		procedures and cases on the use of force.	
		Non-governmental sources: The NCRH, the PSD),
		the Ministry of Justice and the Mizan Law Group	
		for Human Rights are implementing a programme	
		entitled "Karama", aimed at eradicating the use of	
		torture and ill-treatment, and ensuring that such	
		acts are criminalised, investigated, prosecuted and	1
		punished, and that victims receive redress, in	
		accordance with Jordan's international legal	
		obligations. The objectives of the project are: i) T	0
		strengthen the professional capacity of relevant	
		law enforcement institutions to prevent torture and	d
		ill-treatment and to respond appropriately and	
		effectively when such acts occur; ii) To strengther	n
		the professional capacity of state and civil society	
		organizations so as to facilitate that torture and ill	
		treatment are documented, prosecuted and	
		redressed in accordance with international legal	
		standards; iii) To institutionalise and enhance the	
		cooperation between the state and civil society so	
		as to further the eradication of torture and ill-	
		treatment; and iv) To promote a strengthening of	
		Jordan's national legislation so as to enhance the	
		prevention of torture and ill-treatment and the	
		criminalisation of torture.	
		- The program will run from October 2008 to	
		September 2010, and it is funded by the Danish	
		Ministry of Foreign Affairs.	

Kazakstan

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Kazakhstan from 5 to 13 May 2009 (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)

63. On 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Kazakhstan requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of his predecessor's recommendations. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government has not provided any information with regard to the implementation of the recommendations. He looks forward to receiving information on Kazakhstan's efforts to implement the recommendations and affirms that he stands ready to assist in efforts to prevent and combat torture and ill-treatment.

64. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the amendment of the definition of torture in article 141-1 of the Criminal Code; however, he observes that it is not fully in conformity with the definition of torture in article 1 of the Convention against Torture as it does not refer to physical and mental suffering arising from unlawful actions of public officials. In addition, the specific offence of torture is not punishable by appropriate penalties commensurate with the gravity of the offence, as required by article 4, paragraph 2, of the Convention. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to ensure that torture is established as a serious crime, sanctioned with appropriate penalties.

65. The Special Rapporteur questions the effectiveness of the complaints mechanism envisaged in the Manual (Guidelines) of the Office of the Public Prosecutor, as an accessible complaint channel with due guarantee of protection against reprisals. In this connection, he stresses the need to establish an effective and independent mechanism to investigate all allegations of torture and ill-treatment by law enforcement agencies promptly, independently and thoroughly.

66. The Special Rapporteur expresses concern at the reported incidence of violence and excessive use of force by law enforcement officials against participants in the protests held in December 2011 in Mangistau region, allegedly leading to the loss of life of at least 15 persons. He welcomes the Presidential instruction to ensure transparent investigation into the events and launching of internal investigations for alleged abuse of power by law enforcement officials. He regrets not having received any information about the number of judicial or other inquiries carried out in relation to this case.

67. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the consideration by the Parliament of the draft bill introducing amendments in the Criminal Procedure Code to grant access to defence counsel and allow for notification of family members from the moment of actual deprivation of liberty, and expresses hope that this rule will be effectively implemented in practice.

68. The Special Rapporteur looks forward to receiving more information on concrete steps undertaken to implement the Government's plans to strengthen further non-custodial pre- and post- trial measures provided for in the concept of the Legal Policy for 2010-2020.

69. The Special Rapporteur remains concerned that the Law on Refugees is not fully consistent with the provisions implementing the principles of non-refoulement stipulated by article 3 of the Convention. He strongly encourages the Government to adopt a legislation regulating expulsion, deportation and extradition in line with its international obligation under article 3 of the Convention.

70. Finally, the Special Rapporteur urges the Government to finalise the legislation on the National Preventive Mechanism and equip it with sufficient human and other resources.

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
Impunity (para. 80) (a) Publicly condemn torture and ill-	Players in criminal justice system do not denounce cases of torture; Penalties for torture are not commensurate. Government: A number of normative-legal acts on combating torture and protecting detainees' rights were adopted, including: - Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan of 10 December 2009, "On amending and	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The authorities introduced a number of measures intended to prevent torture, including widening access to places of detention to independent public monitors and committing publicly to a policy of zero tolerance on torture. During the UPR review in February 2010, the Governmer delegation reiterated that the Kazakhstani authorities were committed to a policy of zero tolerance on torture, and that

Recommendation	Situation during the visit
A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	prevention";
	- Joint order issued by the Minister of
	Justice (No 30 of 1 February 2010),
	Minister of Health (No 56 of 29 January
	2010), Minister of Internal Affairs (No 41 of
	1 February 2010), Chairman of the
	Committee on National Security (No 15 of
	30 January 2010) in consultation with the
	Prosecutor General (order of 1 February
	2010) "On ensuring obligatory participation
	of forensic experts in the conduct of medical
	examination of persons who have allegedly
	sustained physical injuries while in
	temporary detention facilities, pre-trial
	detention centres and in the correctional
	system";
	- Joint order of 2 February 2010, issued by
	the Minister of Justice, Minister of Internal
	Affairs, Chairman of the Committee on
	National Security, Chairman of the Agency
	on Fight Against economic and corruption-
	related crime "On cooperation of law
	enforcement bodies and civil society
	representatives during the review of
	complaints on torture and other illegal
	methods used during the interrogation and
	investigation, as well as criminal
	investigation of the facts alleging torture
	and ill-treatment";
	- Minister of Justice order No 169 of 21
	December 2009, approving the Rules of the
	organization of educational and professional
	activities in penitentiary system;
	- Minister of Justice order No 194 of 28
	June 2010 "On approval of Rules for
	visiting detention centres and pre-trial
	detention facilities";

ecommendation A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit $(A/I) PC/I + A/I) PC/I + A/I) = Information received in the non-orting new of$
HKC/13/39/Aaa.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	-Minister of Justice order No 64 of 25
	February 2010 "On approval of the Rules of
	administration of justice in pre-trial
	detention centres of the criminal-
	correctional system of the Republic of
	Kazakhstan".
	There are currently projects on:
	- Draft Bill "On amending and
	supplementing several legislative acts of the
	Republic of Kazakhstan on the issues of
	establishing national preventive
	mechanisms on the prevention of torture and
	other cruel and degrading forms of
	treatment or punishment". The project
	envisages establishing national preventive
	mechanisms in places of detention.
	- Draft Bill "On amending and
	supplementing several legislative acts with a
	view of further humanizing criminal
	legislation and strengthening guarantees of
	the rule of law in criminal proceedings".
	The bill provides decriminalization of
	several elements of crimes of minor and
	medium gravity, introduction of the
	definition of torture (article 347-1 of the
	Criminal Code) in compliance with the
	Convention against Torture, and alternative
	jurisdiction under article 347-1 on the
	investigation of criminal cases on torture
	allegedly committed by law enforcement
	bodies;
	- Draft Bill "On amending and
	supplementing legislative acts on the issues
	of probation", providing for the
	establishment of a national model of
	probation under the auspices of the

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	Committee on penal-enforcement system; - Draft order of the Minister of Justice "On	
	amending and supplementing several orders	
	of the Minister of Justice", to ensure	
	absolute prohibition of torture, courteous	
	treatment of detainees, and protection of	
	detainees' rights in accordance with	
	international standards.	
(b) Amend the law to ensure that torture is	Torture is outlawed by article 347-1 of the	
established as a serious crime, sanctioned	criminal code, but its definition is more	
with appropriate penalties and fully brought	restrictive than the one contained in article 1	
into line with the definition provided for in	CAT, as it limits criminal responsibility to	
the Convention against Torture.	public officials and does not criminalize torture committed by any other person	
	acting in an official capacity or by	
	individuals acting at the instigation or with	
	the consent or acquiescence of public	
	officials. Article 347-1 states that "physical	
	and mental suffering caused as a result of	
	legitimate acts on the part of officials shall	
	not be recognized as torture", with the term	
	of "legitimate acts" as being vague.	
	Government: The draft Bill providing	
	amendments in the definition of torture in	
	article 347-1 of the Criminal Code in line	
	with article 1 of the CAT has been	
	submitted to the Parliament on 30 December	
	2010. Since torture is qualified as a grave	
	crime, there is no need to make amendments	
	in the legislation in terms of the definition	
(a) Introduce complaints channels that are	of the gravity of crime.	Non concern and some and Immunity for torting and other
(c) Introduce complaints channels that are accessible in practice, ensure that any signs	No existing meaningful complaint mechanism. Most detainees refrain from	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Impunity for torture and other ill-treatment by security forces has remained unchallenged.
of torture are investigated ex officio, and	filing complaints because they do not trust	The authorities have reportedly failed to fully and
protect complainants against reprisals.	the system or are afraid of reprisals. There is	
1 r	no independent body mandated to make	UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman
	no independent body mandated to make	or convention against roture and other cruci, inituitali

Recommendation (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	 prompt investigations, and the overwhelming majority of complaints are almost automatically rejected. Staff of the investigation isolators does not consider it their responsibility to detect and address torture or ill-treatment perpetrated by law enforcement agencies. Government: Data regarding complaints alleging offences committed by officials of the penitentiary system, received in the past five years, is as follows: 2005 - 17; 2006 - 54; 2007 - 219; 2008 - 280; 2009 - 288. Thus, in the past five years, the number of complaints have increased 16.94 times. In 2009, out of 122 registered cases on violations of citizens' constitutional rights, disciplinary measures were applied in relation to 128 employees of law enforcement bodies (including 56 senior officials). In 2008, 2 members of organs of internal affairs were sentenced to various terms of imprisonment with charges of using torture. In 2010, one employee of the criminal correction facility were charged with exceeding the official power.
	detainees. 10 employees of the criminal correction facility were charged with

of the Prosecutor General of 1 February 2010, provides for complaints mechanisms,

Recommendation	Situation during the visit
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	it is questionable whether these mechanisms
	are effective. According to para. 17 of the
	Guidelines, detainees are asked about their
	treatment during the interrogation. This
	provision does not protect a person against
	torture nor does it provide an effective
	mechanism to receive information, as the
	investigator questioning the detainee may be
	the one involved in executing acts of torture.
	- In a number of penitentiary institutions,
	prisoners do not receive outgoing numbers
	attached to their complaints and complaints
	are reportedly not transmitted to relevant
	agencies for further action. In penitentiary
	institutions in the Karaganda region,
	detainees have not been able to get an
	appointment with the head of the
	penitentiary or with the lawyer. Prisoners
	who reported ill-treatment are often
	threatened and intimidated by the prison
	administration.
(d) Establish an effective and independent	The dual role played by the prosecutors
criminal investigation and prosecution	(endorsing of indictments prepared by
mechanism that has no connection to the	the police & monitor compliance by
body investigating or prosecuting the case	criminal justice bodies and law enforcement
against the alleged victim.	officials with the law and to protect the
agamst the anogoa vietni.	rights of citizens and residents) leads to the
	paradox situation that torture or ill-treatment
	are raised at a latter stage of a criminal
	process, and they have to be processed by
	the prosecutor's office, the latter, by
	demanding an investigation, basically
	admits that it has not fulfilled its monitoring
	role. Thus, prosecutors tend to ignore grave
	violations.
	Government: Measures are being

(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
considered to ensure timely and fair	
•	
-	
recommendations made by CAT).	
The project on the draft Bill provides:	
- Strengthening the coordinating role of the	
organs of the Prosecutor's office in relation	
to the law enforcement activities; increasing	
the role and responsibility of the Prosecutor	
over the pre-trial procedure in terms of	
ensuring the lawfulness of criminal	
proceedings.	
•	
-	
•	
• • • •	
-	
case.	
Ministry of the Interior and the penitentiary	
	 considered to ensure timely and fair investigation of alleged torture by services not belonging to law enforcement agencies, and to relieve them of their official duties for the duration of the investigation and court proceedings (Action Plan of the Government of the Republic of Kazakhstan for 2009-2012 to implement the recommendations made by CAT). The project on the draft Bill provides: Strengthening the coordinating role of the organs of the Prosecutor's office in relation to the law enforcement activities; increasing the role and responsibility of the Prosecutor over the pre-trial procedure in terms of ensuring the lawfulness of criminal proceedings. Minimizing options for alternative jurisdiction, including attributing to the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Internal Affairs the investigation of criminal cases related to illegal transaction of narcotic and psychotropic substances, and to the relevant bodies of financial police, the investigation of criminal cases in the area of economic and corruption-related crimes while maintaining alternative jurisdiction with national security organs only in cases of accumulation of committed acts. Delegating the examination of criminal cases with charges of torture allegedly committed by law enforcement agents to another entity authorized to examine the

172

Recommendation (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
presence of law enforcement agents or prosecutors at all stages of the criminal process, and provide independent medical check-ups of persons deprived of their liberty, particularly after entry to or transfer between places of detention.	administration lack the independence to take action against colleagues with whom they work on a daily basis. Also, the supervising authority (investigators, prosecutors or penitentiary authorities) may delay the authorization of the medical examination so that injuries deriving from torture are healed by the time the examination takes place. In case of examinations outside the detention facility, the law enforcement officer in charge of the case normally accompanies the detainee and stays with him or her	injormation received in the reporting period
	during the examination. Government: In February 2010, a joint Ministerial order was approved on the mandatory participation of forensic experts in the conduct of medical examination of persons who sustained physical injuries while in temporary detention facilities, pre- trial detention centres and penitentiary institutions.	
(f) Ensure that future refugee legislation duly takes into account the principle of non- refoulement enshrined in article 3 of the	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : There have been serious shortages of medication in a number of penitentiary institutions in Karaganda region. Prisoners complained about the lack of adequate treatment and required medication. Domestic legislation does not contain provisions implementing the principle of non-refoulement stipulated by article 3 of	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that a new law on refugees, which came into force on 1 January 2010, excluded certain categories of asylum-seekers from
Convention against Torture.	the Convention against Torture. A refugee law was currently being elaborated. Government: A law on refugees was adopted on 4 December 2009. Article 523 of	qualifying for refugee status in Kazakhstan. These included people charged in their country of origin with membership of illegal, unregistered or banned political or religious parties or movements. In practice, this exclusion particularly affected Muslims from Uzbekistan who worshipped in mosques which were not under State contro

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	the Criminal Procedural Code has been amended ensuring non-refoulement of refugees where there are substantial grounds to believe that a person would face the danger of being subjected to torture or ill- treatment upon return.	or who were members or suspected members of Islamist parties or Islamic movements banned in Uzbekistan, and who had fled the country, fearing persecution for their religious beliefs. The exclusion also affected people of Uighur origin from the Xinjiang Uighur Autonomous Region of China who were charged with or suspected of belonging to separatist movements or parties.
	<i>Non-governmental source</i> : Although the adoption of the Law on Refugees is an important step, it is not fully consistent with international standards, in particular with regard to the principle of non-refoulement. - Article 12(5) of the law, which provides for the denial of refugee status on the basis	The newly-formed State Migration Committee, under the Ministry of Labour, began a review of all cases of those granted refugee status by UNHCR, the UN refugee ager prior to the State Migration Committee's inception. It revoked the refugee status of many people from Uzbekis and China, most of whom were awaiting resettlement to third country.
	of membership of a terrorist, extremist or banned religious organizations or groups, does not elaborate whether the organizations should be banned or considered extremist or terrorist by the country of asylum, country of origin or either. Furthermore, in the absence of a restrictive definition of 'extremist' organization, this provision may be used by the countries of origin to	It is reported that a growing numbers of these individua as well as other asylum-seekers from Uzbekistan and China, were stopped by police or NSS officers for document checks and arbitrarily detained either for shor periods in pre-charge detention facilities or indefinitely NSS detention facilities pending forcible return to their countries of origin. Reportedly, they had no or limited access to lawyers, UNHCR or their families.
	 persecute political opponents; whereas inclusion of 'banned religious organizations' may effectively exclude one of the core elements of the refugee definition, which explicitly includes persecution on religious grounds. There is also a lack of clarity in the Law with respect to safeguards against refoulement in deportation and extradition procedures: under Kazakhstani laws, deportation is regulated by administrative procedures which do not contain same guarantees as a criminal procedure. Under the current law the decision on deportation 	It is further reported that in June 2010, NSS officers detained 30 Uzbekistani refugees and asylum-seekers in city of Almaty with a view to forcibly deporting them to Uzbekistan. Detainees' wives were told that their husba faced extradition to Uzbekistan on charges of membersh of illegal religious or extremist organizations and charge of attempting to overthrow the State. On 8 September 2010 one of the men, Nigmatulla Nabio was granted asylum for one year. However, on 13 September 2010, the Almaty deputy prosecutor annound that the General Prosecutor's Office had decided to extradite the remaining 29 men. At least two of the 29 w said to have been extradited to Uzbekistan in September before their appeals against their detentions and the decisions to extradite them had been heard. By the end of

Recommendation	Situation during the visit $(A/HPC(16/52/A) + 2)$	Information provined in the personative result of
<u>A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)</u>	- The extradition process in the Law appears to be complicated. Although in principle the persons are entitled to legal representation	Information received in the reporting period December, the majority of the 29 men's appeals had been turned down. At least two other Uzbekistani asylum- seekers were extradited in October and November 2010. On 9 June 2011, a year after they were originally detained, the Kazakhstani authorities forcibly returned 28 of the ethnic Uzbek men to Uzbekistan, thus violating their obligations under international law and placing the men at real risk of torture. One more ethnic Uzbek man, who was also detained on 9 June 2010, remains in detention in Kazakhstan. All of the 29 asylum-seekers and refugees had lodged appeals against the Prosecutor General's decision in September 2010, to extradite them. These were rejected by a district court in the southern city of Almaty on 15 March 2011. Additionally, in December 2010 the Committee against Torture imposed interim measures to stay extradition pending a review of the merits of a complaint submitted on behalf of the 29 Uzbeks. The government of Kazakhstan subsequently challenged the admissibility of this complaint. In May 2011, the Committee reaffirmed the interim measures, prohibiting Kazakhstan from extraditing these individuals, pending the Committee's review. It is alleged that the 28 forcibly returned men were for the most part held incommunicado in different detention facilities in Uzbekistan. By September 2011, reports emerged that at least 12 of the men have gone on trial in Uzbekistan on charges of terrorism and membership of banned extremist organizations.

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	protection for asylum seekers.	
	- With respect to cessation grounds, the	
	wording of certain cessation clauses in the	
	law (Art. 14 (1(5, 6)) and particularly Art.	
	14 (2)) are not compliant with the 1951	
	Convention. This is worrying also	
	considering that Article 15 of the Law does	
	not provide for the right to appeal.	
Safeguards and rehabilitation (para. 81)		
(a) Register persons deprived of their liberty	The de facto apprehension of a person and	
from the very moment of apprehension, and	delivery to a police station is not recorded,	
grant access to lawyers and allow for	which makes it impossible to establish	
notification of family members from the	whether the three hour maximum delay for	
moment of actual deprivation of liberty.	the first stage of deprivation of liberty is	
1 2	respected.	
	-	
	Government: The draft Bill introducing	
	amendments in the article 138 of the	
	Criminal Procedural Code, according to	
	which the relatives and representatives of	
	the person deprived of their liberty, without	
	any exceptions, must be notified from the	
	moment of actual deprivation of liberty, is	
	pending before the Majlis of the Parliament.	
	- On 1 August 2008, the judicial sanctioning	
	of arrest was introduced. The person may be	
	held in custody for no more 72 hours	
	without a court authorization. The	
	administration of the temporary detention	
	centre is obliged to immediately pass any	
	complaint of torture or ill-treatment to the	
	public prosecutor.	
	- On 28 December 2009, a normative decree	
	No 7 of the Supreme Court was adopted on	
	the administration of criminal and criminal-	
	procedural norms, providing for obligations	
	of judges and prosecutors to carry out	
	or judges and proseed ors to earry out	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit
A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	investigation on the legality of the arrest,
	including arrest without court authorization.
	According to the normative decree, the
	person has to be transferred immediately or
	within 3 hours after the factual arrest to the
	investigative body or interrogative officer to
	decide upon procedural apprehension. The
	exact time of the factual arrest has to be
	precisely reflected in the protocol. Non-
	compliance with these normative conditions
	will constitute criminal responsibility.
	- With a view of ensuring judicial
	supervision over the due process, the Office
	of the Prosecutor General adopted
	instructions on the examination of
	complaints on torture and ill-treatment of
	persons in detention and their future
	prevention.
	Non-governmental sources: Although
	under article 68 of the Criminal Procedure
	Code detainees are entitled to inform their
	relatives "immediately" about their
	detention and location. It is questionable
	whether this rule is an effective protective
	measure against torture. Under the Criminal
	Procedure Code, the police officer who
	arrests the suspect is not obliged to grant the
	suspect access to a phone immediately after
	the arrest. It is also not clear if the term
	"immediately" refers to the situation before
	the suspect is delivered to the police station
	or after. This means that detainees may not
	be able to inform their relatives before they
	are delivered to the police station. The
	provision regarding the right of the suspect
	to inform his relatives immediately is in
	conflict with the provisions of Article 138,

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)		Information received in the reporting period
(b)Reduce the period of police custody to a time limit in line with international standards (maximum 48 hours).	which requires the police to inform relatives within 12 hours and in some exceptional cases within 72 hours. It is upon police's discretion to delay notification, which would be enough to extract confession from the suspect under torture. Legal limit for police custody is 72 hours, but in practice may last longer, in particular if a person is transferred back and forth between temporary and investigation isolators several times.	
	Government: The draft law (see above) providing for the reduction of the time limit for custody to 24 hours, prior to authorization, is currently under the consideration of the Majlis of the Parliament.	
(c) Strengthen the independence of judges and lawyers, ensure that, in practice, evidence obtained by torture may not be invoked as evidence in any proceedings, and that persons convicted on the basis of evidence extracted by torture are acquitted and released, and continue the court monitoring led by the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe.	Judges are widely seen as formally present at certain points of the criminal process, but mainly to rubberstamp prosecutorial decisions rather than taking an interest in discovering the truth and meaningfully following up on torture allegations. Lawyers are widely perceived as corrupt, ineffective, "part of the system" and unwilling to defend their clients' rights. In particular, "State lawyers" are widely described as being present only during hearings and the trial and do not enjoy any trust. Lawyers tend to ignore allegations of torture.	
	Government: The country is considering measures to ensure the practical application of the principle of adversary court proceedings and absolute independence and	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	
A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	fairness of the judicial power by	
	guaranteeing the division of power (Plan of	
	Action by the Government of the Republic	
	of Kazakhstan for 2009-2012 to implement	
	the recommendations made by the CAT).	
	The normative decree of the Supreme Court of 28 December 2009 provides for non-	
	admissibility of evidence obtained by	
	torture or other forms of ill-treatment. Any	
	petition alleging use of torture and ill-	
	treatment made in the course of the judicial	
	examination is subject to registration and	
	criminal investigation.	
d) Shift the burden of proof to prosecution,	Burden of proof is with the detained person	
o prove beyond reasonable doubt that the	that alleges that he/she has been tortured/ill-	
confession was not obtained under any kind	treated.	
of duress, and consider video and audiotaping		
nterrogations.	Government: Video and audio taping of	
	interrogations are foreseen in Article 219 of	
	the Code of Criminal Procedure. A directive	
	on ensuring participation in the verification	
	of allegations and criminal investigation of	
	torture and other illegal methods of inquiry	
	and investigation was approved on 1	
	February 2010 by the Prosecutor General,	
	according to which a court authorizes an	
	arrest and when the main proceedings are	
	conducted, the prosecution is required to	
	establish whether torture or other forms of	
	ill-treatment were used during the	
	interrogation.	
	Non-governmental sources: The fact that	
	under the Criminal Procedure Code the	
	suspect is entitled to consult with a lawyer	
	before the first questioning does not always	
	prevent acts of torture and coerced	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	confessions. First, the person may be
	tortured and interrogated off the record
	before his meeting with a lawyer. Second,
	the suspect may be forced to waive his right
	to a lawyer unless the participation of the
	lawyer is mandatory. Third, Kazakh
	authorities can use so-called "pocket"
	advocates appointed by the investigator,
	who cannot are not independent lawyers
	acting in the best interests of the suspect.
	Confessions obtained in such circumstances
	can be considered admissible. It should be
	noted, however, that the recent Regulatory
	Resolution of the Supreme Court of the RK
	No. 7 of 28 December 2009 states that "if
	the defendant during court hearings claims
	that he gave his statement under physical or
	psychological violence of the law
	enforcement agencies, he was not informed
	of his right to invite counsel and not to give
	self-incriminatory statements, and his
	interrogation was conducted without
	participation of counsel, the challenged
	statement should be considered as
	inadmissible evidence." This is a positive
	legal rule that should be adopted in the
	Criminal Procedure Code. Moreover, the
	wording of the Code should be more
	explicit and binding by automatically
	recognizing any statement of a suspect or
	accused that was given in the course of the
	pre-trial stages of a criminal case in the
	absence of defence counsel, including
	situations where there was a waiver of
	defence counsel as inadmissible.
(e) Incorporate the right to reparation for	There is no legal obligation in Kazakh
victims of torture and ill-treatment into	domestic legislation for financial

Recommendation (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
omestic law, together with clearly set out nforcement mechanisms.	compensation or rehabilitation of torture victims. Article 40 of the criminal procedure code provides for compensation of harm caused as a result of unlawful acts of the body leading or carrying out criminal proceedings; however the list of unlawful acts does not include torture or ill-treatment. Nonetheless, a resolution of the Supreme Court of 9 July 1999 (No. 7) on the practical application of the legislation on the compensation for the harm caused by unlawful actions of the bodies in charge of the criminal process, which serves as a guideline for judges, refers to the "use of violence, cruel and degrading treatment" and lists "arrested, accused and convicted
	persons" as eligible for compensation. Government: The country is considering a mechanism for reparation, compensation and rehabilitation by the state for victims of torture, followed by the recovery of corresponding expenses from those found guilty of torture (draft plan of action by the Government of the Republic of Kazakhstan for 2009-2012 to implement the recommendations made by the United Nation Committee on Torture). -The normative decree No 7 of the Supreme Court of 28 December 2009 has provisions on the rehabilitation of victims of torture, compensation for material and moral damages, as well as for the prevention of torture and holding perpetrators accountable. -Currently, compensation can be sought through the court proceeding by anyone

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	who alleges to have been subjected to	
	torture.	
Institucional reforms (para. 82)		
(a) Continue and accelerate reforms of the	No effective reforms of the prosecutor's	
prosecutor's office, the police and the	office, the police and the penitentiary	
penitentiary system with a view to	system conducted with a view to client	
transforming them into truly client oriented bodies that operate transparently, including	orientation and transparency in its operation.	
through modernized and demilitarized	Government: The concept for the Legal	
training.	Policy of the Republic of Kazakhstan for	
	2010-2020 was approved by a Decree of the	
	President of the Republic of Kazakhstan in	
	August 2009. Subsection 2.10 is fully	
	devoted to reforming the penitentiary	
	system.	
	- A working group composed of	
	representatives of all state bodies is tasked	
	with the administrative reform of law	
	enforcement agencies aimed at their	
	demilitarization and bringing them in line	
	with international standards.	
	- On 17 August 2010, the President signed	
	decree 1039 on "Measures to improve the	
	efficiency of the law enforcement and	
	judicial systems in the Republic of	
	Kazakhstan". These measures are designed	
	to modernize the administrative and judicial	
	environment of the country, get rid of	
	soviet-style management, fight corruption in	
	the system and raise its credibility. The key	
	of this ambitious reform programmes lies in	
	its comprehensive implementation,	
	monitoring and assessment.	
	The realization plan of the Concept of Legal	
	Policy for 2010-2020 in the area of	
	criminal-correction system provides a	
	number of measures addressed to :	

Recommendation A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit	Information reactived in the reporting period
A/HRC/13/39/Add.5)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) - creating conditions for a wider application	
	of alternative to deprivation of liberty	1
	measures, including exploring the	
	possibility of experimental framework	
	probation services;	
	- respecting the rights and legal interests of	
	persons in places of detention and ensuring	
	their security;	
	- increasing the status and securing social-	
	legal safeguards of the personnel of the	
	criminal-correctional system;	
	-ensuring targeted state policy in the area o	f
	re-socialization and adaptation of citizens	
	released from places detention;	
	- bringing the system of execution of justic	
	in line with universally accepted standards.	
	Human rights issues are included in the	
	curriculum of advanced training courses of	
	the Office of the Prosecutor General and th	
	Ministry of Internal Affairs. In 2009, out of	
	3217 graduated trainees, 1000 studied	
	international human rights standards. The	
	Supreme Court is also organizing various	
	programmes (e.g, conferences, seminars,	
	round tables, etc) in the area of human	
	rights. The human rights Commissioner, in	
	cooperation with international organization	S
	is carrying out various educative projects	
	and seminars for civil servants, the	
	personnel of the penitentiary institutions, social workers and NGOs.	
b) Transfer temporary detention isol		Non-governmental sources: It is reported that according to
rom the Ministry of the Interior, and	1 1	•
nvestigation isolators from the Natio		Public Monitoring Commissions had been given
•	f Justice responsibility of the National Security	unprecedented access to pre-trial detention centres of the
nd raise the awareness of Ministry of		National Security Service (NSS); four visits had been
		carried out in 2009 and eight in 2010. This information has

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Information massived in the new outing manied
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
staff regarding their role in preventing torture and ill-treatment.	Government : According to the decision of the Coordinating Committee of law enforcement bodies, the consideration of transferring investigation isolators from the National Security Committee to the Ministry of Justice is postponed to subsequent consideration by the Coordinating Committee. The postponement was due to the need of financial revision related to the transfer, including the revision of allocated expenses, the registration and inventory of technical conditions of isolators, the remuneration of the personnel and their qualifications.	reportedly been confirmed by members of the Public Monitoring Commissions in July 2010 and again in June 2011. Access to NSS pre-trial detention centres and temporary detention centres under the Ministry of Interna Affairs had in the past been denied to independent monitors as a general rule and when given had been problematic, as opposed to access to places of detention under the Ministry of Justice, which had been much mor routine. However, access to NSS and Ministry of Interna Affairs places of detention have reportedly still needed to be negotiated on a case by case basis and have depended relations at a local level between members of the Public Commissions and heads of regional and local law enforcement offices. It is reported that in July 2011, the President signed a decree authorising the transfer of the prison system back the authority of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, thereby defeating years of reform efforts by the Ministry of Justi domestic and international penal reform and human right organizations. This decree was not publicly discussed an in fact was only disclosed in August, well over a week at its entry into force.
(c) Design the system of execution of punishment in a way that truly aims at rehabilitating and reintegrating offenders, in particular by abolishing restrictive prison rules and regimes, including for persons sentenced to long prison terms, and maximizing contact with the outside world.	The legal framework and penitentiary policies applied have an essentially punitive nature rather than aiming at reintegrating prisoners back into society. Penitentiary reform based on the premises of educational work with convicts and their reintegration was ongoing. Government: A reform programme of the penitentiary system is being drafted to bring	
	 Pentientiary system is being drafted to bring it in line with international standards. A draft bill provides decriminalization of crimes that do not present any major public danger, including in the economic area through transferring them to the category of 	

Recommendation A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit $(A/HPC/16/52/Add 2)$ Information received in the reporting period
Α/ΠΚC/13/39/Ααα.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	administrative offences and strengthening
	the responsibility for committing these
	offences, including through the inclusion of
	administrative prejudice, as well as through
	reevaluating the degree of gravity. The bill
	also considers broadening alternatives of the
	execution of punishment.
d)Strengthen further non-custodial pre- and	Prison population well above number in
oost-trial measures, in particular, but not	other post-Soviet countries and more than
exclusively, in relation to minors, and equip the probation service with sufficient human	three times the average in Europe.
and other resources.	Government: The concept of the Legal
	Policy of the Republic of Kazakhstan for
	2010-2020, approved by Decree of the
	President in August 2009, aims at
	minimizing citizens' contact with the
	criminal justice system and to use criminal
	sanctions more sparingly.
	- A draft law was prepared on amendments
	and additions to certain legislative
	provisions on probation, including
	establishing a probation service. The draft
	law considers broadening alternatives of the
	execution of punishment by introducing
	fines, community services and restriction of
	freedom of movement; regulating the
	exemption order from the criminal liability
	in cases of reconciliation of parties, when
	public damage is caused and when the pre-
	trial custodial measures are established for
	economic-related crimes of small or average
	gravity, as well as in cases when the caused
	damage is voluntarily compensated.
	- In 2010, a request was made to consider
	expanding conditions for the execution of
	non-custodial punishment by adding 1,183
	new posts to the staffing of the Inspectorate
	new posts to the starting of the hispectorate

Recommendation (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(A/IIKC/15/59/Add.5)	and establishing a probation service.	Information received in the reporting period
	- On 31 May 2010, a decree "On the	
	reorganization of the Committee on the	
	State institutions of the criminal-	
	correctional system of the Ministry of	
	Justice of the Republic of Kazakhstan" was	
	adopted with 591 planned inspections	
	throughout 2010 and 592 inspections	
	throughout 2011.	
(e) Design the national preventive	No independent and effective national	
mechanism as an independent institution in	preventive mechanism with the necessary	
full compliance with the Paris Principles and	human and other resources with a view to	
equip it with sufficient human and other	discovering what really happens in places	
resources.	where people are deprived of their liberty.	
	Government: The Ministry of Justice	
	established a working group to develop the	
	concept and the relevant bill, with the	
	participation of non-governmental and	
	international organizations. At the end of	
	August 2010, the Ministry of Justice	
	announced that it will submit a bill on	
	torture prevention to the Government in the	
	next couple of months. The content of this	
	bill is not public.	
	- The government is working on two closely	
	connected pieces of legislation: on the	
	implementation of the National Preventive	
	Mechanism (NPM), and on the	
	Ombudsman. The Government aims to	
	adopt its NPM legislation by the end of	
	2010, however, the coordination and	
	harmonisation of the two legislations, as	
	well as the development of an appropriate	
	timetable for their respective adoptions	
	remains to be addressed.	
	During the second half of 2011, the	
	During the second hull 01 2011, the	

Recommendation A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
A/IIKC/15/57/Add.5)	Commissioner for human rights, as a	information received in the reporting period
	member of the NPM "Ombudsman +"	
	model, will submit a proposal to the	
	Republic Commission on human rights	
	requesting financial allocations for the	
	establishment of the NPM.	
f) Ensure that medical staff in places of	Medical personnel employed by the	
etention are truly independent from the	Ministry of Interior and the penitentiary	
rgans of justice administration, that is by	administration lack the independence to take	
ransferring them from the Ministry of Justice		
the Ministry of Health.	work on a daily basis. An examination by	
	these staff members can therefore not be	
	considered independent; consequently, it	
	needs to be done by an outside medical	
	expert.	
	Government: The medical examination of	
	detainees is carried out by the Ministry of	
	Health experts. The Government does not	
	see any need for establishing an independent	
	service.	
	The national legislation provides a	
	possibility of inviting accredited	
	independent experts in conflicting	
	situations. Currently, there are 20	
	independent expert organizations registered	
	in the National Association of Medics.	
	According to the Presidential order No 1039	
	of 17 August 2010, all functions and power	
	of the Ministry of Internal Affairs related to	
	the activities of medical sobriety facilities,	
	except for the function of handing over	
	offenders of public order to the medical	
	sobriety facilities, are transferred to the	
	Ministry of Health.	
Vomen (para. 83)		
Appropriate bodies adopt a law on domestic	Criminal and criminal procedure codes	

Recommendation (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
violence in full compliance with international	provide for crimes under which acts of	
standards. The law should not focus on	violence against women, including domestic	
prosecution, but also foresee preventive	violence, can be prosecuted. Too few efforts	
measures; provide for ex officio	have been undertaken to facilitate access to	
investigations of alleged acts of domestic	justice for victims. A draft law on	
violence and ensure adequate funding for the	combating domestic violence was scheduled	
infrastructure to support victims of domestic	for adoption in 2009, which however	
violence and trafficking; and create a national		
database on violence against women.	acts of domestic violence and neglects	
e	prevention and protection of the victims. It	
	foresees no infrastructure to temporarily	
	house and support victims of domestic	
	violence. The draft law requires that any	
	prosecution must be based on the complaint	
	of an individual, which could lead to	
	increased pressure being applied to the	
	complainant if the culprit tries to make her	
	withdraw the complaint.	
	Government: A law on the prevention of	
	domestic violence and a law on amendments	
	and additions to certain legislative acts on	
	the prevention of domestic violence were	
	adopted on 4 December 2009.	
	- Respective amendments were made to the	
	Criminal Procedural Code and Code of	
	Administrative Offences.	
	- The law on the prevention of domestic	
	violence establishes a legal and institutional	
	framework of activities of state bodies,	
	entities and citizens to prevent domestic	
	violence and provides for the establishment	
	of a mechanism to prevent and suppress	
	offences in the area of family and domestic	
	relations.	
	-The law provides for the establishment of	
	crisis centres for victims of domestic	

Recommendation (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit $(A/HPC/13/30/Add 3 - A/HPC/16/52/Add 2)$ Information received in the reporting period
A/HRC/15/59/Add.5)	(<i>A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2</i>) Information received in the reporting period violence. In 2008, out of 21697 applications
	received by some 20 non-governmental
	crisis centres, 6165 were related to physical
	violence, 5539 were related to psychological
	violence, and 556 were related to sexual and
	other forms of violence.
	- A comprehensive awareness raising
	campaign on the prevention of domestic
	violence is carried out throughout the
	country.
	Since 1999, special divisions on the issues
	of protection of women from domestic
	violence were established in all regional
	branches of the Ministry of Internal Affairs.
	- As a result of measures undertaken, the
	number of offences related to domestic
	violence has decreased from 954 in 2008 to
	887 in 2009.
Children (para. 84)	
(a) Explicitly prohibit by law corporal	Article 10 of Law 345-II on Child Rights of
punishment of children in all settings.	enunciates a child's right to life, personal
	liberty and integrity of the dignity and
	personal life, and sets out the State's
	obligation to protect children from physical
	and/or mental violence, cruel, rough or
	humiliating treatment, sexual abuse and so
	on. No effective mechanism for combating
	violence against children seems to be in
	place.
	Government: The Code of Administrative
	Offences and the Criminal Code of the
	Republic of Kazakhstan provide for
	criminal liability for mistreatment of
	children, including for not fulfilling
	responsibilities of mentoring minors,
	deliberately inflicting body harm to minor,

Recommendation (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(A/HRC/15/59/Auu.5)	subjecting them to ill-treatment and torture.	Information received in the reporting period
	In 2009, 35 criminal cases were initiated on	
	cruel and inhuman treatment against minors.	
	- In accordance with the Presidential order	
	of 17 August 2010, temporary isolators,	
	centres for adaptation and rehabilitation of	
	minors are transferred from the Ministry of	
	Interior to the Ministry of Education.	
	- Government started developing a network	
	of services for family support. Due to	
	measures undertaken, the number of	
	children in foster-care organizations for	
	child-orphans and children left without	
	parental care has decreased by 892 children	
	(from 16008 children in 2008, to 15116 in	
	2009), and the number of neglected and	
	homeless children to 1141 since 2007.	
(b) Raise the age of criminal responsibility	- Criminal responsibility for serious crimes	
and establish a juvenile justice system that	is applicable as of 14 years of age; for other	
puts the best interests of the child at its core,	crimes, as of 16.	
and abolish the use of temporary isolators for	- A "juvenile justice system development	
minors.	concept", approved by the President,	
liniors.	foresees the creation, in the period 2009–	
	2011, of a juvenile justice system and,	
	among others, provides for specialized	
	juvenile courts, a juvenile police,	
	specialized legal aid, a specialized service	
	for supervising non-custodial sentences,	
	better coordination mechanisms and the	
	integration of socio-psychological services	
	into the juvenile justice system.	
	- Centres for temporary isolation, adaptation	
	and rehabilitation, which operate under the	
	responsibility of the Ministry of Interior, are	
	designed to detain children younger than 16	
	years of age suspected of having committed	
	minor offences, housing children who have	
	minor offences, nousing children who have	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit
A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	lost their parents or legal guardians, or have
	been picked up in the streets.
	Government: Jointly with the United
	Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), work is
	underway to further develop the juvenile
	justice system. Two specialized courts have
	already been set up in Astana and in
	Almaty, and are operational. The aim is to
	extend the network of specialised juvenile
	courts to the provinces.
	- A draft Bill proposes broadening the basis
	of the use of non-custodial measures
	towards minors by not using deprivation of
	liberty towards first offender minors who
	committed crime of minor gravity. Under
	the Criminal Code, deprivation of liberty
	does not apply to first offender minors who
	committed crime of minor gravity and first
	offender minors aged between 14 and 16
	who committed crime of average gravity.
	- There are no temporary isolators for minors in the Republic of Kazakhstan.
	-There are 18 centres for temporary
	isolation, adaption and rehabilitation for
	temporary holding, adaptation and
	rehabilitation of minors aged between 3 and
	18.
	Non-governmental sources: There is a
	demonstrated commitment to the creation of
	a juvenile justice system that complies with
	international standards and best practices,
	including through strong cooperation with
	the international community; pilot
	specialized juvenile courts and juvenile police units; the specialized defence team in
	ponce units, the specialized defence tealli in

2
H
~
R
9
6
5
d
d.
ŝ

Recommendation	Situation during the visit
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	Almaty; the humanization of conditions in
	colonies; and a policy of early release of
	juvenile prisoners who show signs of
	rehabilitation.
	- The 'Juvenile Justice System Development
	Concept' establishes the basic framework
	for the future juvenile justice system. The
	time frame for the creation of this system is
	2009–2011.
	- The caseload of the recently established
	juvenile courts is low and the courtrooms
	are new or newly refurbished. The fees paid
	to attorneys who represent juveniles from
	poor economic sectors are low, which has
	an adverse impact on the quality of services
	provided. The 'Concept' calls for the
	establishment of specialized legal offices or
	services for children throughout the country,
	but at present only one such office exists
	and there is some uncertainty regarding the
	willingness of the central Government to
	commit the resources necessary to establish
	similar offices nationwide.
	The resources allocated to the existing
	juvenile justice institutions are generally
	sufficient, but the creation of a juvenile
	justice system along the lines set forth in the
	'Concept' will require the allocation of
	additional funds to establish new services,
	to replicate existing ones throughout the
	country and, in general, for planning and
	training needs.
(c) Seek technical assistance and other	See above
cooperation from the United Nations	
Interagency Panel on Juvenile Justice,	
includes the United Nations Office on	cooperation aimed at improving the quality
Drugs and Crime, the United Nations	of life for children is developed between the

Recommendation	Situation during the visit
A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
Children's Fund, OHCHR and	Government and UNICEF for the period of
ongovernmental organizations, to	2010-2015. In addition, UNICEF has
nplement these reforms.	developed project on "Two-year rolling plan
	on child welfare: Juvenile justice in
	Kazakhstan". The programme provides
	realization of pilot projects on the
	development of juvenile justice in three
	regions of the country during the period of
	2010-2011, and will be addressed to
	developing up-to-date legislative basis for
	the practice with juvenile offenders, victims
	and witnesses with due consideration of
	local standards and practices, as well as
	development of educational programmes on
	child rights for lawyers and law
	enforcement bodies.
Iealth-care facilities/psychiatric institutions	
nd harm reduction (para. 85)	Demontal automaina una afitam muiliment
a) Ensure respect for the safeguards	- Reported extensive use of tranquilizers,
vailable to patients, in particular their right of free and informed consent to treatment in	allegations of high number of deaths of
	patients and of cases of starvation.
ompliance with international standards;	- Concerns with the procedure for placement
hange the terminology used to describe	in boarding house and the manner in which
isabilities, in particular "idioty"; ratify the Convention on the Rights of Persons with	such placement is reviewed, and the lack of any independent monitoring of the boarding
Disabilities; use institutionalization as a last	
esort; allow for independent monitoring of	house.
ll institutions; and ensure that all deaths in	Detention for repeat offenders not considered responsible for their acts on the
uch institutions are investigated in a	bases of a court judgment, for indefinite
e	periods, until a judge authorizes their
ansparent manner by an independent body.	release.
	- Compulsory placement in a medical institution of a person not in pretrial
	detention for the performance of a judicial
	psychiatric expert evaluation should only be
	allowed pursuant to a court decision (article
	14 (2) of the criminal procedure code).
	14(2) of the criminal procedure code).

Recommendation	Situation during the visit
A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
	Compulsory placement in a modical
	- Compulsory placement in a medical
	institution of a person not in pretrial
	detention for the performance of a judicial-
	medical expert evaluation is allowed
	pursuant to a court decision or on the basis
	of a sanction by the procurator. No
	maximum period for such treatment is
	stipulated by the law, the process lacks
	transparency and there appears to be no
	possibility to appeal such a decision.
	Government: Under article 91 of the Code
	on Public Health and system of health
	protection, medical assistance is provided
	following the written or verbal
	acknowledgment of the patient.
	The terminology "idiot" is never used in the
	legislation or in practice to describe
	disabilities. The Government is undertaking
	steps to ratify the Convention on the Rights
	of Persons with Disabilities.
	- The placement in coercive facilities and
	specialized institutions are allowed only if
	authorized by court and only if the person is
	of serious hazard to herself/himself or to the
	public.
	- All cases of death in such institutions are
	being investigated by the Committee under
	the auspices of the Ministry of Health; the Balice and the office of Prosecutor
	Police and the office of Prosecutor.
	- Annual preventive medical inspections and
	further monitoring are conducted in
	detention centres with a view of carrying
	out targeted treatment and efficient follow-
	up of recovery. New plans are under way
	for the recovery of patients with a high risk

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	of medical condition.	
	- Medical interventions and special	
	preventive measures are undertaken among	
	long-term sick and often falling sick and	
	vulnerable persons. The number of cases of	
	tuberculosis has decreased from 767 in 2008	
	to 643.9 in 2009. The number of registered	
	6	
	cases of death was 475 in 2008, 452 in 2009 and 333 for the past 8 months in 2010.	
(b) Initiate harm-reduction programmes for	No needle exchange programme and drug	
drug users deprived of their liberty, including	substitution therapies are available in places	
by providing substitution medication to	of detention.	
51 0	of detention.	
persons and allowing needle exchange programmes in detention.	Government: A meeting of the Inter-	
programmes in detention.	ministerial Working Group on the	
	implementation of harm reduction	
	programmes was planed for the first quarter	
	of 2010.	
	- Since 2008, pilot projects on realizing	
	substitute therapy have been carried out	
	among the population of city Pavlodar and	
	Temirtau.	
	- Introduction of programmes on exchange	
	of single-use needles in correctional	
	facilities and investigation isolators has not	
	been possible.	
	- HIV-positive detainees and detainees	
	diagnosed with AIDS are provided with	
	special therapy. 154 HIV-positive patients	
	are receiving specialized treatment in the	
	facilities of the Penal Enforcement System.	
	Their medical treatment is provided by	
	regional AIDS centres; expenses of their	
	medical care are covered by the Global	
	Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and	
	Malaria. Information is available and	

≥	
H	
R	
2	
19	
6	
1	
A	
d	
ču	

196	Recommendation (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/13/39/Add.3, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Information received in the reporting period
		disinfectant substances are distributed
		among detainees in penitentiary institutions.

Mongolia

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Mongolia in June 2005 (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4, para. 55)

71. On 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Mongolia requesting information and comments on the measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government has not provided any information in that regard. He looks forward to receiving information on Mongolia's efforts to implement the recommendations and affirms that he stands ready to assist in efforts to prevent and combat torture and ill-treatment.

72. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the definition of torture does not fully comply with the definition in article 1 of the Convention against Torture and calls upon the Government to ensure that torture is defined as a crime and is punishable in a manner proportionate to the gravity of the crime.⁴⁵

73. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to amend the criminal legislation to ensure that the period for holding detainees in police custody does not exceed 48 hours, and that no detainee should be subject to unsupervised contact with the investigator.

74. The Special Rapporteur regrets not having received any update in relation to the supervision of investigations of allegations of torture and other ill-treatment by the Office of the Prosecutor and the National Human Rights Commission of Mongolia (NHRCM). He calls upon the Government to take measures to expedite prompt, impartial and thorough investigations into all allegations of human rights violations committed during the State of Emergency of July 2008, launch timely public prosecutions and conclude them without delay, where the evidence warrants it. He calls upon the Government to recognize the competence of the CAT to receive and consider individual communications.

75. While acknowledging the efforts of the NHRCM in conducting visits to places of detention, the Special Rapporteur reiterates the need to strengthen the functioning and independence of monitoring mechanisms. In this connection, he welcomes the establishment of a Working Group on the ratification of the OPCAT, and urges the Government to ratify the OPCAT and establish a National Preventive Mechanism.

76. The Special Rapporteur looks forward to receiving information in relation to the treatment of death row prisoners in accordance with the Standard Minimum Rules on Treatment of Prisoners. ⁴⁶ He welcomes the declaration by the President on 14 January 2010, of a moratorium on the death penalty, since in his experience capital punishment is almost always applied in manners to involve cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or event torture.

⁴⁵ Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners, approved by the Economic and Social Council by resolutions 663 C (XXIV) of 31 July 1957 and 2076 (LXII) of 13 May 1977.

⁴⁶ See also the Concluding Observations of the Human Rights Committee, (CCPR/C/MNG/CO/5), Mongolia, 25 March 2011.

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Situation during visit (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(a) Highest authorities declare that impunity must end.	Impunity existed because of a lack of a definition of torture as defined in the CAT, a lack of awareness of international standards, and no effective mechanism for receiving and investigating allegations.	Government : Since 2007, of 744 torture- related cases, 14 were investigated, of which 10 cases were acquitted and 1 suspended by the Prosecutor's office. Of the 3 cases brought to court, 2 were acquitted and one convicted. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The culture of impunity persists.	
	There had not been any effective investigations by the procuracy, nor had any law enforcement officials been convicted for torture related offences.		
(b) Criminalisation of torture be in accordance with CAT.	Legislation did not include essential elements; torture was not defined in accordance with article 1 of the Convention. The main provision in the Criminal Code referring to torture, article 100.1, carried a relatively lenient penalty of up to two years' imprisonment.	Government: All actions and activities concerning torture are prohibited in the Mongolian constitution and other legislation. Article 16.13 of the Constitution and 10.4 of the Code of Criminal Procedure include the prohibition: "No person shall be subjected to torture or to inhumane, cruel or degrading treatment." Amendments to the Civil Code in February 2008 included the word "torture" and included a new article whereby a crime resulting in the death of a victim shall be punishable by a prison term of 10-15 years. Amendments to the Criminal Code at the same time included more detailed provisions on the crime of torture. <i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Article 251 was amended in 2008 to include the word "imposing torture" and the punishment was increased. However, the article only applies to investigators and inspectors, not all public officials or persons acting in an official	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Situation during visit (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		capacity, as required by CAT. It also does not include provisions on the attempt to commit torture or complicity or participation in torture. Moreover, the Criminal Procedure Code does not ensure that any statement which is established to have been made as a result of torture shall not be invoked as evidence in any proceedings, except against a person accused of torture as evidence that the statement was made, as required by the CAT.	
		There is concern that the draft Assorted Criminal Code of Mongolia currently under consideration does not include safeguards against impunity for human rights violations.	
		Torture is not expressly defined as a crime in the draft Code in accordance with CAT. The draft code would also prohibit investigations and prosecutions of crimes under international law including torture which occurred before the enactment of the Code.	
(c) Detention for up to 48 hours under the control of interrogators or investigators; transfer to a pre -trial facility under a different authority.	Authorities responsible for detention and interrogation are under the jurisdiction of the same Ministry and supervise the same facilities.	Government : No relevant amendments were made to the Criminal Code or Criminal Procedure Code.	
	Detention centres often accommodate a police lock-up, a pre-trial facility and a prison.		
(d) Custody registers be scrupulously maintained.		Government: In accordance with the revisions of the "by-law of arrest and detention centre" of April 2007, detained persons shall be routinely received at arrest and detention centres in the presence of a police officer that took them there, and a medical examination	

Recommendation	Situation during visit (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years	
(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)		(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		shall be carried out. Transfers to other arrest and detention centres shall be carried out only with the authorization of a prosecutor.	
		According to Articles 58 and 59 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a person detained with an arrest order shall be received at the arrest and detention centre in the presence of a police officer and released by order of the chief of the centre by the end of the arrest term, in the absence of a judge's order regarding continued detention. In 2007, 3,268 suspects were received in arrest and detention centres and 2,075 persons were released. In 2008, 3,487 suspects were received in arrest and detention centres and 1,478 persons were released in accordance with the relevant legislation. A control prosecutor exercises supervision of these activities.	
(e) Inadmissibility of confessions as evidence without the presence of a lawyer.	Art. 79.4 CPC, but not implemented in practice.	Government: The Government is working on providing all detained persons with a right to advocacy according to the Code of Criminal Procedure. If an investigation is conducted without the presence of a lawyer, it will not be considered as evidence in court proceedings.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Some detainees were tortured and told that if they signed a written confession and there was no evidence to support it, they would be proven innocent. However, these confessions were later used as evidence in court to convict them.	
(f) Judges and prosecutors should ask persons how they have were treated and order independent medical examinations.		Government : In case of any doubt over the testimony of the suspect, witnesses, etc., the prosecutor shall initiate an investigation to discover the facts of the case. No cases against public officials regarding torture or ill-treatment were initiated ex officio by judges or prosecutors.	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Situation during visit (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> According the guidelines for the administration of the CPC, a prosecutor shall visit a detained suspect or accused at least once within 10 days, shall receive information on his\her health condition, and shall include thee results in his report.	
(g) Prompt and thorough investigations by independent authority.	Investigations could not be carried out ex officio.	Government: Since 2002, the State General Prosecutor's Office has supervised the investigation of allegations of torture or cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment by police and prosecution authority employees, according to Article 27.2 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Mongolian citizens are entitled to file petitions and claims to this authority and to the NHRCM. In 2007, seven criminal cases of forced testimony using means such as beatings and pressure were initiated and tried by the Criminal investigation service of the State General Prosecutor's Office. In 2008, only four criminal cases were initiated and tried.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : A number of complaints addressed to the National Human Rights Commission of Mongolia (NHRCM) and the Office of the Prosecution were reportedly dismissed for lack of evidence, apparently without an investigation being carried out. The Special Investigation Unit, which investigates cases involving officials such as prosecutors, judges and law enforcement officers still lacks capacity and staff with sufficient experience, and has been subject to intimidation by police officers. Through the revision of the CPC adopted on 9 August 2007, articles 26 and 27 clearly defined	
		August 2007, articles 26 and 27 clearly defined the boundaries of investigations, which shall be within the competence of the Intelligence Agency, Investigation Authority under the General Prosecutor's Office and Anti-	

Recommendation	Situation during visit (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years	
(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)		(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Corruption Agency. The official statistical information received from the Judiciary states that within the last three years, there have been no such cases.	
(h) Immediate suspension from duty of any public official indicted for abuse or torture.	No such cases.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Since 2002, only one person has been punished under Article 251 of the Criminal Code for cruel and inhuman treatment.	
(i) Compensation and rehabilitation of victims.	No reference to compensation for torture or ill-treatment in the law.	Government: Although there is no specific provision that provides for compensation for torture, the Government states that it shall be responsible for the "removal of detriments" caused by illegal treatment by investigators, prosecutors and judges during criminal procedures, in accordance with the State Supreme Court and Articles 388-397 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Payments of around 500 million tugrug have been made to over 20 citizens and organizations, and 3.4 billion tugrug has been set aside in the 2009 budget for this purpose.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The CPC does not contain provisions on the compensation of persons who have been subjected to torture. Therefore, the court bases its verdict on the CAT.	
(j) Recognize the competence of the CAT to receive and consider individual communications.			
(k) The Criminal Pre-trial detention in custody should not be the general rule, particularly for nonviolent, minor or less serious offences; increase	Pre-trial detention is generally the rule; the maximum period is excessive. CPC specifies in article 69.1 to 69.4 that the term of pre-trial	Government: According to an August 2007 amendment to the Code of Criminal Procedure, the Government is working on alternatives to detention, particularly for less serious cases and juveniles. Articles 366.1 and 366.2 of the Code of Criminal Procedure limit pre-trial detention	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Situation during visit (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
use of non-custodial measures; reduction of maximum period of pre- trial detention; pre-trial detention as a measure of last resort.	confinement ranges from14 days up to 30 months. Suspects under 18 can be detained for up to 18 months (art. 366.4).	for juveniles to only those accused of serious and grave crimes or in special situations, and limits the amount of time juveniles can be detained to a maximum of eight months.	
(l) End special isolation regime.	Some categories of prisoners (those commuted from a death sentence) were held in isolation as part of the special isolation regime at Prison No. 405.	Government : The training and social work department of the Court decision execution authority was extended and organized. A program for the socialization of detainees in 2008-2009 was developed, and professional training and production centres have been established in some prisons. 95 detainees have begun professional qualifications.	
		According to recent statistics, 38 persons are imprisoned in Gyandan prison for up to 30 years.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In collaboration with the General Prosecutors Office prisoners were divided based on their crime and reiteration. Surveillance cameras were installed along with the possibility to listen to music and watch television. Moreover, a religious activity room and a gym, in which prisoners have the right practice any sport activity of their preference for 30 minutes, were opened.	
(m) Death row prisoners be detained strictly in accordance with the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners.	Death row prisoners were handcuffed and shackled throughout their detention, held in isolation and denied adequate food.	Government: 50 detainees have been sentenced, six of whom have had their sentences commuted to life imprisonment. The detainees are provided with rights as stated in the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners, and attend foreign language and computer training.	
(n) Moratorium on the death penalty, with a view to its abolition.		Government: It is prohibited to apply the death sentence to women, juveniles and the elderly (over approximately sixty). The Great	

Recommendation	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years	
(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	total secrecy surrounding the death penalty.	State Hural has committed to decrease the types of cases that can receive the death	
	Article 53 provides for the possibility of persons originally sentenced to death to have their sentences commuted to 30 years' imprisonment upon presidential pardon.	sentence, and to abolish it in future. A working group has been created to conduct research on the issue. The Government has stated that the death penalty is not a permanent measure, but rather a temporary response to the criminal situation in Mongolia. No statistical data on the number of death sentences carried out is available, pursuant to Article 1(55) of the law on Approving State Secret's list.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The Assorted Criminal Code of Mongolia currently under consideration retains the death penalty, although it restricts the number of crimes it can be applied to. Those convicted of premeditated murder or assassination of a state or public figure can still be sentenced to death by shooting under the draft Code.	
(o) Ratification of the OPCAT and creation of an independent monitoring mechanism.	OPCAT not ratified. No provision for systematic independent monitoring; although NHRCM has unrestricted	Government: A working group to study the issues relating to the entry of Mongolia to the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture was established by the MJHA, and appropriate research is being carried out.	
	access, visits to prisons by NGOs are restricted and permission is seldom granted.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The Minister of Justice and Home Affairs (MJHA) has established a working group to elaborate a study on the OPCAT and on the issue of its ratification. It has met with NGOs to discuss options for a National Preventive Mechanism (NPM). The NHRCM conducts visits, and some NGOs have been able to conduct limited visits to prisons.	
(p) Extensive and thorough training, human rights education, and continuing education for	There was a basic lack of awareness and understanding of the international standards relating to the prohibition	Government: A "Methodic instruction for carrying out investigation procedures" has been produced, which sets rules and regulations on the procedures for collecting evidence to prevent illegal methods being used by	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Situation during visit (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
members of the judiciary	enforcement officials, prosecutors, lawyers and members of the judiciary. It was reported that three	investigators. The provision of human rights and the use of special equipment and methods are taught in detail in the basic and officer training courses at the Police Academy and incorporated into official training programs performed by the police organization.	
	corps have no human	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The NHRCM includes training for the prevention of torture among law enforcement officers in its human rights education program. In 2009, human rights topics were included in the obligatory curricula for lawyers, in particular on the prevention of torture, court sub-committees, the prosecutor's office, lawyers' association and the notary chamber.	
		The academic programs of the Police Academy and primary courses for cadets and officers now include ensuring human rights and freedoms in cases of use of special tactics, devices and grips.	
(q) Carry out systematic training programmes and awareness-raising campaigns.	Other than the public inquiry on torture initiated by NHRCM, nothing had been done by the Government to publicize or raise awareness of the Convention among the public, law enforcement and legal professionals or the judiciary.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The NHRCM conducted a number of consultative meetings, workshops and conferences involving representatives from the Supreme Court, General Prosecutors Office, Investigation Authority under the GPO, Anti-Corruption Agency, General Police Department, and over 70 NGO representatives. In 2006, with the assistance of the Canada Foundation, the NHRCM elaborated a human rights model program, approved by the Ministry of Education, for the curricula of secondary school and universities providing legal education. Lessons on the basics of human rights are taught in 6th grade and at universities providing legal education.	
		However, no form of training on the prevention and protection from torture and other policy	

\triangleright	
F	
Ŧ	
õ	
1	
9	
61	
ā	
d.	
ŝ	

Recommendation	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received in the reporting period
(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	(E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		issues has been conducted by the Government	
		of Mongolia.	

Nepal

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Nepal in September 2005 (E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5, paras. 33-35)

77. On 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Nepal requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations made after his predecessor's fact-finding mission in 2005. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government has not provided a response to his request. He looks forward to receiving information on Nepal's efforts to follow-up to the recommendations and affirms that he stands ready to assist in efforts to prevent and combat torture and ill-treatment.

78. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the inclusion of a definition of torture in the draft Penal Code and the corresponding Sentencing Bill submitted to the Parliamentary secretariat in late January 2011, and expresses hope that the draft bill will be made public. He calls upon the Government to speed up the Parliamentary hearings on the adoption of the draft Penal Code to ensure that torture is defined as a criminal offence punishable in a manner proportionate to the gravity of the crime and that the statute of limitations for the crime of torture is abolished. The Special Rapporteur strongly encourages the Government to ensure that no person convicted for the crime of torture will be entitled to benefit from an act of amnesty.

79. The Special Rapporteur observes that the draft bills for the establishment of the truth and reconciliation commission and the disappearances commission have been pending before the Legislative Committee of the Parliament since early 2011. He calls on the Government to expedite without delay the establishment of these commissions.

80. The Special Rapporteur echoes the concern expressed by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights over the appointment of a Cabinet Minister alleged to have been involved in a case of disappearance.⁴⁷ The Special Rapporteur regrets that no action has been taken to date on the 2008 recommendation by the National Human Rights Commission calling on the Government to investigate the case and prosecute the alleged perpetrators. The Special Rapporteur reiterates his previous recommendation to ensure that any public official indicted for abuse or torture, be immediately suspended from duty pending trial, and prosecution. He urges the Government to declare unambiguously that the culture of impunity must end and that torture and ill-treatment by public officials will not be tolerated and will be prosecuted.

81. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the Supreme Court's decision of 22 September 2011, ordering the Government to review the laws granting quasi-judicial power to Chief District Officers within six months. He remains concerned that the disciplinary sanctions and lenient penalties imposed on public officials for their alleged involvement in torture and ill-treatment contribute to the culture of impunity. He regrets that the Nepal Police Human Rights Unit and the Attorney General's Department, both set up to investigate the allegations of torture, lack independence. The Special Rapporteur observes that the NHRC entrusted with

⁴⁷ Nepal: UN concerned over appointment of Cabinet Minister alleged to have committed human rights violations, 5 May 2011. Available at: http://www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=10986&LangID=E.

investigating torture allegations and monitoring places of detention, may have not been in a position to carry out systematic visits and give priority to the investigation of torture allegations. He encourages the Government to strengthen the NHRC's capacity as the agency entrusted with investigating torture allegations and monitoring places of detention.

82. The Special Rapporteur received reports regarding holding detainees for prolonged periods and calls upon the police to better respect the maximum period of 24 hours, produce arrested individuals before the judicial authority, and to transfer arrested individuals to a pre-trial facility under a judicial authority, where no unsupervised contact with the interrogators or investigators should be permitted.

83. The Special Rapporteur calls on the Government to ensure timely access to independent medical examination at all stages of the criminal process, in particular when the suspect is placed in a temporary police detention facility, when taken out for any investigative activity, and upon return; and ensure that access to lawyers of the suspect's own choosing is granted from the very moment of apprehension.

84. The Special Rapporteur wishes to reiterate the appeal to the Government to become Party to the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture (OPCAT) and designate a national preventive mechanism.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
a) Highest authorities, particularly those responsible for law enforcement activities, declare unambiguously that the	Special Rapporteur was repeatedly told by senior police and military officials that torture was acceptable in some situations.	Government: In 2007 the Interim Constitution prohibiting torture was adopted. However, torture was not criminalized in domestic laws. In August 2008, the "Common Minimum Programme" (CMP) was agreed. The 50-point programme - among other commitments - states that the culture of impunity shall end through consolidating law and order. To render the administration and security organs independent and accountable, a Code of Conduct shall be developed for the peoples' realization of security. On several occasions, the Home Minister has made statements in which he has promised to address the lack of public security and absence of the rule of law, and encouraged the police to restore law and order at the earliest opportunity. On 7 September 2008, he gave 15 instructions to the Inspector General of Police to	affirming the Government's commitment to prosecute acts of torture. Reportedly, torture is still not defined as a crime in law and impunity remains widespread and systematic. During the UPR review in January 2011, the Government promised to address impunity.
		do so. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> officials continue to make pubic commitments that impunity must end. For instance, in his statement to the GA on 26 Sep. 08, then PM "Prachanda" stated that, as a democracy, Nepal is fully committed to protect and promote the human rights of its people under all circumstances with constitutional and legal guarantees and implementation of the international human rights instruments to which Nepal is a party. However, the climate of impunity remains firmly entrenched, evidenced by actions such as the withdrawal of criminal charges in 349 cases and the lack of progress in police investigations into past human rights violations.	Reportedly, instead of investigating and prosecuting those responsible for grave human rights violations, consecutive governments have withdrawn cases pending in the courts and tried to grant blanket amnesties. It is reported that the new coalition Government of the Maoists and regional parties from the southern Terai region which came to power in late August 2011, formally agreed to withdraw criminal cases against individuals affiliated with the Maoist party, the Madhesi, Janajati, Tharuhat, Dalit, and Pichadabarga movements, and to declare a general amnesty in cases which could include serious crimes and human rights abuses.
		Government: The draft bill on Witness Protection is almost finalised.	Reportedly, to date, not a single

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The widespread impunity is partly due to the fact that under the law, Chief District Officers (CDOs) enjoy quasi-judicial power.	person alleged to have committed serious human rights violations during the conflict has been brought to justice in a civilian court.
		 The Armed Police Force and the Forestry Department is reportedly involved in illegal arrests, detention and torture of detainees. On 6 April 2010, a non-governmental organization filed a petition (Writ No W0043) of Public Interest Litigation to challenge the quasi-judicial power of Chief District Officers (CDOs), arguing that a number of laws granting quasi-judicial powers to CDOs' were in breach of article 14 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). The Case is sub judice before the Supreme Court. The Police Act provides for disciplinary actions and lenient penalties for police officers involved in torture. According to the data gathered for the period of 2006-September 2010, there has been a steady decline of around 15 per cent in the alleged cases of tortures of detainees, from around 30 per cent in 2006 to around 15.7 per cent in 2010, though there is a worrying increase over the period from April to June 2010. In some districts and in relation to some categories of detainees, the percentages are much higher. It has been observed that detainees belonging to certain minority ethnic groups and lower castes face a significantly higher risk of torture than detainees from high castes. It is further consistently reported that juveniles face a higher risk of torture. Custodial torture is reported largely in the southern part of Nepal where the armed groups are active. 	Committee in the two cases in which has been held to have violated its obligations in relation to the prohibition of torture and victims' right to a remedy under the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (Sharma v Nepal (2008) and Giri v Nepal (2011)). - Reportedly, after 8 postponements of the final hearing in Writ No W0043 challenging the quasi-judicial power of Chief District Officers (CDOs), the Supreme Court on 22 September 201 ordered the Government to review the quasi-judicial powers vested in Chief District Officers and other administrative officers within six

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			of gradual decline in reported torture in the 57 places of detention in 20 districts. Reported torture declined from around 50% in 2001 – 2002 to around 20% in 2009 – 2010. Reportedly, the percentage of torture in detention from January to June 2010 was 15.8%. This increased to 22.5% between July and December 2010. A further increase to 25% was recorded from January to June 2011. - It is alleged that people of Terai origin continue to be found to be more likely to be tortured than hill community people.
b) The crime of torture is defined as a matter of priority in accordance with article 1 of the Convention against Torture, with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture.	Torture prohibited in Article 14(4) of Constitution (1990). However, torture was not criminalized in domestic legislation.	Government : In 2007 the Inter-ministerial consultations on the draft torture bill were underway. The Interim Constitution of January 2007 Art. 26 stipulates: "(1) No person who is detained during investigation or for enquiry or for trial or for any other reason shall be subjected to physical or mental torture, nor any cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. (2) Actions pursuant to clause (1) shall be punishable by law and any person so treated shall be compensated in accordance with the decision determined by law." Army Act 2006 (amendment of Military Act 1959) Section 62 criminalizes torture and provides for	 Reportedly, juveniles continue to face a higher risk of torture. The percentage of torture recorded during January to June 2011, is 32.8%, an increase of 6.1 % compared to July to December 2010. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, although the Interim Constitution of 2007 states that any act of torture shall be punishable by law, there is not yet any legal provision that declares torture to be a crime in Nepal. Reportedly, a draft Penal Code, Criminal Procedure Code and Sentencing Bill were submitted to the parliamentary secretariat in late January 2011. However they have yet to be circulated among the members of parliament. The submission to the secretary puts an end to the public

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		investigations by civilian authorities, headed by the Deputy Attorney General. A special court presided by an Appellate Court Judge competent for such crimes. However the law does not contain a definition of torture.	consultation process. Partly as a resu of these consultations, a definition of torture was included into the draft Penal Code.
		Non-governmental sources: The draft bill	Reportedly, no draft bill to criminali torture has been made public.
		criminalizing torture which was the subject of consultations in 2007 has yet to be made public, or tabled before the Parliament for approval. The work of the Ministry of Home Affairs and the Ministry of Law and Justice related to the preparation of the torture bill has not been transparent, which has caused frustration for national and international organizations interested in supporting the criminalization process. A draft bill which would set the framework for the establishment of a truth and reconciliation commission defines mental and physical torture as a serious human rights violation, and has a provision prohibiting amnesty for acts of torture or degrading treatment. The bill has been the subject of public consultations conducted by the Ministry of Peace	 The draft bills for the establishmen of the truth and reconciliation commission (TRC) and the disappearances commission were tabled in parliament, but have been pending before the Legislative Committee since early 2011. The Maoist Party's Commitments and Proposal to Government, "Peace Process and Constitution" of 25 August 2011, promised to establish TRC and Disappearances Commissi within one month. The Torture Compensation Act 199
		and Reconstruction (with the support of OHCHR), but has yet to be presented before Parliament. Despite revisions to the Army Act in 2006, there has yet to be a successful criminal prosecution of Nepal Army personnel involved in conflict-related torture. The Nepal Army continues to maintain that the previous Army Act, in effect during the conflict, prevents them from cooperating fully with police investigations into allegations of torture by its personnel during the conflict. The only legislation to redress torture survivors is the 'Torture Compensation Act 1996' of Nepal, which deals only with the compensation of torture.	remains in force. Its functioning is reportedly highly problematic. Since no centralised documentation cases filed under the TCA exists, it difficult to make a comprehens assessment of these cases. Reporte an analysis of cases filed since 20 reveals considerable information ab the Act, particularly in terms judicial decisions reach Reportedly, 98 cases were filed behalf of victims under the TCA fr 2003 till June 2011. Of these 98 cases

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		It does not allow for criminal prosecution of the perpetrators involved in torture and other ill- treatment, and contains a limitation clause of 35 days.	39 (39.7%) were dismissed at final hearing; 27 (27.5%) were granted compensation; six (6.1%) were withdrawn by the plaintiff; 9 (9.1%) were dismissed during earlier stages of
		<i>Non-governmental sources 2008</i> : Art. 26(1) of the Interim Constitution requires the Government to criminalize torture, although the provision has not been included in the legislation. The Government has repeatedly stated that it is drafting a bill, but no progress has been reported. Despite repeated requests, no details of the draft have been made available to the public. The CMP provides for the appointment of a high- level security committee to develop a national security policy and, based on the agreement of 23 Dec. 07 signed with the 7 party alliance (SPA), the creation of a National Peace and Rehabilitation Commission, a High Level Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), a High Level Commission for State Restructuring, a Commission on Disappearances, and a Land Reforms Commission. Beyond the reference to the appointment of a TRC	the case, on the basis of, for instance, shortcomings in evidence and 17 (17.3%) remain pending in the courts. Around one fourth of cases filed resulted in compensation being granted, and in 11 cases (11.22%) the courts ordered for departmental action against perpetrators. In two cases, the court ordered the department to give advice to the perpetrators involved in torture, a directive short of disciplinary action. This reportedly demonstrates the extent to which the TCA can be seen to perpetuate cycles of impunity and deny judicial remedy to the majority of victims. Torture has still not been defined as a crime.
		and a Commission on Disappearances, the CMP remained silent in relation to accountability for past human rights abuses.	- The draft Penal Code now provides for five years' imprisonment as the maximum penalty for torture.
		 Non-governmental sources: The practice of impunity in relation to torture and ill-treatment has exacerbated due to the fact that torture is not defined as a crime and no criminal charges can be brought against the perpetrators. Although article 20 of the Interim Constitution of January 2007 requires criminalization of torture, no such actions have been undertaken. 	- Reportedly, the draft provision introduces a limitation period for filing claims of 6 months from the day of incident or from the release of victim from detention.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
c) Incommunicado detention made illegal, and persons held incommunicado released without delay.	A large number of persons taken involuntarily by security forces were being held incommunicado at unknown locations.	brought before the Cabinet, criminalizes torture. However, it fails to provide a clear definition in line with international standards and fails to impose a minimum punishment for the acts of torture. It also provides a maximum time limit of six months within which victims have to file cases. Government: Art. 24 (2) of the Interim Constitution provides for immediate access to legal counsel. Section 24 (3) stipulates that detainees ought to be presented before a judge within 24 hours of their arrest. Non-governmental sources: As per 2009, incommunicado detention has reappeared in the recent past in connection with detained individuals accused of belonging to armed groups. OHCHR has documented numerous cases of illegal detention of suspected members of armed groups. Police regularly deny that suspected armed group members are in police custody, and have held individuals incommunicado for multiple days before acknowledging that they are in detention or without granting access to organizations such as OHCHR or the National Human Rights Commission. Police continue to keep inaccurate records of detention in which they falsify the date of arrest. Non-governmental sources 2008 : Although incommunicado detention is less common now than during the conflict, unacknowledged detention and failure to observe court orders regarding releases, particularly by the Armed Police Force (APF), continue to occur. There are some cases of incommunicado detention for up to 11 days. Government: The police does not possess	Non-governmental sources: According to non-governmental sources, complaints have been received regarding the use of private residents as secret places of detention where people are reportedly severely tortured in Kathmandu. According to Nepal National Weekly, in a special report published on 19 December 2010, police have reportedly continued to rent private houses to interrogate and detain suspects - a practice which started during the conflict. Several of these houses have reportedly been located it Sanepa, Lalitpur. The report further states that in the second house, located in Gairidhara, a team of plainclothes policemen operating under the command of Deputy Superintendent of Police (DSP) Gagat Man Shrestha have been detaining and interrogating suspects, information that was later denied by police spokesman Biguan Raj Sharma It is alleged that the existence of these "safe houses" is being kept under wraps even among police personnel. Sources within the police justify the practice by claiming they are used to

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		information about any unacknowledged incommunicado detention. Police produces any arrested person before the judicial authority within 24 hours excluding the time required for the transfer. Any person detained for more than 24 hours can seek legal remedies from the courts. Non-governmental sources: Incommunicado detention is not illegal and many detainees are denied the right to meet their relatives or lawyers during the first few days of their arrest.	avoid leaks and prevent the media from "hampering the investigations". It is alleged that suspicions of extortion by the police have been confirmed by individuals detained and forced to pay for their release.
			Reportedly, the practice of denying detainees access to their relatives or lawyers during the first few days after arrest remains common. Many are reportedly allowed visits only after they have been remanded into custody by the court.
d) Those legally arrested should not be held in facilities under the control of their interrogators or investigators for more than	Legislation (2004 Terrorist and Disruptive Activities (Control and Punishment) Ordinance (TADO) and	Government : According to Art. 24 (3) of the Interim Constitution, detainees must be presented before a judge within 24 hours of arrest. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Detainees in police	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that the practice of keeping detainees in police custody beyond the 24 hours permitted by law remains common.
the time required by law to obtain a judicial warrant of pre-trial detention, which should not exceed 48 hours. After this period they should be transferred to a pre-trial facility under a different authority, where no	military with sweeping powers to detain suspects for preventive reasons, in some cases for up to 12 months;	custody continue to be held beyond the 24 hours permitted by law. A lack of accurate record keeping in many prisons and police detention facilities makes it difficult to hold police personnel accountable for these violations. In practice, Art. 24 (3) is not respected. There are some significant gaps in constitutional protection, e.g. with regard to the rights of non-	During 2011, 47.6% of the detainees interviewed by non-governmental organisation described that they were taken before a judge after the 24 hour deadline had expired. Only 37.3% were taken to court within the required 24 hours. (Others were released.)
further unsupervised contact with the interrogators or investigators should be permitted.	(Section 15 (2) of the State Cases Act requires that arrested persons be produced before the "appropriate authority" within 24 hours, and prohibits any person from being held for a longer period without orders of	citizens, to liberty and security and provisions permitting derogation from rights during a state of emergency. TADO 2006 – under which many detainees were held without charge under the previous Government – expired at the end of Oct. 06 and has not been renewed. Most detainees held under TADO were gradually released after April 2006.	During this initial period, access to relatives and lawyers is often also restricted. It is during this period that most incidents of torture take place.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	such authority).	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although the Interim Constitution requires bringing detainees before court within 24 hours, in practice detainees are held under detention for long hours without having access to lawyers or a doctor.	
e) Maintenance of custody registers be scrupulously ensured, including recording of the time and place of arrest, the identity of the personnel, the actual place of detention, the state of health upon arrival of the person at the detention centre, the time family and a lawyer were contacted and visited the detainee, and information on compulsory medical examinations upon being brought to a detention centre and upon transfer.	Detainee registers were poorly kept, if at all.	Non-governmental sources: According to the Police Act, the police authorities are obliged to maintain a standardized register. However, the practice of using ad-hoc registers and notebooks instead of standardized diaries still remains a problem. The police generally do not record the actual date of arrest and often adjust the arrest date in order to give the impression of compliance with the 24 hour limitation. OHCHR continues to document instances of APF personnel participating in the detention and interrogation of suspects. In March 06 the Office of the Prime Minister and the Council of Ministers opened the Human Rights Central Registry, whose functions included, inter alia, maintaining a list of detainees throughout the country. National Army, Home Office, APF and NP staff were assigned to the office and were starting to develop a detention database, but by the end of 2006, no data had been entered for the over 7,000 detainees and prisoners officially recognized as being held throughout Nepal. The office never became fully functional and ceased to function shortly after the change of Government in April 06. Detention registers are not systematically updated; the police use two registers: one lists the name of detainees before remand and the other after remand. The lawyers and the public do not have access to registers. As the police are legally entitled to detain a person for 24 hours, they often do not register the	Non-governmental sources: It is reported that the multiple issues identified during 2010 (incomplete and inaccurate registers; lack of access to registers for lawyers; not registering detainees who are released after a short period of time, etc), remain common problems during 2011.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		names of arrested/detained persons immediately. APF does not have clear legal powers to arrest and detain. However, it has become increasingly involved in arrests related to armed groups, and it does not operate or maintain official detention facilities or detention registers.	one involved a clash between a Muslim community and the APF and in the other case two individuals were tortured by APF from Customs Security checkpoint, Krishna Nagar, Kapilvastu District.
		 <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The registers and detention records are incomplete and often inaccurate, if not deliberately falsified. This shortfall allows for holding detainees for several days without charges. Lawyers do not have access to police registers. Most commonly, the date of arrest is falsified in an attempt to circumvent the constitutional requirement to bring detainees before a court within 24 hours. In cases where a detainee is released within a couple of hours or in the first few days after the arrest, records of it are not being kept. In addition, access to relatives and a lawyer is normally granted only when detainees are brought before the court. 	
		Government: In every District Office throughout the country there are police officers assigned as "custody management officers" who are responsible to manage custody and records of the detainees. A "Custody Record Form" is used to keep detainee's records. It is mandatory for all responsible police personnel to carry out a physical and health check up before and after detention or release of any person.	Reportedly, "custody management officers" are not specifically focussed on their custody work. They are often found engaged in other work and custody work is not carried in a timely manner.
			A health check-up of detainees at the time of being taken into detention is now fairly well observed, though it is reported that the manner in which the

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			check-ups are conducted remains problematic. Interviews conducted with detainees by different organisations suggest that health check-ups are just a formality as police routinely take detainees in groups to see a doctor; and doctors simply ask the detainees whether they have any injuries or internal wounds, but fail to physically examine them. Check-ups at the time of release are often not adhered to.
(f) All detained persons be effectively guaranteed the ability to challenge the lawfulness of their detention, e.g. through habeas corpus. Such procedures should function effectively and expeditiously.	The right of habeas corpus was denied by virtue of Article 14 (7) of the Constitution to any person who is arrested or detained by any law providing for preventive detention; Whereas safeguards were contained in preventive detention legislation and the right of the Supreme Court to issue habeas corpus writs with respect to preventive detention; the Special Rapporteur observed that these safeguards were not effective.	 Non-governmental sources: The June 07 decision of the Supreme Court has not been implemented. The government has, however, prepared a draft bill to criminalize disappearances and to set up a Commission of Inquiry into Enforced Disappearances. The current draft has been criticized, including by OHCHR, for being inconsistent with international standards in a number of respects. Misrepresentations by police and other state officials, apparently to hide detainees or cover-up the fact that their detention is illegal, continue to present an obstacle to the effective functioning of the habeas corpus remedy. Weak sanctions for perjury and contempt of court are contributing factors in relation to the way in which the authorities respond to habeas corpus petitions. Despite obvious and repeated lies and misinformation from officials in court (including by the Nepal Army during the conflict), no one has ever been prosecuted or otherwise disciplined by the 	 Non-governmental sources: Enforced disappearances have not been criminalized, despite the 2007 Supreme Court order for the Government to do so. It is reported that problems relating to bringing detainees before a court within the 24 hours required by the Constitution remain common. (See also the comments above in relation to recommendation (e) on incommunicado detention). Reportedly, sanctions for perjury and contempt remain weak. Lack of cooperation with the courts and investigating authorities by the Nepal Army and CPN-M in particular are o grave concern.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		courts for perjury. In June 07, the Supreme Court issued a groundbreaking ruling in relation to disappearances resulting from the conflict, based on the work of its Task Force set up for a group of petitions of habeas corpus. As of Jan. 08, the ruling had yet to be implemented. A credible commission of inquiry had yet to be set up.	
		 Non-governmental sources 2008: In 2006 and 2005, 64 and 640 cases of habeas corpus, respectively, were lodged at the Supreme Court. While the denial of detainees' rights to habeas corpus to challenge their detention is not as serious as during the conflict, concerns remain as to delays in bringing detainees before a court within 24 hours as stipulated by the Constitution. Government: The Nepal Police respects the rights 	In April 2011, the Administration of Justice Act was amended to give the powers to hear <i>habeas corpus</i> petitions at the district court level. Prior to this, only the Supreme Court and Appellate Courts were empowered to hear such petitions. This is a welcome change which will hopefully assist in the prevention of arbitrary arrest and detention especially in more remote areas.
(a) Confossions mode hu	1974 Evidence Act	of detained persons to challenge the lawfulness of their detention. In some instances detained persons were released by the court order.	
(g) Confessions made by persons in custody without the presence of a lawyer and that are not confirmed before a judge not be admissible as evidence against the persons who made the confession. Serious consideration should be given to video and audio taping of all persons present during proceedings in interrogation rooms.	declares statements made under torture inadmissible; however torture was systematically practiced to extract confessions.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In many cases lawyers are not present when detainees initially make "confessions", which are often extracted after beatings, threats or other forms of pressure. Police openly admit that they rely heavily on confessions for criminal investigations. Reportedly, some members of the police have even implied that if they did not use force they would not be able to obtain a confession. It is common for defendants to inform courts at the time of committal hearings that they did not give statements voluntarily, at which point such statements are often ruled out as evidence. However, in many other cases this does not happen, or the victim is afraid to allege torture or other ill-	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, police continue to rely heavily on confessions as the central piece of evidence in most cases. It is alleged that incidents of beatings and ill-treatment during interrogation are widespread and increasing. In addition, it remains very common for detainees to be forced to sign statements without being able to read them.

2
H
R
2
19
ž
E
Þ
dd
ū

		Measure taken in the recent years	
Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	(see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the report period
		treatment. Judges do not generally restrict the admissibility of evidence obtained during interrogation outside of the presence of a lawyer. Confessions remain the central piece of evidence in most cases. Incidents of beatings and ill-treatment during interrogation are widespread. In addition, it is very common in Nepal for detainees to be forced to sign statements without being able to read them beforehand. Further, although the prosecution carries the burden of ultimately proving a defendant's guilt, each defendant has to "persuade" the court of the "specific fact" that a statement was not freely given. In practice, this means that forced confessions are routinely accepted unless the defendant is able to produce some compelling evidence demonstrating that coercion or torture took place. In other words, Nepali law reverses the burden of proof and expects detainees to prove that they were in fact tortured. There is no provision made for video and audio- taping of proceedings in Nepal.	In the case of <i>Bahadur Karki v</i> <i>Government of Nepal</i> , the Suprem Court ruled that an uncorroborated confession is inadmissible at trial (NKP. 2062, Case: Murder, Decis No. 7555 Pg 74), however reporte the current draft Criminal Procedu Code does not include a provision enshrining this rule in legislation a judges continue to allow the admissibility of evidence obtained during interrogation outside of the presence of a lawyer.
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Although under the TCA and Evidence Act, forced self-incriminatory statements are inadmissible in court proceedings, police continues to use torture to coerce confessions. Judges rarely ask detainees whether their statements were given freely. In addition, according to Section 28 of the State Cases Act, forced confessions are routinely accepted by the court, unless the defendant is able to submit evidence demonstrating that the statement was produced through torture. Moreover, the law is not clear as to the exact procedure used by courts to establish whether or not a confession was extracted under torture.	Reportedly, no video and audio- recording of interrogations has be provided for.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		the law with respect to the use of confessions obtained under coercion. From 2006 to 2007, the District Courts convicted defendants in 72.67 per cent of 4,524 criminal cases, whereas CDOs convicted defendants in 98.27 per cent of 2,516 cases. - In this regard, between October 2009 and June 2010, 13.9% of those charged under the Public Offences Act (1970) and 34.5% of those charged under the Arms, and Ammunition Act (1963), both providing quasi-judicial powers to CDOs, reported torture. Government: According to the Nepalese law, the accused person gives statement to the public prosecutor in the court. No statement is admissible as evidence, unless confirmed by the person before the court.	It is reported that in the fiscal year of 2007-2008, the District Courts decided 3,325 criminal cases and CDOs decided 2,723 criminal cases. The District Courts convicted the defendant in 70.89% of the 3,325 criminal cases. The CDOs convicted in no less than 97.94% of cases. In the fiscal year of 2008-2009, the District Courts decided 5,119 criminal cases and CDOs decided 3,072 criminal cases. The District Courts convicted the defendant in 72.20% of the 6,255 criminal cases. The CDOs convicted in no less than 95.25% of cases. On 22 September 2011, the Supreme Court ordered the Government to review the quasi-judicial functions of CDOs and other administrative bodies within six months.
(h) Judges and prosecutors routinely ask persons brought from police custody how they have been treated and, even in the absence of a formal complaint from the defendant, order an independent medical examination.	There was a lack of prosecutions in the face of mounting and credible allegations of torture and other acts of ill-treatment by the police, APF and RNA. There was a lack of confidence in the justice	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Art. 135, 3 (C) of the Interim Constitution gives powers to the Attorney General's Office to investigate allegations of inhumane treatment of any person in custody and gives the necessary directions under the Constitution to the relevant authorities to prevent the recurrence of such a situation. In the context of cases brought under the Torture Compensation Act, detainees are increasingly taken for examination at the time of arrest, although there	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, among the detainees interviewed by non-governmental organisation during the period of January – June 2011, who had been taken to court (whether within 24 hours or later), only 379 (19.4%) detainees stated that they were asked by the judges about torture or other ill-treatment whereas 1,578 (80.6%) stated that they were

2
H
R
2
19
ž
1
Þ
dd
ί.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	system and the rule of law on the part of victims and their families.	are concerns regarding the quality of these examinations. Quite commonly doctors underreport injuries as they are concerned for their own security and fear reprisals. Often, junior staff is assigned the task of conducting medical check-ups of detainees brought to the hospital by police. It is also common for the police to insist on staying with the detainee, claiming risk of escape. Detainees are very rarely taken for examination at the time of transfer to the prison or release.	not asked by judges about torture or other ill-treatment. This represents an improvement from 5.5% in the previous period.
		OHCHR has been working with the Nepal Police, the National Human Rights Commission and other partners to increase the quality of detainee health examinations and their documentation. Most detainees do not make formal complaints of torture and other ill-treatment when taken before a judge or prosecutor, mostly for fear of reprisals. Although some judges have developed the practice of asking male detainees to remove their shirts and questioning, such practice has not become uniform and in any case is inadequate, particularly with regard to methods which do not leave physical marks, including psychological torture. Judges do not systematically test the voluntary nature of a confession and many confessions extracted under duress are still admitted as evidence.	
		 Non-governmental sources: Although it is not strictly required by the Nepal law, some judges ask whether a detainee has been tortured while in custody. Throughout October 2009 - June 2010, 9.2 per cent of interviewed detainees indicated that judges asked them whether they were subjected to torture during interrogation. This percentage represents 	

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 almost 5 per cent increase compared to results compiled in December 2008-November 2009. Although the percentage of detainees who have a medical check-up when taken into custody has increased, no medial examination is carried out when releasing detainees. Furthermore, check-ups are just a formality as police routinely take a group of detainees to a doctor, who simply asks whether they have any injuries or internal wounds and fails to physically examine them. Doctors often fail to provide the court with adequate medical descriptions and are threatened by the police and the CDO if they provide an adequate medical report. 	
		Government: Upon receiving complaint about torture, the court may order within three days, physical or mental examination of the victim of torture or ill-treatment. Under Section 5 (3) of the CRT Act, the government provides medical treatment upon necessity. The proceedings for these type of request are carried out pursuant to Summary Proceedings Act 1971 (Section 6 of the CRT Act), requiring the court to deliver a judgment within 90 days.	- The Compensation Relating to Torture (CRT) Act provides that: "the Court <i>may</i> order to have the detainee's physical or mental examination within three days". There are many problems with these medical examinations (so- called Physical-Mental Check-ups, PMCs; to be distinguished from the routine medical examinations conducted at the time of detention and release). It is reported that in many cases, the police do not take detainees for PMCs within the stipulated time, arguing there were problems with available transport or other obstacles. Reportedly, there are several cases where doctors have failed to conduct a proper examination. The doctors also often fail to give adequate description of any wounds in the medical report to be submitted to the court, and to give

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
and thoroughly investigated by an independent authority with no connection to that investigating or prosecuting the case against the alleged victim. In the opinion of the	No ex-officio investigations.	Government: No criminal investigations into torture allegations were launched in 2007. However, in one case an internal inquiry found four police officers responsible of torture and imposed minor disciplinary sanctions. Investigations were launched in one prominent case of a death in custody. The Report of the Rayamajhi Commission set up in 2006 to investigate human rights violations, was	adequate prescriptions for medicines to treat the victims. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Though the NHRC has powers to visit places of detention, it is reportedly not making any systematic visits. Similarly, it has not prioritized the investigation of complaints of torture
Special Rapporteur, the NHRC might be entrusted with this task.		 made public in August 2007. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> no visible steps have been taken to hold accountable any individual responsible for serious cases of torture during the conflict. The National Human Rights Commission of Nepal (NHRC) mandated to investigate alleged violations of human rights, rarely sees its recommendations to the Government implemented in practice. In its annual report 2007-08, the NHRC cited this inaction on the part of the Government as one of the major challenges in its work. The "investigations" by the so-called Nepal Police Human Rights Cells, consist of sending a letter detailing the complaint to the relevant District Police Office (DPO), asking it to respond to the allegations. No reports of suspensions of police officers pending the outcome of the investigations were received. The report of the Rayamajhi Commission recommended the prosecution of 31 members of the Nepalese Army, Nepal Police and Armed Police Force, largely in connection with killings which had occurred in the context of the protests, but no action has been taken to initiate prosecutions by the authorities. No one has been 	A report entitled "A 10-year analysis of recommendations in cases registered with the NHRC" analysing data between 2000 and 2010, shows that out of a total of 10,507 cases registered during this period, the NHRC made recommendations relating to 386 cases. Only 34 of the 286 recommendations (8.8%) were fully implemented. Among these 34, only one case concerned torture and one illegal detention. 36% of the recommendations have been partially implemented, including 3 torture cases. 55% of recommendations have not been implemented. Reportedly, a number of bodies set up to investigate reports of human rights violations (including torture) lack independence and impartiality and ar largely ineffective. These reportedly include the Nepal Police Human Rights Unit (NP HR Unit), the Attorney General's Unit (AG Unit) and the Armed Police Force Human Rights Unit (APF HR Unit). Even in

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		prosecuted for the many cases of serious beatings which occurred in the context of the protests. There have been no independent investigations into the allegations of systematic torture and disappearances in 2003/2004 by the Bhairabnath Battalion, which were documented in OHCHR's May 2006 report. In December 2007, a site was identified where the body of one of the disappeared may have been cremated. A group of Finnish forensic experts visited the country in January 2008 and assisted local experts in the exhumation of some of the remains.	those cases where these bodies make recommendations for "further action", disciplinary action or for compensation - however inadequate - to be granted, reportedly, the authorities often do not act on these recommendations.
		 Non-governmental sources: There is no nationwide mechanism to monitor places of detention. A number of bodies, including the Nepal Police Human Rights Unit (NPHRU) and the Attorney General's Department, that were set up to investigate the allegations of torture, lack independence and impartiality. An 11-member team of Nepali and Finnish forensic experts led by the NHRC, has started exhumations with regard to cases of disappearance in Dhanusha district. According to the NHRC's annual report, 667 complaints, including 70 cases of torture by security forces were received during the period of 2008-2009 as compared to 1173 complaints, including 104 cases of torture by security forces received between the period of 2007-2008. Only three out of 70 cases have been investigated and granted compensation. Actions against the perpetrators were recommended only in two cases. The annual report does not provide any information on the remaining 67 cases under investigation was dismissed. Of the 677 cases 	In September 2010, amid a continuing lack of action by the police to proceed with investigations into the disappearance of five students in Dhanusha, the NHRC initiated the exhumations of the bodies albeit in a fairly ad hoc manner that reportedly fell below international standards of criminal procedure. The procedures in particular lacked respect for the rights of the families of the victims. Four bodies were recovered in September 2010, and a fifth one in February

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 received in 2008-2009, 521 were investigated, four were put on hold and 21 dismissed. Compensation was recommended in 63 cases, and the punishment of perpetrators in 41 cases. By July 2009, the government had implemented none of these recommendations. In May 2010, in response to concerns raised in relation to the lack of responses for the cases of torture, the Attorney General stated that its department was not entrusted with the investigation of ill-treatment in custody as stated under Section 135 (3) of the Interim Constitution, but rather that it had the power to monitor investigation carried out by police. The investigations carried out by the Human Rights Unit appear to comprise merely addressing the letter to the relevant DPO and asking to respond to the allegations. Reportedly, there have been no cases in which the Human Rights Unit visited the victims and interviewed them privately to ascertain the veracity of the allegation. There have been serious concerns in relation to the lack of criminal investigation and lack of adequate disciplinary punishment. Government: Courts have full authority to carry out investigation into the allegations of torture. The National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) is empowered to conduct necessary inquiry or investigations into the concerned authority. The NHRC, in performing its functions, may exercise the same powers as the court in terms of calling any person to appear before the court for recording their 	2011. There has been no further progress since. As stated above, though the NHRC has powers to visit places of detention it is not making any systematic visits Similarly, it has not prioritized the investigation of complaints of torture A bill to amend the existing NHRC Act only provides the NHRC with access to prisons. Reportedly, it is essential in the light of the increase of torture in police custody as well as the documented practice of using

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		(see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) receiving and examining evidences, and ordering the production of any physical proof. Upon receiving information about serious human rights violations, the NHRC, without prior notice, may conduct a search of any premises, including governmental ones and seize any document and evidences in relation to human rights violations. Government: In the period from 1996-07, police has taken departmental action against 21 police personnel in 11 cases for alleged torture, out of which 6 cases led to prosecutions. Non-governmental sources: the Nepal Army has continued to promote or extend the terms of personnel against whom there are credible allegations. This includes personnel alleged to have been involved directly or by virtue of command responsibility in the violations documented in OHCHR's public reports on disappearances, torture and ill-treatment at the Nepal Army's Maharajgunj Barracks (report published in May 2006) and in Bardiya District (report published in December 2008). In Oct. 08, the CPN-M-led Government recommended the withdrawal of 349 criminal cases (investigations, charges and convictions) of a so- called "political nature". They included cases of	periodabove) to detain people that the billprovides the NHRC with access to"any place where people are heldcaptive".Non-governmentalsources:Reportedly, there has been a lack ofcooperationwithinvestigativeauthorities and the courts from boththe Nepal Army and the UCPN-M.Reportedly, rather than handing oversuspects to the courts, the NepalArmy, Nepal Police and UCPN-Mhave each promoted officers suspectedof serious human rights violations tosenior positions. For instance, in May2011, Agni Sapkota, who is named asa suspect in the disappearance ofKumar Lama, was appointed Ministerin the Government of Prime MinisterJalanath Khanal. Similarly, in June2011, Kuber Singh Rana, one of thepeople whom the NHRC hadidentified as among those responsiblefor the disappearances of the fivestudents in Dhanusha District (see
		gross human rights abuses (murder, attempted murder and rape), the majority from the conflict period. Most cases were against CPN-M members, some of whom were senior members of the Government at the time, raising concerns about ongoing impunity and the de facto provision of amnesties.	above, recommendation i) was promoted to Assistant Inspector General of Police, a very senior Nepal Police position. It is reported that in an interim ruling of 13 July 2011, the Supreme Court held that a recommendation by the NHRC for
	Non-governmental sources: There is no	him to be prosecuted is not sufficient basis to order the suspension of his promotion pending the outcome of the	

2	
H	
ᆋ	
2	
1	
ž	
Ē	
d.	
5	

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 information as to whether any action has been taken against any authorities indicted for abuse or torture. The civilian judicial system has failed to deliver justice as the state authorities themselves fail to observe the court order. In December 2009, a military officer suspected in the torture and murder of a person in custody, was sent on peacekeeping duties and served until he was repatriated on 12 December 2009, after the United Nations was informed of the fact that murder charges were pending against him in the Nepal courts. As of September 2010, none of the four accused in this case have been questioned let alone arrested by the police. 	investigations.
		Government: If the court establishes that torture has been inflicted as mentioned in the Act, it may order the concerned person to take action against the governmental employee. As of 2010, judicial actions have been taken against 552 police employees on charges of human rights violations, including torture. An Assistant Sub-Inspector and a Head Constable were immediately suspended from their duties and charged with being allegedly involved in torture and death of a person in Police Custody in Prangbung Police Station. In relation to another person who was allegedly subjected to torture in the Metropolitan Police Circle, Kathmandu and subsequently died on 23 May 2010, one Assistant Sub-Inspector and two Police Constables were immediately suspended from their duties and later charged with having committed torture causing death. They are currently in judicial custody.	It is reported that the information provided by the Government regardi action taken against State officials involved in torture at Prangbung Police Station and at the Metropolita Police Circle is incomplete. The alleged perpetrators were ultimately acquitted by the courts in both cases.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(k) Victims of torture and ill- treatment receive substantial compensation proportionate to the gravity of the physical and mental harm suffered, and adequate medical treatment and rehabilitation.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In 2007, compensation was awarded in a few cases under the Torture Compensation Act, but was always paid to victims or their families, and was not usually accompanied by a proper investigation to establish causes and responsibilities. Compensation packages depend on what the Government can afford. The Government	 Non-governmental sources: Reportedly, the TCA does not function effectively – see comments above in relation to recommendation (b), and note that of the 98 cases filed by AF from 2003 to June 2011, only (27.5%) were granted compensation. The TRC and Disappearances Commission have not been established. Legislation is pending in parliament. The construction of three additional rehabilitation homes still remains pending. Reportedly, the number of cases of torture investigated by the NHRC is very limited, and compensation has only been recommended in one case. On 6 May 2003, the NHRC took a decision granting compensation of Rs. 50.000/- (USD 650) to a torture victim from Dhading district. On 18 June 2003, the recommendation letter was sent to the Secretariat of the Council of Ministers to implement the decision. On 5 January 2004, the NHRC was informed that the compensation had been paid to the victim.

₽
E
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$
$\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}$
1
9
9
5
5
d
i.

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 provides that compensation should be handed over within 35 days from the court order, many of these victims have not yet received their compensation or have received a minimum amount of compensation. Reportedly, only one victim has received the maximum amount of compensation from the Government. There has been considerable delay in putting in place the Disappearances Commission and Truth and Reconciliation Commission provided for under the CPA, bodies which would normally be mandated to provide recommendations on equitable reparation policies. The Ministry of Peace and Reconstruction has put in place the "interim relief" measures as part of the overall policy set out in the Standards for Economic Assistance and Relief for Conflict Victims, 2008. Despite numerous reports of rape and other forms of sexual violence against women and cases of torture of people suffering post-conflict mental trauma, none of these categories of victims were addressed through the interim relief scheme. There are serious concerns about unfair and unequal distribution. The recommendations for compensations issued by the NHRC have not been implemented by the Government. 	
		Government: Nepal enacted Compensation Relating to Torture (CRT) Act, 1996 which provides compensation for inflicting physical or mental torture upon any person in detention in the course if investigation, inquiry or trial. Under Section 5 of the CRT Act, a victim or his family members or his/her legal counsel may, within 35 days from the date of release from his/her detention, file petition of such detention in the District Court.	

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		In addition, the NHRC may order compensation for the victims of human rights violations in accordance with law. According to Section 9(2) of the Act, upon receiving the order for compensation, the Chief District Officer is required to execute the judgment by providing the amount of compensation specified by the court within 35 days. In the court ruling of 10 July 2007 on the case of torture of Manrishi Dhital v. Government of Nepal, the court decided to provide compensation to the applicant.	
(1) The declaration be made with respect to art. 22 of the CAT recognizing the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications from individuals who claim to be victims of a violation of the provisions of the CAT.	No action taken.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, the Government has not made a declaration to recognise the competence of the Committee Against Torture to receive and consider communications from individuals.
(m) The Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture be ratified and a truly independent monitoring mechanism established to visit all places where persons are deprived of their liberty.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Civil society is continuously lobbying for the ratification of the Optional Protocol.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The Government continues to refuse to ratify the Optional Protocol to the CAT, despite sustained lobbying by civil society.
(n) The appointments to the National Human Rights Commission, in the absence of Parliament, be undertaken	A transparent and consultative process in the appointment of commissioners was	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The NHRC remained vacant for 14 months before the Government established the new Commission on Sep. 07. However, as advocated by civil society members	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Concerns remain about the draft NHRC bill, which threatens the independence of the Commission.
broadly consultative process. was not based on a transparent and broad	consultative process. Although NHRC has been established as a Constitutional body as per the	It is reported that the provisions on membership and appointment to the Commission as they stand in the bill do not guarantee the independence of the NHRC members and ensure that	

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Commission Act is yet to be tabled at the Legislature Parliament.	there are no political appointees. Furthermore, section 30 of the draft bill is extremely concerning as it
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The draft NHRC Bill raises serious concerns over the independence of the Commission, including the narrow formulation of the NHRC's mandate and procedures for appointment of commissioners.	allows for the powers of the NHRC to be delegated to the Government of Nepal or to "any agency or institution or any person".
(o) The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court be ratified.	No ratification.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In 2008, the NHRC recommended that the Government ratify the Statute. In Feb. 09, the Min. of Foreign Affairs tabled the issue before the Cabinet, which has yet to consider the proposal.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The Rome Statute of the ICC has not been ratified, despite repeated promises by the Government to do so. In January 2011, during the UPR review, the Government stated that it was drafting
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although civil society has continuously been lobbying and advocating for the ratification, no ratification process has been put forward.	enabling legislation which would be required for the ratification of the Rome Statute of the Criminal Court.
(p) Police, the armed police and RNA recruits undergo extensive and thorough training using a curriculum that incorporates human rights education throughout and that includes training in effective interrogation techniques and the proper use of policing equipment, and that existing personnel receive continuing education.		Non-governmental sources: The security forces have made commitments to incorporate or expand human rights training as part of their regular training programmes. The Human Rights Cells of each of the security forces have cooperated closely with OHCHR and other international organizations in this regard. OHCHR has been working closely with the Police and APF on a serious of human rights training programmes – including targeted trainings on detention issues such as health examinations for detainees, and the use of force. With the support of OHCHR, the Police produced a 'Human Rights	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> For many ears, the various security agencies have repeatedly conducted trainings and announced other measures to build the capacity to uphold human rights. However, the impact of such measures is reportedly questionable.
		Standing Order' and the APF has produced a Human Rights Pocketbook to be distributed to all personnel These documents address issues of torture and ill-treatment. Both police forces have committed to making these documents an essential	

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		part of police training and deployment. Other regular training and orientation programmes on various aspects of international human rights and humanitarian law have been conducted in partnership with OHCHR and other international organizations for the Army.	
(q) Systematic training programmes and awareness- raising campaigns be carried out on the principles of the Convention against Torture for the public at large, security forces personnel, legal professionals and the judiciary.		Government: In 2006, the Nepal Army (NA) established a Human Rights Directorate mandated to raise human rights awareness among the armed forces. There is human rights division in each Regional Headquarters and human rights sections at the Brigade level. The NA has been incorporating human rights and international humanitarian law modules in all trainings curricula. A separate training package is also conducted at various Divisions Headquarters and Brigade headquarters periodically. <i>Non-governmental sources</i> : In 2007 the Ministry of Law, Justice and Parliamentary Affairs, the Min. of Foreign Affairs, INSEC (Informal Sector Service Centre) and CIVIT (Rehabilitation Centre for Victims of Torture Nepal) translated CAT-related documents into Nepali; since then copies have been provided to security officers, lawyers and the general public. Several non-government organizations have also drafted an 'alternative bill' criminalizing torture. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Non-state actors provide trainings for judges, lawyers, prosecutors and police.	governmental organisations have regularly conducted awareness programs for the public regarding torture. This is done through local FM radio programs. Some non-governmental organisations have worked closely with the Judicial Academy to increase knowledge of the judiciary regarding the prevention and investigation of torture. It is reported that longstanding discussions with the Nepal Police
		Government: There are special package programs (a one-day orientation, three-day training and five-day trainers' training on human rights and law	Academy to review the curriculum and incorporate human rights fully in it have not resulted in an agreement to

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		enforcement) developed and implemented by Nepal Police on Human Rights and Law Enforcement at central, regional, zonal and district level on a regular basis. Savaral trainings have been conducted in	date. The value of the ad hoc program often organized to increase awareness is questioned.
		Convention against Torture in their training programs on human rights and law enforcement.	UNICEF has been conducting regula trainings both for law enforcement (Police) and judicial authorities on child rights and child sensitive investigative and court procedures. Key child rights issues, including standards for treating juvenile offenders, have been incorporated int the curricula of junior, middle and senior and public prosecutors. In addition, UNICEF is currently negotiating with the Supreme Court and the National Judges Society a free legal aid scheme for children victims and witnesses of crime.
(r) Security forces personnel recommended for United Nations peacekeeping operations be scrupulously vetted for their suitability to serve, and that any concerns raised by OHCHR in respect of individuals or units be taken into consideration.		Government: According to the Government, since 15 May 2005, the Army has implemented the policy that those who are found guilty of human rights violations are disqualified from participating in UN peacekeeping missions. However, since impunity for perpetrators of human rights violations is quasi- total, it seems that this type of vetting does not reach many alleged perpetrators. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The NA has not progressed in identifying or punishing those responsible for systematic and serious human rights violations during the conflict. The list of army personnel excluded from peacekeeping missions on the grounds of having violated human rights was virtually the same list included in a November 2007 document provided by the Army to OHCHR.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : In December 2009, major Niranjan Basnet was returned from peacekeeping duties in Chad after the UN was made aware that charges relating to the murder of a 15-year-

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There is a need to increase the level of cooperation between the OHCHR and the UN Department of Peacekeeping Operations in order to ensure that members of the Nepalese Army, currently participating in United Nations missions, are not implicated in human rights violations. Furthermore, it is recommended that more stringent vetting of secondees is introduced and a policy of refusing secondees from countries where torture is being regularly practiced is implemented.	by the Kavre police. The Government's assertion that a thorough vetting is in place to bar anyone found guilty of human rights violations by the courts has reportedly not been implemented.
s) The Special Rapporteur alls on the Maoists to end orture and other cruel, hhuman or degrading reatment or punishment and o stop the practice of nvoluntary recruitment, in articular of women and	Government: A thorough vetting process is under implementation in police forces during the nomination of their personnel to the United Nations (UN) peacekeeping operations. Since 15 May 2005, the NA has developed and strictly implemented the policy of barring its personnel that was found guilty by the court for human rights violations from participating in UN peacekeeping operations. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although the number of abductions, assault, ill-treatment and other abuses by CPN-M dropped significantly immediately after the signing of the CPA and further reduced after April 2008, reports of such abuses by the Young Communist League (YCL)and at local level have continued.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Five cases of torture committed by non- state actors were documented in the period January to June 2011. Two cases were attributed to the Unified CPN-M and YCL (jointly), one to the YCL and ANNFSU (jointly), one to the Youth Force and Yuba Sangh,	
children. Torture and ill-treatment against women.		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Despite the fact that the number of abductions, assaults, ill-treatment and other abuses by CPN-M has dropped after signing the CPA and since April 2008, such abuses continue. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Women are continued to be tortured, ill-treated and sexually harassed by the police. For example, during investigations,	both sister organizations of the CPN- UML, and one attributed to an unidentified group in the Terai region. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The torture and other ill-treatment of women in detention is reportedly on the rise. From January to July 2011, a

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		women report being sexually harassed with abusive language, stripped naked, beaten and threatened with rape. In many cases, male police officers were found to have tortured female detainees. Moreover, during incommunicado detention, women are often sexually abused and then threatened in order not to disclose what happened.	total of 217 female detainees were visited by lawyers. Of them, 32 (14.7%) claimed that they were subjected to torture or ill-treatment. In comparison to the period from July to December 2010, when only 25 womer (13.3%) had claimed they were tortured; this represents an increase by
		Government: A national campaign has been launched, declaring 2010 as the year to End Violence Against Women. A special desk has been established in the Prime Minister's Office. The Nepal Police has established Women and Children Service Directorate. A series of training is being implemented on women and children related issues. Special Security plan 2009 also reflects Nepal's commitment to protect people from acts of torture. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> According to the data collected, despite some improvement in the treatment of women during investigation and interrogation, women continue to experience torture and/or ill-treatment in detention.	1.7 %. It is alleged that there remain seriou problems with the way complaints of violence against women are dealt with by the State. A 35 day statute of limitation applies to the filing of complaints to police (so-called First Information Reports, FIRs) to ensurpolice investigations in cases of rape. In 2008, the Supreme Court of Nepa passed a directive order for the Government to review the law in order to extend the statute of limitation or rape. The draft Criminal Procedure Code currently under consideration includes a longer limitation period of one year, but as currently drafted doe not allow discretion to extend that period. Regarding rape and sexual violence in the community, police officers have shown very little commitment to filing reports. It is reported that in some cases, instead of taking a case to court the police put pressure on the victim to marry the person who raped her, and then withdraw the charges against him.
Torture and ill-treatment		Non-governmental sources: The widespread	Non-governmental sources: The

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
against children.		practice of arbitrary detention, torture and other ill- treatment of juveniles in police custody is a major concern;25.5% of juveniles held in police custody in the period from Oct. 08 to June 09 claimed they were tortured or ill-treated – which is higher than for the adult population (18.8%). But this represents a reduction of 3.3% as compared to the period from Jan-Sep. 08. Moreover, the continued detention of juveniles in facilities meant for adults presents grave human rights concerns. Children housed with adult offenders are vulnerable to rape and other abuses. In May 08, the Supreme Court ordered the Government to undertake reforms with regard to the prison system, including improving prison conditions and the situation of children living with prisoners, as well as reforming policies on prison management and administration. The Government states that reform of the prison system is ongoing subject to available resources. Non-governmental sources : Juvenile detainees are subjected to torture more frequently than adults. - In one of its ruling, the Supreme Court decided that the detention of minors in prison was illegal and that child rehabilitation homes should be provided for their stay. These orders have not been implemented partly due to a lack of physical infrastructure and adequate resources. The Government decided to establish three new rehabilitation homes due to the increasing number of juvenile detainees.	torture of juveniles in detention is reportedly on the increase. During January to July 2011, of 588 juveniles visited by lawyers, 42 (7.1%) were girls and 546 (92.9%) were boys. Of them, 193 (32.8%) claimed that they were subjected to torture or other ill- treatment. In comparison, in the period from July to December 2010 the percentage was 26.7%, a 6.1% increase. Reportedly, the percentage of juveniles tortured remains higher than the percentage among the overall population of detainees. In other words, reportedly, police torture children more frequently than adults, and have consistently done so since 2003. It is reported that juvenile offenders continue to be held in police custody beyond the times permitted by law, and in most cases, together with adults. The only reform centre established in the country does not have adequate infrastructure, trained personnel and support services to ensure the safety and well-being of the juveniles and promote their rehabilitation. In addition, pre-trial detention is not limited to exceptional circumstances, efforts to apply alternative measures are insufficient.
			In 2011, the Government expanded the one existing child correction home at

one existing child correction home at Sanothimi (Bhaktapur). There are plans to open another home in Morang

Recommendation (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Situation during visit (see E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5)	Measure taken in the recent years (see A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			district and a third one in Kaski
			district. The one in Bhaktapur has
			been extended and has been brought i
			use, whereas the ones in Kaski and
			Morang have not started yet due to
			lack of budget. But, the Juvenile
			Justice Coordination Committee and
			Ministry of Women and Children are
			working with various organizations to
			get these homes established.

Nigeria

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Nigeria from 4 to 10 March 2007 (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4, para. 75).

85. On 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Nigeria requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of his predecessor's recommendations. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government has not provided a response to his request. He looks forward to receiving information on Nigeria's efforts to implement the recommendations and affirms that he stands ready to assist in efforts to prevent and combat torture and ill-treatment.

86. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to ensure that, if the absolute prohibition on torture is to be included in the Constitution, it should be set forth both in its preamble and as a binding provision. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the statement made by the Attorney General of the Federation and Minister of Justice in March 2010, confirming the Government's plans to criminalize torture. He urges the Government to ensure that torture is defined and criminalized as a matter of priority, in accordance with articles 1 and 4 of the Convention against Torture, with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture.

87. The Special Rapporteur echoes the concern raised by the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights about the loss of life during protests over the removal of fuel subsidies,⁴⁸ and urges the Government to expedite prompt, impartial and thorough investigations into the events immediately and conclude them without delay, where the evidence warrants it. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to ensure that security forces are trained and act in accordance with the Code of Conduct for Law Enforcement Officials and the Basic Principles on the Use of Force and Firearms by Law Enforcement Officials.

88. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the statement by the Police Service Commission in June 2010, that the Police Force should stop using torture during interrogations of suspects and encourages the authorities, in particular those responsible for law enforcement activities, to declare unambiguously that they will not tolerate torture or similar ill-treatment by public officials and that those in command at the time abuses are perpetrated will be held personally responsible for them.

89. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the information that under the Evidence Act, confession extracted under duress or threat cannot be used in court. He observes however that, in practice, confessions obtained under torture are not expressly excluded as evidence in court. He calls upon the Government to guarantee inadmissibility of confessions obtained under torture and ill-treatment, to shift the burden of proof to prosecution, to prove beyond reasonable doubt that a confession was not obtained under any kind of duress; to ensure that all detainees are granted the ability to challenge the lawfulness of the detention before an independent court and that the period of holding detainees in police custody does not exceed 48 hours.

⁴⁸ See OHCHR press release: "Pillay urges concerted effort by Nigerian leaders to halt spiralling sectarian violence", 12 January 2012. Available from:

http://www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=11756&LangID=E

90. The Special Rapporteur encourages the State to abolish all forms of corporal punishment, including Sharia-based punishments which reportedly remain on the statute books in 12 States. While welcoming the measures taken by some State governors to commute death sentences to imprisonment, he regrets that there has not been a general State policy towards abolishing the death penalty *de jure* and releasing those aged over 60 who have been on death row for 10 years or more. He recalls on the Government to abolish the death penalty because, under the conditions in which it is imposed and executed in Nigeria, it amounts to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or, or in some cases, torture.

91. The Special Rapporteur notes that in February 2011, the bill on a National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) was signed into law, securing the independence and funding of the NHRC. He looks forward to receiving information about the steps taken to secure the independence of the NHRC. The Special Rapporteur regrets that no steps have been taken to establish effective and independence complaints mechanism for torture, similar to the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission.

92. Finally, the Special Rapporteur encourages the Government to take measures to ensure that the National Committee on Torture mandated to visit places of detention and investigate allegations of torture, is fully equipped with the necessary financial and human resources. He calls on the Government to take measures to ensure the independent and effective functioning of this mechanism in full accordance with the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture (OPCAT).

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(a) The absolute prohibition of torture should be considered for incorporation into the Constitution.	The prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment is provided in section 34 (1) (a) of the Constitution. However, the 1990 Criminal Code does not contain any provision explicitly prohibiting torture, or any provision for adequate sanctions. Acts amounting to torture may constitute offences such as assault, homicide and rape. Corporal punishment, such as caning, and Sharia penal code punishments of the northern states (i.e. amputation, flogging and stoning to death), remain lawful in Nigeria.	 Non-governmental sources: In April 2009, the Attorney General and Minister of Justice of the Federation stated that the Government is planning to prohibit torture. Non-governmental sources: The Constitution prohibits torture and inhuman and degrading treatment. However, torture has not been included in the criminal and penal codes. The National Action Plan for the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights in Nigeria 2008-2013 affirms the existing constitutional provisions and includes specific initiatives to promote and protect the rights of all Nigerians. 	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Article 34 of the 1999 Constitution (as amended) prohibits torture ^{49,} however, no provisions are made for the investigation or prosecution of acts of torture; in addition, the Constitution allows for the death penalty.
(b) The highest authorities, particularly those responsible for law enforcement activities, should declare unambiguously that the culture of impunity must end and that torture and ill- treatment by public officials will not be tolerated and will be prosecuted. The message should be spread that torture is an extremely serious crime which will be punished with	There was no unequivocal	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There have been some statements on torture by Government officials. The Presidential Committee on Reform of the Nigeria Police Force concluded in April 2008 that there were frequent public complaints about abuses of human rights by the police, including torture. In February 2009, the Assistant Inspector- General of Police in charge of Zone 5, stated on behalf of the Inspector General of Police that police officers should not torture suspects and respect the presumption of innocence. In April 2009, the Attorney general and Minister of	 Non-governmental sources: In 2009, the Federal Government set up a Commission on the Prevention of Torture to recommend to the Government how to address torture by State actors. Reportedly, no report was published. In June 2010, the Police Service Commission has reportedly stated that the Police Force should stop using torture during interrogations of suspects (Vanguard, Nigeria: Courts should reject information obtained through torture –

^{49 34. (1)} Every individual is entitled to respect for the dignity of his person, and accordingly -(a) no person shall be subject to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment;

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
severe (long-term) prison sentences.		Justice of the Federation called on the Nigeria Police Force to stop using torture.	Akinjide).
		 Non-governmental sources: There have been some statements Government officials, including by the former Minister of Justice, who indicated that torture would be soon criminalised in the legislation to demonstrate Nigerian Government's commitment to eradicate torture. He also indicated the need to perform a comprehensive review of the criminal justice system. The former Minister of Foreign Affairs indicated that there was an urgent need to re-orient the Nigerian security agents with proper equipment and skills. There are consistent reports from persons in detention, former detainees, judges, magistrates, lawyers, human rights defenders and the National Human Rights Commission alleging torture by the police, including juvenile victims. These include beatings with guns, sticks, whips and other tools; mock executions; shootings in the foot or legs; and being hung from the ceiling. 	
(c) The crime of torture should be defined as a matter of priority in accordance with article 1 of the Convention against Torture,	as defined in article 1 of the	Government: The Senate Committee on	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There is no provision in Nigeria's criminal code and penal code specifically criminalizing torture.
with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> An NGO drafted a 'Torture prevention and prohibition act' in 2009, which defines torture in accordance with Article 1 of the	An NGO coalition has drafted a bill to be known as 'Torture Prevention and Prohibition Act'. The bill defines torture accordance with Article 1 of the

CAT.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 Non-governmental sources: There is no provision specifically criminalising torture. The National Committee on Torture is currently drafting an anti-torture legislation, using the experiences from other countries around the world. The draft bill identifies the individuals committing the acts and their commanding officers as possible perpetrators. It sets penalties for acts of torture and creates a legal basis to prosecute any public official for torture 	Convention against Torture. In March 2010, the Attorney General of the Federation and Minister of Justice affirmed the commitment as stated in April 2009, that the Government is planning to prohibit torture. (Daily Independent, 24 April 2009, "Stop Torturing Nigerians, Aondoakaa Tells Police" and NBF News, 9 March 2010, Government to criminalise torture).
(d) An effective and independent complaints system for torture and abuse leading to criminal investigations should be established, similar to the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission.	Perpetrators were in general not held accountable due to the non- functioning complaint mechanisms and remedies. Victims accepted that impunity was the natural order of things. Attempts to register complaints were often met with intimidation, and investigations lacked independence as they could be conducted by the police themselves. Upon request by the Government, on 5 April 2007, the UN Special Rapporteur forwarded a draft law on the establishment of a torture investigation commission.	Government: A draft bill on the	 Non-governmental sources: Reportedly, no action has been taken towards implementing this recommendation. The National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) has been receiving complaints of torture and abuse. In February 2011, the National Human Rights Commission Act was signed into law, which secures the independence and funding of the NHRC. The powers of NHRC include the power to visit police stations and detention centres and the power to investigate allegations of human rights violations. Reportedly, the police have refused the NHRC access to suspects. It is reported that the independent fund for the NHRC is yet to be established.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(e) The right to legal counsel should be legally guaranteed from the moment of arrest.	Section 35 (2) of the Constitution allows a detainee or arrested person to remain silent until consultation with a legal practitioner. However, many detainees cannot afford a lawyer and the vast majority of detainees did not have legal counsel.	 wide range of sectors in the field of human rights. The Committee has the power to visit places of detention and to investigate allegations of torture. It intends to publish newsletters with the results of the investigations carried out. The Committee on Torture is financed through the budget of the Ministry of Justice, which may undermine its independence. Government: In 2009 a bill to extend the mandate of the Federal Legal Aid Council (LAC) was pending before the National Assembly for over three years. Non-governmental sources: As of 2009 the right to legal counsel had been rarely realized in practice, and in many police stations, the police continued to deny suspects' access to a lawyer. In some cases, lawyers had even been threatened with arrest for making inquiries at the police station about their clients. The bill to extend the mandate of the Legal Aid Council (LAC) had been still pending. Some individual states have established public defender bodies within the Ministry of Justice to provide free legal assistance to residents of the state, but they often had limited capacity. 	Non-governmental sources: The right to legal counsel is legally guaranteed from the moment of arrest (Article 35 (1) of the 1999 Nigerian Constitution [as amended] however, it is rarely realised. In many police stations, the police continue to den suspects access to a lawyer. The Legal Aid Council continues to have limited capacity. Individual states have established public defender bodies within the Ministry of Justice, to provide free legal assistance to residents of the State. They often have limited capacity.
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The right to legal counsel is guaranteed in the Constitution from the moment of arrest. However, it is rarely put in practice. Many detainees are unable to meet bail conditions and the majority cannot afford a lawyer. The Federal Legal Aid Council has	

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(f) The power to order or approve arrest and	Police functions to arrest, detain and investigate suspects for	extremely limited capacity and a mandate limited to certain crimes. Public Defender initiatives at the sate level also have a limited capacity. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> As of 2009 no action had been taken toward	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Reportedly, no action has been taken towards
supervision of the police and detention facilities should be vested solely with independent courts.	offences were vested in a myriad of law enforcement agencies. Time limits and safeguards regarding imprisonment were frequently not complied with, as the Special Rapporteur found that people were sometimes detained for months under police arrest.	implementing this recommendation. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No action has been taken towards implementing this recommendation.	implementing this recommendation.
(g) All detainees should be effectively guaranteed the ability to challenge the lawfulness of the detention before an independent court, e.g. through habeas corpus proceedings.	De facto, the vast majority of detainees did not have the ability to challenge the lawfulness of their detention due to the lack of financial means, the overload of the entire judicial system as well as a climate of fear.	of detainees to obtain adequate legal representation. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In practice, the ability of a detainee to challenge the lawfulness of a detention is dependent on	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although guaranteed in law, in practice, the ability of a detainee to challenge the lawfulness of their detention is dependant on their financial capacity. It is reported that poverty restricts the ability of detainees to obtain adequate legal representation.
(h) Judges and prosecutors should routinely ask persons brought from police custody how they have been treated and, even in the absence of a formal complaint from the defendant, order an independent medical examination in accordance	While in principle empowered to do so, judges and prosecutors did not ex-officio enquire about potential torture and ill- treatment in police custody. Forensic medical examinations which could sustain complaints were non-existent, even in cases of death in police custody.	their financial capacity. In addition, habeas corpus proceedings can take years and enforced disappearances are frequent. <i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Judges and prosecutors have routinely not asked detainees how they have been treated. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> This is not carried out in a routine manner.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, this is not carried out as a matter of routine.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
with the Istanbul Protocol. (i) Those legally arrested should not be held in	While legal provisions foresaw that persons arrested or detained be brought before a judge within one or two days, the majority of suspects were deprived of their liberty for longer periods without the required judicial oversight.	Non-governmental sources: As of 2009, following an amendment to the Magistrate Court Law by Lagos State, magistrate courts have been open on Saturdays to ensure suspects can be brought before a court within 24 hours. Despite this, many detainees still had to spend weeks in police detention after their arrest without being seen by a judge. Non-governmental sources: Detainees are held for extended periods of time due to poor record-keeping and secrecy on the part of the police. Reports are regularly received of persons detained for weeks or months before being presented before a court, despite the constitutional guarantee to be presented before a judge within 48	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is alleged that extended detention at police stations is common. Reportedly, numerous people awaiting trial spent weeks and in some cases months after their arrest in the police station, without seeing a judge, despite the constitutional guarantee to be brought before a judge within 48 hours.
(j) The maintenance of custody registers should be scrupulously ensured, including recording of the time and place of arrest, the identity of the personnel, the actual place of detention, the state of health upon arrival of the person at the detention centre, the time at which the family and a lawyer were contacted and visited the detainee, and information on compulsory medical examinations upon being brought to a detention centre and upon transfer.	The Criminal Code requires the proper maintenance of records of any person in confinement and punishes neglect or deliberate false entries. However, in practice these records were frequently incomplete or inaccurate.	hours. Government: Lagos State has implemented a new computerized case tracking system, following cases from arraignment through their conclusion. However, the tracking commences only when a detainee is produced before a court, not at the point of arrest. Non-governmental sources: In 2009 reports have been received that in some police stations the police registered detainees on a piece of paper for bribery purposes. Once the detainees had paid the so called "police bail", they were released. Non-governmental sources: There is no information indicating that custody registers are kept. Detainees held at police	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, in some police stations the police register detainees on a piece of paper for bribery purposes: once the detainees have paid the so called "police bail", they are released. I is alleged that heir names are as such not recorded in the custody register.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(k) Confessions made by persons in custody without the presence of a lawyer and that are not confirmed before a judge shall not be admissible as evidence against the persons who made the confession. Serious consideration should be given to video and audio taping of interrogations, including of all persons present.	Nigeria's criminal justice system relies heavily on confessions. The Special	stations often report that none of their details are taken and they are held until their families pay for their release. - Lagos state has introduced a new computerized tracking system, to be launched at the end of 2010. The system will track cases starting when a detainee is produced before a court until the case is concluded. Government: The new criminal procedures in Lagos State provided for video taping of interrogations; where no video is available, the lawyer should attend the interrogation. Non-governmental sources: Confessions extracted under inducement, threat or promise cannot be used in court under the Evidence Act. However, in practice, confessions are often allowed to be used in court. When allegations of torture arise in court, a trial within a trial is held, but according to information received, the jury generally decide in favour of the police officer.	Non-governmental sources: Reportedly, in many police stations suspects are threatened and forced to sign a confessional statement. Under the Evidence Act, confessions extracted under inducement, threat or promise cannot be used in court. In practice however, these confessions are reportedly often allowed to be used in court. When allegations of torture arise in court, a trial within trial is held; however, according to information received, the judiciary tend towards the police officer.
(1) All allegations of torture and ill-treatment should be promptly and thoroughly investigated by an independent authority with no connection to the authority investigating or	Although Nigeria has a number of ways to redress instances of police misconduct, including police internal review, these do not function in practice. Attempts to register complaints could be met with intimidation,	 Non-governmental sources: Under the Evidence Act, confessions extracted under inducement, threat or promise cannot be used in court. In practice however, these confessions are often allowed. Non-governmental sources: The majority of investigations or disciplinary measures against police officers are conducted internally within the Nigerian Police Force, often informally within a particular station. The 2008 Presidential Committee recommended that "A Public Complaints 	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> This has not been achieved. It is reported that the majority of investigations or disciplinary measures against police officers are conducted internally within the Nigerian Police Force, often informally within a particular station. According to the Nigeria

247

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
prosecuting the case against the alleged victim.	or investigations lack independence as they could be conducted by the police. No information was provided by the Government on evidence of successful criminal prosecutions of perpetrators of torture, payment of compensation, or statistics on disciplinary sanctions meted out to officers.	Unit should be established under the Police Affairs Office in the Presidency to receive and deal with representations against the Police with powers to investigate and recommend redress and other forms of disciplinary action in all proven cases of neglect, unnecessary use of force, injury, corruption or misconduct." The Federal Government accepted this recommendation in its whitepaper, and gave the Ministry of Police Affairs the mandate to implement it. However, this is not yet in place.	Police Force, disciplinary steps are taken. There is no information suggesting that the proposed 'Public Complaints Unit' was established, as recommended by the 2008 Presidential Committee. The unit was supposed to be established under the Police Affairs Office in the Presidency. The Federal Government accepted this recommendation in its whitepaper.
		 Non-governmental sources: There is no confirmation of any disciplinary measures taken against members of the Nigerian Police Force for acts of torture. In many cases, officers with pending disciplinary matters are sent for training. There are a number of mechanisms to monitor police behaviour, including the X Squad, the Police Service Commission and the Nigeria Police Council, but none have the sufficient authority or will to hold officers to account. The majority of investigations and disciplinary measures are conducted internally and often informally. The Police Service Commission ha the authority to appoint, discipline and dismiss all officers except the IGP. It also has the mandate to investigate human rights violations by the police and recommend disciplinary action, but it cannot refer cases for prosecution. 	
(m) Any public official found responsible for abuse	Although there are a number of bodies that can accept	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In 2009 concerns remained about the culture of	

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
or torture in this report, either directly involved in torture or ill-treatment, as well as implicated in colluding in torture or ignoring evidence, should be immediately suspended from duty, and prosecuted. The Special Rapporteur urges the Government to thoroughly investigate all allegations contained in appendix I to the present report with a view to bringing the perpetrators to justice.		impunity within police institutions. NGOs receive large numbers of complaints related to torture by the police, and concerns remain about the entrenched patterns of extortion, torture, and other forms of ill-treatment, but the Government has made no significant effort to hold members of the security forces accountable for these crimes. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There is no information on any disciplinary measures or prosecutions taken against members of the police on the grounds of torture.	
(n) Victims of torture and ill- treatment should receive substantial compensation proportionate to the gravity of the physical and mental harm suffered, as well as adequate medical treatment and rehabilitation.	The government could not provide evidence of any compensation awarded to the victims.	Government: In some cases, courts have awarded compensation to be paid to victims of torture. However, the ordered payments are still pending. Non-governmental sources: There is no information on any compensation awarded or rehabilitation provided by the State to any victim of torture. Non-governmental sources: as of 2009, there had been no reported case of compensation awarded or the state providing rehabilitation to victims of torture.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> In several cases, courts have ordered the police to pay damages. There is no information suggesting compensation awarded or rehabilitation provided by the State to any victim of torture or other ill-treatment.
(o) The declaration should be made with respect to article 22 of the Convention against Torture recognizing the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications from individuals who claim to be	Nigeria has not recognized the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive communications from individuals under article 22 of CAT.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Nigeria has recognized the competence of the National Committee on torture to receive communications from individuals under Article 22 of CAT.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Nigeria has not made declaration with respect to Article 22 of the Convention against Torture recognizing the Competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications from individuals.

Information received in the reporting period
Non-governmental sources: The impact of
he Federal Ministry of Justice prison
'decongestion" scheme is yet to be
observed. There is no information about a
federal policy focussing on the release of
vulnerable groups. At state level, the

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Mercy can oners. It is nmittees

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the repo period
victims of a violation of the provisions of the Convention. (p) The release of non- violent offenders from confinement in pre-trial detention facilities should be expedited, beginning especially with the most vulnerable groups, such as children and the elderly, and those requiring medical treatment subject to non- custodial measures (i.e. guarantees to appear for trial, at any other stage of the judicial proceeding and, should occasion arise, for execution of the judgement).	The vast majority of detainees are held pending their trial or without charge for as long as 10 years.	Government: The Federal Ministry of Justice has embarked on a prison "decongestion" scheme. However, no tangible results in terms of numbers of people held in pre-trial detention have been achieved so far. There is a policy in place focusing especially on the release of members of vulnerable groups. Several states have commuted sentences or released detainees under amnesties. However this is not done in a routine or coordinated manner across the federation. It is dependent on the mercy committees of individual states and the benevolence of the state governor. Non-governmental sources: As of 2009, the impact of the prison decongestant scheme was yet to be observed. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> According to the Minister of the Interior, the total prison population is 46.000, of which 30,000 are awaiting trial. - The prison decongestion scheme, established by the Ministry of Justice in 2008 had no visible impact and prisons remain overcrowded. - There is no information regarding a federal policy focusing on the release of detainees belonging to vulnerable groups. - In July 2009, the Lagos State Governor signed the Magistrates' Court Bill into law, which establishes that suspects must be brought to court within 24 hours, and only qualified legal practitioners can	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The the Federal Ministry of Justice p "decongestion" scheme is yet to observed. There is no informatic federal policy focussing on the revulnerable groups. At state level Committees of Prerogative of M recommend the release of prison however unclear how these commedecide who to release.

250

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(q) Pre-trial detainees and convicted prisoners should be strictly separated.	There was no strict separation of pre-trial and convicted prisoners.	 prosecute them. Several states have commuted sentences or released detainees following a pardon. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> As of 2009, in most prisons visited by NGOs there was still no strict separation of pre-trial and convicted prisoners. 	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, these two categories were not strictly separated.
(r) Detainees under 18 should be separated from adult ones.	Section 419 of the Criminal Procedure Code requires that imprisoned minors shall not be, so far as it is deemed practical, allowed to associate with adult prisoners. However, there was no strict separation of juveniles and adults.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : These two categories are not strictly separated. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Young children are often detained in the same cell as adult males, partly due to overcrowding. Detainees under 18 are not routinely separated from adults. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Detainees under 18 are not routinely separated, and there are many reports of children as young as 12 held with adults in police and prison detention.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that detainees under 18 are not routinely separated from adults. Moreover, it is alleged that in some police stations police officers falsely record the age of detainees under the age of 18 as being over the age of 18 in order to bring them to the magistrate court instead of a juvenile court.
(s) Females should be separated from male detainees.	Males and females were separated in most cases.	Government: Females are overwhelmingly separated from male detainees.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, females are separated from male detainees.
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Female detainees are overwhelmingly separated from male detainees, but concern has been expressed about a prison riot in June 2009 in Enugu prison, where male inmates broke into the female wing and allegedly raped most of the female inmates and some of the female wardens.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Females are separated from male detainees in police stations.	

≥	
H	
R	
0	
10	
2	
Ē	
dd	
ີພ	

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(t) The Criminal Procedure Code should be amended to ensure that the automatic recourse to pre-trial detention, which is the current de facto general practice, is authorized by a judge strictly as a measure of last resort, and the use of non-custodial measures, such as bail and recognizance, are increased for non-violent, minor or less serious offences	Pre-trial detention was ordered by default.	Government: The Criminal Procedure Code of Lagos State has been amended, Ogun State is reviewing its Criminal Procedure Code with a view to amend it and other states have begun preliminary reviews of their criminal procedure codes as well. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> A Criminal Law of Lagos State was before the House State Assembly, and other states have begun preliminary reviews of their criminal procedure laws in 2009.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Reportedly, this has not happened.
		 Non-governmental sources: The criminal procedural code of Lagos state has been amended, Ogun state is reviewing its code with a view to amending it, and other states have begun preliminary reviews in this regard. Most federal justice sector reform bills are still pending before the National Assembly. 	
(u) Abolish all forms of corporal punishment, including sharia-based punishments.	Corporal punishment, such as caning, as well as sharia penal code punishments of the northern states (i.e. amputation, flogging and stoning to death) were lawful in Nigeria.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Sharia based punishments remain on the statute books in twelve states, including corporal punishment. In many cases, sharia courts failed to conform to international standards of fairness and do not respect due process.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Sharia-based punishments remain on the statute books in 12 states.
(v) Abolish the death penalty de jure, commute the sentences of prisoners on death row to imprisonment, and release those aged over 60 who have been on death row for 10 years or more.	Capital punishment was still in the national legislation, but there was a policy not to carry out executions. However, persons continued to be sentenced to death, which led to the steady growth in numbers of	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Sharia-based punishment remains on the statute books. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The Minister of Foreign Affairs' statement on the outcome of the UPR in June 2009 indicated that the country had set up a National Committee on the Review of death penalty, and at the meeting of the National Council of State in March and	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Death penalty remains on the statute books. While some State governors have commuted sentences, there has not been a general approach to release those over 60 who have been on death row for 10 years or more. Moreover, it is reported that after

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	persons on death row for many years, in inhuman conditions. By letter dated 14 September 2007, the Government stated that it had, as part of the decongestion process, released all prisoners over the age of 60, as well as all prisoners who had served more than 10 years on death row.	June 2009, President Umaru Yaradua appealed to all Governors of Nigeria to review the issues of the large number of condemned people on death row across the country. A number of states have commuted some or all of their death sentences, and the Federal Government commuted 45 death sentences to life imprisonment in 2008. However, other state governments have extended the scope of the death penalty to make kidnapping a capital offence, and there have been allegations that a number of inmates have been executed secretly while in detention, with at least seven executions by hanging in the past two years. In June 2009, several states were considering recommencing executions, particularly in the south-south and south-east regions of Nigeria.	a meeting of the National Economic Council (NEC) in June 2010, it was announced that the Council had asked the Nigerian State governors to review all cases of death row inmates and to sign execution warrants as a means of decongesting the country's prisons. In April 2010, a similar decision was taken in a meeting of the Council of States, a
		 Non-governmental sources: No action has been taken to abolish the death penalty, and there are 824 persons on death row. The Federal Government has indicated its political will to respect the de facto moratorium, but a formal abolition requires constitutional review. However, a National Death Penalty Moratorium bill is reportedly being drafted. An execution reportedly took place in early 2010, in spite of the de facto moratorium. State governors agreed to review all cases of death row and to sign execution warrants as a means of decongesting prisons, which was opposed to by the Federal Government. 	
(w) Establish effective	Nigeria has legislation	Government: Several states have adopted	Non-governmental sources: A bill is

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
to eradicate such practices, and expedite the adoption of	prohibiting discrimination against women in critical areas, such as female genital mutilation and early marriage. However, such practices persisted and enjoyed social acceptance. No effective mechanisms to enforce existing prohibitions were in place.	bills prohibiting domestic violence, including Lagos, Cross Rivers Ebonyi and Jigawa states. CEDAW is yet to be incorporated. However, the Federal Ministry of Women Affairs is working with a coalition of NGOs to represent the CEDAW Domestication Bill to the National Assembly. Non-governmental sources: During the UPR process, Nigeria accepted the recommendations to domesticate CEDAW, to repeal all laws that allow violence and discrimination against women and to continue awareness raising campaigns to eradicate traditional practices as FGM. A bill is pending before the National Assembly.	pending before the National Assembly. During the UPR review Nigeria accepted the recommendation to domesticate CEDAW, to repeal all laws that allow violence and discrimination against women and to continue awareness raising campaigns to eradicate traditional practices such as FGM. The implementation of these recommendations remained to be seen.
(x) Security personnel shall undergo extensive and thorough training using a curriculum that incorporates human rights education throughout and that includes training in effective interrogation techniques and the proper use of policing	Law enforcement is seriously under-resourced and consequently, adequate training is lacking.	 Non-governmental sources: Violence against women remains pervasive. The authorities consistently failed to exercise due diligence in preventing and addressing sexual violence committed by both state and non-state actors, leading to a culture of impunity. While some states have adopted legislation to protect women from discrimination and violence, CEDAW is not yet implemented. Government: Some human rights training is offered to senior personnel. Some interrogation technique training is provided, but the extreme lack of investigative equipment and facilities risks rendering any training obsolete. Non-governmental sources: In 2009, the Nigeria Police Force was reviewing their 	

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
equipment, and that existing personnel receive continuing education. (y) Security personnel recommended for United Nations, as well as regional, peacekeeping operations should be scrupulously vetted for their suitability to		 training curriculum. <i>Non-governmental sources</i>: Human rights education is not incorporated in the current curriculum, although some human rights training is offered to senior personnel. Police stations lack the resources to investigate complex crimes and although all police stations are obliged to keep records of their work, many do not. The forensic capacity is poor, there is no database for fingerprints, systematic forensic investigation methodology or sufficient budget for investigations. The police training facilities are overstretched and under-resourced. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There is no information on the vetting procedures for security personnel recommended for United Nations and regional peacekeeping operations. 	
serve. (z) The Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture should be ratified, and a truly independent monitoring mechanism should be established - where the members of the visiting commissions would be appointed for a fixed period of time and not subject to dismissal - to carry out unannounced visits to all places where persons are deprived of their liberty	There was no regular or systematic mechanism, or activities related to independent visits to detention facilities.	 Non-governmental sources: Nigeria ratified the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture on 27 July 2009. No independent monitoring mechanism has been established. Non-governmental sources: The National Committee on Torture was established in September 2009. The Committee assumes the mandate of National Preventive Mechanism. 	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Nigeria signed the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture on 27 July 2009. An independent monitoring mechanism has yet to be established.

≥	
H	
R	
2	
19	
6	
1	
A	
d	
ču)	

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.4)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
throughout the country, to conduct private interviews with detainees and subject them to independent medical examinations.			
(aa) Systematic training programmes and awareness- raising campaigns be carried out on the principles of the Convention against Torture for the public at large, security personnel, legal professionals and the judiciary.	No such campaigns existed.	 Non-governmental sources: In 2009 the National Human Rights Commission started an awareness-raising campaign on torture. Non-governmental sources: The National Committee on torture has organised a number of activities such as a National Public Tribunal on police abuse in the country in June 2010, in close cooperation with the Network on Police Reform in Nigeria. 	

Paraguay

Seguimiento a las recomendaciones del Relator Especial (Manfred Nowak) en su informe relativo a su visita a Paraguay del 22 al 29 de noviembre de 2006 (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)

93. El 22 de noviembre de 2011, el Relator Especial envió la tabla que se encuentra a continuación al Gobierno de Paraguay solicitando información y comentarios sobre las medidas adoptadas con respecto a la aplicación de sus recomendaciones. El Gobierno proporcionó información el 26 de diciembre de 2011. El Relator Especial quisiera agradecer al Gobierno la información proporcionada, invitarle a enviar información sobre todas las recomendaciones emitidas, e informar de su disposición a ayudar al Estado en los esfuerzos para prevenir y combatir la tortura y los malos tratos.

94. El Relator Especial considera positivo que se encuentre ante el Parlamento Nacional el Proyecto de Ley que prevé algunas modificaciones en el Código Penal a fin de tipificar el delito de Tortura según la Convención contra la tortura y Otros Tratos o Penas Crueles, Inhumanos o Degradantes (CAT). El Relator Especial toma nota de que el Proyecto de Ley ha sido aprobado con modificaciones por la Honorable Cámara de Senadores, en Sesión Ordinaria de fecha 27 de octubre de 2011. Sin embargo manifiesta su preocupación por el hecho de que si bien el proyecto de ley mejora significativamente la definición de tortura, el tipo penal no concuerda totalmente con el artículo 1 de la CAT. El Relator Especial valora los esfuerzos realizados para incluir en el Código Penal Militar el delito de tortura. Al respecto, valora que por Resolución del Ministerio de Defensa Nacional del 24 de junio de 2011 se constituyó una comisión integrada por representantes del Ministerio de Defensa Nacional, Suprema Corte de Justicia Militar, Comando de las Fuerzas Militares, Comando del Ejército, Comando de la Armada, Comando de la Fuerza Aérea y Comando Logístico. Este grupo de profesionales tiene a su cargo el estudio y análisis de las leyes militares vigentes a los fines de su modificación por otra que adecue la legislación militar a principios jurídicos modernos. Al respecto, insta respetuosamente al órgano legislativo a que concluya exitosamente tal proceso.

95. El Relator Especial aplaude que el Estado paraguayo por Ley N° 4.288/11 del 20 de abril de 2011, haya aprobado el denominado Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención contra la Tortura y otros Tratos o Penas Crueles, Inhumanos o Degradantes. Como institución nueva, el "Mecanismo Nacional", es un ente autárquico con personería jurídica de derecho público, creado por esta ley para reforzar y colaborar con la protección de las personas privadas de su libertad contra todo tipo de trato o penas prohibidas.

96. El Relator Especial reconoce que con de fecha 13 de enero de 2011, se creó un nuevo departamento en el Ministerio Público, a cargo de casos de violación de derechos humanos por parte de funcionarios oficiales. Esta Unidad Especializada de Derechos Humanos Es responsable de investigar de manera autónoma casos de tortura y violaciones de derechos humanos. El Relator Especial nota su preocupación por el hecho de que las investigaciones de esta Unidad no se podrán llevar a cabo independientemente de la Policía Nacional e insta al gobierno a dar seguimiento a este tema. El Relator Especial reconoce que el Ministerio, a través de su Unidad Especializada de Derechos Humanos dispone de una estructura organizada que permite dar respuestas específicas, con acciones concretas, dirigidas a perseguir los hechos de tortura.

97. El Relator Especial aplaude el trabajo de la Dirección General de Verdad, Justicia y Reparación, enfatizando los últimos hallazgos obtenidos, y exhorta al Estado a continuar con el apoyo político y financiero necesario a ese órgano.

98. El Relator Especial reconoce que la Defensoría del Pueblo, desde su Dirección General de Verdad, Justicia y Reparación, ha formado una Mesa de Trabajo con la Unidad Especializada de Derechos Humanos del Ministerio Publico, con la que de manera coordinada atiende las denuncias formuladas, de modo de identificar todos los legajos y la información proporcionada que permita iniciar las investigaciones en forma eficiente. El Relator Especial nota que la Defensoría del Pueblo tendría la posibilidad de ser más activa, de visitar más a menudo los lugares de detención y mantener una base de datos de denuncias. El Relator Especial espera que mediante reformas estructurales adecuadas, desarrollo de capacidades del personal y campañas de concientización, pueda llegar a hacerlo en el futuro.

99. El Relator manifiesta su preocupación por la falta de respeto a las garantías procesales de las personas privadas de su libertad, ya que en su mayoría las personas detenidas en comisarías superan el plazo máximo legal establecido por la carencia de infraestructura y medios para alojar a detenidos en condiciones plenas de respeto a su dignidad humana.

100. El Relator Especial nota su preocupación debido a que del total de la población penitenciaria, solo un 28% se encuentra privado de su libertad con base en condena firme y ejecutoriada, mientras que el 72% restante lo está bajo la figura de la prisión preventiva. Invita al Estado a garantizar la separación de presos en prisión preventiva de los convictos, y a los menores de los adultos.

101. El Relator Especial considera que sería recomendable contar con información práctica por parte del Estado relativa a la eficacia jurídica de la prohibición de admitir confesiones realizadas por personas arrestadas sin presencia de un abogado.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(a) Ajustar la definición del Código Penal al artículo 1 de la CAT.	No está en línea con la definición.	 Convención ya que estos forman parte del derecho positivo nacional desde el momento de su ratificación. Fuentes no gubernamentales: Sigue sin adecuarse al CAT. Gobierno: En lo que respecta a este punto, el Gobierno informó que actualmente se encuentra en el Parlamento Nacional el Proyecto de Ley "Que Modifica los Artículos 236 y 309 del Código Penal", que prevé su debida tipificación acorde a la Convención contra la Tortura y Otros Tratos o Penas Crueles, Inhumanos o Degradantes, la Convención Interamericana para 	2011: El Gobierno informó que, en lo atinente la enmienda de los artículos 236 y 309 del Código Penal, de manera a que contemple una tipificación adecuada del delito de tortura y desaparición forzada, en términos compatibles con el artículo I de la Convención, el Proyecto de Ley "QUE MODIFICA LOS ARITCULOS 236 Y 309 DEL CODIGO PENAL", cuenta con media sanción en el Congreso Nacional, habiéndose aprobado con modificaciones por la Honorable Cámara de Senadores, en Sesión ordinaria de fecha 27 de octubre de 20II. A su vez, la Constitución Nacional paraguaya otorga una condición de imprescriptibilidad a este tipo de delitos. La Corte Suprema de Justicia se ha pronunciado sobre este particular, decidiendo que la imprescriptibilidad opera tanto con relación a la acción penal como en la sanción penal. Esto es así, que los Tribunales del país han venido aplicando dicho concepto en sus sentencias judiciales.
		Prevenir y Sancionar la Tortura y la Convención Interamericana sobre Desaparición Forzada de Personas, además de otros instrumentos de derechos humanos, a fin de garantizar los derechos de las personas, sancionando y erradicando estas prácticas violatorias de derechos humanos. Dicho proyecto, fue presentado a finales del mes de mayo de 2009 y fue girado para su estudio a las siguientes Comisiones de la Honorable Cámara de Senadores: Derechos Humanos; Asuntos Constitucionales, Defensa Nacional y Fuerza Pública; Legislación, Codificación, Justicia y Trabajo; y Equidad, Género y Desarrollo	2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El artículo 5 de la Constitución prohíbe la tortura, los tratos o penas crueles, inhumanos o degradantes. La tortura está a su vez definida en el artículo 309 del Código Penal. Sin embargo, esta tipificación tan limitada excluye fácilmente muchos hechos que serían calificados como tortura de acuerdo con la CAT. De este modo, los defensores de víctimas de hechos que encuadran en la definición internacional de tortura observan que el Ministerio Público procesa hechos de tortura como otros delitos penales, principalmente como "lesión corporal en el ejercicio de las funciones públicas", o recurre a procedimientos administrativos que no constituyen un recurso

iodo reportado
cuerdo al
onsecuencia, se
na no puede
vilidad que
el delito de
a falta de
en el Paraguay.
un proyecto de

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Social.	judicial idóneo y efectivo de acuerdo al derecho internacional. Como consecuencia, se imponen penas leves y la víctima no puede beneficiarse de la imprescriptibilidad que establece el Código Penal para el delito de tortura. Esto también explica la falta de condenas por delito de tortura en el Paraguay.
			En mayo de 2009, se presentó un proyecto de ley para modificar el artículo 236 sobre desapariciones forzadas y el artículo 309 del Código Penal, que aunque recibió Dictamen de aprobación con modificaciones por parte de la Comisión de Derechos Humanos del Senado el 23 de mayo de 2011, todavía se encuentra en trámite. En este sentido, si bien el proyecto de ley mejora significativamente la definición de tortura, sin embargo no concuerda totalmente con el artículo 1 de la CAT y sigue siendo una definición más restringida que la establecida en dicho artículo.
(b) Tipificar la tortura como delito en el Código Penal Militar.	No existe tipificación.	 2009: el Gobierno informó de la existencia de un proyecto de modificación del Código Procesal Penal a fin de incluir esa figura. Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se ha tipificado la tortura. Gobierno: Las Fuerzas Armadas se encuentran tomando medidas en este ámbito con la creación de una Comisión que pueda estudiar el Código Penal Militar (ley N° 843 de 1980), en el cual, pueda incluir dicho cuerpo legal de un tipo penal de conformidad con el Artículo 1 de la Convención contra la 	2011: Gobierno: Por Resolución del Ministerio de Defensa Nacional N " 620 del 2 4 de Junio de 2011, se constituye una comisión integrada por representantes del Ministerio de Defensa Nacional, Suprema Corte de Justicia Militar, Comando de las Fuerzas Militares, Comando del 'Ejercito, Comando de la Armada, Comando de la Fuerza Aérea y Comando Logístico. Este grupo de Profesionales tiene a su cargo el estudio y análisis de las leyes militares vigentes a los fines de su modificación o sustitución por otra adecuando la Legislación militar a principios jurídicos modernos partiendo del principio de que la igualdad para el acceso a la justicia y a la defensa sea la seguridad efectiva de los

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(c) Establecer una autoridad independiente para investigar denuncias de tortura.	No existe ningún mecanismo eficaz e independiente.	Tortura (Artículo 1. Se entenderá por el término "tortura" todo acto por el cual se inflija intencionadamente a una persona dolores o sufrimientos graves, ya sean físicos o mentales, con el fin de obtener de ella o de un tercero información o una confesión, de castigarla por un acto que haya cometido, o se sospeche que ha cometido, o de intimidar o coaccionar a esa persona o a otras, o por cualquier razón basada en cualquier tipo de discriminación, cuando dichos dolores o sufrimientos sean infligidos por un funcionario público u otra persona en el ejercicio de funciones públicas, a instigación suya, o con su consentimiento o aquiescencia. No se considerarán torturas los dolores o sufrimientos que sean consecuencia únicamente de sanciones legítimas, o que sean inherentes o incidentales a éstas); y que establezca penas acordes con la gravedad de este delito; al efecto cuando se realicé el estudio respectivo, se podrá informar sobre la tipificación penal de crímenes vinculados con la tortura, como la desaparición forzada de personas y la ejecución extrajudicial. 2009: El Gobierno informó que el Ministerio Público, a través de sus Agentes Fiscales, es el encargado de investigar la comisión de los hechos	 DD.HH. La enmienda del Art. 309 del C.P. tipificando el delito de tortura es un imperativo que la comisión tiene presente. Respecto al "delito de tortura", la comisión interdisciplinaria tiene previsto la tipificación de la misma, aclarando que el CP en si no lo hace, pero si estará previsto en el nuevo CPM. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Código Penal Militar aun no contiene el delito de tortura. El Gobierno mencionó en su respuesta al SPT en junio 2010 que se estableció una comisión para estudiar el Código Penal Militar y que actualmente está siendo considerado un proyecto de enmienda que incorpora la definición de tortura de la CAT al Código Penal Militar. 2011: El Gobierno informó que el Ministerio Publico es el Órgano encargado de la persecución pública y social. Dentro de dichas atribuciones investiga y lleva adelante las
uchuncias de tortura.	independiente.		

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportad
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: El órgano encargado es el Ministerio Público, donde actualmente existen unidades especializadas en derechos humanos. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que la Defensoría del Pueblo nace con la Constitución Nacional de la República de 1992 (artículo 276), define al Defensor del Pueblo como un comisionado parlamentario cuyas funciones son: "la defensa de los derechos humanos, la canalización de los reclamos populares y la protección de los intereses comunitarios…". Fue incorporada al ordenamiento jurídico por la Constitución Nacional, entre sus funciones y atribuciones se menciona: "Denunciar ante el Ministerio Público las violaciones de derechos humanos cometidas por personas que actúen en ejercicio de funciones oficiales, así como las de personas particulares; interponer Habeas Corpus y solicitar Amparo, sin perjuicio del Derecho que le asiste a los particulares; actuar de oficio o a petición de parte para la defensa de los derechos humanos", a su vez, en el art.10, inc. 1) "Recibir e investigar denuncias, quejas y reclamos por violaciones de derechos humanos reconocidos en la Constitución, en los tratados internacionales y en las leyes, aún cuando tales violaciones sean cometidas por personas que actúen en ejercicio de funciones oficiales"	delitos dentro del Código Penal. Dentro de e Órgano, se ha conformado la Unidad Especializada de Derechos Humanos y ha asignado funciones exclusivas a Agentes Fiscales, mediante la Resolución F.G.E. N° de fecha 13 de enero de 2011. Es necesario que el Ministerio Publico, en su carácter de titular de la acción pernal publica disponga de una estructura organizada a fin dar respuestas específicas, con acciones concretas, dirigidas a perseguir los ilícitos de este tipo y de todos aquellos que guarden relación, en procura de la sanción que corresponda a aquellos infractores de las normas legales vigentes en la materia". 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Actualmente, hay varios mecanismos investigando este tipo de casos. Las denunci de tortura y malos tratos por parte de oficiale de policía se investigan a nivel interno, dentri de la Policía Nacional y por los fiscales en e Ministerio Público. Hay dos departamentos dentro de la Policía Nacional a cargo de investigar y sancionar malas conductas policiales que resultan en sanciones administrativas. Los dos mecanismos interno de la Policía Nacional han sido muy criticad en el pasado por la falta de efectividad en sus investigaciones y por no transferir casos a la autoridades responsables para su investigaci penal. A pesar de haber varios registros de casos relacionados a tortura, durante las últin décadas se aplicaron muy pocas sanciones administrativas. Las dos principales razones esta inefectividad de los mecanismos de

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Dentro de sus atribuciones	Información recibida en el periodo reportado investigación de la Policía Nacional son la falta
		Constitucionales y legales, el Ministerio Público es el encargado de la persecución pública y social, el ambiente, otros intereses difusos, así	de independencia de los oficiales policiales que llevan a cabo las investigaciones de mala conducta, y su falta de capacitación y educación.
		como de los derechos de los pueblos indígenas. Dentro de dichas atribuciones investiga y lleva adelante las causas penales que ingresan conforme a denuncias presentadas –o de oficio, en su caso-por personas que habrían sido víctimas de torturas u otros hechos catalogados como delitos dentro del Código Penal.	En marzo 2011 se creó un nuevo departamento en el Ministerio Público, a cargo de casos de violación de derechos humanos por parte de funcionarios oficiales. La Unidad de Derechos Humanos del Ministerio Público se compone de cuatro fiscales y un equipo. Es responsable de investigar de manera autónoma casos de tortura y violaciones de derechos humanos, especificados en su resolución de creación. Pero en la práctica debe desarrollar sus tareas con cuadros ordinarios de la policía para llevar a cabo las investigaciones y arrestos de los supuestos culpables. Por lo tanto, las investigaciones de esta Unidad no se podrán llevar a cabo independientemente de la Policía Nacional.
(d) Suspender de sus cargos a funcionarios públicos acusados de tortura/ despedir a aquellos condenados.		Fuentes no gubernamentales 2009: Por lo general no se suspende a los funcionarios mientras dure el proceso de investigación.	2011: El Gobierno informó que en lo que respecta al interior de las fuerzas policiales, cabe señalar que a partir del año 2009 la Policía Nacional se encuentra en un proceso de fortalecimiento de sus sistemas de control, a
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que en el año 2009 se han realizado nueve denuncias de torturas en el sistema penitenciario, las cuales fueron debidamente investigadas, puede citarse como único caso comprobado el del agente de disciplina (Unidad Penitenciaria Industrial Esperanza) que en fecha 3 de noviembre de 2009, agredió físicamente a un interno, motivo	través de una cooperación internacional gestionada por el Ministerio del Interior ante el Departamento de Estados Unidos. Las dependencias fortalecidas son: Departamento de Asuntos Internos y la Dirección Justicia Policial. En resumen, de acuerdo a los procedimientos vigentes, los que resulten condenados serán desvinculados de toda función pública; los imputados y aun los

Þ	
H	
R	
H	
9	
61	
A	
dd	
i.	

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		 por el cual fue desafectado de la institución y derivado sus antecedentes al Ministerio Público. Otros casos importantes en este apartado son los siguientes: Desafectación de los agentes de disciplina (Empresa Bollerito S.A., Co-Gestora del establecimiento Esperanza del Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo), por agresión al interno en fecha 13 de setiembre de 2009. Denuncia penal contra una auxiliar de enfermería (Unidad Esperanza), por supuesto hecho de modificación de dosis de medicamento controlado, proporcionado a internos y usurpación de cargo profesional. Denuncia penal contra un custodio (Penitenciaría Nacional), por supuesto hecho de agresión física a un interno. Pedido de desafectación de dos funcionarios (Unidad Esperanza) y recomendación de denuncia penal por los hechos perpetrados en abuso de sus funciones. 	acusados, generalmente son apartados de la "función" y asignados a otro servicio que no tenga las mismas características que el anterior. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Se han observado mejoras en 2010. En un esfuerzo por erradicar la impunidad y aumentar la eficiencia de las investigaciones policiales, el Ministerio del Interior ha intentado encontrar soluciones para esta situación; las autoridades emitieron muchas sanciones disciplinarias y despidieron más de 70 oficiales de policía por faltas graves asociadas a conductas penales, aunque los despidos son más bien por hechos de corrupción, antes que por violación de derechos humanos. Sin embargo, parecen ser necesarios cambios estructurales para lograr mejoras significativas a largo plazo, en particular en lo que respecta a la responsabilidad penal de los perpetradores.
(e) Investigaciones ex-officio rápidas e imparciales.	Por lo general no son iniciadas.	2009: El Gobierno indicó que las investigaciones son realizadas a partir de la denuncia elevada por los afectados. Estas procuran cumplir con el objetivo de ser rápidos y eficaces a pesar de las dificultades de un Estado en vías de desarrollo. Fuentes no gubernamentales: Por lo	Derechos Humanos dispone de una estructura organizada que le permite dar respuestas específicas, con acciones concretas, dirigidas a perseguir los ilícitos de este tipo y de todos aquellos que guarden relación. Asimismo, el Unidad de derechos Humanos realiza visitad de
		general son iniciadas a partir de la formulación de la denuncia. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que el	monitoreo a los Centros Penitenciarios del país, con la finalidad de velar por el respeto de las personas en situación de privación de libertad y facilitar la presentación de denuncias sobre

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Ministerio Público en el ejercicio de la acción pública, actúa de Oficio, sin necesidad de solicitud o impulso salvo los hechos que requieran instancia de parte. La persecución penal de los hechos punibles de acción penal pública es promovida inmediatamente después de la noticia de la comisión de los hechos.	hechos punibles de los cuales pudieran ser víctimas dichas personas. En los casos en qu fueron recibidas dichas denuncias, han sido remitidas a la Mesa de Entradas del Minister Público, y han sido asignadas las unidades fiscales para el inicio de las investigaciones. Durante el año 2010 y 2011 fueron recepcionadas 22 denuncias sobre supuestos hechos punibles cometidos en perjuicio de personas adultas y adolescentes, en situaciór
		Para tal efecto, la institución cuenta con una Dirección de Derechos Humanos	1 5
		que brinda apoyo técnico a Agentes Fiscales en lo penal competencias exclusivas en hechos punibles en hechos punibles contra los derechos humanos, cuya política institucional tiene como eje	2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Se debe tener la posibilidad de iniciar investigaciones ex officio, aun cuando no haya una denuncia formal.
		principal la prevención de hechos punibles contra los derechos humanos y brinda atención especial a delitos como: tortura, lesión corporal en el ejercicio de la función pública, coacción respecto a declaraciones, desapariciones forzosas, persecución de inocentes, genocidio y crímenes de guerra.	
(f)Indemnización, tratamiento médico y rehabilitación a las víctimas de tortura y malos tratos.	Disposiciones limitadas de la época de la dictadura.	2009: El Gobierno indicó que los afectados pueden solicitar resarcimiento o indemnización en el foro competente que ejerce jurisdicción para los casos de indemnización por daños y perjuicios. En este tipo de litigios son incluidas las peticiones con referencia a gastos ocasionados como consecuencia de tratamientos médicos y rehabilitación.	2011: Gobierno: En relación al derecho de acceso a la justicia de las víctimas de la dictadura, fue conformado un equipo entre la Dirección General de Verdad, Justicia y Reparación y el Ministerio Publico a través de la Unidad Especializada en Derechos humanos, en relación a los casos de tortura, desaparición forzada y otros delitos cometidos durante la dictadura, surgidos en el Informe Final de la
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: La legislación paraguaya carece de un	Comisión Verdad y Justicia, a fin de optimizar los trabajos de investigación. En relación a las reparaciones a las víctimas de la dictadura, en

⋗
F
õ
$\mathbf{\Xi}$
9
5
5
5
d
تب
00

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		ordenamiento específico por el cual se establezca indemnización a víctimas de torturas, salvo a aquellas personas que sufrieron hechos de tortura en época de la dictadura.	el año 1996 se ha promulgado La Ley 838, que Indemniza a víctimas de violaciones de derechos humanos durante la dictadura de 195 a 1989. Cabe resaltar que las indemnizaciones otorgadas a las víctimas de la Dictadura
		Gobierno: En este marco, el Gobierno indicó el Proyecto de Ley "Que establece cobertura de salud a favor de las víctimas de la Dictadura 1954-1989" presentado por el Defensor del Pueblo, Manuel María Páez Monges, a la Honorable Cámara de Diputados, fundamentando el miso en el art. 14 de la Convención contra la Tortura y otros Tratos o Penas Crueles, Inhumanos o	alcanzan a los herederos, en caso de fallecimiento de la víctima. Así también, en virtud de la Ley N° 3606/08, la indemnizació también puede ser solicitada por los hijos de víctimas.
(g) Apoyo político y financiero suficiente a la Comisión de Verdad y Justicia.		Degradantes. 2009: El Gobierno informó que, en ese momento, se encontraba concluido el objetivo de la Comisión de Verdad y Justicia y que la misma había presentado su informe final. La Defensoría del Pueblo creó la Dirección General de Verdad, Justicia y Reparación que se hizo cargo del patrimonio documental de la Comisión.	2011: El Gobierno indicó que el Estado ha adoptado varias medidas a fin de apoyar los trabajos y el Informe Final de la Comisión de Verdad Justicia y Reparación. Entre ellas: La Resolución 179/09 "Por el cual se establece la Dirección General de Verdad Justicia y Reparación, en la estructura orgánica de la Defensoría del Pueblo"; Decreto N° 1875/09 "Por el cual se declara de Interés Nacional el
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: Fue presentado el capítulo de Conclusiones y Recomendaciones del Informe Final de la Comisión de la Verdad y Justicia.	Informe Final de la Comisión de Verdad y Justicia, su divulgación y la implementación d las recomendaciones formuladas"; El Decreto N° 3138/09 "Por el cual se declara de prioridad nacional los objetivos del Programa
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que en el contexto político, se observa mayor apertura hacia el trabajo de la Dirección General de Verdad, Justicia y Reparación, especialmente en el campo	para la protección y Reparación de los Derechos Humanos a cargo de la Dirección General de Verdad Justicia y Reparación de la Defensoría del Pueblo"; El Decreto Nº 5619/1 " Por el cual se crea la Comisión Interinstitucional para la instalación e

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(h) Papel más dinámico del Ombudsman para investigar denuncias de tortura e iniciar diligencias penales.		de la búsqueda de los detenidos desaparecidos, para lo cual, se conformó un equipo interinstitucional con el concurso del Ministerio Público, Ministerio del Interior y la Dirección. Como resultado del trabajo realizado se produjo el hallazgo de 7 (siete) restos óseos, que a la fecha se espera contar con los recursos financieros para la identificación de los mismos. En cuanto al apoyo financiero, se le ha asignado para el año 2010 la suma de Gs. 1.830 (mil ochocientos treinta millones), equivalente a 380.000 (trescientos ochenta mil) dólares americanos, aproximadamente. 2009: el Gobierno indicó que la Defensoría del Pueblo realiza el seguimiento de denuncias por violaciones de derechos humanos presentadas ante el Ministerio Público y en ocasiones, según las circunstancias del caso, son los propios Delegados de la Defensoría quienes realizan la denuncia ante el Ministerio Público. Sin embargo, la investigación de los hechos punibles de cualquier índole se encuentra a cargo del Ministerio Público.	 implementación de la Red de Sitios Históricos y de Conciencia de la República del Paraguay"; Decreto N° 7101 "Por el cual se confirma el Equipo Nacional para la búsqueda e identificación de personas detenidas- desaparecidas y ejecutadas extrajudicialmente (ENABI), durante el periodo 1954-1989." 2011: El Gobierno: La Defensoría del Pueblo, desde su Dirección General de Verdad, Justicia y Reparación, ha formado una mesa de Trabajo con la Unidad Especializada de Derechos Humanos del Ministerio Publico, con la que de manera coordinada atiende las denuncias formuladas, de manera a identificar todos los legajos y la información proporcionada que permita iniciar las investigaciones en forma eficiente. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El único mecanismo independiente de denuncia en Paraguay parece ser a través de la Defensoría del Pueblo. Está certificada con 'Estatus A' (cumplimiento total con los Principios de París) por el Subcomité de Acreditación del CIC (11/2008). Sin embargo, muchos critican la falta de acción por parte de dicho organismo con respecto a denuncias.

₽	
H	
ᆋ	
2	
15	
6	
1	
dd	
- ū	

Decomon dación	Cituación dunante la misita	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(1) TIKC///5/Add.5/	(1/11(C)//5/144.5)	los Juzgados Penales.	Muchas organizaciones no gubernamentales consideran a la Defensoría del Pueblo una
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que la ley orgánica de la Defensoría del Pueblo establece que el Defensor del Pueblo es el encargado de velar por la vigencia de los Derechos Humanos, no obstante la detección de hechos que vulneren tales derechos deben de ser canalizados al fuero penal a cargo del Ministerio Público y los Juzgados Penales. En este marco la Defensoría del Pueblo realiza constantemente recomendaciones en el marco de las visitas realizadas en los centros de detención policiales y penitenciarios, así también, hemos tomado conocimiento de denuncias de tortura en el marco de las visitas realizadas, las cuales han sido canalizadas al Ministerio Público para su investigación, tanto en Asunción como en el interior del país.	institución seria que promueva ni proteja los derechos humanos. Se le critica su falta de iniciativa y la inefectividad de su funcionamiento. La Defensoría del Pueblo no cumple con el rol de mecanismo de monitore preventivo debido a la falta de capacidades requeridas y a un uso ineficiente de recursos. Además, no goza de la reputación de actor independiente y proactivo para la protección los derechos humanos que se precisa para llevar a cabo dicha función. Sin embargo, co organismo independiente, de acuerdo con las recomendaciones del SPT, la Defensoría del Pueblo tendría la posibilidad de ser más activa de visitar más a menudo los lugares de detención y mantener una base de datos de denuncias. No se descarta que, mediante reformas estructurales adecuadas, desarrollo capacidades del personal y campañas de concientización, pueda llegar a hacerlo en el futuro.
(i) Asegurar el derecho a la asistencia letrada desde el momento del arresto.	No se garantiza efectivamente.	2009: El Gobierno informó que se habían creado nuevas defensorías en el área penal, aunque aún no existía un número apropiado. El papel del defensor público es fundamental a la hora de defender los derechos de las personas con escasos recursos. El Poder Judicial intenta progresivamente crear nuevas defensorías para garantizar la asistencia letrada desde el momento del arresto, aunque debido a un problema presupuestario ha sido menos rápido de lo deseado.	2011: El Gobierno: El estado cuenta con un Órgano, el Ministerio de la Defensa Publica, que es una institución judicial compuesta por un equipo de profesionales abogados/as pagados por el Estado paraguayo para la defensa de las personas de escasos recursos económicos, ausentes, incapaces y menores o edad. En el presente año, esta Órgano ha alcanzado autonomía funcional y autarquía presupuestaria con la promulgación de la Ley N° 4423/11 "Ley Orgánica del Ministerio de Defensa Publica", sustrayéndose así de la administración de la Corte Suprema de Justic

Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se garantiza de manera eficaz. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que la garantía de una asistencia idónea se halla consignada en los siguientes	Igualmente, se informa que el presente año han sido nombrados 56 nuevos defensores públicos, que permitirán dar respuestas más efectivas y garantizar el derecho a la defensa a aquellas personas más vulnerables.
	 instrumentos: a. Constitución Nacional en su Artículos 12 "De la detención y del arresto", 17 "de los derechos procesales" b. Ley Nº 1286/98 Código de Procedimientos Penales, Articulo 6 « Inviolabilidad de la Defe nsa ». De esta manera, el derecho a la asistencia letrada desde el momento del arresto, está garantizado, y su incumplimiento puede ser sancionado, con la nulidad de dichos actos. El Ministerio de la Defensoría Pública, informó que conforme al Histórico de juicios atendidos en todo el país, las Defensorías Públicas del Fuero Penal han tramitado durante el 2007, 25.615. En el 2008 fueron tramitados 26556 casos. Durante el año 2009 hasta la fecha de presentar el informe (20/11/09) fueron atendidos 27.654 casos. En cuanto a Defensores asignados en lo Penal 51 Defensores, entre confirmados y nombrados. En el año 2008 se contaba con otros 18 Defensores en lo Penal, entre confirmados y nombrados. 	 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El derecho a recibir asesoramiento legal desde el momento del arresto, reconocido en la Constitución y en el Código de Proceso Penal, no se aplica a la práctica. La razón principal de la debilidad del Ministerio de la Defensa Pública es la falta de recursos económicos y humanos necesarios para llevar a cabo esta función de forma efectiva. El SPT informó en 2010 que la Suprema Corte solamente realiza visitas anuales a establecimientos penales que son "esencialmente de naturaleza formal y anunciadas con anticipación". Además, informó que los funcionarios no hablan directamente con los detenidos y que no verifican personalmente las condiciones de las cárceles. La Unidad de Supervisión Penitenciaria no lleva a cabo la totalidad de sus funciones por falta de recursos humanos y económicos. La dirección de la Unidad de Supervisión Penitenciaria confirmó la escasez de recursos pero informó que logran visitar aproximadamente 20 detenidos por semana. Mantienen entrevistas privadas con los detenidos, donde se examina cada caso
	(A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	 Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se garantiza de manera eficaz. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que la garantía de una asistencia idónea se halla consignada en los siguientes instrumentos: a. Constitución Nacional en su Artículos 12 "De la detención y del arresto", 17 "de los derechos procesales" b. Ley Nº 1286/98 Código de Procedimientos Penales, Articulo 6 « Inviolabilidad de la Defe nsa ». De esta manera, el derecho a la asistencia letrada desde el momento del arresto, está garantizado, y su incumplimiento puede ser sancionado, con la nulidad de dichos actos. El Ministerio de la Defensoría Pública, informó que conforme al Histórico de juicios atendidos en todo el país, las Defensorías Públicas del Fuero Penal han tramitado durante el 2007, 25.615. En el 2008 fueron tramitados 26556 casos. Durante el año 2009 hasta la fecha de presentar el informe (20/11/09) fueron atendidos 27.654 casos. En cuanto a Defensores, entre confirmados y nombrados.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		todo el país, de los cuales 94 corresponden a Defensoría del Fuero Penal, y 10 Defensores del Fuero Penal Adolescente. Existiendo a la fecha 41 vacancias. Para el año 2010 fueron solicitadas 56 nuevos cargos para Defensores Públicos. (Informe presentado por la Defensoría General en fecha 20 de noviembre del 2009 a la DDH de la CSJ)	cooperación del Ministerio de la Defensa Pública con colegios de abogados y otras asociaciones de sociedad civil. Debería considerarse el apoyo a detenidos por redes paralegales, como se da en otros países, especialmente hasta la aprobación de la ley de reforma. Parece también importante asegurar que el Ministerio de la Defensa Pública reciba un presupuesto apropiado.
		El Ministerio de la Defensoría Pública ha presentado un Anteproyecto de Ley ante el Congreso. El Anteproyecto cuenta con 99 artículos. Estableciendo la naturaleza, ubicación y misión del Ministerio de la Defensa Pública, la autonomía, autarquía y alcance de la misma. También establece los Principios Específicos que redirían a la Defensa Pública tales como, el interés prioritario, unidad de actuación, interés predominante del asistido, confidencialidad, intervención supletoria, competencia residual y la gratuidad. El Anteproyecto fue presentado ante la Cámara de Senadores hace tres años y luego fue retirado para ser presentado a la Cámara de Diputados y ya cuenta con los dictámenes de la Comisión de Legislación, Derechos Humanos, Constitucional y Justicia y	El proyecto de ley que establece la reforma de dicho Ministerio fue sancionado en ley en el Congreso de la Nación el jueves 25 de agosto. En la misma se prevé la total independencia del Ministerio de la Defensa Pública, el establecimiento de la carrera de defensor público, con diferentes categorías y salarios que se equiparen a los de jueces y fiscales.
(j) Capacitación, incluida sobre derechos humanos, para personal encargado de hacer cumplir la ley.		Trabajo. 2009: El Gobierno indicó que el Ministerio del Interior y la Dirección de Institutos Penales tienen previsto implementar cursos de capacitación en	2011: El Gobierno: La Policía Nacional a través del Instituto Superior de Educación Policial (ISEPOL), viene desarrollando dentro de sus distintas academias materias de derechos

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		derechos humanos. El Ministerio Público posee un Centro de Entrenamiento que tienen como objetivo capacitar para la función, incluyendo la capacitación en derechos humanos. Por su parte, en las Fuerzas Armadas de la Nación existe en Comandante de Institutos de Enseñanza del Ejército, quien ha implementado un programa de derechos humanos y derechos internacional humanitario, dictado a los diferentes niveles de formación del personal de las Fuerzas Armadas. Se han creado también: el Manual de Normas Humanitarias – Derechos Humanos y Derechos Internacional Humanitario en las Fuerzas Armadas, para su distribución al Personal de las Fuerzas Armadas; el Programa Patrón de Enseñanza sobre DDHH y DIH; y la Guía del Soldado, donde se hace referencia a cómo proceder en caso de violaciones a los derechos humanos, las instituciones encargadas de recibir denuncias, sus direcciones y teléfonos. Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se garantiza en su totalidad la capacitación en derechos humanos del personal encargado de hacer cumplir la ley. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que el Viceministerio de Justicia y Derecho Humanos ha llevado adelante varias actividades de transferencias de buenas prácticas Argentina-Paraguay, con cooperación Técnica de la Conferencia de Ministros de Justicia de Iberoamérica	humanos. A continuación el Gobierno da una descripción general de las materias y las programas y su respectiva duración en horas. Asimismo, el Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo ha realizado capacitaciones puntuales al personal. Los señores Directores han asistido a charlas mensuales sobre la gestión penitenciaria y Derechos Humanos. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Otra dificultad identificada repetidamente como fuente de impunidad en el país es la falta de calificación para llevar a cabo investigaciones efectivas y la falta de conciencia de derechos humanos. Es importante que los oficiales de policía sepan el alcance de la prohibición de la tortura y malos tratos y sepan cómo proceder frente al abuso policial. Los oficiales que investigan abusos de derechos humanos por parte de la policía deben recibir capacitación específica para el cumplimiento de su compleja tarea. El Ministerio del Interior dejó claro que una de sus prioridades es fortalecer las capacidades de los oficiales de policía. De acuerdo con una resolución de setiembre de 2009, la Policía Nacional creó un Departamento de Derechos Humanos, que además de inspeccionar y evaluar la infraestructura de las celdas de comisarías y llevar a cabo investigaciones en casos de desalojos ordenados por la justicia, incluye entre sus funciones la de capacitar en derechos humanos. El año pasado, el CICR y la Academia de Policía capacitaron más de 117 instructores en derechos humanos. Sin embargo, los talleres son básicos y cortos.

נדר	Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
			(COMJIB). En lo que atañe a la capacitación, se realizó una serie de talleres destinados a funcionarios y profesionales del Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo, en tópicos de carácter multidisciplinario todos ellos transversalizando derechos humanos, dichos talleres fueron realizados tanto en Argentina como en Paraguay, y como metodología de trabajo se utilizó la capacitación de capacitadores, utilizando una penitenciaría que según el cronograma de trabajo y por los resultados obtenidos, sería recurrida como modelo a replicar de forma progresiva en las demás penitenciarías a partir del 2011. Otro trabajo a destacar es el realizado con la ONG DNI Paraguay en el mismo sentido, al igual que los cursos dictados por el Servicio Nacional de Promoción Profesional (SNPP) en las áreas: formación humana, relaciones públicas y seguridad penitenciaria mínima.	Humanos del Ministerio Público, con tres fiscales apoyados por un equipo, son actualmente muy limitadas. Además, aparentemente no hay un procedimiento interno específico a ser aplicado en investigaciones de tortura. La Unidad se enfoca en fortalecer las capacidades de los fiscales mediante capacitaciones continuas en temas de derechos humanos. El alcance de las actividades de esta Unidad aún no ha sido determinado con exactitud, y podría ser expandido dependiendo de las necesidades. El Ministerio Público también creó un centro de capacitación donde cada año aproximadamente 3.000 funcionarios son capacitados, inclusive en temas de derechos humanos. Desde la creación de la Unidad de Derechos Humanos del Ministerio Público, se trabajó conjuntamente con el centro de capacitación para desarrollar la capacitación en derechos humanos. Se mostraron muy dispuestos a aumentar esfuerzos por desarrollar capacidades para fortalecer la prevención de la tortura.
			El Ministerio de Defensa Nacional y las Fuerzas Armadas, informan que las labores de capacitación ejecutadas para prevenir y sancionar actos de tortura a los SSOO de las FFAANN, se resumen en actividades de Promoción, Difusión y Educación, con la específica tarea de elaborar y desarrollar programas de prevención y difusión en materia de derechos humanos en los ámbitos educativos y de entrenamiento dentro de las Fuerzas Armadas (Centros de Enseñanza, Centros de Entrenamiento,	En conclusión, como también lo señaló la Defensoría del Pueblo y lo confirmaron las autoridades de Gobierno, los oficiales policiales y los fiscales todavía precisan desarrollar sus capacidades individuales mediante una extensa y profunda capacitación en derechos humanos y la prevención de la tortura. Asimismo, la mayoría de los jueces no tiene capacitación adecuada en derechos humanos y hay poca conciencia de lo que significa la tortura y de cómo combatirla.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Cursos de Formación, Cursos de Ascenso, Cursos de Perfeccionamiento y Otros). En ese sentido, se ha implementado campañas de Promoción y Educación al Personal Militar en las temáticas de los Derechos Humanos y el Derecho Internacional Humanitario. El resultado que han tenido estos programas de formación citados más arriba en materia de prohibición de la tortura ha sido muy satisfactorio y esto se ha podido constatar cuando el Personal Militar se encuentran cumpliendo tareas en Apoyo a la Policía Nacional cumpliendo a cabalidad la Ley previniendo la tortura y los malos tratos; al efecto no se ha denunciado ningún caso contra la tortura, durante estas	
		tareas contra el Personal Militar. La capacitación en el Ministerio Público incluye a los derechos humanos, para personal encargado de hacer cumplir la ley, solo se podrá dar respuesta con referencia a los funcionarios dependientes del Ministerio Público, no así con respecto a oficiales de la Policía o miembros de las Fuerzas Armadas cuya cuestión deberá ser requerida a los estamentos correspondientes. El Ministerio Público a través del Centro de Entrenamiento realiza constantes cursos y seminarios dirigidos especialmente a los Agentes Fiscales de la Unidades Especializadas en Derechos Humanos, así como de funcionarios que forman parte de las Unidades Penales citadas, donde se tratan las cuestiones	

₽
H
R
2
9
6
A
d
0

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportad
		estipuladas en la convención contra la Tortura y otros tratos o penas crueles, inhumanos y degradantes, su alcance y obligaciones; así como referentes a otros instrumentos de carácter internacional en materia de Derechos Humanos.	
		Además a través del Centro de Entrenamiento ha desarrollado acciones en materia de Derechos Humanos desde el año 2002, entre las cuales se mencionan: "talleres de definición de Políticas para el tratamiento de las Denuncias de casos de violación de DDHH, que ingresan al Ministerio Público (año 2002). "Difusión de derechos de Víctimas e Imputados" (año 2004), Edición del "Manual práctico de investigación en casos de tortura", el cual se enfoca específicamente en la investigación que debe ser desplegada por el agente fiscal ante el conocimiento de hechos relacionados con la tortura, entre las que se distinguen: actos de investigación, tratamiento y entrevista a las víctimas, métodos para identificar testigos, intervención del Médico Forense, pedidos de informes, así como el dibujo de ejecución, que constituye un instrumento para planificar la investigación (incorporado por el Centro de Entrenamiento en la Malla Curricular). De igual modo, se está desarrollando la capacitación de Agentes Fiscales de Unidades Penales ordinarias y especializadas, a Asistentes Fiscales y funcionarios de áreas técnicas de apoyo de todo el país, en técnicas de	

Recomendación A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		entrevistas. La formación es continuada de Agentes Fiscales y funcionarios del Ministerio Público, se fortalece con la participación en actividades internacionales, generalmente estas capacitaciones se realizan en el ámbito de la cooperación internacional (AECID, RECAMPI, AIAMP, USAID, entre otros).	
k)Inadmisibilidad de confesiones realizadas por personas arrestadas sin la presencia de un abogado.	No se garantiza efectivamente la prohibición constitucional.	 Fuentes no gubernamentales 2009: Se mantiene la misma situación. Gobierno: el Gobierno informó que en la Ley Nº 1286/98 Código de Procedimientos Penales, señala en el Artículo 6, Inviolabilidad de la Defensa. "Será inviolable la defensa del imputado y el ejercicio de sus derechos", también se contempla que "El derecho a la defensa es irrenunciable y su violación producirá la nulidad absoluta de las actuaciones a partir del momento en que se realice".El artículo citado precedentemente sanciona con la nulidad todo acto que se produzca en violación del mismo y trae como consecuencia la nulidad de todos los actos realizados como consecuencias del mismo. Por otra parte, en la misma ley establece en su Art. 90. Restricciones a la Policía. "La Policía no podrá tomar declaración indagatoria al imputado.". Y en el Art. 96 del mismo cuerpo legal se habla sobre la valoración y dice: "La	2011: El Gobierno cuenta con las siguientes Leyes: la Ley 1.500/99" Que reglamenta la garantía Constitucional del Habeas Corpus" y la Acordada N° 83/98 "Acceso a la Defensa Pública". Declaraciones en sede policial: en su artículo 90 que establece que "La Policía no podrá tomar declaración indagatoria al imputado". Y en su artículo 96 sostiene que "La inobservancia de los preceptos relativos a la declaración del imputado impedirán que se la utilice en su contra, aun cuando el haya dado su consentimiento para infringir alguna regla o para utilizar su declaración. Las inobservancias meramente formales serán corregidas durante el acto o con posterioridad a él. Al valorar el acto, el juez, apreciara la calidad de estas inobservancias, para determinar si procederá" Con estas disposiciones legales cualquier inobservancia formal puede subsanarse y cuando la misma viola una garantía puede acarrear la nulidad de la declaración, por lo que existen mecanismos légale para erradicar practicas inadecuadas que puedan violar los derechos de las personas en situación de detención o arresto.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportad
		inobservancia de los preceptos relativos a la declaración del imputado impedirán que se la utilice en su contra, aún cuando él haya dado su consentimiento para infringir alguna regla o para utilizar su declaración. Las inobservancias meramente formales serán corregida durante el acto o con posterioridad a él. Al valorar el acto, el juez, apreciará la calidad de estas inobservancias, para determinar si procederá". Con estas disposiciones legales cualquier inobservancia formal puede subsanarse y cuando la misma viola una garantía puede acarrear la nulidad de los mismos, por lo que existen mecanismos legales para erradicar practicas inadecuadas que puedan violar los derechos de las personas en situación de detención o arresto.	de sus casos. Se declaró que muchos detenio directamente no reciben la visita de sus defensores cuando están detenidos, sino que
		Los Juzgados no cuentan con un sistema que pueda indicar o individualizar cuales han sido las actuaciones de los Jueces en ocasión de presentarse tales hechos. En entrevistas mantenidas con los Jueces Penales de Garantías, no registran casos en los cuales el procesado haya declarado sin la presencia de su abogado. Señalan que las actuaciones que llegan hasta ellos siempre han sido escritas y en las mismas no existe constancia de tales hechos por lo que, sin pruebas concretas de que tales violaciones se han realizado no pueden emitir resoluciones contrarias a las mismas.	solamente entran en contacto con ellos dura el proceso judicial. Esto tiene como consecuencia detenciones prolongadas y arbitrarias y que los detenidos queden "perdidos en la prisión preventiva".

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(l) Exámenes médicos realizados por profesionales médicos calificados.	Descuido general en exámenes.	 2009: El Gobierno indicó que, ante una denuncia por hecho punible de maltrato o tortura, el examen médico es realizado por un médico forense que dictamina dentro del proceso penal. Fuentes no gubernamentales: Los exámenes médicos son realizados por profesionales de la materia. 	 2011: El Gobierno informó que los médicos forenses que toman intervención en los casos que tengan relación a la vida y la integridad de las personas, han recibido capacitación al respecto, la cual será fortalecida en el marco del plan de capacitación 2012 del Centro de Entrenamiento del Ministerio Publico. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Los médicos y otros funcionarios de la salud no solamente juegan un papel importante en brindarle atención médica a los detenidos, sino también en la detección y documentación de casos de tortura, presentando la información correspondiente ante las autoridades responsables. Sin embargo, a menudo no se realizan exámenes médicos en las comisarías y hay una cantidad insuficiente de expertos forenses en el país.
(m) Mantener registros exacto	S	2009: El Gobierno informó que el	La falta de expertos forenses tiene como consecuencia una falta de controles para detectar abusos por parte de funcionarios oficiales, y finalmente tiene como resultado una falta de evidencia en casos de tortura presentados por las víctimas. Por lo tanto, para poder prevenir la tortura, es necesario aumentar significativamente la cantidad de expertos forenses que lleven a cabo exámenes en denuncias de tortura y el personal de la salud debe recibir capacitación sobre cómo detectar señales de tortura de acuerdo con el Protocolo de Estambul, y sobre su obligación de intervenir en casos donde un detenido muestra señales de tortura. 2011: El Gobierno: El Ministerio del Interior a

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
de los detenidos.		 Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo posee un registro dominado "Parte Diario" donde consta con exactitud la cantidad de personas privadas de libertad en los Centros Penitenciarios, al igual que Comisarías de toda la República. Fuentes no gubernamentales: En cierta medida se registra a los detenidos, aunque esta práctica no se realiza de manera sistemática. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que el Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo, mantiene constantemente actualizada una base de datos penitenciaria en la que consta información pormenorizada y desagregada por cada establecimiento penitenciario, capacidad poblacional, cantidad total guardias e internos, con individualización por sexo, edad, etnia y estado procesal (condenados, o procesados). 	través de la policía Nacional dispuso por Resolución N° 176/10, un registro de las personas privadas de libertad en comisarías, e prevención y respeto a los Derechos Humano Otro mecanismo desarrollado lo constituye el control de los informes elevados por la Dirección de Orden y Seguridad de la PN, acerca de las personas detenidas, la edad de la detenidos, la razón de la detención, elementos que sirven por un lado para subsanar aquellas detenciones no ajustadas a derechos y por otr como un mecanismo de incidencia a los juece para que los mismos no utilicen estas dependencias como cárceles. En la línea de fortalecimiento del trabajo policial con la finalidad de brindar una herramienta práctica que a su vez se constituya en un mecanismo o supervisión y control. En materia de cárceles, todo ciudadana/o que sea remitido a una penitenciaria es "fichado" y la Administració cuenta con archivos individuales de cada interna/o. esta información se traduce en un informe de movimiento resumido en un PARTE DIARIO que la Dirección General de Establecimientos Penitenciarios socializa diariamente con referentes de los Tres Podere del Estado, además de las autoridades ministeriales.

2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Departamento de Derechos Humanos de la Policía declaró que han habido mejoras en esta área, y que actualmente todo el país está equipado con un sistema de registros estándar. Sin embargo, a pesar de haber varios registros de casos relacionados a tortura, durante las últimas décadas se aplicaron muy

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(n) Designar un mecanismo nacional preventivo.		 2009: El Gobierno señaló que el Proyecto de Ley del Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención (MNP) contra la tortura y otros tratos o penas crueles, inhumanos o degradantes se encontraba en estudio en el Congreso Nacional. Fuentes no gubernamentales: Sigue en el Congreso el proyecto para la creación del Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención de la Tortura. Gobierno: El Proyecto de Ley aprobado por la Honorable Cámara de Senadores en sesión extraordinaria de fecha 21 de septiembre de 2010 y remitido con mensaje N° 792 de fecha 27 de septiembre de 2010, el Proyecto de Ley « del Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención contra la tortura y otros tratos o penas crueles inhumanos o degradantes ». Actualmente es analizado en la Honorable Cámara de Diputados, por las Comisiones de Derechos Humanos, Asuntos Constitucionales, Legislación y Codificación y la de Justicia, Trabajo y Previsión Social, para su correspondiente dictamen. 	 pocas sanciones administrativas. Las dos principales razones de esta inefectividad de los mecanismos de investigación de la Policía Nacional son la falta de independencia de los oficiales policiales que llevan a cabo las investigaciones de mala conducta, y su falta de capacitación y educación. 2011: El Gobierno: El Estado paraguayo, por Ley N° 4.288/11 del 20 de abril de 2011, aprobó el denominado mecanismo nacional de prevención contra la tortura y otros tratos o penas crueles e inhumanos o degradantes. Como institución nueva, el "Mecanismo Nacional", es un ente autárquico con personería jurídica de derecho público, creado por esta Ley para reforzar y colaborar con la protección de las personas privadas de su libertad contra todo tipo de trato o penas prohibidas por nuestra legislación vigente y las normas internacionales que rigen la materia, así como para prevenir y procurar la erradicación de la tortura y otros tratos o penas crueles, inhumanos o degradantes. Considerando su reciente creación, se ha constituido una Comisión Ad Hoc abocada a la planificación de las necesidades presupuestarias para su implementación. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Si bien Paraguay ratificó el OPCAT en diciembre de 2005, la ley recién fue aprobada por el poder legislativo el 8 de marzo de 2011 y promulgada el 20 de abril del mismo año. La ley prevé el establecimiento de un nuevo organismo autárquico llamado "Comisión Nacional para la Prevención de la Tortura" (Comisión Nacional) que estará a cargo de dirigir y representar al

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información nacibida en al novie de verentes
(A/HRC///S/Add.5)	(A/HRC///S/Add.5)	A/HRC/10/32/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportad Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención (MNP)
			La relación entre la Comisión, los escabinos, las organizaciones de socieda civil y los oficiales del Estado no está completamente clara. En particular, la ley n determina en detalles cómo funciona la cooperación con organizaciones de sociedad civil, lo cual puede crear conflictos dentro d MNP y entre organizaciones de sociedad ci como sucedió en otros países. Particularmen no se establece cómo se elegirán las organizaciones de sociedad civil del Comité Selección ni aquellas que cooperarán con el MNP. Además la ley no especifica el rol de oficiales del Estado, y eso podría representa riesgo por la independencia del MNP. La le no establece la experiencia o antecedentes profesionales específicos que deben tener lo miembros del MNP, sino que simplemente requiere "experiencia en aquellas áreas necesarias para cumplir con el Protocolo". Además, no se especifican en detalle los métodos de trabajo del MNP, por ejemplo: metodología de visitas, composición de delegaciones a cargo de las visitas y el pape las organizaciones de sociedad civil, distribución de trabajo dentro del MNP, derechos y responsabilidades de los miembro del MNP y expertos de la sociedad civil en redacción de informes y recomendaciones y proceso de destitución de miembros del MNP Por lo tanto, debe considerarse la utilidad de regular algunos puntos de la ley en reglame internos de procedimiento y desarrollar un manual de monitoreo que explique en detall metodología de trabajo.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
			Por último, no queda claro cuándo entrará en funcionamiento el MNP. No se le asignó presupuesto en el 2011 y por ende deberá esperar a la aprobación general de presupuesto para el 2012. Es importante calcular los costos mínimos del futuro MNP y defender la asignación de un presupuesto adecuado que garantice un funcionamiento efectivo. Mientra: que no se apruebe el presupuesto, se pueden ir adelantando los pasos preparatorios como ser selección de miembros, contratación de personal y elaboración de procedimientos internos, para que el MNP entre en funcionamiento cuanto antes. En este sentido, el MNP debe tomar en cuenta las funciones, experiencia y conocimientos adquiridos por las comisiones interinstitucionales y otros mecanismos de monitoreo existentes. En conclusión, el MNP como lo regula la ley cumple con los requisitos mínimos del OPCAT y en lo que respecta a la cooperación integral con la sociedad civil podría ser considerado un modelo a seguir. Sin embargo, algunos puntos, como la composición/configuración institucional y los derechos y responsabilidade de los diferentes actores, el proceso de selección de miembros, la garantía de calificaciones y pluralismo, todavía están abiertos y precisan ser tratados y posiblemente requieran reglamentación para prevenir futuros conflictos. Además, el modelo ambicioso elegido en Paraguay debe estar acompañado de un presupuesto adecuado. Es necesario realizar una evaluación de las necesidades del futuro MNP para poder defender una financiación adecuada. Por último, deberían evaluarse las necesidades de

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
<u>A/HRC///3/Add.3)</u>	(A/HRC///3/Add.3)	siendo una tarea pendiente del Estado. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que el Viceministerio de Justicia y Derechos Humanos, las medidas que han sido adoptadas para mejorar las condiciones carcelarias en materia sanitaria y de higiene suponen principalmente fuertes inversiones del Estado en construcción y reacondicionamiento de la	 Información recibida en el periodo reportado el Departamento de Asuntos Internos y la Dirección de Justicia Policial no actúan preventivamente sino reactivamente, ante la recepción de una denuncia. Todos los mecanismos mencionados son internos de la policía y por ende no son independientes. Además, los mecanismos parecen tener un bajo nivel de visibilidad y poca cooperación y contacto con organizaciones de sociedad civil. El Departamento de Derechos Humanos de la Policía Nacional ha declarado encontrarse en una situación difícil, criticado tanto internamente como por la sociedad civil, y ha expresado su necesidad y voluntad de cooperar con la sociedad civil para fortalecer su rol. Paraguay tiene tres comisiones interinstitucionales dedicadas a visitar y monitorear cárceles, centros de detención de menores y barracas militares. No hay, sin embargo, comisiones establecidas para la visita de comisarías, donde se dan más comúnmente casos de tortura, ni para instituciones psiquiátricas. Las comisiones están configuradas como instituciones ad hoc. No tienen bases legales sólidas ni financiación independiente, lo cual afecta su funcionamiento. De acuerdo con fuentes no gubernamentales, la comisión interinstitucional para cárceles está actualmente inactiva. Durante el tiempo en que estuvo activa, se la describió como muy burocrática e inflexible, llevando a cabo solamente visitas anunciadas durante horarios de trabajo normales.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reporta
		La Comisión Interinstitucional de Visitas a Centros Penitenciarios: La Defensoría del Pueblo forma parte de la Comisión de Visitas a Centros Penitenciarios conformada por la Comisión de Derechos Humanos de la Honorable Cámara de Senadores, desde el año 2004. Dicha Comisión, se halla conformada por representantes de las siguientes Instituciones: Corte Suprema de Justicia, Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo, Ministerio Público, Ministerio de la Defensa Pública, Defensoría del Pueblo, Organizaciones no Gubernamentales tales como: Raíces, Coordinadora de Derechos Humanos del Paraguay (CODEHUPY), Instituto de Estudios Comparados en Ciencias Penales y Sociales (INECIP) así como representantes del Sindicato de Funcionarios de la Penitenciaría Nacional. Con respecto a ello, cada año se realizan las visitas desde su creación a fin de realizar las recomendaciones pertinentes para el mejoramiento del sistema penitenciario del país. La Comisión Interinstitucional de Visita a Centros de Reclusión de Adolescentes se encuentra integrada por las siguientes instituciones: Defensoría del Pueblo, Ministerio del Interior, UNICEF, la Dirección de Derechos Humanos de la Corte, la Dirección de Derechos Humanos del Ministerio Publico, Representantes de la Defensoría Pública, Ministerio del Justicia y Trabajo, Secretaria de la Niñez y Adolescencia y la O.N.G, RONDAS. En virtud de las	detención de menores se creó como reacció caso "Panchito López" presentado ante la O Interamericana de Derechos Humanos. Seg se informa, la comisión lleva a cabo dos visitas por año en diferente regiones del país, durante las cuales hablan privado con los detenidos. Si bien se dijo q sus informes son específicos, con fotos y acusaciones directas, no son públicos y sól pueden recibirse mediante solicitud. La Comisión no es independiente institucionalmente, ya que es coordinada p Departamento de Derechos Humanos del Ministerio de Justicia. Además, los miemb de entidades estatales no son ni funcionalm ni personalmente independientes. De acuer con las declaraciones, la cooperación entre sociedad civil y representantes del estado funcionó bien y sirvió para que la Comisió tuviese acceso a los centros de detención. S embargo, la posibilidad de realizar visitas anunciadas podría verse comprometida por preocupaciones con respecto a potenciales conflictos de interés. Debido a la falta de fondos, la Comisión no es muy activa y no permite la participación de expertos de la sociedad civil. Se habló también de que de a que no tiene sitio web y no publica sus informes y recomendaciones tiene muy por visibilidad social. La comisión interinstitucional para barraca militares no está activa actualmente. Segúr fuentes no gubernamentales, el Ministerio Defensa se ha negado a cooperar con la sociedad civil desde el cambio de gobierno 2008.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		visitas realizadas por la Comisión cada año se realizan las recomendaciones pertinentes para el mejoramiento del sistema penal adolescente. La Defensoría del Pueblo ve con principal preocupación que no se respetan las garantías procesales de las personas privadas de libertad lo cual se pudo constar a raíz de las visitas a centros de detención que se realiza con periodicidad, así también, en conjunto con la Comisión Interinstitucional de visita de monitoreo a centros de reclusión. Funcionarios de ésta Defensoría han podido constatar que la mayoría de las personas que se encuentran detenidas en las comisarías superan el plazo máximo legal establecido, vulnerándose de esta manera sus derechos procesales y humanos teniendo en cuenta que las mismas no cuentan con infraestructura y menos con medios ni recursos básicos para alojar a detenidos en condiciones que respeten sus derechos y dignidad humana. Para dicho efecto la Defensoría del Pueblo había presentado un Habeas Corpus Reparador lo cual no pudo prosperar ya que el mismo fue rechazado sin fundamento lógico por parte del Juez (Información referida por el Departamento de Privados de Libertad de la Defensoría. El procedimiento utilizado cuando recibimos denuncias de Tortura es el siguiente: por un lado recibimos la denuncia, luego nos entrevistamos con la persona que se encuentra privada de su	

por falta de mérito. Sin embargo, el sistema judicial es percibido como un actor débil en la lucha y la prevención de la tortura. De acuerdo con declaraciones, el sistema judicial no

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(<i>A/HRC/7/3/Add.3</i>) (p) Limitar el recurso a la detención preventiva.	Uso casi exclusivo.	libertad, la cual alega que resultó víctima de un supuesto hecho de tortura a fin de escucharlo, para luego canalizar la denuncia al Ministerio Público a través de sus unidades especializadas sobre derechos humanos, lamentablemente muchas de las denuncias de tortura investigadas no han sido finiquitadas, es decir, los presuntos responsables no han sido condenados. Fuentes no gubernamentales 2009: Se sigue utilizando este mecanismo. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que la aplicación y limitación del recurso de la prisión preventiva compete exclusivamente al Poder Judicial. Sin embargo, es relevante destacar que del total de la población penitenciaria recluida en los diferentes establecimientos del país, un 70% de la misma se encuentra privada de libertad bajo la figura de la prisión preventiva, y sólo el 30 % restante posee condena firme y ejecutoriada.	2011: El Gobierno informó que la Corte Suprema de Justicia, ha aprobado en el mes d octubre su Plan Estratégico 2011-2015, donde se establecen líneas de acción y estrategias concretas tendientes a la disminución de la mora judicial. Así mismo, con el fortalecimiento del Ministerio de la Defensa Publica a través de la implementación de la nueva ley orgánica permitirá contar con un número importante de defensores públicos qu puedan impulsar la mayor agilidad de los procesos y así podrá limitarse el recurso de la detención preventiva a los casos necesarios.
			2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: La Constitución (art. 12.5) y el Código Procesal Penal (art. 240), garantizan que la persona detenida tiene derecho a ser puesta, en un plaz no mayor de 24 horas, a disposición del juez competente para que este resuelva sobre la procedencia de la prisión preventiva, aplique

Recomendación Situación durante (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3) (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
			funciona de manera efectiva, llevando a excesivos períodos de prisión preventiva y largos procesos judiciales. Además, se declaró que los jueces aplican la prisión preventiva como regla y no como excepción, en parte por falta de conocimiento de las alternativas existentes.
(q) Atender las necesidades básicas de los detenidos.		Fuentes no gubernamentales 2009: No se cumple a cabalidad. Gobierno : El Gobierno informó que se ha fortalecido el sistema de atención a la salud, de los detenidos en las penitenciarías. Se ha perfeccionado el método de gestión de fichas médicas de todos los privados de libertad; así mismo, se han implementado medidas preventivas contra la gripe AH1N1 y el dengue. Se ha llevado adelante un barrido sanitario para la detección de enfermedades más frecuentes (VIH, TBC y otras); se ejecutaron programas de vacunaciones y cursos a internos para promotores de salud. El primer censo penitenciario permitió contar con un perfil más acabado de las personas privadas de libertad, a fin de diseñar políticas, planes y proyectos destinados a su readaptación integral y	 2011: El Gobierno: En materia de Salud, se ha articulado de manera más eficiente con el Ministerio de Salud Pública y Bienestar Social, los Programas de atención a TB y VIH, en el sentido de coordinar los recursos con los del Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo para ofrecer una cobertura más eficaz. En Ciudad del Este se ha ejecutado un testeo del 100% de la populación para detectar TB, VIH, VDRL. En materia de Educación, se persigue el analfabetismo "0" en los penales, para lo cual ano tras ano se va aumentando la matricula en los Centros Educativos que funcionan en todos y cada uno de los Penales con profesores del Ministerio de Educación y Cultura. En lo respecta al TRABAJO: se han hecho CAPACITACIONES PARA EL TRABAJO. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se cumple en su totalidad debido a la escasez de recursos.
(r) Erradicar la corrupción en el Corrupción endén sistema penitenciario y de justicia penal.	nica.	reinserción socio laboral. Fuentes no gubernamentales 2009: Sigue persistiendo la corrupción dentro del sistema penitenciario y de justicia penal. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que el Viceministerio de Justicia y Derechos	2011: El Gobierno informó que la Dirección de Transparencia y Lucha contra la Corrupción, dependiente del Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo, ha establecido mecanismos de control en dicho ámbito, concentrando sus actividades, en principio, en la Penitenciaría Nacional de

l	₽
	Ħ
	Ω
	E
	×
	51
	\mathbf{A}
	ġ.
l	
	<u>u</u>

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
			Tacumbu, con el objeto de consolidar un sistema efectivo que pueda ser replicado posteriormente con éxito en los demás establecimientos penitenciarios. Así, a fin de hacer frente a esta problemática, se ha hecho una fuerte inversión en HARDWARE para la instalación de nuevos sistemas de seguridad en los penales, especialmente en el Penal de Tacumu, así como revisiones más exhaustivas de las visitas; asimismo se insta a los familiares de los internos a que se acerquen a denunciar los casos de corrupción, en varias oportunidades mediante la colaboración de los mismos se ha adoptado una estrategia específica para lograr descubrir el hecho y aplicar una sanción que corresponda a los responsables, siempre salvaguardando la identidad de los denunciantes. Como medida indirecta, se ha firmado un nuevo contrato colectivo de condiciones de trabajo con los sindicatos de funcionarios mejorando substancialmente las remuneraciones y prestaciones a los guardias cárceles. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: La corrupción es un problema muy serio, tanto en la policía, la fiscalía y en el sistema judicial. Según se informó, la corrupción en el sistema judicial sigue siendo un gran problema, en especial en áreas rurales. Sin embargo, actualmente hay varias iniciativas anticorrupción en el país y se creó un Código de Ética para Jueces, que resultó en la sanción de tres jueces, por estar involucrados con partidos políticos. También se creó un Departamento de Derechos Humanos de la

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		de Sumario (Informe de Gestión/2008, pág 17 y 18). Durante el 2007 fueron remitidos a la CSJ, 714 dictámenes, en comparación a los 598 del 2006 y los 471 del 2005 (Informe de Gestión/2007, pág 22).	Suprema Corte, que asesora al sistema judicial en temas de derechos humanos, desarrolla material y recopila leyes, en un esfuerzo por aumentar la eficiencia del poder judicial.
		La Corte Suprema de Justicia, asumió el compromiso de combatir la corrupción dentro del marco del Programa Umbral del Milenio. Es así que desde enero de 2006 se ha conformado la Oficina de Ética Judicial, tras la aprobación en Octubre de 2005 del Código de Ética Judicial. Esta Oficina sirve de soporte técnico, procesal y administrativo a todo el sistema de ética judicial, sirviendo asimismo de apoyo a los principales órganos: el Tribunal de Ética Judicial y el Consejo Consultivo, con el área de denuncias y de consultas. El Sistema de Ética Judicial tiene por objeto promover los niveles de calidad y probidad en la función jurisdiccional. En este contexto conforme a lo dispuesto en el Art. 10 numeral 3 del Código de Ética Judicial, durante el 2007, se culminó el proceso de suspensión temporal de afiliaciones partidarias de los magistrados, a fin de garantizar la independencia de los integrantes del Poder Judicial (Informe de Gestión/2007, pág 24). El Tribunal de Ética y Consejo Consultivo ha tramitado en el 2006 un total de 26 casos, en el 2007 fueron 26 casos, en el 2008 se tramitaron 77 y hasta agosto del 2009, fueron tramitados 33 procesos de responsabilidad ética (Informe de	

E
R
2
19
6
1
A
ld
i.

Recomendación	Situación durante la visita	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 ,	
(A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	(A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportad
()	()	Gestión/2008, pág 36).	
		Es importante señalar que la Oficina de	
		Ética Judicial desde sus inicios se ha	
		dedicado, además de tramitar los casos	
		consultados y denunciados a difundir y	
		capacitar sobre temas relacionados a la	
		Ética profesional y principalmente	
		referente al área judicial	
		(www.pj.gov.py/etica_presentación.asp;	
		www.pj.gov.py/etica_documentos.asp).	
		En el informe elaborado por la	
		CODEHUPY en el informe de 2007, se	
		comenta sobre su labor y que pesar de la	
		desconfianza hacia este tribunal a inicios	
		de su funcionamiento, sus decisiones	
		contribuyeron a fortalecer la imagen de	
		independencia del Poder Judicial y	
		"viene demostrando su capacidad de	
		independencia y controversia con la misma." (Derechos Humanos en	
		Paraguay 2007, pag 129). En el 2008,	
		se destaca la labor llevada a cabo por La	
		Oficina de Ética del Poder Judicial que	
		inició en mayo del 2.008 una campaña	
		contra la coima con el objeto de	
		"erradicar y suprimir" conductas	
		consideradas dañinas para el Poder	
		Judicial, a través de programas y	
		compañas. "La Oficina de Ética Recibió	
		el reconocimiento de la sociedad por sus	
		iniciativas y trabajo en el fortalecimiento	
		del Sistema de Justicia" (Derechos	
		Humanos en Paraguay 2008, pag 170).	
		Al mismo tiempo por Acordada Nº	
		478/07 se creó la Dirección de Auditoria	
		Gestión Jurisdiccional, como	

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
<u>A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)</u>	(A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) mecanismos contra la lucha contra la corrupción, (dentro del Programa Umbral) cuyo objetivo es" verificar que en la gestión de los Juzgados auditados se logre una ordenada y eficiente tramitación de los juicios y el pronunciamiento de los Fallos en términos d ley, así como del cumplimiento de los deberes, obligaciones, responsabilidades y prohibiciones a cargo de las diferentes autoridades, funcionarios y auxiliares de justicia, encargados de administrar justicia en todas las circunscripciones del país" (Informe de Gestión de la Dirección General de Auditoría de Gestión Judicial del 18711/2009, pág.1). En el 2007 fueron realizadas 65 auditorías. (Informe de Gestión/2007, pág. 23). En el 2008 fueron llevadas a cabo diversas Auditorías de Campo Programadas en las Circunscripciones de Capital, Central, Itapúa, Alto Paraná, Boquerón, Saltos del Guairá y Cordillera cuyo resultado abarca en Auditorias de Campo Programadas que abarcó 2 Juzgados de Paz, 59 Juzgados de Primera Instancia, 4 Tribunales de Apelación y 2 Oficinas de Apoyo, siendo un total de un total de 67 Auditorías. Durante el año 2009 fueron llevadas a cabo las Auditorías de Campo y Giras Programadas en 26 Juzgado de Paz, 104 Juzgados de Primera Instancia, 18 Tribunales de Apelación, 75 Defensorías Públicas y 4 Oficinas de Apoyo, resultando un total de 227 Auditorias, que abarcaron las diversas	

₽
H
R
Ξ
/9/
61
A
dd
i.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		circunscripciones de la República (Capita, Central, Concepción, Ñeembucu, Caaguazú, Guairá, Paraguari,, Cordillera, Alto Parará, Caazapá y Saltos del Guirá (Informe de Gestión de la Dirección General de Auditoría de Gestión Judicial del 18/11/2009, pág. 3 al 8).	
		Por otra parte se dispuso la Reingeniería del Presupuesto para mayor eficacia y transparencia, a fin de visualizar y sobre todo trasparentar la utilización de los Fondos del Estado. Este proceso contó con la asistencia técnica de USAID. La Corte Suprema de Justicia ha mantenido en el 2008 la política de transparencia y eficacia en torno a la ejecución presupuestaria a fin de optimizar los gastos públicos para un mejor servicio. Gracias a la ampliación presupuestaria se logró la creación de estructuras del Tribunal de Apelación, Juzgados de Primera Instancia y de Paz en distintas localidades del País, se crearon 27 Defensorías Públicas en los distintos fueros. (Informe de Gestión/2008, pág. 50 y 51).	
(s) Separación de presos en prisión preventiva y los convictos/ separación de menores y adultos.	No existe separación efectiva.		2011: El Gobierno: En materia de población carcelaria, se tiene en vista censarla e insistir la celeridad de los procesos para llegar a una relación 60/40 procesados/condenados. A fina del 2010 fue 65/35, a hoy es 72/28.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(t) Empleo de suficiente personal de prisiones.		preventiva y los condenados. La excepción se da en ciertos y determinados establecimientos por las limitaciones de su infraestructura edilicia, pero son casos aislados. En cuanto a la separación de menores y adultos existen a la fecha 6 Centros y 2 áreas de menores en las diferentes penitenciarías regionales, con lo cual se cumple con el objetivo de mantener separadas las poblaciones de internos según su franja atarea; los Centros Educativos y Área de menores se encuentran a cargo del Servicio Nacional de Adolescentes Infractores (SENAI). Fuentes no gubernamentales 2009: No hay suficiente personal penitenciario en todas las penitenciarías.	(2011: El Gobierno: La estadística es difícil de interpretar)
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que si bien no se ha llegado al número ideal de recursos humanos asignados a los centros penitenciarios, el Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo ha incluido dentro de su presupuesto proyectado para cada año de gestión el impostergable aumento de funcionarios: guardia cárceles, educadores, personal de blanco y funcionarios administrativos. Desde 2008, en efecto, dicho incremento de personal penitenciario ha sido una constante.	2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: La Unidad de Supervisión Penitenciaria no lleva a cabo la totalidad de sus funciones por falta de recursos humanos y económicos. Se perciben a sí mismos como apoyo de la Oficina del Defensor Público, que no puede lidiar con la carga de trabajo que maneja actualmente.
(u) Limitar el uso de celdas de castigo.	Uso excesivo.	Fuentes no gubernamentales 2009: Se sigue utilizando en todas las penitenciarías.	2011: El Gobierno indicó que actualmente rige una disposición mediante la cual todos los penales están obligados a tener un libro foliado de aislamiento, donde se debe consignar los
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que el	datos básicos del incidente que originan el

₽	
H	
문	
2	
19	
6	
1	
d	
2	
ŝ	

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		su descargo ante el funcionario instructor sumariante. A modo de ilustración las sanciones disciplinarias son: 1) Amonestación, 2) Perdida total o parcial de beneficios previamente acordados, 3) Internación hasta 30 días en celdas de aislamiento, 4) ubicación en grupos de tratamientos más rigurosos y 5) traslado a establecimiento de otro	aislamiento. El tiempo de aislamiento debe se establecido por el jefe de seguridad del penal ratificado por el Director. El Director además debe recibir un reporte del incidente que suscito la medida y el mismo debe quedar archivado en el expediente del interno. Todos los Penales tienen y deben tener habilitados su registros de aislados y/o sancionados y es el Director quien debe finalmente aplicar las sanciones disciplinarias.
(v) Garantizar la capacidad de impugnar la legalidad de la detención.		 tipo. Fuentes no gubernamentales 2009: Se garantiza, pero de manera insuficiente. Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que en la Constitución Nacional establece en el Artículo 11 - De la privación de la libertad. "Nadie será privado de su libertad física o procesado, sino mediando las causas y en las condiciones fijadas por esta Constitución y las leyes." Así también, se establece una serie de garantías procesales para las personas detenidas o arrestadas en el Artículo 12 - De la detención y del arresto." Nadie será detenido ni arrestado sin orden 	2011: El Gobierno declaró que en virtud de la Carta Maga del Paraguay, toda persona que s ilegalmente detenida, dispone de garantías establecidas en dicho cuerpo legal para subsanar esta situación (Articulo 11 y 12 de l Constitución Nacional). Toda persona afectad en cualquiera de estos derechos, puede accion los mecanismos establecidos para el efecto, e iniciarlos a través de la Mesa de entrada de Garantías Constitucionales que es una oficina de naturaleza administrativa que depende directamente de la Corte Suprema de Justicia canaliza estos reclamos. En su mayoría, lo peticionado derivaba de la utilización de la garantía constitucional de Habeas Corpus como un nuevo Recurso, pero a su vez la

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		escrita de autoridad competente, salvo caso de ser sorprendido en flagrante comisión de delito que mereciese pena corporal. Toda persona detenida tiene derecho a: 1) Que se le informe, en el momento del hecho, de la causa que la motiva, de su derecho a guardar silencio y a ser asistida por un defensor de su confianza. En el acto de la detención, la autoridad está obligada a exhibir la orden escrita que la dispuso;2) Que la detención sea inmediatamente comunicada a sus familiares o personas que el detenido indique;3) Que se le mantenga en libre comunicación, salvo que, excepcionalmente, se halle establecida su incomunicación por mandato judicial competente;; la incomunicación no regirá respecto a su defensor, y en ningún caso podrá exceder del término que prescribe la ley;4) Que disponga de un intérprete, si fuese necesario, y a , 5) Que sea puesta, en un plazo no mayor de veinticuatro horas, a disposición del magistrado judicial competente, para que éste disponga cuanto corresponda en derecho." La Corte Suprema de Justicia ha llevado a cabo jornadas que ayudan a responder y canalizar las dudas e inquietudes de las personas recluidas y poner a conocimiento de las mismas el estado de sus procesos. Es así, que en el 2007 se realizaron 13 visitas carcelarias a los diferentes Centros Penitenciarios del País y en el 2.008 ocho jornadas (Informe de Gestión/2008, pág. 41;	presentación de la garantía ante la Sala permitió en ciertas ocasiones tener conocimiento de una realidad tangible que se suscita en las instituciones penitenciarias: se constata el estado actual de los procesos y se adopta medidas en casos de irregularidades.

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportad
		Informe de Gestión/2007, pág. 21).	
		"La Mesa de Entrada de Garantías	
		Constitucionales es una oficina de	
		naturaleza administrativa que depende	
		directamente de la Corte Suprema de	
		Justicia y de conformidad a las	
		Acordadas y Resoluciones	
		respectivas" (Informe de Gestión de	
		Mesa de Entrada de Garantías	
		Constitucionales de la Corte Suprema de	
		Justicia)	
		Dentro del marco legal de la ley	
		1.500/99 "Que reglamenta la garantía Constitucional del Habeas Corpus" y la	
		Acordada N° 83 del 4 de mayo de 1.998,	
		en los Juzgados de Primera Instancia de	
		todo el país de enero a Octubre de 2007	
		han ingresado aproximadamente 173	
		Habeas Corpus, y 485 Amparos, siendo	
		las ciudades de Asunción y Ciudad del	
		Este las que registraron un mayor	
		porcentaje (Derechos Humanos en	
		Paraguay 2007 pág. 103). Conforme al	
		Informe de Gestión de Mesa de Entrada	
		de Garantías Constitucionales, durante el	
		2008 en las diversas Circunscripciones	
		del País, fueron atendidos	
		aproximadamente 1071 Amparos y 252	
		Habeas Corpus. Y de Enero a octubre	
		del 2009 fueron interpuestos en las	
		diversas Circunscripciones del país 735	
		Amparos y 178 Habeas Corpus (Informe	
		de Gestión de Mesa de Entrada de	
		Garantías Constitucionales de la CSJ. De	
		Enero a diciembre del 2008 y Enero a	
		Octubre del 2009). El horario de	
		atención es de 7:00 a 17:00 hs. todos	

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
· · · · · ·		los días hábiles.	· · · ·
		Fuera del horario de recepción de los casos de Mesa de Entrada de Garantías, es decir a partir de las 17:00 has hasta las 7:00 hs se encuentra habilitada la Oficina de Atención Permanente que recibe los diversos casos. En entrevista a un funcionario de la Oficina de Distribución de causas penales que trabaja con las diversas dependencias para el sorteo de los expedientes manifestó que en el horario de la Oficina de Atención Permanente ingresan aproximadamente 2 o 3 expedientes por día y 10 o 15 expedientes en los fines de semana, sin discriminar si son de amparo o habeas corpus o en los casos de adolescentes infractores.	
		La Sala Penal de la Corte Suprema de Justicia, durante el 2007 ha tramitado 68 Habeas Corpus y en el 2008 57 Habeas Corpus. (Informe de Gestión/2007, pág. 43; Informe de Gestión/2008, pág.41) Conforme al Informe Presentado por la Secretaría Judicial III de la Corte Suprema de Justicia en el 2009 fueron tramitados 71 Habeas Corpus y 2 Apelaciones en lo que se refiere a Amparos. (Nota: P.S.J. III Nº 982 de 30/11/09 de la Secretaría Judicial III de la Corte Suprema de Justicia)	
		Existen un total de 197 Defensores Públicos nombrados en todo el país, de los cuales 94 corresponden a Defensoría del Fuero Penal, y 10 Defensores del	

₽
H
R
2
19
6
1
b
d.
ŝ

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportad
		Fuero Penal Adolescente. Existiendo a la fecha 41 vacancias. Para el año 2010 fueron solicitadas 56 nuevos cargos de Defensores Públicos, (Informe presentado por la Defensoría General en fecha 20 de noviembre del 2009 a la DDH de la CSJ)	
		El Ministerio de la Defensa Pública, a través de su Consejo de Coordinación, por resolución Nº 7/06 fue creado un observatorio de prisiones, que pretende articular intercambio de información y acciones a escala MERCOSUR. Este organismo proyecta incidir en la política criminal de Estado, para garantizar el respeto de los Derechos Humanos. Este observatorio, llevo a cabo más de 300 entrevistas sobre la situación de vida de los reclusos, estos datos fueron tenidos en cuenta por las distintas autoridades del sistema penitenciario y sirvieron para la presentación de diversos habeas corpus, a favor de personas con trastornos mentales y situaciones diversas de los reclusos que requería la intervención de los defensores de sus derechos. (Derechos Humanos en Paraguay 2007, pág. 149) Desde la Dirección de Derechos Humanos de la CSJ se impulsó y conformó el Equipo Técnico Interinstitucional en el marco del cumplimiento de la Sentencia dictada por la Corte Interamericana de Derechos Humanos, en el Caso 11.666 Instituto de Reeducación del Menor Vs. Paraguay. Este equipo estuvo conformado por	

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(91) Asistencia en la aplicación de las recomendaciones de agencias de la ONU, gobiernos y organismos de desarrollo.		representantes de La Secretaría Nacional de la Niñez y la Adolescencia Ministerio de Justicia y Trabajo (SENAAI) e integrantes de la DDH. Como resultado del trabajo interinstitucional fue presentada una "Propuesta Metodológica para la Elaboración de la Política Pública de Atención a Adolescentes Infractores". (Informe de Gestión de la Dirección de Derechos Humanos de la Corte Suprema de Justicia, pág 30) 2009: El Gobierno señaló que, si bien existe asistencia en algunos campos, no podría considerarse suficiente para conseguir un mejoramiento de la situación. Por tanto, se exhorta a los posibles cooperantes a colaborar de manera a ir progresivamente elaborando trabajos tendientes a garantizar los derechos de los ciudadanos. Fuentes no gubernamentales: Se cuenta con la asistencia de agencias y organismos de desarrollo para su aplicación.	 2011: El Gobierno: El Ministerio del Interior, conjuntamente con la Policía Nacional y Comité Internacional de la Cruz Roja, desde el 2007, renueva el Convenio de Cooperación Interinstitucional, esta última con una duración de dos años, la cual tienen por objetivo general, actualizar, desarrollar y promover la integración de las normas internacionales de Derechos Humanos y los Principios Humanitarios en las actividades prácticas de la Policía Nacional. 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: La situación no ha mostrado cambios significativos desde las visitas de los órganos internacionales de monitoreo, y hay quienes sostienen que la situación ha empeorado. Aparentemente, la mayoría de las recomendaciones del Relator Especial contra la Tortura y del Subcomité para la Prevención de la Tortura todavía no han sido efectivamente implementadas; la tipificación de tortura aún no se adecua a las disposiciones de la Convención Contra la Tortura de la Naciones Unidas (CAT)

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
()	()		y de la Convención Interamericana contra la Tortura (CIT); no se lleva a cabo una investigación efectiva e independiente de caso de tortura, y no existe un monitoreo sistemátic e independiente de los lugares de detención.
			Sin embargo, se debe reconocer que el Gobierno es muy consciente de los problemas de derechos humanos, especialmente en lo que respecta a la tortura y los tratos crueles. Muchos funcionarios de gobierno tienen un historial de activismo por los derechos humanos y parecen tener un interés genuino y gran disposición para mejorar la situación de derechos humanos en el Paraguay. Se establecieron departamentos de derechos humanos en muchos órganos ejecutivos, incluyendo el Ministerio del Interior, la Policí Nacional, el Ministerio de Justicia y recientemente el Ministerio Público. Además, se creó una Red de Derechos Humanos del Poder Ejecutivo, con el fin de coordinar los esfuerzos de los diferentes departamentos de derechos humanos. Dicha Red, coordinada po el Ministerio de Justicia, ha iniciado un Plan Nacional de Acción de Derechos Humanos (PNADH) del Poder Ejecutivo y está actualmente elaborando un PNADH para cada rama de gobierno, incluyendo estrategias a corto, mediano y largo plazo.
			Los expertos del proyecto 'Atlas de la Tortura (AT) Manfred Nowak, Moritz Birk, y Tiphani Crittin realizaron una visita de evaluación al Paraguay del 15 al 25 de marzo de 2011. Durante dicha visita, se reunieron con las principales partes interesadas del Gobierno,

	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
			fin principal de la visita de evaluación era identificar los factores sistémicos que contribuyen a la tortura y a los tratos crueles, inhumanos o degradantes en el Paraguay y discutir sobre las principales necesidades para lidiar con estos factores, en términos legales, de procedimientos e institucionales, así como también de capacidades del personal en las instituciones relevantes. Por otro lado, el equipo recolectó información sobre proyectos que se están llevando a cabo en el área de prevención de la tortura y sobre las capacidades de las organizaciones de sociedad civil para poder seleccionar una organización que actúe como punto de referencia local ('punto focal') para la implementación del proyecto general. Se valora mucho la apertura y franqueza del Gobierno durante las reuniones de consulta. Se reconoce abiertamente el problema de la tortura y los malos tratos como un asunto crucial de derechos humanos. El Gobierno está tomando medidas para mejorar la prevención de la tortura y se mostró dispuesto a fortalecer sus esfuerzos en cooperación con el proyecto AT. Siendo a la vez una destacada ONG especializada en prevención de la tortura y una red establecida, CODEHUPY fue elegida como punto focal para el proyecto AT.
(92) Apoyo de donantes para el mecanismo nacional de prevención.		2009: El Gobierno informó de la creación del MNP, el cual se encontraba en ese momento en estudio en el Congreso de la Nación. Fuentes no gubernamentales: existe un proyecto de ley para la prevención de la Tortura, en el cual se contempla la ayuda de organizaciones no gubernamentales e	 2011: El Gobierno: Todo lo referido al funcionamiento de este Mecanismo y el apoyo que necesite para dar efectividad al trabajo, será contemplado en la Comisión ad hoc formada para el efecto. a 2011: Fuentes no gubernamentales: La ley del

Recomendación (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/7/3/Add.3)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (A/HRC/13/39/Add.6 , A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
			CODEHUPY y apoyado por el Poder Ejecutiv y Legislativo. Si bien Paraguay ratificó el OPCAT en diciembre de 2005, la ley recién fu aprobada por el poder legislativo el 8 de marzo de 2011 y promulgad el 20 de abril del mismo año. Dicha ley fue bien recibida por el SPT ya que cumple con lo requisitos mínimos del OPACT. No queda claro cuándo entrará en funcionamiento el MNP. No se le asignó presupuesto en el 2011 por ende deberá esperar a la aprobación genera de presupuesto para el 2012.

Papua New Guinea

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur in the report of his visit to Papua New Guinea from 14 to 25 May 2010 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)

102. On 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Papua New Guinea requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations. The Special Rapporteur regrets that the Government has not provided a response to his request. He looks forward to receiving information on Papua New Guinea's efforts to implement to the recommendations and affirms that he stands ready to assist in efforts to prevent and combat torture and ill-treatment.

103. The Special Rapporteur regrets not having received information about the steps taken to ratify the Convention against Torture and the Optional Protocol thereto, and urges the Government to ratify these instruments and create a national preventive mechanisms providing for regular visits to all places of detention. The Special Rapporteur urges the Government to make a declaration with respect to article 22 of the Convention recognizing the competence of the CAT and ratify the First Optional Protocol to the international Covenant on civil and political Rights, providing for the right to lodge individual complaints to the Human Rights Committee.

104. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to ensure that torture is defined as a serious crime as a matter of priority, sanctioned with penalties commensurate with the gravity of torture and ensure that any statement which is established to have been made as a result of torture is explicitly excluded and is not invoked as evidence in any proceedings, except against a person accused of torture as evidence that the statement was made.

105. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the completion of the nationwide consultations on the review of the Sorcery Act and sorcery-related killings, but notes that the Royal Papua New Guinea Constabulary lacks the capacity to prevent and investigate crimes related to domestic violence and accusations of sorcery. He notes with regret that the incidents of sorcery-related killings continued to be reported throughout the country. He urges the Government to ensure a comprehensive structural reform of the Royal Papua New Guinea Constabulary in accordance with the September 2004 recommendations of the Administrative Review Committee to the Minister for Internal Security.

106. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the establishment of an independent investigation team to look into the alleged involvement of four police officers in East New Britain following the death in custody of a student in June 2011, and encourages the Government to ensure prompt and thorough investigations of all allegations of ill-treatment or excessive use of force by an independent authority and ensure that those in command at the time of the abuses are brought to justice.

107. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the Government's efforts to address the poor conditions of detention and of the fact that these efforts are often hampered by capacity and resource constraints. He believes that while many of the problems observed are caused by a lack of resources, some important steps could be taken that are not resource-dependent, such as establishing stronger legal and procedural safeguards, amending the Correctional Service Act to include provisions on confidential and private meetings with detainees, and regulating visits to police lock-ups and pre-trial detention facilities. He encourages the Government to

ensure that detention conditions comply with international minimum sanitary and hygiene standards, that detainees are provided with basic necessities, including adequate floor space, bedding, food, water and health care, and that conditions are created for inmates to get involved in work opportunities and recreational activities.

108. The Special Rapporteur notes with regret that gender-based violence remains prevalent throughout the country, with widespread domestic violence and no effective State mechanism to address it. He calls upon the Government to establish a comprehensive legal framework to address all forms of violence against women and ensure its implementation in line with the concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women.

109. Finally, the Special Rapporteur notes with regret that in 2011, five men were reportedly sentenced to death by hanging by the Kokopo National Court despite the assurances of the Government that Papua New Guinea has never enforced the law on death penalty since its enactment. The Special Rapporteur believes that, under the conditions of its imposition and execution in Papua New Guinea, capital punishment inevitably results in cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or even torture. He strongly urges the Government to take steps to abolish the death penalty and to ratify the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at abolition.

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Information received in the reporting period
1. Impunity		
(a) Have the highest authorities, in particular those responsible for law enforcement activities, declare unambiguously that they will not tolerate torture or similar ill- treatment by public officials and that those in command at the time abuses are perpetrated will be held personally responsible for them;		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, in July 2011, a member for Moresby North East, welcomed the decision by Police Commissioner Wagambie to clamp down on rogue police officers saying it was long overdue and made reference to the Special Rapporteur on torture's report on the mission to Papua New Guinea. He was quoted saying that it was time for the Police Commissioner to take these findings seriously and "make a concerted effort to clean up the force".
personan's responsione for mont,		Reportedly, in August 2011, some action was taken to bring perpetrators to justice along with reiteration that 2011 is the year of discipline and all allegations will be investigated and perpetrators held accountable. In August, following an allegation of sexual assault by a policeman while in custody in Boroko police station, Port Moresby, the police announced that an investigation would be launched. The police leadership has also spoken against domestic violence by police officers stating that cases would be investigated and appropriate action taken against police concerned.
(b) Ratify the Convention against		
Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or		
Degrading Treatment or Punishment and the Optional Protocol thereto,		
providing for regular visits to all		
places of detention by an independent domestic monitoring body. A		
declaration should be made with		
respect to article 22 of the		
Convention, recognizing the competence of the CAT to receive		
and consider communication from		
individuals who claim to be victims		
of a violation of the provisions of the Convention;		

306

RecommendationSituation during the visit(A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)(A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)

(c) Ratify the First Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, providing for the right to lodge individual complaints to the Human Rights Committee;

(d) Amend domestic legislation to include the crime of torture with adequate penalties. The definition of this crime should be in full accordance with article 1 of the CAT;

(e) Ensure prompt and thorough ex office investigations for all allegations and suspicions of illtreatment or excessive use of force by an authority that is independent from the investigation and prosecution. Any officer known to be abusive should be removed from custodial duties. Heads of police stations and detention facilities should be made aware of their supervisory responsibility; The term "torture" appears only once in the Criminal Code Act as an aggravating circumstance in the case of sexual assault (sect. 349 (A)). Instead, the code outlaws several offences, including some but not all, of the elements of the crime of torture as understood in the CAT.

Non-governmental sources: It is reported that an independent investigation team was set up to look into the involvement of four police officers in East New Britain following the death in custody of a student at Kokopo police cells on 24 June 2011. The four police task force members have been suspended following the complaint. Local NGOs in the province reported that the latest killing was just one of many cases of police brutality.

Information received in the reporting period

It was reported that a Senior Inspector was dismissed after being found guilty of 13 disciplinary charges. In one incident in 2007, he was found guilty of criminal conduct for the murder of A.P. at Gabaka Street, Gordons, Port Moresby.

Cases of police violence were also reported in the media in July 2011.

Sorcery related killings were reported to continue throughout the country, with a number of incidents reported from Madang and Lae. The police are said to be investigating and have promised to bring the perpetrators to justice. The Constitutional and Law Reform Commission (CLRC) have completed the nationwide consultations on the review of the Sorcery Act and Sorcery related-killings and are finalizing the report.

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Information received in the reporting period
(f) Ensure a comprehensive and structural reform of the Royal Papua New Guinea Constabulary in accordance with the September 2004 recommendations of the Administrative Review Committee to the Minister for Internal Security.	The Royal Papua New Guinea Constabulary lacks the capacity to prevent and investigate crimes relating to domestic violence, tribal fighting and accusations of sorcery. The police are not always in a position to enforce the rule of law, owing to insufficient human and financial resources, widespread corruption and low standards of professionalism, difficulties in access to remote areas and a lack of political will.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : It is reported that on 21 August 2011, a tribal clash at 9-mile settlement outside Port Moresby left one man dead and several others injured. Reportedly, tension remains high and the Taris have deserted the area in fear of further attacks. The Governor of NCD appealed to relatives of the deceased to refrain from paying back killings. Police are reported to be investigating the killing.
2. Safeguards and prevention	r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
(a) Reduce, as a matter of urgent priority, the period of police custody to a time limit in line with international standards (maximum 48 hours);	Detainees were held in remand at police lock-ups often for several months, and sometimes for more than a year.	
 (b) Establish accessible and effective complaints mechanisms in all places of detention. Complaint by detainees should be followed up by independent and thorough investigations, and complainants must be protected from reprisals. 	Despite the existence of visiting magistrates, there is no provision in the law that provides for an independent, external and efficient complaint mechanism. The Correctional Service Act does not state whether meetings with detainees are private and confidential, nor does it have provisions regulating visits to police lock-ups and pretrial detention facilities.	
3. Conditions of detention		
(a) Ensure that persons deprived of their liberty are confined in facilities where conditions comply with international minimum sanitary and hygiene standards and that detainees are provided with basic necessities, such as adequate floor space, bedding, food, water and health care. Prisoners should be provided with opportunities for work, education, recreation and rehabilitation;	Detainees were locked up in overcrowded and filthy cells, without proper ventilation or natural light. In all police lock-ups (with an exception of some detainees at the Bihute police station), detainees were forced to sleep on a concrete floor, sometimes on only a piece of cardboard. The cells were often infested with mice, cockroaches and other insects. Access to food was greatly limited, the food provided by families, in the few instances where they were allowed	

		_
		_

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Information received in the reporting period
	to visit, was usually allowed.	
	In principle, correctional institutions in Papua New Guinea provide prisoners with opportunities for work. At the Baisu correctional institution, however, detainees had no opportunities for work, education or	
	other forms of recreation, and were locked in their cells for up to 18 hours a day. The best practice example was the Bihute correctional institution, where the opportunities available for detainees allowed for a real possibility of rehabilitation.	
(b) Separate detainees on remand from convicted prisoners;(c) Remove all juvenile from police lock-ups;	The Police Juvenile Policy and Protocol is for most part not being applied and juveniles were held with adults in all police stations visited.	
 (d) Immediately close the Mount Hagen police station; (e) Build, as a matter of urgency, a proper correctional institution in the Autonomous Region of Bougainville. 4. Women 	visited.	
84. Establish a comprehensive legal framework addressing all forms of violence against women and ensure its implementation, in line with the concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women.	Gender-based violence is prevalent throughout the country, with widespread domestic violence and no effective State mechanism to address it. In detention, women are extremely vulnerable to sexual abuse from police officers or other detainees.	
-	There is no existing legislation that criminalizes domestic violence. As such, cases of domestic violence fall under the provisions of common and aggravated assault found in the Criminal Code. The	

308

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Information received in the reporting period
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.5) 5. Death penalty 85. Abolish the death penalty and ratify the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty. 86. The Special Rapporteur recommends that OHCHR, with the agreement of the Government of Papua New Guinea, establish a country presence with a mandate for monitoring the human rights situation in the country, including the right of unimpeded access to all places of detention, and for providing technical assistance particularly in the field of judicial, police and prison reform. 87. The Special Rapporteur also recommends that relevant United Nations bodies, donor Governments and development agencies consider	8	Non-governmental sources: It is reported that in July 2011, five men were sentenced to death by hanging by the Kokopo National Court for the murder of eight people on 25 September 2007.
the protection of human rights in the criminal justice system, and in		

Recommendation (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/16/52/Add.5)	Information received in the reporting period
particular the prevention of torture, as their highest priority. Specific programmes and projects should be carried out only after the political		
will to implement far-reaching structural reforms aimed at the prevention of torture is clearly		

demonstrated.

310

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Republic of Moldova

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to the Republic of Moldova from 4 to 11 July 2008 (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 para. 90)

110. By letter dated 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of the Republic of Moldova, requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations. He expresses his gratitude to the Government for providing detailed information on steps taken during the reporting period.

111. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the report of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT), on its visit carried out from 1 to 10 June 2010.

112. The Special Rapporteur notes the information on the number of cases investigated by the newly established body within the General Prosecutor's Office and encourages the authorities to effectively ensure prompt, independent and impartial investigation of all allegations of torture and ill-treatment committed in the context of the April 2009 events. He urges the authorities to launch timely prosecutions and conclude them without delay, where the evidence warrants it. Unless the allegation is manifestly unfounded, those involved should be suspended from their duties during the investigation and proceedings.

113. The Special Rapporteur further calls upon the Government to strengthen its efforts to provide victims of torture and illtreatment with as full rehabilitation as possible, to incorporate the right to reparation for victims into domestic law together with clearly set-out enforcement mechanisms.

114. The Special Rapporteur commends the Government for its efforts to combat torture and ill-treatment, for the steps taken to combat trafficking in human beings and the progress made in relation to national response to gender-based violence. He welcomes the ongoing reforms of the criminal justice system, in particular the adoption by the Government of the Strategy for Justice Sector Reform for 2011-2016 aimed at reforming the Prosecutor's office, and the police and penitentiary systems; the adoption by the Parliament of the National Action Plan on Human Rights for the period of 2011-2014, envisaging legal assistance to victims of torture and other ill-treatment. He looks forward to receiving information on implementation efforts on these important initiatives.

115. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the Order issued by the Ministry of Internal Affairs on making the referral to forensic examination mandatory in cases of allegations of torture and ill-treatment, and of measures taken to strengthen the institutional and operational capacity of the Centre of Forensic Medicine. He calls upon the authorities to increase the number of qualified health personnel in detention facilities and ensure that medical staff in places of detention are independent and are provided with training in the medical investigation of torture and other forms of ill-treatment. The Special Rapporteur urges the authorities to ensure that reports of independent forensics are attributed the same evidentiary weight as reports prepared by State-appointed forensic experts.

116. The Special Rapporteur regrets not having received information on legislative initiatives to remove the statute of limitations for the crime of torture and for reducing the period in custody that are reportedly in progress and recalls his appeal to the Government to ensure that the period of holding detainees in police custody does not exceed 48 hours, and that no detainee should be subject to unsupervised contact with an investigator.

117. The Special Rapporteur reiterates his concern with respect to legislative and logistical constraints impeding the effective functioning of the national preventive mechanism ⁵⁰ and welcomes the establishment of a working group on amending the Ombudsman Law. He welcomes the acknowledgment by the Government of the need to improve the efficiency of the national preventive mechanism (NPM), and encourages the authorities to work closely with the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights to bring the NPM into full conformity with the Paris Principles.⁵¹

118. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to strengthen its efforts to provide victims of torture and ill-treatment with as full rehabilitation as possible, to incorporate the right to reparation for victims into domestic law together with clearly setout enforcement mechanisms and ensure annual budgetary allocations for providing adequate compensation and rehabilitation of victims of torture and ill-treatment.

119. The Special Rapporteur expresses concern about reported incidents of prisoner-on-prisoner violence and the practice of intimidation in places of detention. The Special Rapporteur recalls that inter-prisoner violence can amount to torture or ill treatment if the State fails to act with due diligence to prevent it.

120. The Special Rapporteur notes with appreciation the steps undertaken to improve the conditions in detention facilities, including the action plan drafted to combat overcrowding and improve material conditions in prisons, however, he remains concerned at the reports of inadequate access to health care and lack of mandatory medical examination of detainees upon their arrival and departure from temporary detention facilities.

121. With respect to the Transnistrian region, the Special Rapporteur regrets that none of the previous recommendations have been implemented, including criminalizing torture, abolishing the death penalty and stopping immediately the practice of solitary confinement. He is concerned that no independent monitoring mechanism for places of detention has been established and urges the relevant authorities to take measures to implement the above recommendations and establish important safeguards in criminal procedure to prevent torture and ill-treatment.

⁵⁰ Concluding observations of the Committee against Torture, (CAT/C/MDA/CO/2), Republic of Moldova, 29 March 2010.

⁵¹ See "UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Navi Pillay Press Conference at the conclusion of her mission to the Republic of Moldova" OHCHR press release, 4 November 2011.

Available from:http://www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=11569&LangID=E.

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3) (a) Impunity (b) Abolish the statute of limitations for crimes of torture; (ii) Establish effective and accessible complaints mechanisms; and protect complainants against reprisals; (iii) An independent authority with no connection to the body investigating or prosecuting the case against	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3) A statute of limitation of five years was applicable to the crime of torture; The law provided for several complaints avenues, but the large majority of complaints were rejected quasi- automatically; Ex-officio investigations did not function in practice; The system of internal remedies was dysfunctional due to:	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Government: i) The statute of limitations is not an impediment to investigations, since crimes of torture are investigated vigilantly and in a timely manner. ii) Since 1996 prosecutors are obliged to make daily spot checks at the places of temporary detention. This includes personal and direct control of the legality of detention, discussions with detainees, as well as reporting the results of these actions, including where necessary, issuing orders to release the persons detained illegally on remand. Thus, there is a mechanism to record, control and monitor the practice of	in the reporting period Government: ii) The Order No. 11/991 of 15 March 2011 on the procedure of addressing and reporting abuses, have been placed on the Ministry of Internal affairs (MIA) website. iv) In December 2011, the Centre of Forensic Medicine with the support and contribution of UNDP Moldova, launched the project "Strengthening the forensic examination of cases of torture
the alleged victim should investigate promptly and thoroughly all allegations of torture and ill-treatment ex- officio; an independent forensic expert should carry out an examination in respect of all allegations of torture and ill-treatment; iv) The Forensic institute should be equipped accordingly.	filing complaints; b)the non-action of the staff of penitentiary institutions in cases of allegations of torture; c) the wide discretion and inaction of the prosecutor's office when he receives complaints; d) the lack of independent medical examination; e) the lack of independence of judges who in many cases continue to	coercive procedural measures and the conditions of detention. iii) In 2007, 1,258 complaints were submitted, 50 criminal proceedings being initiated. In the same year, 87 criminal proceedings were initiated for excess of power, 55 cases of which were sent to the court, 63 persons being convicted, including 14 persons imprisoned. In 2008, 1,128 complaints were submitted, 51 criminal proceedings being initiated. In the same year, 73 criminal proceedings were initiated for excess of power, 46 of which were sent to court, as a result of which 36 persons were convicted and 5 persons were imprisoned. In 2009, 554 complaints have been submitted, 33 criminal proceedings were initiated. The same year, 31	and other forms of ill-treatment, as a key strategic element in comprehensive integrated, holistic efforts to end torture and related forms of ill-treatment in Moldova" which aimed: to strengthen the institutional and operational capacity of the Centre of Forensic Medicine in the examination of cases on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment cases at the national level; to increase the quality of forensic documentation brought before courts; to increase partnership and awareness between governmental and nongovernmental organisations.
	follow the arguments of the prosecutor; The State Forensic Institute was underequipped.	criminal proceedings have been initiated for excess of power, 20 of which were sent to court, 16 persons convicted and 1 person was imprisoned. Most cases investigated concerned the use of force during interrogation for the purpose of securing a confession to improve prosecution statistics.	Various activities have been undertaken in the framework of the above mentioned project, including purchasin transport units and IT equipment. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> As of

The Interior Ministry has internally examined 135 criminal cases, including 39 cases regarding excess of power, 17 cases regarding torture and

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		4 cases for coerced declarations, 19 of which had resulted in the dismissal of staff. It should be noted that during 2008, no new cases of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment were registered in the penitentiary system. An exception is the case of an employee of Prison No. 1, who was sentenced, in accordance with article 328 paragraph 2 (c) of the Criminal Code on 9 Dec. 08, to a fine and the deprivation of the right to hold public functions for a period of 3 years, for committing actions that humiliated the dignity of a prisoner on 29 Dec. 07. In 2009 no cases of torture were registered in the penitentiary system. During this year the Penitentiary Institutions Department of the Ministry of Justice initiated 2 internal investigations regarding the alleged ill-treatment of two detainees, from which one of the cases was sent to the Prosecutor Office. The facts alleged in the second case have not been confirmed. Of 473 petitions examined in 2008 by the Ministry of Internal Affaire (MIA), 28	demonstrators. It is reported that out of the total of 108 complaints, 58 criminal cases were initiated (28 on torture, 20 on abuse of power and excess of duties, 10 on other categories of crime); 27 involving 44 policemen have been finalized and sent to court and on the majority of them the investigations continue until now. In the rest of cases, prosecution has been cancelled due to lack of constituent elements of the crimes or was suspended on the ground that it was impossible to determine the alleged perpetrators. Reportedly, there are widespread credible reports about persons being pressured to withdraw complaints related to abuses during the April 2009 events. Courts initially convicted two police officers who were later acquitted. Eight other police officers have also been
		Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA), 38 concerned cases of ill-treatment and illegal detention of citizens. In 18 cases false facts were reported; in 15 cases the facts have been confirmed (employees have been sanctioned disciplinarily); in 19 cases the files were submitted to the prosecution bodies (for criminal	separately acquitted, and in case of one police officer, the criminal procedure
		procedure), and in 6 cases the petitions were sent to judicial courts (for examination of criminal cases filed by the petitioners). During the first 10 months of 2009 the MIA examined 334 petitions, of which 33 cases concerned mistreatment of persons by police employees. In	the death of a protester who was seen by eyewitnesses being beaten to death., 11 police officers had reportedly been dismissed as of June 2010, and put under criminal investigation.
		11 cases the alleged mistreatment was not confirmed; in 4 cases the court applied an administrative fine to both sides of the conflict; in 2 cases the investigation was suspended until	The case of the former Chisinau Police Chief, who is currently being prosecuted for neglect of duty with regards to abuses committed during the

RecommendationSituation during the visitA/HRC/10/44/Add.3)(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
<u>A/HRC/10/44/Add.3</u>) (<u>A/HRC/10/44/Add.3</u>)	 (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) the decision on the criminal case was issued; in 16 cases the files were sent to the prosecutor, of which no criminal procedure was commenced in 2 cases (the employees were only warned about the due treatment of citizens). Thus, 204 criminal cases were initiated in 2009, compared with 215 during the same period of the previous year. 60 of these concerned cases of excess of power (59 in 2008), 34 cases of torture (13 in 2008), and 5 cases of coercion to make statements (12 in 2008). 20 police employees were dismissed following a court decision. The examination of such cases reveal that police officers commit actions that clearly exceed the limits of rights and powers granted to them by law; they apply force and violence, and torture people for the following reasons: To obtain evidence by illegal means; To pursue personal and material interests; To demonstrate the superiority over the victims and to neglect the general rules of conduct; Because of lack of knowledge of the law and work duties; and Other reasons. Most of the circumstances described in the complaints of citizens are not sufficient to start a criminal prosecution. Taking into account the necessity to ensure the impartiality of prosecutors in the investigation process of cases of torture and abuse of power, by General Prosecutor's Order of 19 Nov. 07 	In addition, two prosecutors from Buiucani district have been reprimanded. One judge alleged to have conducted spot trials in the police

Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
 (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) torture and ensuring the security of victims. This person is not involved in other activities, in order to exclude partiality in investigations of allegations of torture. Following the decision of the General Prosecutor or his or her deputies, the military prosecutor offices of Chisinau, Balti and Cahul investigate cases of torture, inhuman and degrading treatment, respectively, in the centre, North and South of the country, while the Department on Criminal Investigation of Exceptional Cases of the General Prosecutor's Office investigates the most severe cases of torture, inhuman and degrading treatment. In accordance with the above-mentioned acts, prosecutors are required, whenever a reasonable suspicion exists that the crime of torture has been committed, to immediately start criminal investigations. Following the initiation of criminal proceedings, prosecutors of Chisinau municipality and Gagauzia may withdraw the criminal cases from the prosecutors in these territorial units, appointing a special prosecutor to carry out further investigations. A directive of the Prosecutor's Office has been issued to improve forensic documentation; however, further measures are still needed to provide for effective forensic examination. iv) To date, the Legal Medical Centre presented a demand related to the necessary equipment for its laboratories. Thus, the Centre has been included in the list of bodies of the Health System, which will benefit from humanitarian aid. During 2009, the Legal Medical Centre has developed some proposals for several external assistance projects, with the purpose of 	that in many cases, even where there are credible allegations related to torture and ill-treatment, the prosecutors were reluctant to initiate investigation. In some cases, prosecutors appear to have been present at the police station during or immediately after police ill-treatment of detained persons, but evidence which

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.5)	(A/HKC/10/44/Add.3)	<i>(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/10/32/Add.2)</i> Japan, etc. At the same time, due to financial constraints, it has been impossible to increase the financing of the Legal Medical Centre. The Legal Medical Centre has undertaken inter alia a training course for medical-legal experts on the investigation of torture cases and other ill-treatment.	<i>in the reporting period</i> institution to inform the chief prosecutor if it finds that the prisoner was subjected to torture, in some cases no action is taken. Similarly, forensic examinations to assess the allegations of torture are rather an exception than the rule, and are often made too late,
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Out of 554 complaints only one perpetrator was sentenced to imprisonment for torture in 2009; thus, the investigation cannot be regarded to be efficient, operative and impartial. Forensic doctors try to cover up torture, rather than document it.	because usually victims are not accepted without the special reference from prosecutors. They also tend only to record the visible signs, without indicating and describing the context of trauma as well as without adequate additional and needed diagnostic investigations. Evidence of
		 Non-governmental sources: Investigative bodies fail to carry out prompt, thorough and independent investigations into allegations of torture. Police officers have not been suspended from their official duties during the investigation of complaints lodged against them, contrary to European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) jurisprudence (ECtHR, Valeriu and Nicolae Rosca vs. Moldova). This has contributed to impunity. An investigation into a case of alleged torture that took place in 2005 was only launched in July 2009, after the ruling of the ECHR against Moldova 	psychological trauma or other indications of torture are generally not undertaken. This particularly leads to under-documentation, since methodologies of torture and other forms of ill-treatment applied have become more nuanced and less likely to leave physical marks in recent years. The forensic doctors remain in need of special training on medical investigation of torture, according to adopted standards and Istanbul Protocol.
		 Moldova in the case of Gorgurov v. Moldova. Despite the ruling, the Government has failed to comply with the remedy requirements. Officers responsible for acts of torture remain unpunished. Article 60 of the Criminal Code, according to which prosecutions for serious crimes can take place up to 15 years after the crime has occurred, has not yet been amended. The Criminal Procedural Code allows for defence lawyers to request the suspension of a 	In addition, the forensic medicine services are still a State monopoly. There are however some initiatives to open these services to private alternatives. This issue is addressed in the context of a larger process of revision of procedural legislation, which is at the drafting stage. At present, domestic courts tend to defer to official forensic sources, preferring these over

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
(<u>A/HRC/10/44/Add.3</u>)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	 (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) suspect without pay. Although a special group of military prosecutors was established within the General Prosecutor's Office to investigate the allegations of torture occurred during the April 2009 event, there have been concerns as to its impartiality. After a year, most of the trials are still pending and are subject to constant delays. On 20 October 2009, the Investigation Commission on the Elucidation of the Causes and Consequences of the Events, an ad-hoc commission made up of 9 members of parliament, was established to investigate the "causes and consequences of the April 2009 events". On 7 May 2010, the Commission presented to the Parliament a well-documented report with reference to arbitrary arrests, wide use of disproportionate and abusive force in custody and violent measures undertaken in the aftermath of the April 2009 events. As of June 2010, 108 complaints of torture by police officers had been received by the Office of Prosecutor General and 54 criminal investigations had been initiated in connection with the April 2009 events. Approximately 24 dossiers concerning 39 police officers were taken to the Courts for further investigation. As of September 2010, there were no convictions related to torture or other ill-treatment by police officers are under article 327 (abuse of power) or article 328 (misuse of power), although some have also been brought under article 309/1 (torture) of the Penal Code, particularly in connection with several high-profile cases. Police and security personnel have reportedly intimidated human rights defenders and victims 	in the reporting period independent medical sources supplie by victims or their representatives.

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		of the April 2009 events.	
		- In practice, most investigations do not meet the	
		minimum requirements and are mostly delayed.	
		In many cases, even where there are credible	
		allegations of torture, prosecutors are apparently	
		reluctant to initiate investigations. There appears	
		to be systemic bias against detained suspects of	
		crimes in favor of police investigators, even	
		when there is enough evidence of torture and ill-	
		treatment. Some prosecutors have also	
		reportedly tried to influence and intimidate	
		victims of torture into withdrawing complaints.	
		- Some progress has been made into the	
		investigation of abuses of juveniles by the police	
		or public servants after a campaign against	
		torture started two years ago. Complaints against	
		police officers are being investigated by	
		prosecutors from a different district in order to	
		safeguard against tolerance or complicity. The	
		number of police officers prosecuted has	
		increased, and some have been given prison	
		sentences Although the complaints against	
		police officers for juvenile suspects' abuse are	
		reportedly less common, the blanket denial and	
		the absence of complaints about police	
		misconduct against children lacks credibility and	1
		reinforces the impression that there is little	
		political will to eradicate abuse.	
		- Although the Centre for Human Rights plays	
		valuable role in monitoring the treatment of	
		juvenile suspects and prisoners and in bringing	
		cases to the attention of the responsible	
		authorities, criminal and administrative	
		investigations are not pursued promptly and	
		efficiently, and accountability remains weak.	
		The Government did not take any steps to equip	
		the Forensic institute. In 2011, UNDP jointly	
		with the European Union, will launch a project	
		to equip the national forensic agency and to	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
<u> </u>		establish legitimate forensic sources. - No legislative initiatives in abolishing the statute of limitations for crimes of torture are reportedly in progress.	
		 reportedly in progress. Government: In 2010, the centre of Forensic Medicine at the Ministry of Health, in partnership with OSCE Mission in Moldova has launched the project "Strengthening capacities and cooperation between forensic specialists from both banks of the Nistru River aimed at enhancing investigation of torture cases". In this context, a study visit of four forensic experts was organized in Turkey from 10 to 13 November 2010. On 23 November 2010, a conference on "Actual Issues on the Organization and Realization of Forensic Expertise" was carried out in Tiraspol and was attended by forensic experts from both banks of the Nistru River. The Centre of Forensic Medicine with immediate support and contribution of UNDP Moldova launched the project "Strengthening the forensic examination of torture and other forms of ill-treatment, as a key strategic element in comprehensive, integrated, holistic efforts to end torture and related forms of ill-treatment in Moldova". It provides forensic expert training on identification and documentation of cases of torture. It also provides the Centre with technical equipment for its regional and laboratory departments. In accordance with the Decision of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova on the approval of the structure of the General Prosecutor Office No 77 of 04 April 2010, and the General Prosecutor Order No 365-p of 24 April 2010, a new Section on combating torture 	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		Prosecutor's Office, to study the phenomena of torture and ill-treatment as a whole in order:	
		- to identify and establish all factors, causes and	
		conditions that permit the existence of those	
		phenomena and to propose concrete and	
		adequate solutions and measures for their	
		liquidation;	
		- to analyze the investigation of cases of torture,	
		elucidating the problems which appear within	
		the investigation and prosecution process of the	
		allegations of torture and ill-treatment;	
		- to take all legal measures to compensate the	
		victims for the harm and to reinstate them;	
		- to prosecute the cases of torture with an	
		increased social importance, etc.	
		In accordance with the Order of the General	
		Prosecutor of November 2010, the Section for	
		combating torture shall be informed within 24	
		hours about each case or allegation of torture	
		that happened on the entire territory of the	
		Republic of Moldova.	
		One prosecutor (in some cases more than one)	
		was nominated in each prosecutorial territorial	
		office to carry out the examination of the	
		allegations and prosecution of criminal cases on	
		Coercion to Testify (art. 309 Criminal Code),	
		Torture (art. 309/1 Criminal Code), Excess of	
		Power or Excess of Official Authority (art. 328	
		(2) lit. a) and c), the crimes prescribed in 328 (3)	
		and Acts of Violence against a Serviceperson	
		(art. 368 Criminal Code). To assure their	
		independence, prosecutors in charge of the	
		investigation of cases on torture, inhuman and	
		ill-treatment, nominated by the order of the chief	
		prosecutor, shall not be implicated nor have any	
		relations with the activities of the territorial	
		subdivisions of the MIA or Centre for	
		Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
		(CCECC).	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(b) Safeguards and prevention		Government: In order to develop collaboration	Government: Under the MIA orde
(b) Saleguards and prevention (c) Reduce the period of police		between the representatives of the healthcare	No. 367 of 10 November 2010,
custody to a time limit in line	which the person is to be	and internal affairs authorities, the Order of the	prosecution officer allows confident
with international standards	brought before a judge,	Ministry of Health and MIA no. 372/388 of 3	meetings with the client whenever
(maximum 48 hours), after		November 2009 was issued. According to its	required and without limiting the
which transfer the detainees			
to a pre-trial facility, where	the crime;	inform immediately the police authorities	Access to detained or arrested perso
no further unsupervised	Delies detention of actives	regarding the healthcare assistance granted to	without written permission from
contact with the interrogator	Police detention of minors	persons with injuries acquired as a result of an	prosecution officer is strictly prohib
or investigator should be	could be prolonged by 30	offence, traffic accident or sudden death. In	except for the doctor and the counse
permitted;	days up to 4 months;	cases when injuries derive from illegal actions	the context of reducing the period o
i) Ensure that no confessions		of law enforcement authorities, the health care	staying in the police custody of pers
made by persons in custody	Prolongation of police	facility managers shall inform immediately the	detained up to 48 hours, a study has
without the presence of a	detention was decided by the	territorial or specialized prosecutor office.	been initiated to look into the legisl
	investigating judge upon	Concerning the medical certification of	and practices of other States in orde
	request of the prosecutor;	detainees who claim physical injuries, all the	determine the opportunities of redu
as evidence against the		cases referring to the incidents from the	of the detention term.
persons who made the	De-facto, most detainees	penitentiary institutions, including cases of	
confession; Shift the burden	were kept in police custody	detecting physical injuries, are compulsorily to	ii) According to the Disposal No.
of proof to the prosecution to	for several weeks/months	be sent to the Prosecutor's Office and to the	11/3966 of 26 October 2011,
prove beyond reasonable	and regularly returned there	Ombudsmen.	concerning the way of explanation of
doubt that the confession was		The Medical Service examines the detainees on	the rights of persons detained or
not obtained under any kind	for their trial or appeal,	their arrival to the penitentiary in view of	subjected to other forms of deprivat
of duress;	which made them vulnerable	proving the presence of any physical injuries or	of liberty by enforcement officers o
iii) Judges, prosecutors and	to reprisals;	other signs of violence, in accordance with	MIA, the police officer is obliged to
medical personnel should		article 251 (3) of the Enforcement Code and	explain the essence of suspicion, the
routinely ask persons arriving		article 25 of the Statute on the Execution of	reason, the rights of the deprived
from police custody how they	confessions obtained under	Sentences by Convicts. The administration of	person. It regulates that in the case
have been treated;	torture were not excluded as	the institution is obliged to inform, in writing	the application of physical force du
v) Consider video and audio	evidence during court	and in the shortest time possible, the	arrest or the existence of reasonable
aping interrogations;	proceedings, in	Penitentiary Institutions Department, the	suspicion, the detained person will
v) Regularly and following	contravention of the national	territorial Prosecutor's Office and the Human	obligatorily examined by a doctor, a
each transfer of a detainee	legislation; numerous reports	Rights Centre about the physical injuries of	if necessary by the medical personn
undertake medical	that judges, prosecutors and	detainees arriving in the penitentiary.	
examinations;	other actors in the criminal	The notes received by the Penitentiary	
,	law cycle routinely ignored	Institutions Department and delivered to the	iii) Several trainings were organised
for administrative detainees	allegations of torture;	Medical Division are included in a special	judges, prosecutors and medical
in line with international	. ,	database. From the beginning of 2009, 13 cases	personnel on the issue of treatment
		of physical injuries have been registered, of	1

322

access to a lawyer etc.); th	A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add 2)	Information received in the reporting period
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in the reporting period
legal basis of the National du Preventive Mechanism (NPM) translates in its Pa effective functioning in de practice, including through po allocation of budgetary and sy human resources. ru m ta A Pa acc ess in Cu de Pr (N	he victim; No tape or video recording luring interrogations; Paramedics were present in letention facilities of the police and the penitentiary ystem during working nours on weekdays, but the ules did not spell out when		in the reporting period

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		preventive visits were carried out. Out of these, 31 were carried out by the members of the Consultative Council and 11 by the Parliamentary Ombudsmen and officials from the Centre for Human Rights.	recommendations and human rights pillar from the Strategy Sector Reform for 2011-2016, a working group on amending the Ombudsman Law was established.
		Observations of the Special Rapporteur during his visit in September 2009: The NPM still faces a number of challenges: firstly, the legal basis for this mechanism is rather ambiguous, which has led to different interpretations regarding which entity constitutes the NPM. From the side of the Ministry of Justice, it is argued that the Parliamentary Ombudsperson is the NPM. However, even the Ombudsman in charge, as well as other relevant actors, including international bodies such as the Council of Europe's Commissioner on Human Rights, have clearly stated that the NPM is comprised of the Consultative Council, under the chair of the Parliamentary Ombudsperson. The Special Rapporteur reiterates that only the latter interpretation is in line with OPCAT and the Paris Principles. Another problem is that although the NPM is meant to be comprised of 11 members, currently only six members serve on this mandate (including the Ombudsman). The Special Rapporteur wishes to emphasize that although international organisations have indicated their willingness to support the NPM, including adequate pay for its members, the State has the primary obligation to provide sufficient resources.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although the law requires that persons be transferred within 72 hours, in practice, persons are held in police custody for up to one year.	

Lawyers often do not have access to their

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(<i>A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2</i>) clients. The legal assistance provided to torture	in the reporting period
		victims does not comply with international	
		standards.	
		Standardy.	
		Non-governmental sources: On 14 March 2008,	
		Parliament amended the Criminal Procedural	
		Code by adding article 3-1, which stipulates that	
		the burden of proof in cases of torture lies with	
		the institution in which the detainee was held.	
		This would appear to be a positive development,	
		but the practice shows that, despite the law reform, the burden of proof still lies with the	
		victim.	
		No legislative initiatives in reducing the period	
		of police custody (up to 72 hours), are	
		reportedly in progress. Persons arrested under a	
		warrant issued by a judge and persons convicted	
		to administrative ("contraventional") arrest	
		should be detained in detention facilities of	
		Ministry of Justice.	
		- Although efforts were undertaken by the Ministry of Justice and the Ministry of Internal	
		Affairs in 2010 to ensure the custody of person	
		initially arrested, there are still cases where	
		detainees are held in police stations for several	
		weeks. Persons have also been reportedly	
		returned to police custody, including for "further	
		investigation", which makes them vulnerable to	
		reprisals in the event of filing a complaint about	
		ill-treatment.	
		- Juveniles suspected of an offence may not be	
		kept in police custody for more than 24 hours,	
		and the detention of juveniles during the investigation may not exceed four months.	
		- A publicly-funded legal assistance programme	
		was established.	
		- There is no time limit on trials or appeals or on	
		detention during trial and appeal. Some cases of	
		detention for a year or more are still reported.	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		Conditions in the pre-trial detention facility	
		where most juveniles are detained are inhuman,	
		and disciplinary sanctions violate international	
		standards.	
		- There have been no reported cases of refugees or asylum-seekers being placed in police	
		custody or detention.	
		- Testimonies obtained through torture are not	
		excluded from criminal proceedings. Often, the	
		first hearing of detained persons takes place	
		without the presence of a lawyer.	
		- Complaints related to the confidentiality of	
		meetings with lawyers are often not considered.	
		State-appointed lawyers act superficially and	
		tend to cooperate greatly with the police.	
		- Judges, prosecutors and medical personnel do	
		not generally ask about details of the treatment	
		while in custody.	
		- In practice, interrogations are not recorded on	
		audio or on video.	
		- The National Forensic Centre only documents	
		the results of forensic examination superficially,	
		often failing to meet international standards. It is	
		not independent.	
		- Although the law requires a doctor and/or	
		penal institution to inform the chief prosecutor about evidences of torture or other ill-treatment,	
		in some cases no action is taken to this effect.	
		Evidence of psychological trauma or other	
		indications of torture are generally not	
		undertaken, which leads to under-	
		documentation. Domestic courts favour the	
		official over independent medical sources	
		provided by victims or their representatives.	
		- The preventive medical examination of	
		detainees in prisons does not allow for the	
		proper documentation of torture.	
		- Although access by the NPM to places of	
		detention has reportedly improved, it remains de	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		facto dysfunctional. Efforts to improve	
		functionality of the NPM have been seriously	
		hindered by an internal conflict between the	
		Ombudsman (chairman of NPM) and three	
		members of the Consultative Council.	
		- In November 2009, the Committee Against	
		Torture issued a detailed recommendation	
		(CAT/C/MDA/CO/2) regarding the	
		improvement of the NPM's functionality	
		through strengthening its independence and	
		capacity.	
		- The NPM is not well-known to the public at	
		large. It does not have a separate budget line or	
		other resources that might be managed for the	
		specialized purposes.	
		- An Action Plan on the Protection of Children's	
		Rights and Prevention and Combating of	
		Juvenile Delinquency covering 2008–2010 was	
		adopted, but implementation has been minimal.	
		Efforts undertaken by the juvenile inspectors	
		and the Commissions on Minors in the area of	
		prevention were not effective.	
		- No prevention programmes directed	
		specifically at children at high risk of offending	
		(secondary prevention) exist.	
		- The law and procedures concerning young	
		children involved in criminal conduct are poorly	
		defined. In particular, compliance with the 'last	
		resort' principle is not required and the right to	
		legal assistance in such proceedings is not	
		recognized.	
		- During the last five years, the number of	
		juvenile prisoners serving sentences has	
		fluctuated between a high of 138 in 2006 and a	
		low of 32 in May-June 2009. The number of	
		juveniles confined in the 'special school' for	
		children has fallen by almost 90 per cent during	
		the period 2001–2008.	
c) Institutional reforms	Lack of independence of	Government: Although relevant trainings and	Government: Strategy for Justice

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)) Continue and accelerate reforms of the prosecutor's office, the police and the benitentiary system with a view to transforming them nto truly client-oriented bodies that operate ransparently, including hrough modernized and demilitarized training; i) Strengthen the ndependence of the udiciary; make judges aware of their responsibilities with regard to torture prevention; ii) Conceive the system of execution of punishments and ts legal framework in a way hat truly aims at rehabilitation and reintegration of offenders, in	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3) judges who in many cases continued to follow the arguments of the prosecutor without intervening in cases of alleged torture; The legal framework and penitentiary policies in Moldova were punitive, directed at locking people up, rather than aimed at reintegrating prisoners, in particular extremely restrictive visiting policies and numerous constraints on contacts with the outside world; Common problems at all pre- and post-trial prisons were poor hygienic conditions, restricted access	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) seminars are taking place, there is still need for administrative and institutional measures including further trainings in the MIA and involvement of all actors in torture prevention. The MIA is in the process of implementing the Institutional Development Plan for 2009-10, which was developed in the context of reforms of the entire central public administration. In this view, the Ministry aligns to European standards and adjusts the existing legal framework to the EU acquis of decentralization, demilitarization and de-politicization of its activities, improving the management of service to society. In addition, the Ministry has organized and conducted instructive methodological seminars for leadership and personnel of subdivisions of the criminal prosecution. The seminars concerned different topics, the main emphasis being on the observance of the law by police officers in the criminal investigation work. The Ministry set up a committee on fundamental rights and freedoms of citizens. In the same	<i>in the reporting period</i> Sector Reform for 2011-2016, adopted recently by the Government, creates the institutional framework necessary to coordinate reform actions with the assistance provided by the development partners to the justice sector. Practical implementation of the Strategy and application of its specific components will help develop a justice sector which is fair, of high quality, with zero tolerance to corruption, contributing to the sustainable development of the country. The overall objective of the Strategy is to build an accessible, efficient, independent, transparent, professional justice sector, with high public accountability and consistent with European standards, to ensure the rule of law and protection of human rights.
particular through abolishing restrictive detention rules and maximizing contact with the outside world; iv) Take further steps to improve food and access to health care; v) Strengthen further non- custodial measures before and after trial.	to health care and lack of medication as well as risk of contamination with tuberculosis and other diseases; The periods of pre-trial detention were extensive; several of his interviewees	context, it issued an ordinance on procedural time limits, according to which prosecution officers must provide a report to the Ministry with a view to control and coordinate the extension of periods of arrest. Furthermore, billboards on rights and obligations of persons suspected, detained, arrested and prosecuted were set up. Similarly, all sections of the prosecution authorities were provided with models of procedural documents, such as minutes/process-verbaux of apprehension and explanations of the rights and obligations of the detained persons, compiled by the General Prosecutor's Office. The preliminary report regarding the respect of rights of detained persons, elaborated by the Institute for Penal Reform within the project	 MIA reform and the Action Plan, the reform process is divided into three stages: Development of the legal framework (2011); Creating the institutional and functional framework in order to regulate the activity of the subdivisions (2011-2012); Capacity building of subdivisions regarding the implementation of the newly approved MIA framework. 40 draft laws, normative and departmental acts have been adopted.

328

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
2		"Strengthening Criminal Justice System Reform in Moldova", was sent to the heads of criminal prosecution bodies of territorial subdivisions, in order to undertake measures to eliminate any violations in the future. The MIA participated in the working group set up by the General Prosecutor's Office, where the draft of the 'Instructions on how to grant visits and telephone conversations to persons detained in preventive arrest' was elaborated. A law was drafted to amend some legislative acts for the re-examination of the applicable disciplinary regime for judges, which represents a balance between the guarantee of the judges' independence and the necessity of sanctioning a judge in case his or her behaviour necessitates such action.	 and reforms of the police activity. Public- private partnerships and projects have been launched for: Installation of video surveillance systems in all police commissariats in the country; Contracting and installing automatic surveillance systems on the national routes; Implementation of modern methods in investigating crimes by applying modern technology in order to eradicate ill-treatment. iv) During 2011, 9431 cases of illness
		In order to ensure the impartiality of judges, an amendment to the law is proposed, introducing an obligation of the judge to inform the president of the court and the Superior Council of Magistrates about any attempt to influence the process of decision making. Regarding conflicts of interest, a draft law is proposed to complete article 15 of the Law on the Status of Judges, which would stipulate the obligation of the judge to present a declaration regarding his or her personal interests. A reform of the judicial organizational system is proposed, which includes the abolition of specialized law courts (Chisinau Economical District Court, Military Court, Economical Appeals Court). The specialized courts' duties shall be taken over by the courts of common jurisdiction.	in penitentiary institutions were documented. Medical services have been implemented in the context of the National Programmes for HIV/AIDS, ITS and TB control, and extended to the external consultations on various health problems (193 cases), inclusively to private medical consultations (12 cases). <i>Non-governmental sources</i> : A draft Strategy on Justice System Reform for 2011-2015, has been submitted to the Parliament for adoption. A comprehensive Plan of Action still needs to be developed.
		In March 2009, a decision of the Supreme Court	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		concerning Art. 3 ECHR. There have been 24 ECtHR judgments against Moldova under Art. 3. Aspects of the Plenum decision include the requirement that detainees are registered; the registration of officials present; that the person not be interrogated in the absence of an "escort"; and an unbiased investigation into allegations of ill-treatment. The Superior Council has held seminars on Article 3 and the case law against Moldova.	
		One of the objectives of the continuous training of judges is their conscious involvement in the eradication of torture. In this context, thematic seminars on preventive arrest were organized, especially for instruction judges, judges, prosecutors and lawyers. From the beginning of 2008 the Ministry of Justice has undertaken numerous activities in the field of promotion of human rights. Within the Training on Human Rights the following topics were included: "The minimum standards of maintenance of convicted persons", "The European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment", "The Universal Declaration of Human Rights" and "The national, regional and international mechanisms of human rights protection".	
		The regimes in the penitentiaries have been established according to the Execution Code and are characteristic to each type of penitentiary, which represents different stages of the punishment of deprivation of liberty. Thus, the practice envisages different stages within the respective process. The first one (the initial regime), a reduced period compared to the total term of the sentence, represents the stage of	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
A/HKC/10/44/Add.5)	(A/HKC/10/44/Add.3)	ensuring the adaptation of the person to the	in the reporting period
		penitentiary environment and an evaluation	
		according to rules nos. 16, 51, and 52 of the	
		Recommendations of the Committee of	
		Ministries of the CoE no. R2006 (2).	
		Generally speaking, the appreciation that the	
		penitentiary policy is still punitive does not	
		correspond to reality. Thus, the provisions regarding the regimes of detention (art. 269 to	
		273 Execution Code) constitute a progressive	
		evolution of rights of detainees in relation to	
		their behaviour. The enforcement depends on	
		the punishment and the behaviour of the	
		detainees, the danger they represent, based on an	1
		evaluation of the personality and behaviour, as	
		well as on their individual plan of serving the	
		sentence.	
		Regarding visits, the law stipulates the right of	
		the detainee to at least one visit a month.	
		Nevertheless, depending on the behaviour of the	
		convicted person, the penitentiary administration	1
		can grant additional visits. Consequently,	
		depending on his/her behaviour and his/her	
		attitude towards labour (remunerated or not), the	
		convicted person may benefit from 22 long- and short-term visits during a year. The penitentiary	
		program includes also educational training,	
		labour and sport activities etc., which, along	
		with social assistance granted by the	
		administration, contribute to the reintegration of	
		the convicted persons into society.	
		According to art. 227, 228 and 229 of the	
		Execution Code, detainees have access to	
		information, files, correspondence and telephone	
		calls and can address correspondence to law enforcement bodies, central public authorities	
		and international intergovernmental	
		organizations. Post boxes were installed in all	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(1) 111(0) 10) 10/11/1000)		prisons and letters are collected by employees of	in the reporting period
		the Moldova Post.	
		A number of deficiencies of conditions of	
		detention have been asserted in 21 temporary	
		detention facilities (isolators) of the territorial	
		police commissariats. As a result, relevant letters	
		have been addressed to commissariats in Balti,	
		Basarabeasca, Cimislia, Soldanesti, Rezina,	
		Vulcanesti, Comrat, Leova, Orhei, Cahul,	
		Causeni, Anenii-Noi, Gagauzia and Floresti with	
		deadlines for proper actions to be undertaken to	
		redress the situation.	
		Despite the undertaken measures to create	
		decent conditions of detention, in some	
		temporary detention isolators the situation	
		remains complicated:	
		- lack of natural illumination and ventilation in	
		cells (Balti, Bender, Anenii-Noi, Basarabeasca,	
		Cahul, Causeni, Cimislia, Drochia, Floresti,	
		Hincesti, Leova, Riscani, Singerei, Soldanesti, Soroca, Telenesti, Comrat, Vulcanesti and the	
		Operative Service Department of the MIA);	
		- lack of mattresses, blankets and pillows (Balti,	
		Bender, Anenii-Noi, Basarabeasca, Causeni,	
		Floresti, Hincesti, Leova, Rezina, Riscani,	
		Soldanesti, Telenesti, Vulcanesti);	
		- lack of adequate sanitary facilities in cells	
		(Bender, Anenii-Noi, Basarabeasca, Cahul,	
		Causeni, Cimislia, Floresti, Hincesti, Leova,	
		Nisporeni, Ocnita, Rezina, Riscani, Singerei,	
		Soldanesti, Telenesti, Comrat, Vulcanesti and	
		the Operative Service Department of the MIA).	
		The quality of the food has been improved and	
		detainees are now given something to eat three	
		times per day.	
		In 2008, 2,878 persons were detained, out of	
		which 2,037 were under preventive detention. In	
		2009, 2,644 persons were detained, out of which	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		1.784 persons were under preventive detention.	
		Non-governmental sources: The criminal	
		investigation bodies continue to have a punitive	
		attitude.	
		Non-governmental sources: As of 2010, the	
		prosecutor's offices, the police and the	
		penitentiary system still operate on the basis of	
		the soviet structure, and are fully militarized. In	
		2010, several efforts to demilitarize the	
		prosecutor's office failed.	
		- Moldovan legislation and practice are	
		incompatible with international standards as far	
		as the use of isolation or solitary confinement as	
		a disciplinary measure for juveniles is	
		concerned.	
		- Although the establishment in 2010 of two	
		separate units for the investigation of torture and	
		juvenile justice within the General Prosecutor's	
		Office and in each regional prosecutor's office is	
		a positive development, there are some concerns	
		as to the effectiveness and independence of the	
		new anti-torture prosecutors. - Despite some positive developments, the	
		Government has not done much to promote the	
		independence of the judiciary. The Ministry of	
		Justice has repeatedly been involved in	
		removing judges, including Supreme Court	
		judges, including for highly questionable	
		reasons such as "losing cases in Strasbourg" and	
		for intentionally delaying the prosecution of	
		eminent cases linked to the April 2009 events.	
		- On 30 October 2009, the Supreme Court of	
		Justice issued a guidance decision on the	
		application of article 3 of the European	
		Convention of Human Rights in domestic	
		courts. This non-binding guidance, while not	
		perfect, provides important guidance for the	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		judiciary.	
		- Although the Enforcement Code has various	
		provisions with respect to the rights of inmates	
		to hold private correspondence and telephone	
		conversations and receive food parcels, these	
		rights are not envisaged adequately due to, for	
		example, lack of public telephones in most	
		institutions and a lack of clear regulations for	
		appointments.	
		- The criminal subculture and prisoner's	
		hierarchy is partially supported by the prisons'	
		administrations as a mechanism of non-formal	
		control over the detainees.	
		- The problem of food shortage in penitentiary	
		institutions remains. In 2007, the amount	
		allocated from the state budget for feeding	
		prisoners constituted 53.2% of the minimum	
		necessary, in 2008 - 49.8%, and from January to	
		August 2009 it was 64.4%, average being	
		allocated about 3.6 lei per day for a prisoner.	
		Average daily dietary amount of value remains	
		two times smaller than required by law.	
		- During the period of 2008-2009, the	
		Government undertook renovation in the	
		medical centre of women's penitentiary in	
		Rusca. The Centre includes a gynaecology	
		office, a dentist, an internal therapy-medicine	
		office and a clinical laboratory. UNFPA	
		complemented these efforts by providing	
		medical equipment and furniture.	
		- In September 2010, probation services were	
		transferred to the Department of Penitentiary	
		Services. It is unclear, however, whether this	
		reform will have positive impact due to widely	
		practiced disciplinary attitude among probation	
		staff. The pre-trial probation reports are used	
		only in a limited number of cases.	
		- There is only limited implementation of the	
		2008 Law on Mediation due to the lack of and	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add 3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add 3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add 3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add 2)	in the reporting period
<u>A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)</u>	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	 (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) undeveloped capacity of mediators and to the actual performance indicators stimulating the investigation bodies not to interrupt the investigation where relevant, but to send it to the courts. The current arrangements have been described by experts as being very weak in the area of rehabilitation and social integration of victims. The Committee on the Rights of the Child noted with regret that some of its concerns and recommendations regarding juvenile justice had not been adequately addressed, and reiterated its previous recommendation that a separate system of juvenile justice fully in line with the Convention be established. (CRC/C/MDA/CO/3, paras. 7 and 73). No document containing a global strategy for juvenile justice reform exists. A strategy can be inferred from the programme document of the 2003-2005 and 2008-2011 juvenile justice projects. The programme document of the 2008-2011 had the following three 'priority areas' and three 'secondary areas': continued legal reform; development of a probation service; revision of effective legal assistance; provision of services to children in detention; prevention of juvenile delinquency; and training of juvenile justice project is more holistic, in particular in addressing the need for more coherent prevention policies and programmes. There are still some gaps, however. Emphasis on diversion and alternative sentences seems to come at the expense of attention to the programmes applied in correctional facilities and services for juveniles leaving correctional facilities and returning to the community. Accountability is 	

A/HR(
2/19/61/
Add.3

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		not addressed directly by the project.	
		- NGOs played a small role in the	
		implementation of the 2008–2011 project.	
		- There is a lack of ownership by the national	
		authorities as regards the implementation of the	
		project and of the process of developing a	
		juvenile justice system.	
		- Although there has been some improvement in	
		the treatment of juveniles after the designation	
		of prosecutors in each trial court, the impact is	
		still limited, in part because the designated	
		judges have insufficient training and because	
		many of them handle a rather small number of	
		cases involving juveniles. In 2008, only two	
		courts outside the capital handled more than one	
		juvenile case per week and 17 district courts	
		handled on average less than one case per	
		month.	
		- A training manual for judges, prosecutors and	
		police has been developed and incorporated into	
		the curricula of the National Institute of Justice,	
		which trains judges and prosecutors, and the	
		Police Academy. The first class of 20	
		prosecutors and 9 judges graduated in 2009.	
		130 judges and prosecutors were trained in child	
		rights in six seminars (CRC/C/MDA/3, para.	
		374).	
		Opinions on the impact of training vary.	
		- Changes to the Criminal Code made in 2009	
		reduce sentences for certain crimes. Although	
		the measures can be considered as steps towards	
		compliance with the 'last resort' and 'shortest	
		appropriate period of time' principles, set forth	
		in article 37(b) of the CRC, they do not comply	
		fully with these principles.	
		- During the last five years, the number of	
		juveniles given custodial sentences has fallen	
		sharply, from 194 in 2004 to 100 in 2008. The	
		percentage of convicted juveniles given	

ecommendation //HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
MIINC/10/44/Auu.s)	(A/AKC/10/44/Aua.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/10/52/Add.2) custodial sentences rose sharply during the same	ια της περοτικής μετιοά
		period, because the number of convictions fell	
		dramatically, from 1,774 in 2004 to 445 in 2008.	
		The decline in the number of juveniles given	
		custodial sentences is due in part to a 2008	
		amnesty.	
		- The main alternative sentence used is	
		'conditional suspension of the sentence',	
		equivalent of probation. In 2008, fines were	
		imposed on approximately 10 per cent of	
		convicted juveniles, and community service on	
		nearly 25 per cent. Some convicted juveniles	
		reject sentences of community service because it	
		is considered demeaning, and there is some	
		hostility towards offenders.	
		- The number of persons below age 18 being supervised by the Probation Service at the end of	
		2008 was approximately 1,000, and 170 new	
		cases were added to the caseload during the first	
		four months of 2009.	
		- A Probation Service and a publicly funded	
		Legal Aid Service have been established and are	
		in the process of developing specialized staff or	
		programmes for juveniles. Measures intended to	
		prevent abuse of juvenile suspects have been	
		introduced and the recently established	
		ombudspersons play a valuable role in	
		investigating the situation of juvenile suspects,	
		detainees and prisoners.	
		- A Law on Mediation has come into force and	
		mediators have been trained and certified.	
		Referral of cases involving juveniles to	
		mediation has begun and results are positive.	
		Government: The Government invested 39	
		million MDL for the improvement of conditions	
		in detention facilities, including for reparation	
		and procurement of medicines. The most	
		important achievements in this field were:	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
1/11/C/10/44/Auu.J)	(1)/III(C/10/44/Aut.5)	-launching the reconstruction of the Penitentiary	ια ιας τεροτικάς μετισά
		No. 1 in Taraclia;	
		-the reparation of the cells for minors detention	
		in Penitentiary No. 13 in Chisinau;	
		-organization of tenders for the reconstruction of	
		the Penitentiary No. 4 in Cricova;	
		-creation of a modern aqua treatment centre in	
		Rusca Penitentiary No. 7;	
		-improved dentistry services in the penitentiary	
		hospital.	
		The Department of Penitentiary Institutions	
		promotes a program for the distribution of goods	
		and items of personal hygiene.	
		In 2010, there were 6270 detainees in detention	
		facilities as opposed to 10 000 detainees in	
		2005.	
		During the first 9 months of 2010, the number of	
		morbidities amounted to 9537, as compared to	
		10 056 during the same period of 2009, out of	
		which the number of persons with body injuries	
		was 1527 in 2010, and 842 in 2009.	
		- In early 2010, the process of establishing a	
		representative and self-administrative organ of	
		the prosecutors was finalised, in accordance	
		with the provision of the Law on prosecutor's	
		Office. In 2010, the Superior Council of	
		Prosecutors (SCP), as guarantor and	
		representative of the prosecutor's body assured	
		the work of the Disciplinary Board,	
		Qualification Board, the improvement of the	
		policy on human resources, reorganization and	
		optimization of the prosecutor's activities,	
		strengthening the role of the SCP in the	
		activities of the prosecutors.	
		During 2010, the SCP managed the following	
		activities:	
		-Improving the activities of the prosecutorial	
		organs, raising the level of prosecutors'	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
(d) Compensation and rehabilitation i) Incorporate the right to reparation for victims of torture and ill-treatment into the domestic law together with clearly set-out enforcement mechanisms; lend full support to non- governmental institutions working on the rehabilitation of torture victims and protect the staff working for those institutions.	Article 616 of the Civil Code dealt with compensation, but no cases of compensation in practice; Services were provided by the non-governmental Medical Rehabilitation Centre for Torture Victims "Memoria", which depended on foreign funding and was unable to cover the entire country; Rehabilitation therefore suffers from a lack of financial resources for the establishment of adequate facilities as well as for training of health personnel; Allegations of threats	responsibility, consolidating transparency, changing the image of prosecutors' image in the society. -Developing cooperation and partnership with other state authorities' organs. - Protecting the prosecutors' statute. - Developing partnership relations with mass- media through the elaboration of a communication strategy. In order to perform its duties prescribed in the art. 82 of the Law on Prosecutors' office and the Regulations regarding its activities, Superior Council of Prosecutors (SCP) had 18 meetings/sessions and adopted 318 decisions. The Qualification Board and the Disciplinary Board have had a number of meetings, adopted several decisions and considered 64 disciplinary proceedings. So far, the Appeal Court did not repeal any decisions adopted by the SCP. Government: There was no increase in funding for the rehabilitation of victims of torture since 2008. Humanitarian aid was provided in the framework of projects for rehabilitation of victims of torture. Non-governmental sources: Severe problems in securing funding to assist with the rehabilitation of torture victims exist. Non-governmental sources: In principle, although criminal and civil remedies exist under domestic law for victims of torture, in practice, there continue to be severe obstacles to access such legal remedies. - In 2009, at the initiative of the Prime Minister, a commission comprised of representatives of several ministries and a civil society organisation has been established for identifying	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
	against staff of "Memoria".	 the victims of the April 2009 events entitled to financial compensation, remedies and other rehabilitation measures. On 22 April 2010, the Commission decided that both civilians and police officers who suffered physical or psychological trauma would be provided with the rehabilitation and compensation. The identification of the victims was delegated to the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Ministry of Health, with the help of non-governmental organizations. Non-identified victims can still submit applications accompanied with other documents. As of 1 June 2010, there had been no monetary compensation paid to the victims, although a draft decision on the first payments to 19 victims has reportedly been forwarded to the Government for approval. In practice, the primary victim rehabilitation centre remains severely under-resourced. 	A new commission, established by the Prime Minister, was constituted on 14 April 2011, and began activity on 29 April 2011, with the task to identify civilian persons and Ministry of Interi officials who were victims of the Apr 2009 events. The new commission is reportedly constituted for an unlimited term, and is charged with identifying persons for compensation and rehabilitation measures. There is no consistent official number of persons subjected to abuse during to April 2009 events, but NGOs estimated

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			According to the Decision 299 of the Ministry of Healthcare of the Republic of Moldova, the Republican Clinical Hospital has been chosen to provide medical assistance to April 2009 victims. However, this does not include other victims of torture and ill- treatment. Also this decision appears to have no clear mechanism of implementation and funding.
(e) Women i) Ensure adequate funding for the existing infrastructure to support victims of domestic violence and trafficking and extend the network of centres providing psycho-social, legal and residential services to all parts of the country taking into account the increased vulnerability of women and girls in rural areas; ii)Establish specialized female law enforcement units; iii) Devise concrete mechanisms to implement the new Law on preventing and combating family violence in practice, including through a Plan of Action for its implementation and monitoring, including through allocation of adequate	unwillingness of some victims to report their	Government: Through the three Health Centres for Women, women subjected to any form of violence or trafficking are provided with psychological counselling, followed by a medical examination and placement in a rehabilitation centre, if necessary. The 12 Youth Friendly Health Centres provide a psychologist, consultancy and educational discussions, healthcare services for detecting diseases, as well as supervision and medical and psychological rehabilitation of victims of trafficking. During 2009, the Maternal Centre of Placement and Rehabilitation for young children from Chisinau municipality accepted a victim of trafficking and other five persons facing the risk of being trafficked. They have been provided with psychological counselling, medical examinations and rehabilitation assistance. A priority in the field of combating and preventing domestic violence is the creation and consolidation of the services that are currently underdeveloped and are provided mainly by NGOs. Three centres are highlighted:	The primary entity conducting rehabilitation for torture victims is an NGO, RCTV "Memoria". Government: i) The MIA with the support of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) has developed a curriculum for the heads of operative sector officers, concerning the implementation of the legislation on preventing and combating domestic violence and methods of intervention and duties of each member of the team in case of domestic violence. A manual has been developed by the UNFPA for the students of the Academy "Stefan cel Mare" of the MIA concerning the implementation of the legislation on preventing and combating domestic violence. Four training sessions have been carried out within the National Institute of Justice with the participation of prosecutors, employees of the MIA and the MLSPF, lawyers and judges of the administrative-territorial units, the International Center "La Strada" and the

budgetary and human - the Maternal Centre "Pro Femina" (Hincesti) psychn resources to relevant State provides temporary placement and counselling protection bodies. and children as victims of domestic violence; in whi and children as victims of domestic violence; the Family Crisis Centre "SOTIS" (Balti) In coll provides counselling to victims of domestic of UN Social - the Centre for temporary placement of children at risk "The Way Home" (Balti) provides instruct rehabilitation services to mothers and children as victims of domestic violence or victims of heas social In the framework of the Project ,Better Opportunities for Youth and Women", of dom financially supported by the International Agency for Development of the USA (USAID), the soc tern multifunctional centres for social minor minor which provide services for victims of domestic violence. According to a Disposition by the Ministry of such c Noi, Soldaneşti, Aguan and Vulcăneşti districts shall ensure that deputy directors, responsible frainily doctors', madi dustry of repres financially doctors', family doctors, and family doctors', family doctors, and family doctors', family doctors, and family doctors', madi
trafficking in human beings. Multidisciplinary teams at the communitarian level" within the "Protection and rehabilitation of domestic violence victims" project. The participation in the above mentioned course strengthened the medical staff's capacities to solve issues related to domestic violence and trafficking in human haings

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Violence in the Family entered into force on 18 September 2008. It includes important provisions on domestic violence, establishes an institutional framework with detailed	Currently, the NRS is expanded to provide protection and assistance in all rayons throughout the Republic of Moldova.
		 responsibilities of the relevant authorities, provides for the creation of centres/services for the rehabilitation of victims and aggressors, and for complaints mechanisms, protection orders and punishment of aggressors. In order to implement this law, a draft law regarding the amendment and modification of a number of legal acts shall be enacted. The objective of this draft law is to amend the following normative acts: Criminal Code: Introducing an article on the definition of the family member; Introducing a new offence: violence in the family; Introducing a new offence: sexual harassment; Introducing an article related to rape in order to include matrimonial rape; Introducing a new offence related to the violation of a protection order. Criminal Procedure Code: Stipulating the obligation of the prosecutor and court to verify if the victim of domestic violence expressed freely his or her consent for reconciliation. Civil Procedure Code: Introducing a new chapter on special procedures for the application of protection actions in cases of domestic violence. 	judges, prosecutors and police officers. Currently a monitoring system covering all the trafficking cases is being established to cover the activity of law enforcement and judiciary, from discovery of the case to pronouncing of irrevocable sentences in the court. The system will allow increased transparency of prosecution and will be enforced through direct Parliamentary control. iii) The Ministry of Labour, Socia
		The Ministry of Labour, Family and Social Protection has developed a draft of a Government Decision on approval of the Regulations regarding the organization and	Protection and Family of Eucodi, so Protection and Family has initiated harmonization of the development the draft framework Regulation for organization and operation of cent for rehabilitation of victims of domes

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received in the reporting period
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) operation of centres for assistance and protection of victims of family violence which is also in the process of finalization. In the context of the development of a joint methodology for collecting statistical data regarding domestic violence, the project "Development of an integrated information system for management of data on violence within the family in the Republic of Moldova" was launched on 1 July 2008. It is funded by the Agency for International Assistance of the Romanian Government for Moldova and implemented by UNFPA in cooperation with the Ministry of Social Protection, Family and Children and civil society. In the framework of this Project, the concept of the informational system "State Register of Cases of Violence within the Family" was approved by Government Decision no. 544 on 9 September 2009. This system will significantly contribute to the continuous monitoring of domestic violence and statistical analyses will provide a	
		 consistent basis for developing effective policies to prevent and combat domestic violence and will facilitate the cooperation between the appropriate institutions. In order to initiate the process of statistical data collection, statistical cards were developed for recording cases of violence within the family for experts from three branches (health, social protection and police). This process was launched in two pilot districts: Drochia and Cahul. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Moldova is a source and, to a lesser extent, a transit and destination country for trafficking in women, men and children for purposes of forced prostitution, begging and forced labour. The small breakaway region of Transnistria in 	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The reported number of child victims of violence has steadily increased over th past few years and is known to be underreported. Corporal punishment, while officially banned, is still widely used. Studies indicate that 25% of children state that they are beaten by their own parents, 13% report being corporally punished in schools by thei school teachers. According to the most recent 2010 survey results by National Bureau of Statistics, the total prevalence rate of spousal/partner violence against wome over lifetime since the age of 15 is 63.4%. The prevalence rate of violence

over lifetime since the age of 15 is 63.4%. The prevalence rate of violence

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		 eastern Moldova is outside the central Government's control and remained a source of trafficking. The new Government demonstrated a high- level commitment to combating trafficking by establishing a cabinet-level national committee on trafficking led by the foreign minister and, fully funded and staffed Permanent Secretariat of the National Committee for Preventing Trafficking in Persons. The Government continued funding the trafficking assistance centre run jointly by the government and the International Organization for Migration (IOM). Since 2006, the Government has been implementing the National Referral System for Assistance and Protection of Victims and Potential Victims of Trafficking (NRS) - a comprehensive system of cooperation between governmental and non-governmental agencies involved in combating human trafficking. On 5 December 2008, the NRS Strategy 2009 - 2016 and Action Plan 2009 - 2011 were approved by the Parliament. As of 2010, the Assistance and Protection Centre for Victims and Potential Victims of Trafficking in Human Beings (CAPC) has been established within the NRS which was subordinated to the Ministry of Labor, Social Protection and Family, and is jointly coordinated by the IOM. The CAPC provides temporary residence, psychological, social and legal support to victims. In 2008, the Government institutionalized the CAPC. The NRS is being implemented in the regions through the creation and training of multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) composed of a wide range of specialists. Since 2006, MDTs have been created and trainings have been carried out in 26 territorial units of Moldova. 	over lifetime among rural women (68.2%) is slightly higher than among urban ones (57.4%). The highest percentage of women who have ever experienced spousal/partner violence is among those in the age group of 45-54 (70.3%), followed by women in the age group of 55-59 (69.1%). However, even women in the age group of 15-34 report high percentages of such experiences (53.7% of the age group of 15-24 and 55.7% of the age group 25-34). Progress was made during the period September 2009 – present, in improving system-responses to domestic violence, with the first circa 40 protection orders issued by certain courts to victims under the 2008 Law on domestic violence. In addition, in September 2010, amendments were made to a number of laws to heighten the efficacy of the legal framework for combating domestic violence and other forms of violence against women, including amendments to strengthen the civil procedure code and criminal procedure codes. The new legislation also criminalizes sexual harassment and domestic violence. Nevertheless, reportedly effective protection to victims remains unavailable, particularly (but not only) in rural areas. In early 2011, the European Court of Human Rights communicated the first cases brought against Moldova concerning women and children for whom courts had ordered protection, but police had

346	Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			 The Government is working on creating MDTs in all rayons by 2012. The Government has not yet established specialized female law enforcement units. With the Law on Preventing and Combating Domestic Violence (Law No. 45) in force since September 2008 and with the support of IOM, UNFPA and other partners, the NRS started extending the same assistance to victims and potential victims of domestic violence. Several capacity-building events were conducted for district and community level specialists in five districts. The 2008 law authorizes courts to issue protection orders within 24 hours of receiving a request for such an order. Since September 2009, about 28 protection orders have been issued. There are concerns about the low level of knowledge about the provisions of the law on domestic violence, general awareness and tolerance of the public towards the phenomenon. Protection orders have only been issued in a limited number of jurisdictions, namely Anenii Noi, Soldanesti, Vulcanesti, Causeni, Falesti, Rezina and, from June 2010, Chisinau. The Law 167/2010 also requires the district police and social assistance offices to appoint persons responsible for the prevention and combating of domestic violence. At the community level, mayors will be responsible for the supervision and coordination of such measures. Negotiations over creating the first rehabilitation centre for victims of domestic violence in Drochia district are under way. The Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and 	allegedly declined or otherwise failed to protect the persons concerned. ⁵² A number of issues remain outstanding as concerns strengthening the system of protections for victims of domestic violence. Above all, serious and urgent attention should be paid to ensuring that police act promptly and effectively to ensure protection to victims. The Government might also be urged to support more vigorously the implementation of awareness raising campaigns on domestic violence including by ensuring effective placement of social advertisement in media. Civil society organizations also report problems with respect to impunity for family violence against the elderly. Due to the stigma associated with abuse at the community level and family, particularly in rural areas, many older people remain silent about the fact of violence in the family. A series of focus group discussions conducted by the civil society organisation HelpAge indicates that just over 40% of older people in such groups said they had experienced violence at home and 80% said they knew of a close older friend or relative experiencing violence at home. Older women stated they experienced violence at home from their husbands or grown up children.

⁵² Mudric v. Moldova No. 74830/10 and Eremia v. Moldova No. 3564/11.

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
<u>ч/пкс/10/44/Add.3)</u>	(Α/ΠΚC/10/44/Add.5)	 (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/10/52/Add.2) Family has committed to cover partially the costs of the centre in 2011. The above efforts have been complemented by nationwide awareness-raising events, aimed at raising a non-tolerant attitude towards domestic violence and promoting a trust-line for victims of domestic violence. One further step towards the prevention of domestic violence is the recent approval of the National Program on Gender Equality 2010-2015 and the National Action Plan on Gender Equality 2010-2012 (Government Decision no. 933 of 31 December 2009). A working group is currently working on adjusting the domestic legislation on gender equality with international standards. Government: Significant progress was achieved in relation to national response to gender-based violence. On 3 September 2010, the Law No. 167 on amending the current legislation to provide an implementing mechanism for the law on family violence was approved by the Parliament. The Model Regulations for the Rehabilitation Centre for Victims of Family Violence (Government Decision No.129 of 22 February 2010) was approved. The draft Quality Standards in Delivering Assistance for Victims of Family Violence is still under revision and is expected to be approved by the end of 2010. Based on the above legislation, the Government with the support of UNFPA, has developed the draft of profession specific guidelines for the implementation of legislation in the area of domestic violence for police officers, medical staff and social assistants. The guidelines is currently being reviewed by 	It is reporting period It is reported that currently the National Referral System (NRS) operates in 35 administrative units of Moldova, including 32 rayons, 2 municipalities and one town thorough its multidisciplinary teams - local/regional operational units of the NRS formed of specialists such as social assistants, police, doctors, professors, etc. By 2011, the NRS is slated to be expanded to provide protection and assistance in all rayons throughout the Republic of Moldova. The NRS aims at social and economic empowerment of the disadvantaged groups vulnerable to trafficking in human beings and domestic violence (potential victims/at-risk cases), which includes a specific component for this purpose – pro-active prevention by providing social assistance to potential victims of trafficking in persons and domestic violence. In order to avoid the risk of trafficking in the case of disadvantaged persons, multidisciplinary teams supervised by the NRS Coordination Unit, in co- operation with International Organization for Migration and local NGOs identify and provide individual assistance to potential victims of trafficking in human beings. Other types of support includes – medical and psychological assistance, material support facilitating procedure for obtaining social benefits, legal assistance, assistance in obtaining IDs. Crisis situations are assisted in the

348	Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	<u>(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)</u>	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	 (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) relevant ministries and will be tentatively approved by the end of 2010. The issues of violence against women and domestic violence are specifically targeted in the National Programme on Gender Equality for 2010-2015. During the period of 2009-2010, the representatives of the Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family and civil society have participated in the drafting process of the Council of Europe Convention to prevent and combat domestic violence and violence against women. Upon the realization and approval of the treaty, the Republic of Moldova will automatically become signatory state. UNFPA supported the development of a number of methodological tools for different target groups, including analytical programmes for legal, social and psychological assistance in cases of domestic violence for Master course students; rehabilitation programme for victims of domestic violence, including the Protection Order for judges. Services for victims of domestic violence for assistance and rehabilitation to female victims of domestic violence, centres providing assistance and rehabilitation to female victims of domestic violence, including the Protection Order for judges. Services for victims of domestic violence for assistance and rehabilitation to female victims of domestic violence; counselling services for child victims of domestic violence; centres for assistance and protection of victims and potential victims of trafficking in human beings (THB); family crisis centres; centre for information and counselling for victims of domestic violence; maternal centre providing emergency placement services as well as 	Protection Centre for Victims and Potential Victims of Trafficking in Human Beings (CAPC). The key element of the NRS is the Assistance and Protection Centre for Victims and Potential Victims of Trafficking in Human Beings (CAPC), subordinated to the Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, jointly managed with the International Organization for Migration (IOM). The CAPC is classified as a service provider of high specialization – providing crisis intervention services to victims of domestic violence and victims and potential victims of human trafficking (temporary residence, psychological counselling, social support, medical assistance, legal support, recreational activities). Alongside with the CAPC, four regional assistance centers are operational on the territory of the Republic of Moldova Centres (Cahul, Balti, Causeni, Drochia) offering accommodation, food, legal, social, psychological and urgent medical assistance, security and protection as well as assistance to contact relatives to victims of domestic

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
<u>1011RC/10/+4/Auu.J)</u>		 (APRC)10/44/Add.5 and APRC)10/52/Add.2) temporary placement and counselling services to mother and child-victims of family violence; centre for temporary placement of children at risk; law centre providing network of legal services to victims of domestic violence in four districts: Anenii-Noi, Rezina, Soldanesti, Vulcanesti. The protection and assistance of victims and potential victims of THB is carried out within the National Referral System for Protection and Assistance of Victims and Potential Victims of Trafficking in Human Beings (NRS). The social and economic empowerment of the disadvantaged groups is carried out on a permanent basis within the NRS. Multidisciplinary teams, in co-operation with International Organisation for Migration in Moldova and local NGOs, identify and provide individual assistance to potential victims of THB. Other services include vocational training, employment mediation, business development training and assistance. Special assistance is provided to victims identified abroad who are referred to community services for their social reintegration. The Law on prevention and combating trafficking in human beings provides rehabilitation and recovery of victims of human trafficking, including medical and legal assistance, psychological, material, professional rehabilitation and accommodation. The Chisinau Centre for Protection and Assistance which was institutionalized in 2009 by the Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, offers accommodation for up to 30 days subject to extension for pregnant women. The repatriation procedure for victims of human trafficking is set out by the Regulation on 	assisted during 2010, where approximately 50 % were victims of domestic violence. Many – although not all – of these measures remain dependent upon external and/or international donor assistance. Finally, the development of shelters for victims of domestic violence is reportedly hampered by the lack of a regulatory framework through which NGOs might be supported by the state budget. In the recent period, the Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family has reportedly budgeted for several shelters for victims of domestic violence, but is unable to disburse the funding because of an absence of modalities through which the state might support the activities of non- governmental organisations. The lacuna of a regulatory framework for state support for non-governmental organisations providing services in the public interest should be swiftly rectified. Vulnerable women and girls remain at risk of trafficking for sexual exploitation, while men are exposed to trafficking for labour exploitation, particularly in the agricultural and construction sectors. Children are also trafficked, for forced labour and begging in neighbouring countries. Some victims are trafficked from the breakaway region of Transnistria, in

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Procedure for Repatriation of Children and Adults-Victims of Human Trafficking, Smuggling of Migrants, as well as Unaccompanied Children, approved by Government Decision No. 948 of August 2008. -A hotline run by the NGO International Centre La Strada is available 24 hours a day.	The Government approved the Decision No. 835 of 13 September 2010, of the National Plan for Preventing and Combating Human Trafficking for the years 2010-2011. The National Committee to Combat Trafficking in Human Beings (NCCTHB) is an inter- institutional which has the responsibility to collect and analyze data on trafficking phenomenon as well as on actions against trafficking in human beings and their impact. However, although 4 National Action Plans have been adopted up to this date no national reports have ever been published. ⁵³ The Secretariat of the NCCTHB is not activating on the permanent basis, and its members perform duties on their main workplace
			In 2010, the prosecution bodies reportedly initiated criminal proceedings on 140 cases of trafficking in human beings, in 2008 – 215 cases and in 2009 – 185 cases. The same tendency is noticed in relation to cases of trafficking in children: in $2010 - 21$ cases initiated on trafficking in children in $2009 - 21$, in $2008 - 31$ cases. Many criminal cases initiated on human trafficking are re-qualified at a later stage of the criminal proceedings into other related crimes (pimping, organization of illegal migration, illega taking of children out of the country,

⁵³ Evaluation of the implementation of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings by the parties, Republic of Moldova, Report of the International Centre "La Strada".

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			forced labor). There is still poor capacity of law enforcement agencies in identifying victims of trafficking and in investigating cases of trafficking in persons and related cases. Previous efforts on monitoring activities of the judiciary identified the lack of transparency as a problem. ⁵⁴ Only in a very limited number of cases are victims of trafficking are offered a just satisfaction (compensation) for the non- pecuniary damage caused to them.
			Further identification of systemic problems in the criminal investigation of cases of trafficking in persons is required and follow up activities are required to strengthen the capacities of the law enforcement agencies and courts in examining cases of human trafficking and protection of victims of human trafficking within the criminal proceedings.
			In order to provide protection and assistance to the victims and potential victims of human trafficking, the National Referral System for Assistance and Protection of Victims and Potential Victims of Trafficking (NRS) was established in 2006. The NRS involves social assistants, police, doctors, professors, etc.– and currently covers 32 administrative units of Moldova, including 29 rayons, 2 municipalities

⁵⁴ OSCE Analytic Report on Observance of Fair Trial Standards and Corresponding Rights of Parties During Court Proceedings, www.osce.org/documents/mm/2008/06/31833_en.pdf.

Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
	and one town. One major focus of the NRS since its creation is the "pro-active prevention" focusing on vulnerable persons who, because of their difficult situation, are at risk of being trafficked.
	The Center for Assistance and Protection of victims of trafficking and at risk cases in Chisinau (CAP) remains the main pillar of the NRS highly specialized in providing crisis intervention services to its beneficiaries, including victims of domestic violence. After its institutionalization by the Government in 2008, the CAP is operated currently by the Ministry of Labor, Social Protection and Family (MLSPF). ⁵⁵ However, due to lack of
	sufficient funds in the state budget, the IOM continues to support the Government with funding for staff and assistance services costs. There are also regional assistance centers providing

regional assistance centers providing assistance to this categories of persons (Cahul, Balti, Drochia, Causeni) financed by the Government. The government has invited the Special Rapporteur on Trafficking to visit Moldova. In addition OHCHR, UN Moldova and the Government are

planning a major event on European Anti-Trafficking Day, October 18, 2011, to launch translation of the OHCHR commentary to the UN Recommended Principles and

Situation during the visit

(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)

352

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)

⁵⁵ Government Decision no. 847 of 11.07. 2008

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
<u>. </u>			Guidelines on Human Rights and Human Trafficking, as well as to plan follow-up to the government's National Plan for Prevention and Combating of Trafficking in Human Beings for the Years 2010-2011.
(f) Health-care facilities/psychiatric institutions i) Consider ratifying the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and ensure respect for the safeguards available to patients, in particular their right to free and informed consent in compliance with international standards (see also report A/63/175); ii) Allocate funds necessary to reform the system of psychiatric treatment.	Persons in the psychiatric clinic visited by the Special Rapporteur, in particular those serving court sentences were held in apathy, subject to excessive use of tranquilizers; Lack of clarity of whether the use of tranquilizers ways based on free and informed consent by the patients; The medication given to the partly very young children, especially in terms of tranquilizers, was clearly not suitable; The Ministry of Health recognized that the treatment, which consisted almost exclusively of the use of strong neuroleptics was inadequate and indicated that psychiatric care would be individualized, new treatments developed, and modern drugs purchased once the necessary funds were made available;	Government: Currently the Ministry of Labour, Family and Social Protection undertakes a number of actions in order to prepare the ratification of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, including drafting a strategy on social inclusion of persons with disabilities and adjusting national legislation to international standards in this respect. Within the psychiatric medical institutions, patients are treated with minimum therapeutic doses of psychotropic substances and are involved in the rehabilitation process that includes ergo-therapy through attending the reading room and the gym. The reforms adopted by the administration of the psychiatric medical institutions led to creating and extending the recreational space. The patient is informed of the methods of treatment and the prescribed medicine and is asked to sign the "Consent on hospitalization, investigation and therapeutic procedures provided within the psychiatric hospital". If the patient is unable to sign the form, it will be signed by his or her close relative or legal representative. Within the health facilities, children are treated with the last generation of psychotropic substances calculated in line with international standards by bodyweight. The therapeutic indications are prescribed in accordance with treatment standards and are coordinated with professors of the department of psychiatry, narcology and psychology. Currently, the focus in pedo-psychiatry is based on the use of	Government: i) The Government initiated amendments to the national legal framework in compliance with the international human rights law by adopting the Law on social inclusion in December 2011. The law is ensuring the development and approval of methodology for the identification of disabilities degree in accordance with the WHO standards; adjustment of national legislative-normative framework to the European and international standards on the protection of the rights of persons with disabilities; reorganization of structures and institutions responsible for the coordination of the system of social inclusion of persons with disabilities. ii) Improving the legal framework in providing psychiatric care by ensuring hospital treatment in psychiatric hospitals is carried out with informed consent of the patient/legal representative. According to the Mental Health Act no. 1402 of 16.12.1997 and to the order of the Ministry of Health no. 591 of 20 August 2010, which regulates the activity of the mental health service, hospitalization of patients is determined by the pre informing patient about the

RecommendationSituation during the visitA/HRC/10/44/Add.3)(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
A/HKC/10/44/Add.5) (A/HKC/10/44/Add.5)		
	physiotherapy and physical exercises.	
	 psychotherapy, occupational therapy, physiotherapy and physical exercises. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> On July 9 2010, Parliament approved the ratification of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and on 21 September 2010, it deposited the instrument of ratification. Reforms directed to the implementation of the Convention are in initial stages and are expected to take at least 18 months. Early areas identified for reform in this regard include clarification of the monitoring mechanisms; adoption of a comprehensive anti-discrimination law; reforming civil code provisions on guardianship and trusteeship; ending practices of abusive detention of persons with mental disabilities; reorienting social inclusion systems for the treatment of persons with disabilities in schooling and vocational training. In March 2010, the Ministry of Health Working Group which elaborated a plan of actions intended to address issues of concern raised in the CPT report (CPT/Inf (2008) 39). It generally recognized that the large mental health institutions need to be significantly reorganized and reformed to efficiently redress the situation. In August 2010, the Ministry initiated the revision of about 20 regulations. It is likely that another reform will be needed, as the August 2010 was carried out without extensive consultation. 	mental state, the need for the stationar treatment conditions, with the followin clinical examination in specialize subdivision and pre-informing patien on treatment methods, investigation and possible complications (informe consent form). In subdivisions for treatment of children, hospitalization is made only a a last meaning of therapeut intervention, after having exhausted a alternative treatment modalitie (psychotherapy, rehabilitation if Community Mental Health Centres). Hospitalization in section for children carried out only with the consent of legal representative and with the consent of the child according to the capacity of comprehension. Psychiatric hospital treatment performed in accordance with medicas standards of treatment approved by the Ministry of Health and the Nationas Clinical Protocols of basic diseases. A valuable support in the recover process was received with the opening of the National Center for Menta Health established by the Ministry of Health's Order no. 482 from 13.07.2010. Provision with drugs in psychiatric hospitals is currently at a rate of 60% 40% (classical psychotropic medicines). The implementation of measures of

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
A/IIKC/10/44/Auu.5)	(A/IIKC/10/44/Add.5)	Health Services" along with 24 regulations of	in the reporting period
		service organisation was adopted. National	
		Clinical and Institutional Protocols, containing	
		treatment guidelines have been developed	
		according to the international standards. The	
		funds for centralized purchase of drugs	
		providing free drugs to patients who suffer from	
		chronic mental disabilities, and patients with	
		disabilities of I-II degree, have been increased	
		from 5 mln lei (2008) to 12 mln lei (2009). The	
		in-patients are provided with recent	
		psychoactive medications. Specific measures	
		have been undertaken with the purpose of	
		improving accommodation conditions. Such	
		measures include increasing nutrition from 11	
		lei (2009) to 16 lei (2010) per patient per day,	
		performing reparation in clinical units. Other	
		measures undertaken include:	
		- improved conditions for forced treatment,	
		installed video equipments ensuring safety and	
		protection; improved informed consent upon the	
		admission to the hospital; therapy; and	
		formulation of invasive investigations.	
		- established institutional rehabilitation service	
		for patients with mental disabilities and	
		behaviour disorders.	
		The following documents were drafted:	
		Informative notes/brochures on patients' rights	
		and responsibilities within the psychiatric	
		institutions; Legislation and Norms for the medical and non-medical staff in mental health	
		services.	
		- By the Law No.166 –XVIII of 9 July 2010, the	
		Republic of Moldova ratified the UN	
		Convention on the Rights of Persons with	
		Disabilities. A strategy for social inclusion of	
		persons with disabilities (2010-2013) was	
		developed defining the state policy in the field	
		of social protection of persons with disabilities	
		or soonar protocolori or persons with disubilities	

Þ	
H	
R	
2	
19	
6	
Ē	
dd	
i.	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		and its adjustment to international standards and	
		provisions of the Convention.	
		- On 9 July 2010, the Law on the approval of the	
		Strategy on social inclusion of persons with	
		disabilities (2010-2013) was adopted by the	
		Parliament.	
		- During 2010-2011, the Strategy envisages the	
		elaboration and adoption of the Law on social	
		inclusion of people with disabilities;	
		development and approval of methodology for	
		the identification of disabilities degree in	
		accordance with the WHO standards; adjustment	
		of national legislative-normative framework to	
		the European and international standards on the	
		protection of the rights of persons with	
		disabilities; reorganization of structures and	
		institutions responsible for the coordination of	
		the system of social inclusion of persons with	
		disabilities.	
(g) Transnistrian region of the	Conditions in custody of the	Government: The existence of a secessionist	
Republic of Moldova	militia headquarters in	regime in the Eastern part created serious	
	Tiraspol were in violation of		
i) In addition to the	minimum international	commitments resulting from relevant	
introduction and		international conventions on human rights	
implementation of legal	with few sleeping facilities,	protection and other international treaties to	
safeguards, such as inter alia	almost no daylight and	which Moldova is party throughout the country.	
the reduction of the length of	ventilation, 24 hours	Moldovan authorities do not have access and are	
police custody to a maximum		unable to effectively exercise constitutional	
of 48 hours and the medical	access to food and very poor	prerogatives in the region, because of parallel	
examination of newly arrived	sanitary facilities); A	structures that have usurped local power in this	
detainees in places of	"Human Rights	part of the country. The state of affairs	
detention, establish	Commissioner" had been	concerning torture or cruel, inhuman or	
independent monitoring of	instituted, but does not	degrading treatment and punishment applied to	
places of detention;	undertake monitoring visits	individuals remains unknown. The Government	
	to places of detention. Most	periodically raises awareness of international	
ii) Criminalize torture and	of the Special Rapporteur's	organizations on cases of violations of human	
abolish the death penalty de-	interlocutors expressed	rights and fundamental freedoms by the	
jure.	distrust in this institution;	separatist regime in Tiraspol, aiming at	
	Whereas the Transnistrian	determining it to comply with the rigors of	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
ii) Stop immediately the	"Criminal Code" did not	international standards in this matter.	
practice of solitary	contain the definition of		
confinement for persons	torture required by the	Non-governmental sources: An independent	
sentenced to death and to life	Convention against Torture,	monitoring mechanism for places of detention	
mprisonment.	it criminalized "istyazanie"	has not been established. Transnistrian	
	(torment), to be punished	authorities refused to cooperate with	
	with up to 3 years	international organizations wanting to monitor	
	imprisonment and stated that	places of detention. On 21 July 2010, a	
	it can be combined with	delegation of the Committee against Torture had	
	"torture", to be punished	to interrupt its visit to Transnistria because of	
	with up to 7 years	the lack of guarantees by the official	
	imprisonment;	representatives to interview detainees	
	Although abolitionist in	confidentially. The Transnistrian Ombudsman	
	practice, the death penalty	has not been responsive to the United Nations	
	was still provided for by the	country office's approaches of initiating joint	
	"legislation" of the	visits to places of detention.	
	Transnistrian region of the	- In practice, cases of arbitrary detention have	
	Republic of Moldova;	been regularly reported in Transnistria and the	
	Legislation in force required	Special Rapporteur on Torture has taken them	
	solitary confinement for	up.	
	persons sentenced to capital	- The death penalty has not yet been abolished	
	punishment and to life	as per article 43 of the Transnistrian Criminal	
	imprisonment and prescribed	Code. Torture has not been introduced as a	
	draconic restrictions on	crime in the Criminal Code.	
	contacts with the outside	- The practice of solitary confinement for	
	world.	persons sentenced to death and to life	
		imprisonment has not changed.	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	in the reporting period
(a) Impunity	A statute of limitation of	Government:	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
i) Abolish the statute of	five years was applicable to	i) The statute of limitations is not an impediment	
limitations for crimes of	the crime of torture;	to investigations, since crimes of torture are	
torture;	The law provided for several	investigated vigilantly and in a timely manner.	
ii) Establish effective and	complaints avenues, but the	ii) Since 1996 prosecutors are obliged to make	
accessible complaints	large majority of complaints	daily spot checks at the places of temporary	
mechanisms; and protect	were rejected quasi-	detention. This includes personal and direct	
complainants	automatically;	control of the legality of detention, discussions	
against reprisals;	Ex-officio investigations did	with detainees, as well as reporting the results of	
iii) An independent authority	not function in practice;	these actions, including where necessary,	
with no connection to the	The system of internal	issuing orders to release the persons detained	
body investigating or	remedies was dysfunctional	illegally on remand. Thus, there is a mechanism	
prosecuting the case against	due to:	to record, control and monitor the practice of	
the alleged victim should	a)The routine use of threats	coercive procedural measures and the conditions	
investigate promptly and	and reprisals by the police in		
thoroughly all allegations of			
torture and ill-treatment ex-		iii) In 2007, 1,258 complaints were submitted,	
	filing complaints;	50 criminal proceedings being initiated. In the	
officio; an independent	b)the non-action of the staff	same year, 87 criminal proceedings were	
forensic expert should carry		initiated for excess of power, 55 cases of which	
out an examination in respect	cases of allegations of	were sent to the court, 63 persons being	
of all allegations of torture	torture;	convicted, including 14 persons imprisoned. In	
and ill-treatment;	c) the wide discretion and	2008, 1,128 complaints were submitted, 51	
iv) The Forensic institute	inaction of the prosecutor's	criminal proceedings being initiated. In the same	
should be equipped	office when he receives	year, 73 criminal proceedings were initiated for	
accordingly.	complaints; d) the lack of	excess of power, 46 of which were sent to court,	
	independent medical	as a result of which 36 persons were convicted	
	examination; e) the lack of	and 5 persons were imprisoned. In 2009, 554	
	independence of judges who	complaints have been submitted, 33 criminal	
	in many cases continue to	proceedings were initiated. The same year, 31	
	follow the arguments of the	criminal proceedings have been initiated for	
	prosecutor;	excess of power, 20 of which were sent to court,	
	The State Forensic Institute	16 persons convicted and 1 person was	
	was underequipped.	imprisoned. Most cases investigated concerned	
		the use of force during interrogation for the	
		purpose of securing a confession to improve	
		prosecution statistics.	
		The Interior Ministry has internally examined	
		135 criminal cases, including 39 cases regarding	
		excess of power, 17 cases regarding torture and	

ecommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
2,111(0,10,1,1,110,000)	(121110,10,1,1,1,1,0,0,0)	4 cases for coerced declarations, 19 of which	in the reporting period
		had resulted in the dismissal of staff.	
		It should be noted that during 2008, no new	
		cases of torture or inhuman or degrading	
		treatment were registered in the penitentiary	
		system. An exception is the case of an employee	
		of Prison No. 1, who was sentenced, in	
		accordance with article 328 paragraph 2 (c) of	
		the Criminal Code on 9 Dec. 08, to a fine and	
		the deprivation of the right to hold public	
		functions for a period of 3 years, for committing	
		actions that humiliated the dignity of a prisoner	
		on 29 Dec. 07. In 2009 no cases of torture were	
		registered in the penitentiary system. During this	
		year the Penitentiary Institutions Department of	
		the Ministry of Justice initiated 2 internal	
		investigations regarding the alleged ill-treatment	
		of two detainees, from which one of the cases	
		was sent to the Prosecutor Office. The facts	
		alleged in the second case have not been	
		confirmed.	
		Of 473 petitions examined in 2008 by the	
		Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA), 38	
		concerned cases of ill-treatment and illegal	
		detention of citizens. In 18 cases false facts were	
		reported; in 15 cases the facts have been	
		confirmed (employees have been sanctioned	
		disciplinarily); in 19 cases the files were	
		submitted to the prosecution bodies (for criminal	
		procedure), and in 6 cases the petitions were	
		sent to judicial courts (for examination of	
		criminal cases filed by the petitioners). During	
		the first 10 months of 2009 the MIA examined	
		334 petitions, of which 33 cases concerned	
		mistreatment of persons by police employees. In	
		11 cases the alleged mistreatment was not	
		confirmed; in 4 cases the court applied an	
		administrative fine to both sides of the conflict;	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		in 2 cases the investigation was suspended until	
		the decision on the criminal case was issued; in	
		16 cases the files were sent to the prosecutor, of	
		which no criminal procedure was commenced in	
		2 cases (the employees were only warned about	
		the due treatment of citizens).	
		Thus, 204 criminal cases were initiated in 2009,	
		compared with 215 during the same period of	
		the previous year. 60 of these concerned cases	
		of excess of power (59 in 2008), 34 cases of	
		torture (13 in 2008), and 5 cases of coercion to	
		make statements (12 in 2008).	
		20 police employees were dismissed following a	
		court decision.	
		The examination of such cases reveal that police	
		officers commit actions that clearly exceed the	
		limits of rights and powers granted to them by	
		law; they apply force and violence, and torture	
		people for the following reasons:	
		- To obtain evidence by illegal means;	
		- To pursue personal and material	
		interests;	
		- To demonstrate the superiority over the	
		victims and to neglect the general rules	
		of conduct;	
		- Because of lack of knowledge of the	
		law and work duties; and	
		- Other reasons.	
		Most of the circumstances described in the	
		complaints of citizens are not sufficient to start a	
		criminal prosecution.	
		Taking into account the necessity to ensure the	
		impartiality of prosecutors in the investigation	
		process of cases of torture and abuse of power,	
		by General Prosecutor's Order of 19 Nov. 07	
		regarding the investigation of cases of torture,	
		degrading and inhuman treatment, the territorial	
		and specialized prosecutors were required to	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		designate a prosecutor responsible for	
		documenting and examining allegations of	
		torture and ensuring the security of victims. This	
		person is not involved in other activities, in	
		order to exclude partiality in investigations of	
		allegations of torture. Following the decision of	
		the General Prosecutor or his or her deputies,	
		the military prosecutor offices of Chisinau, Balti	
		and Cahul investigate cases of torture, inhuman	
		and degrading treatment, respectively, in the	
		centre, North and South of the country, while	
		the Department on Criminal Investigation of	
		Exceptional Cases of the General Prosecutor's	
		Office investigates the most severe cases of	
		torture, inhuman and degrading treatment.	
		In accordance with the above-mentioned acts,	
		prosecutors are required, whenever a reasonable	
		suspicion exists that the crime of torture has	
		been committed, to immediately start criminal	
		investigations. Following the initiation of	
		criminal proceedings, prosecutors of Chisinau	
		municipality and Gagauzia may withdraw the	
		criminal cases from the prosecutors in these	
		territorial units, appointing a special prosecutor	
		to carry out further investigations.	
		A directive of the Prosecutor's Office has been	
		issued to improve forensic documentation;	
		however, further measures are still needed to	
		provide for effective forensic examination.	
		iv) To date, the Legal Medical Centre presented	
		a demand related to the necessary equipment for	
		its laboratories. Thus, the Centre has been	
		included in the list of bodies of the Health	
		System, which will benefit from humanitarian	
		aid. During 2009, the Legal Medical Centre has	
		developed some proposals for several external	
		assistance projects, with the purpose of	
		strengthening the existing laboratory capacities	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	(and establishing a genetic laboratory within the Centre – these proposals were submitted for consideration to UNDP, the Government of Japan, etc. At the same time, due to financial constraints, it has been impossible to increase the financing of the Legal Medical Centre. The Legal Medical Centre has undertaken inter alia a training course for medical-legal experts on the investigation of torture cases and other ill-treatment.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Out of 554 complaints only one perpetrator was sentenced to imprisonment for torture in 2009; thus, the investigation cannot be regarded to be efficient, operative and impartial. Forensic doctors try to cover up torture, rather than document it.	
		 Non-governmental sources: Investigative bodies fail to carry out prompt, thorough and independent investigations into allegations of torture. Police officers have not been suspended from their official duties during the investigation of complaints lodged against them, contrary to European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) jurisprudence (ECtHR, Valeriu and Nicolae Rosca vs. Moldova). This has contributed to impunity. An investigation into a case of alleged torture that took place in 2005 was only launched in 	
		 that took place in 2005 was only launched in July 2009, after the ruling of the ECHR against Moldova in the case of Gorgurov v. Moldova. Despite the ruling, the Government has failed to comply with the remedy requirements. Officers responsible for acts of torture remain unpunished. Article 60 of the Criminal Code, according to which prosecutions for serious crimes can take 	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
1/11(C/10/++/1(du.5)	(10111(0) +4/11(0.5))	place up to 15 years after the crime has	in the reporting period
		occurred, has not yet been amended.	
		- The Criminal Procedural Code allows for	
		defence lawyers to request the suspension of a	
		suspect without pay.	
		- Although a special group of military	
		prosecutors was established within the General	
		Prosecutor's Office to investigate the allegations	
		of torture occurred during the April 2009 event,	
		there have been concerns as to its impartiality.	
		After a year, most of the trials are still pending	
		and are subject to constant delays.	
		- On 20 October 2009, the Investigation	
		Commission on the Elucidation of the Causes	
		and Consequences of the Events, an ad-hoc	
		commission made up of 9 members of	
		parliament, was established to investigate the	
		"causes and consequences of the April 2009	
		events".	
		- On 7 May 2010, the Commission presented to	
		the Parliament a well-documented report with	
		reference to arbitrary arrests, wide use of	
		disproportionate and abusive force in custody	
		and violent measures undertaken in the	
		aftermath of the April 2009 events.	
		- As of June 2010, 108 complaints of torture by	
		police officers had been received by the Office	
		of Prosecutor General and 54 criminal	
		investigations had been initiated in connection	
		with the April 2009 events. Approximately 24	
		dossiers concerning 39 police officers were	
		taken to the Courts for further investigation. As	
		of September 2010, there were no convictions	
		related to torture or other ill-treatment by police	
		officers in connection with the events of April	
		2009. Most of the charges against the police	
		officers are under article 327 (abuse of power)	
		or article 328 (misuse of power), although some	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
A/HKC/10/44/Add.5)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		in the reporting period
		have also been brought under article 309/1	
		(torture) of the Penal Code, particularly in	
		connection with several high-profile cases.	
		- Police and security personnel have reportedly intimidated human rights defenders and victims	
		of the April 2009 events.	
		- In practice, most investigations do not meet the	
		minimum requirements and are mostly delayed.	
		In many cases, even where there are credible	
		allegations of torture, prosecutors are apparently	
		reluctant to initiate investigations. There appears	
		to be systemic bias against detained suspects of	
		crimes in favor of police investigators, even	
		when there is enough evidence of torture and ill-	
		treatment. Some prosecutors have also	
		reportedly tried to influence and intimidate	
		victims of torture into withdrawing complaints.	
		- Some progress has been made into the	
		investigation of abuses of juveniles by the police	
		or public servants after a campaign against	
		torture started two years ago. Complaints	
		against police officers are being investigated by	
		prosecutors from a different district in order to	
		safeguard against tolerance or complicity. The	
		number of police officers prosecuted has	
		increased, and some have been given prison	
		sentences Although the complaints against	
		police officers for juvenile suspects' abuse are	
		reportedly less common, the blanket denial and	
		the absence of complaints about police	
		misconduct against children lacks credibility	
		and reinforces the impression that there is little	
		political will to eradicate abuse.	
		- Although the Centre for Human Rights plays	
		valuable role in monitoring the treatment of	
		juvenile suspects and prisoners and in bringing	
		cases to the attention of the responsible	
		authorities, criminal and administrative	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		the forensic examination of torture and other forms of ill-treatment, as a key strategic element in comprehensive, integrated, holistic efforts to end torture and related forms of ill-treatment in Moldova". It provides forensic expert training on identification and documentation of cases of torture. It also provides the Centre with	
		torture. It also provides the Centre with technical equipment for its regional and laboratory departments.	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		- In accordance with the Decision of the	
		Parliament of the Republic of Moldova on the	
		approval of the structure of the General	
		Prosecutor Office No 77 of 04 April 2010, and	
		the General Prosecutor Order No 365-p of 24	
		April 2010, a new Section on combating torture	
		was established as a subdivision of the General	
		Prosecutor's Office, to study the phenomena of	
		torture and ill-treatment as a whole in order:	
		- to identify and establish all factors, causes and	
		conditions that permit the existence of those	
		phenomena and to propose concrete and	
		adequate solutions and measures for their	
		liquidation;	
		- to analyze the investigation of cases of torture,	
		elucidating the problems which appear within	
		the investigation and prosecution process of the	
		allegations of torture and ill-treatment;	
		- to take all legal measures to compensate the	
		victims for the harm and to reinstate them;	
		- to prosecute the cases of torture with an	
		increased social importance, etc.	
		In accordance with the Order of the General	
		Prosecutor of November 2010, the Section for	
		combating torture shall be informed within 24	
		hours about each case or allegation of torture	
		that happened on the entire territory of the	
		Republic of Moldova.	
		One prosecutor (in some cases more than one)	
		was nominated in each prosecutorial territorial	
		office to carry out the examination of the	
		allegations and prosecution of criminal cases on	
		Coercion to Testify (art. 309 Criminal Code),	
		Torture (art. 309/1 Criminal Code), Excess of	
		Power or Excess of Official Authority (art. 328	
		(2) lit. a) and c), the crimes prescribed in 328 (3))
		and Acts of Violence against a Serviceperson	
		(art. 368 Criminal Code). To assure their	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
11/111(C/10/77/11uu.J)	114 m.C/10/77/1100.5)	independence, prosecutors in charge of the	in the reporting period
		investigation of cases on torture, inhuman and	
		ill-treatment, nominated by the order of the chief	
		prosecutor, shall not be implicated nor have any	
		relations with the activities of the territorial	
		subdivisions of the MIA or Centre for	
		Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
		(CCECC).	
(b) Safeguards and prevention	The law provided for a limit	Government : In order to develop collaboration	
		between the representatives of the healthcare	
custody to a time limit in line	which the person is to be	and internal affairs authorities, the Order of the	
with international standards	brought before a judge,	Ministry of Health and MIA no. 372/388 of 3	
(maximum 48 hours), after		November 2009 was issued. According to its	
which transfer the detainees		provisions, the healthcare facility managers shall	
to a pre-trial facility, where	the crime;	inform immediately the police authorities	
no further unsupervised		regarding the healthcare assistance granted to	
contact with the interrogator	Police detention of minors	persons with injuries acquired as a result of an	
or investigator should be	could be prolonged by 30	offence, traffic accident or sudden death. In	
permitted;	days up to 4 months;	cases when injuries derive from illegal actions	
ii) Ensure that no confessions		of law enforcement authorities, the health care	
made by persons in custody	Prolongation of police	facility managers shall inform immediately the	
without the presence of a	detention was decided by the	territorial or specialized prosecutor office.	
lawyer that are not confirmed	investigating judge upon	Concerning the medical certification of	
before a judge are admissible	request of the prosecutor;	detainees who claim physical injuries, all the	
as evidence against the		cases referring to the incidents from the	
persons who made the	De-facto, most detainees	penitentiary institutions, including cases of	
confession; Shift the burden	were kept in police custody	detecting physical injuries, are compulsorily to	
of proof to the prosecution to	for several weeks/months	be sent to the Prosecutor's Office and to the	
prove beyond reasonable	and regularly returned there	Ombudsmen.	
doubt that the confession was		The Medical Service examines the detainees on	
not obtained under any kind	for their trial or appeal,	their arrival to the penitentiary in view of	
of duress;		proving the presence of any physical injuries or	
iii) Judges, prosecutors and	to reprisals;	other signs of violence, in accordance with	
medical personnel should		article 251 (3) of the Enforcement Code and	
routinely ask persons arriving		article 25 of the Statute on the Execution of	
from police custody how they	confessions obtained under	Sentences by Convicts. The administration of	
have been treated;	torture were not excluded as	the institution is obliged to inform, in writing	
iv) Consider video and audio	evidence during court	and in the shortest time possible, the	

<
HR
Q
19
61
A
dd
సు

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
taping interrogations;	proceedings, in	Penitentiary Institutions Department, the	
v) Regularly and following	contravention of the national	territorial Prosecutor's Office and the Human	
each transfer of a detainee	legislation; numerous reports	Rights Centre about the physical injuries of	
undertake medical	that judges, prosecutors and	detainees arriving in the penitentiary.	
examinations;	other actors in the criminal	The notes received by the Penitentiary	
vi) Bring the legal safeguards	law cycle routinely ignored	Institutions Department and delivered to the	
for administrative detainees	allegations of torture;	Medical Division are included in a special	
in line with international	C ,	database. From the beginning of 2009, 13 cases	
standards (limit to 48 hours,	The burden of proof was on	of physical injuries have been registered, of	
access to a lawyer etc.);	the victim;	which 2 cases were reported by the MIA.	
vii) Ensure that the sound	No tape or video recording	Police officers are obliged to supervise the work	
legal basis of the National	during interrogations;	of the paramedics during the medical	
Preventive Mechanism		examination of the detainees of the temporary	
(NPM) translates in its	Paramedics were present in	detention facilities (isolators), issuing two	
effective functioning in	detention facilities of the	copies of medical records. These activities and	
practice, including through	police and the penitentiary	organizational practices confirm where the	
allocation of budgetary and	system during working	person was detained, and that the detained	
human resources.	hours on weekdays, but the	person was not tortured or mistreated. The	
	rules did not spell out when	paramedics employed are cumulatively paid by	
	medical examinations should	the police stations, at a rate of 0.5% of their	
	take place;	salary.	
		The NPM has carried out approximately 90	
	Amendments to the Law on	visits to places of detention in 2009. They met	
	Parliamentary Advocates	10 persons who stated that they had been ill-	
	adopted had led to the	treated and 27 persons with visible marks. Some	
	establishment of an	members of the Consultative Council have been	
	independent "Consultative	restricted access to places of detention or have	
	Council", which has been	been confronted with considerable delays.	
	designated as National	Regarding the legal basis of the NPM, on 26	
	Preventive Mechanism	July 07, the Parliament adopted Law no. 200,	
	(NPM); complaints about	amending and supplementing the Law on the	
	insufficient resources.	Parliamentary Ombudsmen, thus assigning the	
		mandate of the NPM to the Parliamentary	
		Ombudsmen. In view of achieving the	
		involvement of civil society, a Consultative	

Council was established, with the purpose of providing advice and assistance in exercising the Parliamentary Ombudsmen's liabilities as NPM.

368

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
A/IINC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/IIIC/10/44/Aaa.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 and A/HRC/10/52/Add.2) Given the need to supplement the Consultative	in the reporting period
		Council with 5 members, the Centre for Human	
		Rights announced a call in this respect, but	
		e 1	
		because the number of applications was insufficient, it was decided to extend the	
		deadline for submission until 13 November	
		2009.	
		During the first 9 months of the 2009, 117	
		preventive visits were carried out. Out of these,	
		31 were carried out by the members of the	
		Consultative Council and 11 by the	
		Parliamentary Ombudsmen and officials from	
		the Centre for Human Rights.	
		Observations of the Special Rapporteur during	
		his visit in September 2009: The NPM still faces	
		a number of challenges: firstly, the legal basis	
		for this mechanism is rather ambiguous, which	
		has led to different interpretations regarding	
		which entity constitutes the NPM. From the side	
		of the Ministry of Justice, it is argued that the	
		Parliamentary Ombudsperson is the NPM.	
		However, even the Ombudsman in charge, as	
		well as other relevant actors, including	
		international bodies such as the Council of	
		Europe's Commissioner on Human Rights, have	
		clearly stated that the NPM is comprised of the	
		Consultative Council, under the chair of the	
		Parliamentary Ombudsperson. The Special	
		Rapporteur reiterates that only the latter	
		interpretation is in line with OPCAT and the	
		Paris Principles. Another problem is that	
		although the NPM is meant to be comprised of	
		11 members, currently only six members serve	
		on this mandate (including the Ombudsman).	
		The Special Rapporteur wishes to emphasize that although international organisations have	
		indicated their willingness to support the NPM,	
		multated then withingness to support the NPM,	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		including adequate pay for its members, the State has the primary obligation to provide sufficient resources.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although the law	
		requires that persons be transferred within 72	
		hours, in practice, persons are held in police	
		custody for up to one year.	
		Lawyers often do not have access to their	
		clients. The legal assistance provided to torture victims does not comply with international	
		standards.	
		Sumulas.	
		Non-governmental sources: On 14 March 2008,	
		Parliament amended the Criminal Procedural	
		Code by adding article 3-1, which stipulates that	
		the burden of proof in cases of torture lies with the institution in which the detainee was held.	
		This would appear to be a positive development,	
		but the practice shows that, despite the law	
		reform, the burden of proof still lies with the	
		victim.	
		No legislative initiatives in reducing the period	
		of police custody (up to 72 hours), are	
		reportedly in progress. Persons arrested under a	
		warrant issued by a judge and persons convicted to administrative ("contraventional") arrest	
		should be detained in detention facilities of	
		Ministry of Justice.	
		- Although efforts were undertaken by the	
		Ministry of Justice and the Ministry of Internal	
		Affairs in 2010 to ensure the custody of person	
		initially arrested, there are still cases where	
		detainees are held in police stations for several	
		weeks. Persons have also been reportedly returned to police custody, including for "further	
		investigation", which makes them vulnerable to	
		reprisals in the event of filing a complaint about	
		ill-treatment.	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/MKU/10/44/Add.5)	(A/MKC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) - Juveniles suspected of an offence may not be	in the reporting period
		kept in police custody for more than 24 hours,	
		and the detention of juveniles during the	
		investigation may not exceed four months.	
		- A publicly-funded legal assistance programme	
		was established.	
		- There is no time limit on trials or appeals or on	
		detention during trial and appeal. Some cases of	
		detention for a year or more are still reported.	
		Conditions in the pre-trial detention facility	
		where most juveniles are detained are inhuman,	
		and disciplinary sanctions violate international	
		standards.	
		- There have been no reported cases of refugees	
		or asylum-seekers being placed in police	
		custody or detention.	
		- Testimonies obtained through torture are not	
		excluded from criminal proceedings. Often, the	
		first hearing of detained persons takes place	
		without the presence of a lawyer.	
		- Complaints related to the confidentiality of	
		meetings with lawyers are often not considered.	
		State-appointed lawyers act superficially and	
		tend to cooperate greatly with the police.	
		- Judges, prosecutors and medical personnel do	
		not generally ask about details of the treatment	
		while in custody.	
		- In practice, interrogations are not recorded on	
		audio or on video.	
		- The National Forensic Centre only documents	
		the results of forensic examination superficially,	
		often failing to meet international standards. It is	
		not independent.	
		- Although the law requires a doctor and/or	
		penal institution to inform the chief prosecutor	
		about evidences of torture or other ill-treatment,	
		in some cases no action is taken to this effect.	
		Evidence of psychological trauma or other	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(10/11/(0/10/44/11/10/05)	(11/11/0/10/44/11/0.5)	indications of torture are generally not	in the reporting period
		undertaken, which leads to under-	
		documentation. Domestic courts favour the	
		official over independent medical sources	
		provided by victims or their representatives.	
		- The preventive medical examination of	
		detainees in prisons does not allow for the	
		proper documentation of torture.	
		- Although access by the NPM to places of	
		detention has reportedly improved, it remains de	
		facto dysfunctional. Efforts to improve	
		functionality of the NPM have been seriously	
		hindered by an internal conflict between the	
		Ombudsman (chairman of NPM) and three	
		members of the Consultative Council.	
		- In November 2009, the Committee Against	
		Torture issued a detailed recommendation	
		(CAT/C/MDA/CO/2) regarding the	
		improvement of the NPM's functionality	
		through strengthening its independence and	
		capacity.	
		- The NPM is not well-known to the public at	
		large. It does not have a separate budget line or	
		other resources that might be managed for the	
		specialized purposes.	
		- An Action Plan on the Protection of Children's	
		Rights and Prevention and Combating of	
		Juvenile Delinquency covering 2008–2010 was	
		adopted, but implementation has been minimal.	
		Efforts undertaken by the juvenile inspectors	
		and the Commissions on Minors in the area of	
		prevention were not effective.	
		- No prevention programmes directed	
		specifically at children at high risk of offending	
		(secondary prevention) exist.	
		- The law and procedures concerning young	
		children involved in criminal conduct are poorly	
		defined. In particular, compliance with the 'last	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
(c) Institutional reforms (c) Institutional reforms (c) Continue and accelerate reforms of the prosecutor's office, the police and the penitentiary system with a view to transforming them into truly client-oriented bodies that operate transparently, including through modernized and demilitarized training; (ii) Strengthen the independence of the judiciary; make judges aware of their responsibilities with regard to torture prevention; (iii) Conceive the system of execution of punishments and its legal framework in a way that truly aims at rehabilitation and reintegration of offenders, in particular through abolishing restrictive detention rules and maximizing contact with the outside world;	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3) Lack of independence of judges who in many cases continued to follow the arguments of the prosecutor without intervening in cases of alleged torture; The legal framework and penitentiary policies in Moldova were punitive, directed at locking people up, rather than aimed at reintegrating prisoners, in particular extremely restrictive visiting policies and numerous constraints on contacts with the outside world; Common problems at all pre- and post-trial prisons were poor hygienic conditions, restricted access to health care and lack of	 (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) resort' principle is not required and the right to legal assistance in such proceedings is not recognized. During the last five years, the number of juvenile prisoners serving sentences has fluctuated between a high of 138 in 2006 and a low of 32 in May-June 2009. The number of juveniles confined in the 'special school' for children has fallen by almost 90 per cent during the period 2001–2008. Government: Although relevant trainings and seminars are taking place, there is still need for administrative and institutional measures including further trainings in the MIA and involvement of all actors in torture prevention. The MIA is in the process of implementing the Institutional Development Plan for 2009-10, which was developed in the context of reforms of the entire central public administration. In this view, the Ministry aligns to European standards and adjusts the existing legal framework to the EU acquis of decentralization, demilitarization and de-politicization of its activities, improving the management of service to society. In addition, the Ministry has organized and conducted instructive methodological seminars for leadership and personnel of subdivisions of the criminal prosecution. The seminars concerned different topics, the main emphasis being on the observance of the law by police officers in the criminal investigation work. The Ministry set up a committee on fundamental rights and freedoms of citizens. In the same context, it issued an ordinance on procedural time limits, according to which prosecution officers must provide a report to the Ministry with a view to control and coordinate the 	in the reporting period

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
iv) Take further steps to	1 /	extension of periods of arrest. Furthermore,	
improve food and access to	The periods of pre-trial	billboards on rights and obligations of persons	
health care;	detention were extensive;	suspected, detained, arrested and prosecuted	
v) Strengthen further non-	several of his interviewees	were set up. Similarly, all sections of the	
custodial measures before and		prosecution authorities were provided with	
after trial.	years in detention without a	models of procedural documents, such as	
	final judgment.	minutes/process-verbaux of apprehension and	
	innai juuginent.	explanations of the rights and obligations of the	
		detained persons, compiled by the General	
		Prosecutor's Office.	
		The preliminary report regarding the respect of	
		rights of detained persons, elaborated by the	
		Institute for Penal Reform within the project	
		"Strengthening Criminal Justice System Reform	
		in Moldova", was sent to the heads of criminal	
		prosecution bodies of territorial subdivisions, in	
		order to undertake measures to eliminate any	
		violations in the future. The MIA participated in	
		the working group set up by the General	
		Prosecutor's Office, where the draft of the	
		'Instructions on how to grant visits and	
		telephone conversations to persons detained in	
		preventive arrest' was elaborated.	
		A law was drafted to amend some legislative	
		acts for the re-examination of the applicable	
		disciplinary regime for judges, which represents	
		a balance between the guarantee of the judges'	
		independence and the necessity of sanctioning a	
		judge in case his or her behaviour necessitates	
		such action.	
		In order to ensure the impartiality of judges, an	
		amendment to the law is proposed, introducing	
		an obligation of the judge to inform the	
		president of the court and the Superior Council	
		of Magistrates about any attempt to influence	
		the process of decision making.	
		Regarding conflicts of interest, a draft law is	
		proposed to complete article 15 of the Law on	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
0/11/(C/10/++//1uu.5)	(10/11(0) ++/11(0.5))	the Status of Judges, which would stipulate the	in the reporting period
		obligation of the judge to present a declaration	
		regarding his or her personal interests.	
		A reform of the judicial organizational system is	
		proposed, which includes the abolition of	
		specialized law courts (Chisinau Economical	
		District Court, Military Court, Economical	
		Appeals Court, Miniary Court, Economical Appeals Court). The specialized courts' duties	
		shall be taken over by the courts of common	
		jurisdiction.	
		In March 09, a decision of the Supreme Court	
		was issued, clarifying many aspects of case law	
		concerning Art. 3 ECHR. There have been 24	
		ECtHR judgments against Moldova under Art.	
		3. Aspects of the Plenum decision include the	
		requirement that detainees are registered; the	
		registration of officials present; that the person	
		not be interrogated in the absence of an "escort";	
		and an unbiased investigation into allegations of	
		ill-treatment. The Superior Council has held	
		seminars on Article 3 and the case law against	
		Moldova.	
		One of the objectives of the continuous training	
		of judges is their conscious involvement in the	
		eradication of torture. In this context, thematic	
		seminars on preventive arrest were organized,	
		especially for instruction judges, judges,	
		prosecutors and lawyers.	
		From the beginning of 2008 the Ministry of	
		Justice has undertaken numerous activities in the	
		field of promotion of human rights. Within the	
		Training on Human Rights the following topics	
		were included: "The minimum standards of	
		maintenance of convicted persons", "The	
		European Convention for the Prevention of	
		Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or	
		Punishment", "The Universal Declaration of	
		Human Rights" and "The national, regional and	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		international mechanisms of human rights	
		protection".	
		The regimes in the penitentiaries have been	
		established according to the Execution Code and	
		are characteristic to each type of penitentiary,	
		which represents different stages of the	
		punishment of deprivation of liberty. Thus, the practice envisages different stages within the	
		respective process. The first one (the initial	
		regime), a reduced period compared to the total	
		term of the sentence, represents the stage of	
		ensuring the adaptation of the person to the	
		penitentiary environment and an evaluation	
		according to rules nos. 16, 51, and 52 of the	
		Recommendations of the Committee of	
		Ministries of the CoE no. R2006 (2).	
		Generally speaking, the appreciation that the	
		penitentiary policy is still punitive does not	
		correspond to reality. Thus, the provisions	
		regarding the regimes of detention (art. 269 to	
		273 Execution Code) constitute a progressive	
		evolution of rights of detainees in relation to	
		their behaviour. The enforcement depends on	
		the punishment and the behaviour of the	
		detainees, the danger they represent, based on an	
		evaluation of the personality and behaviour, as	
		well as on their individual plan of serving the	
		sentence.	
		Regarding visits, the law stipulates the right of	
		the detainee to at least one visit a month.	
		Nevertheless, depending on the behaviour of the	
		convicted person, the penitentiary	
		administration can grant additional visits.	
		Consequently, depending on his/her behaviour	
		and his/her attitude towards labour (remunerated	
		or not), the convicted person may benefit from	
		22 long- and short-term visits during a year. The	
		penitentiary program includes also educational	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
n/11NC/10/44/Auu.J)	(A/IIIC/10/44/Auu.J)	training, labour and sport activities etc., which,	in the reporting period
		along with social assistance granted by the	
		administration, contribute to the reintegration of	
		the convicted persons into society.	
		According to art. 227, 228 and 229 of the	
		Execution Code, detainees have access to	
		information, files, correspondence and telephone	
		calls and can address correspondence to law	
		enforcement bodies, central public authorities	
		and international intergovernmental	
		organizations. Post boxes were installed in all	
		prisons and letters are collected by employees of	
		the Moldova Post.	
		A number of deficiencies of conditions of	
		detention have been asserted in 21 temporary	
		detention facilities (isolators) of the territorial	
		police commissariats. As a result, relevant	
		letters have been addressed to commissariats in	
		Balti, Basarabeasca, Cimislia, Soldanesti,	
		Rezina, Vulcanesti, Comrat, Leova, Orhei,	
		Cahul, Causeni, Anenii-Noi, Gagauzia and	
		Floresti with deadlines for proper actions to be	
		undertaken to redress the situation.	
		Despite the undertaken measures to create	
		decent conditions of detention, in some	
		temporary detention isolators the situation	
		remains complicated:	
		- lack of natural illumination and ventilation in	
		cells (Balti, Bender, Anenii-Noi, Basarabeasca,	
		Cahul, Causeni, Cimislia, Drochia, Floresti,	
		Hincesti, Leova, Riscani, Singerei, Soldanesti,	
		Soroca, Telenesti, Comrat, Vulcanesti and the	
		Operative Service Department of the MIA);	
		- lack of mattresses, blankets and pillows (Balti,	
		Bender, Anenii-Noi, Basarabeasca, Causeni,	
		Floresti, Hincesti, Leova, Rezina, Riscani,	
		Soldanesti, Telenesti, Vulcanesti);	
		- lack of adequate sanitary facilities in cells	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
<u>. </u>		(Bender, Anenii-Noi, Basarabeasca, Cahul,	
		Causeni, Cimislia, Floresti, Hincesti, Leova,	
		Nisporeni, Ocnita, Rezina, Riscani, Singerei, Soldanesti, Telenesti, Comrat, Vulcanesti and	
		the Operative Service Department of the MIA).	
		The quality of the food has been improved and	
		detainees are now given something to eat three	
		times per day.	
		In 2008, 2,878 persons were detained, out of	
		which 2,037 were under preventive detention. In	
		2009, 2,644 persons were detained, out of which 1.784 persons were under preventive detention.	
		1.784 persons were under preventive detention.	
		Non-governmental sources: The criminal	
		investigation bodies continue to have a punitive	
		attitude.	
		Non-governmental sources: As of 2010, the	
		prosecutor's offices, the police and the	
		penitentiary system still operate on the basis of	
		the soviet structure, and are fully militarized. In	
		2010, several efforts to demilitarize the	
		prosecutor's office failed. - Moldovan legislation and practice are	
		incompatible with international standards as far	
		as the use of isolation or solitary confinement as	
		a disciplinary measure for juveniles is	
		concerned.	
		- Although the establishment in 2010 of two	
		separate units for the investigation of torture and	
		juvenile justice within the General Prosecutor's Office and in each regional prosecutor's office	
		is a positive development, there are some	
		concerns as to the effectiveness and	
		independence of the new anti-torture	
		prosecutors.	
		- Despite some positive developments, the	
		Government has not done much to promote the	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
1/11/C/10/44/11uu.5)	(1/11/(7/10/44/11/11/15))	independence of the judiciary. The Ministry of	in the reporting period
		Justice has repeatedly been involved in	
		removing judges, including Supreme Court	
		judges, including for highly questionable	
		reasons such as "losing cases in Strasbourg" and	
		for intentionally delaying the prosecution of	
		eminent cases linked to the April 2009 events.	
		- On 30 October 2009, the Supreme Court of	
		Justice issued a guidance decision on the	
		application of article 3 of the European	
		Convention of Human Rights in domestic	
		courts. This non-binding guidance, while not	
		perfect, provides important guidance for the	
		judiciary.	
		- Although the Enforcement Code has various	
		provisions with respect to the rights of inmates	
		to hold private correspondence and telephone	
		conversations and receive food parcels, these	
		rights are not envisaged adequately due to, for	
		example, lack of public telephones in most	
		institutions and a lack of clear regulations for	
		appointments.	
		- The criminal subculture and prisoner's	
		hierarchy is partially supported by the prisons'	
		administrations as a mechanism of non-formal	
		control over the detainees.	
		- The problem of food shortage in penitentiary	
		institutions remains. In 2007, the amount	
		allocated from the state budget for feeding	
		prisoners constituted 53.2% of the minimum	
		necessary, in 2008 - 49.8%, and from January to	
		August 2009 it was 64.4%, average being	
		allocated about 3.6 lei per day for a prisoner.	
		Average daily dietary amount of value remains	
		two times smaller than required by law.	
		- During the period of 2008-2009, the	
		Government undertook renovation in the	
		medical centre of women's penitentiary in	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(1) 11(C/10/++/1(dd.5))	(10/11/0/44/14/13)	Rusca. The Centre includes a gynaecology	in the reporting period
		office, a dentist, an internal therapy-medicine	
		office and a clinical laboratory. UNFPA	
		complemented these efforts by providing	
		medical equipment and furniture.	
		- In September 2010, probation services were	
		transferred to the Department of Penitentiary	
		Services. It is unclear, however, whether this	
		reform will have positive impact due to widely	
		practiced disciplinary attitude among probation	
		staff. The pre-trial probation reports are used	
		only in a limited number of cases.	
		- There is only limited implementation of the	
		2008 Law on Mediation due to the lack of and	
		undeveloped capacity of mediators and to the	
		actual performance indicators stimulating the	
		investigation bodies not to interrupt the	
		investigation where relevant, but to send it to the	
		courts.	
		- The current arrangements have been described	
		by experts as being very weak in the area of	
		rehabilitation and social integration of victims.	
		- The Committee on the Rights of the Child	
		noted with regret that some of its concerns and	
		recommendations regarding juvenile justice had	
		not been adequately addressed, and reiterated its	
		previous recommendation that a separate system	
		of juvenile justice fully in line with the	
		Convention be established.	
		(CRC/C/MDA/CO/3, paras. 7 and 73).	
		- No document containing a global strategy for	
		juvenile justice reform exists. A strategy can be	
		inferred from the programme document of the	
		2003-2005 and 2008-2011 juvenile justice	
		projects.	
		The programme document of the 2008-2011 had	
		the following three 'priority areas' and three	
		'secondary areas': continued legal reform;	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	()	development of a probation service; revision of	
		effective legal assistance; provision of services	
		to children in detention; prevention of juvenile	
		delinquency; and training of juvenile justice	
		professionals.	
		Although the stated objectives are broad, the	
		project is more holistic, in particular in	
		addressing the need for more coherent	
		prevention policies and programmes. There are	
		still some gaps, however. Emphasis on diversion	
		and alternative sentences seems to come at the	
		expense of attention to the programmes applied	
		in correctional facilities and services for	
		juveniles leaving correctional facilities and	
		returning to the community. Accountability is	
		not addressed directly by the project.	
		- NGOs played a small role in the	
		implementation of the 2008–2011 project.	
		- There is a lack of ownership by the national	
		authorities as regards the implementation of the	
		project and of the process of developing a	
		juvenile justice system.	
		- Although there has been some improvement in	
		the treatment of juveniles after the designation	
		of prosecutors in each trial court, the impact is	
		still limited, in part because the designated	
		judges have insufficient training and because	
		many of them handle a rather small number of	
		cases involving juveniles. In 2008, only two	
		courts outside the capital handled more than one	
		juvenile case per week and 17 district courts	
		handled on average less than one case per	
		month.	
		- A training manual for judges, prosecutors and	
		police has been developed and incorporated into	
		the curricula of the National Institute of Justice,	
		which trains judges and prosecutors, and the	
		Police Academy. The first class of 20	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)			in the reporting period

382

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
A/IIRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/IIKC/10/44/Aud.5)	in the process of developing specialized staff or	in the reporting period
		programmes for juveniles. Measures intended to	
		prevent abuse of juvenile suspects have been	
		introduced and the recently established	
		ombudspersons play a valuable role in	
		investigating the situation of juvenile suspects,	
		detainees and prisoners.	
		- A Law on Mediation has come into force and	
		mediators have been trained and certified.	
		Referral of cases involving juveniles to	
		mediation has begun and results are positive.	
		Government: The Government invested 39	
		million MDL for the improvement of conditions	
		in detention facilities, including for reparation	
		and procurement of medicines. The most	
		important achievements in this field were:	
		-launching the reconstruction of the Penitentiary	
		No. 1 in Taraclia;	
		-the reparation of the cells for minors detention	
		in Penitentiary No. 13 in Chisinau;	
		-organization of tenders for the reconstruction of	
		the Penitentiary No. 4 in Cricova;	
		-creation of a modern aqua treatment centre in	
		Rusca Penitentiary No. 7;	
		-improved dentistry services in the penitentiary	
		hospital.	
		The Department of Penitentiary Institutions	
		promotes a program for the distribution of goods	
		and items of personal hygiene.	
		In 2010, there were 6270 detainees in detention	
		facilities as opposed to 10 000 detainees in 2005.	
		During the first 9 months of 2010, the number of	
		morbidities amounted to 9537, as compared to	
		10 056 during the same period of 2009, out of	
		which the number of persons with body injuries	
		was 1527 in 2010, and 842 in 2009.	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add	<i>Situation during the visit</i> <i>(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)</i>	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- In early 2010, the process of establishing a	1 01
		representative and self-administrative organ of	
		the prosecutors was finalised, in accordance with the provision of the Law on prosecutor's	
		Office. In 2010, the Superior Council of	
		Prosecutors (SCP), as guarantor and	
		representative of the prosecutor's body assured	
		the work of the Disciplinary Board,	
		Qualification Board, the improvement of the	
		policy on human resources, reorganization and	
		optimization of the prosecutor's activities,	
		strengthening the role of the SCP in the	
		activities of the prosecutors.	
		During 2010, the SCP managed the following	
		activities:	
		-Improving the activities of the prosecutorial	
		organs, raising the level of prosecutors'	
		responsibility, consolidating transparency, changing the image of prosecutors' image in the	
		society.	
		-Developing cooperation and partnership with	
		other state authorities' organs.	
		- Protecting the prosecutors' statute.	
		- Developing partnership relations with mass-	
		media through the elaboration of a	
		communication strategy.	
		In order to perform its duties prescribed in the art. 82 of the Law on Prosecutors' office and the	
		Regulations regarding its activities, Superior	
		Council of Prosecutors (SCP) had 18	
		meetings/sessions and adopted 318 decisions.	
		The Qualification Board and the Disciplinary	
		Board have had a number of meetings, adopted	
		several decisions and considered 64 disciplinary	
		proceedings.	
		So far, the Appeal Court did not repeal any decisions adopted by the SCP.	

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
(d) Compensation and rehabilitation i) Incorporate the right to reparation for victims of torture and ill-treatment into the domestic law together with clearly set-out enforcement mechanisms; lend full support to non- governmental institutions working on the rehabilitation of torture victims and protect the staff working for those institutions.	Article 616 of the Civil Code dealt with compensation, but no cases of compensation in practice; Services were provided by the non-governmental Medical Rehabilitation Centre for Torture Victims "Memoria", which depended on foreign funding and was unable to cover the entire country; Rehabilitation therefore suffers from a lack of financial resources for the establishment of adequate facilities as well as for training of health personnel; Allegations of threats against staff of "Memoria".	 Government: There was no increase in funding for the rehabilitation of victims of torture since 2008. Humanitarian aid was provided in the framework of projects for rehabilitation of victims of torture. Non-governmental sources: Severe problems in securing funding to assist with the rehabilitation of torture victims exist. Non-governmental sources: In principle, although criminal and civil remedies exist under domestic law for victims of torture, in practice, there continue to be severe obstacles to access such legal remedies. In 2009, at the initiative of the Prime Minister, a commission comprised of representatives of several ministries and a civil society organisation has been established for identifying the victims of the April 2009 events entitled to financial compensation, remedies and other rehabilitation measures. On 22 April 2010, the Commission decided that both civilians and police officers who suffered physical or psychological trauma would be provided with the rehabilitation and compensation. The identification of the victims was delegated to the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Ministry of Health, with the help of non-governmental organizations. Non-identified victims can still submit applications accompanied with other documents. As of 1 June 2010, there had been no monetary compensation paid to the victims, although a draft decision on the first payments to 19 victims has reportedly been forwarded to the Government for approval. In practice, the primary victim rehabilitation 	

	Information received
Add.2)	in the reporting period
Centres n of	
2 Youth ologist,	
, as	
ement	
m	
of	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(e) Women	The scale of trafficking was	centre remains severely under-resourced. Government: Through the three Health Centres	
i) Ensure adequate funding	relatively unknown because most victims were not	for Women, women subjected to any form of	
for the existing infrastructure	identified due to the absence	violence or trafficking are provided with	
to support victims of domestic violence and	of systematic identification	psychological counselling, followed by a medical examination and placement in a	
trafficking and extend the	5	rehabilitation centre, if necessary. The 12 Youth	
network of centres providing	unwillingness of some	Friendly Health Centres provide a psychologist,	
psycho-social, legal and	victims to report their	consultancy and educational discussions,	
residential services to all parts	1	healthcare services for detecting diseases, as	
of the country taking into	The infrastructure to support	well as supervision and medical and	
account the increased	survivors of domestic	psychological rehabilitation of victims of	
vulnerability of women and	violence was lacking in most		
girls in rural areas;	parts of the country (only	During 2009, the Maternal Centre of Placement	
ii)Establish specialized	one shelter existed in July	and Rehabilitation for young children from	
female law enforcement	2008, which was privately	Chisinau municipality accepted a victim of	
units;	run and situated in the	trafficking and other five persons facing the risk	
iii) Devise concrete	capital).	of being trafficked. They have been provided	
mechanisms to implement the		with psychological counselling, medical	
new Law on preventing and		examinations and rehabilitation assistance.	
combating family violence in		A priority in the field of combating and	
practice, including through a		preventing domestic violence is the creation and	
Plan of Action for its		consolidation of the services that are currently	
implementation and		underdeveloped and are provided mainly by	
monitoring, including through		NGOs. Three centres are highlighted:	
allocation of adequate		- the Maternal Centre "Pro Femina" (Hincesti)	
budgetary and human		provides temporary placement and counselling	
resources to relevant State		services (psychological, social, legal) to mothers	
bodies.		and children as victims of domestic violence;	
		- the Family Crisis Centre "SOTIS" (Balti)	
		provides counselling to victims of domestic	
		violence (psychological, social, legal, medical);	
		- the Centre for temporary placement of children	
		at risk "The Way Home" (Balti) provides	
		rehabilitation services to mothers and children	
		as victims of domestic violence or victims of	

human trafficking. In the framework of the Project "Better

386

Recommendation	Situation during the visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		Opportunities for Youth and Women",	
		financially supported by the International	
		Agency for Development of the USA (USAID),	
		ten multifunctional centres for social	
		reintegration (with placement) were set up,	
		which provide services for victims of human	
		trafficking and victims of domestic violence.	
		According to a Disposition by the Ministry of	
		Health (no. 373-d of 15 June 2009), the	
		Directors of Family Doctors Centres of Anenii	
		Noi, Şoldănești, Rezina and Vulcănești districts	
		shall ensure that deputy directors, responsible	
		for providing healthcare to mothers and	
		children, legal doctors, family doctors, and	
		family doctors' medical assistants participate in	
		the course: "Protection and rehabilitation of	
		victims of domestic violence and victims of	
		trafficking in human beings. Multidisciplinary	
		teams at the communitarian level" within the	
		"Protection and rehabilitation of domestic	
		violence victims" project. The participation in	
		the above mentioned course strengthened the	
		medical staff's capacities to solve issues related	
		to domestic violence and trafficking in human	
		beings.	
		The Law on Prevention and Elimination of	
		Violence in the Family entered into force on 18	
		September 2008. It includes important	
		provisions on domestic violence, establishes an	
		institutional framework with detailed	
		responsibilities of the relevant authorities,	
		provides for the creation of centres/services for	
		the rehabilitation of victims and aggressors, and	
		for complaints mechanisms, protection orders	
		and punishment of aggressors. In order to	
		implement this law, a draft law regarding the	
		amendment and modification of a number of	
		legal acts shall be enacted. The objective of this	

ecommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	(121110)10,10,1011000)	draft law is to amend the following normative	in the reporting period
		acts:	
		Criminal Code:	
		- Introducing an article on the definition of the	
		family member;	
		- Introducing a new offence: violence in the	
		family;	
		- Introducing a new offence: sexual harassment;	
		- Introducing a new offence. sexual natassment, - Introducing an article related to rape in order	
		•	
		to include matrimonial rape;	
		- Introducing a new offence related to the	
		violation of a protection order.	
		Criminal Procedure Code: - Introducing a new article on the protection of	
		e 1	
		the victim of domestic violence;	
		- Stipulating the obligation of the prosecutor and	
		court to verify if the victim of domestic violence	
		expressed freely his or her consent for	
		reconciliation.	
		Civil Procedure Code:	
		- Introducing a new chapter on special	
		procedures for the application of protection actions in cases of domestic violence.	
		The Ministry of Labour, Family and Social	
		Protection has developed a draft of a	
		Government Decision on approval of the	
		Regulations regarding the organization and	
		operation of centres for assistance and	
		protection of victims of family violence which is	
		also in the process of finalization.	
		In the context of the development of a joint	
		methodology for collecting statistical data	
		regarding domestic violence, the project	
		"Development of an integrated information	
		system for management of data on violence	
		within the family in the Republic of Moldova"	
		was launched on 1 July 2008. It is funded by the	
		Agency for International Assistance of the	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
4/IIKC/10/44/Aud.5)	(A/IIKC/10/44/Add.5)	Romanian Government for Moldova and	in the reporting period
		implemented by UNFPA in cooperation with the	
		Ministry of Social Protection, Family and	
		Children and civil society. In the framework of	
		this Project, the concept of the informational	
		system "State Register of Cases of Violence	
		within the Family" was approved by	
		Government Decision no. 544 on 9 September	
		2009. This system will significantly contribute	
		to the continuous monitoring of domestic	
		violence and statistical analyses will provide a	
		consistent basis for developing effective policies	
		to prevent and combat domestic violence and	
		will facilitate the cooperation between the	
		appropriate institutions. In order to initiate the	
		process of statistical data collection, statistical	
		cards were developed for recording cases of	
		violence within the family for experts from three	
		branches (health, social protection and police).	
		This process was launched in two pilot districts:	
		Drochia and Cahul.	
		Non-governmental sources: Moldova is a	
		source and, to a lesser extent, a transit and	
		destination country for trafficking in women,	
		men and children for purposes of forced	
		prostitution, begging and forced labour. The	
		small breakaway region of Transnistria in	
		eastern Moldova is outside the central	
		Government's control and remained a source of	
		trafficking.	
		- The new Government demonstrated a high-	
		level commitment to combating trafficking by	
		establishing a cabinet-level national committee	
		on trafficking led by the foreign minister and,	
		fully funded and staffed Permanent Secretariat	
		of the National Committee for Preventing	
		Trafficking in Persons. The Government	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(11/11/C/10/44/11uu.5)	(10/11/(0/44/11/10.5))	continued funding the trafficking assistance	in the reporting period
		centre run jointly by the government and the	
		International Organization for Migration (IOM).	
		- Since 2006, the Government has been	
		implementing the National Referral System for Assistance and Protection of Victims and	
		Potential Victims of Trafficking (NRS) - a	
		comprehensive system of cooperation between	
		governmental and non-governmental agencies	
		involved in combating human trafficking. On 5	
		December 2008, the NRS Strategy 2009 - 2016	
		and Action Plan 2009 - 2011 were approved by	
		the Parliament.	
		- As of 2010, the Assistance and Protection	
		Centre for Victims and Potential Victims of	
		Trafficking in Human Beings (CAPC) has been	
		established within the NRS which was	
		subordinated to the Ministry of Labor, Social	
		Protection and Family, and is jointly	
		coordinated by the IOM. The CAPC provides	
		temporary residence, psychological, social and	
		legal support to victims. In 2008, the	
		Government institutionalized the CAPC.	
		- The NRS is being implemented in the regions	
		through the creation and training of	
		multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) composed of a	
		wide range of specialists. Since 2006, MDTs	
		have been created and trainings have been	
		carried out in 26 territorial units of Moldova.	
		The Government is working on creating MDTs	
		in all rayons by 2012.	
		- The Government has not yet established	
		specialized female law enforcement units.	
		- With the Law on Preventing and Combating	
		Domestic Violence (Law No. 45) in force since	
		September 2008 and with the support of IOM,	
		UNFPA and other partners, the NRS started	
		extending the same assistance to victims and	
		5	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
WIIKC/10/44/Add.5)	(A/IIKC/10/44/Add.5)	potential victims of domestic violence. Several	in the reporting period
		capacity-building events were conducted for	
		district and community level specialists in five	
		districts.	
		- The 2008 law authorizes courts to issue	
		protection orders within 24 hours of receiving a	
		request for such an order. Since September	
		2009, about 28 protection orders have been	
		issued. There are concerns about the low level	
		of knowledge about the provisions of the law on	
		domestic violence, general awareness and	
		tolerance of the public towards the phenomenon.	
		Protection orders have only been issued in a	
		limited number of jurisdictions, namely Anenii	
		Noi, Soldanesti, Vulcanesti, Causeni, Falesti,	
		Rezina and, from June 2010, Chisinau.	
		- The Law 167/2010 also requires the district	
		police and social assistance offices to appoint	
		persons responsible for the prevention and	
		combating of domestic violence. At the	
		community level, mayors will be responsible for	
		the supervision and coordination of such	
		measures.	
		- Negotiations over creating the first	
		rehabilitation centre for victims of domestic	
		violence in Drochia district are under way. The	
		Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and	
		Family has committed to cover partially the	
		costs of the centre in 2011.	
		- The above efforts have been complemented by	
		nationwide awareness-raising events, aimed at	
		raising a non-tolerant attitude towards domestic	
		violence and promoting a trust-line for victims	
		of domestic violence.	
		- One further step towards the prevention of	
		domestic violence is the recent approval of the	
		National Program on Gender Equality 2010-	
		2015 and the National Action Plan on Gender	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
2111(C) 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	(121110)(10)(1)(11000)	Equality 2010-2012 (Government Decision no.	an and reporting period
		933 of 31 December 2009). A working group is	
		currently working on adjusting the domestic	
		legislation on gender equality with international	
		standards.	
		Sundards.	
		Government: Significant progress was	
		achieved in relation to national response to	
		gender-based violence. On 3 September 2010,	
		the Law No. 167 on amending the current	
		legislation to provide an implementing	
		mechanism for the law on family violence was	
		approved by the Parliament.	
		-The Model Regulations for the Rehabilitation	
		Centre for Victims of Family Violence	
		(Government Decision No.129 of 22 February	
		2010) was approved.	
		-The draft Quality Standards in Delivering	
		Assistance for Victims of Family Violence is	
		still under revision and is expected to be	
		approved by the end of 2010.	
		-Based on the above legislation, the Government	
		with the support of UNFPA, has developed the	
		draft of profession specific guidelines for the	
		implementation of legislation in the area of	
		domestic violence for police officers, medical	
		staff and social assistants.	
		-The guidelines is currently being reviewed by	
		relevant ministries and will be tentatively	
		approved by the end of 2010.	
		- The issues of violence against women and domestic violence are specifically targeted in the	
		1 1 1	
		National Programme on Gender Equality for 2010-2015.	
		- During the period of 2009-2010, the	
		representatives of the Ministry of Labour, Social	
		Protection and Family and civil society have participated in the drafting process of the	
		participated in the drafting process of the	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
A/MAC/10/44/AUU.3)	(A/MKC/10/44/Aaa.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Council of Europe Convention to prevent and	in the reporting period
		combat domestic violence and violence against	
		women. Upon the realization and approval of	
		the treaty, the Republic of Moldova will	
		automatically become signatory state.	
		- UNFPA supported the development of a	
		number of methodological tools for different	
		target groups, including analytical programmes	
		for legal, social and psychological assistance in	
		cases of domestic violence for Master course	
		students; rehabilitation programme for victims	
		of domestic violence and perpetrators; guide for	
		interventions in cases of domestic violence for	
		multidisciplinary teams; analytical programme	
		for police officers; a Guide on implementation	
		of the Law No. 45 on preventing and combating	
		domestic violence, including the Protection	
		Order for judges.	
		Services for victims of domestic violence	
		include: shelters and support centres providing	
		assistance and rehabilitation to female victims of	
		domestic violence; counselling services for child	
		victims of domestic violence; centres for	
		assistance and protection of victims and	
		potential victims of trafficking in human beings	
		(THB); family crisis centres; centre for	
		information and counselling for victims of	
		domestic violence; maternal centre providing	
		emergency placement services as well as	
		temporary placement and counselling services	
		to mother and child-victims of family violence;	
		centre for temporary placement of children at	
		risk; law centre providing network of legal	
		services to victims of domestic violence in four	
		districts: Anenii-Noi, Rezina, Soldanesti,	
		Vulcanesti.	
		-The protection and assistance of victims and	
		potential victims of THB is carried out within	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(A/IIKC/10/44/Add.3)	(A/IIKC/10/44/Add.3)	the National Referral System for Protection and	in the reporting period
		Assistance of Victims and Potential Victims of	
		Trafficking in Human Beings (NRS).	
		-The social and economic empowerment of the	
		disadvantaged groups is carried out on a	
		permanent basis within the NRS.	
		Multidisciplinary teams, in co-operation with	
		International Organisation for Migration in	
		Moldova and local NGOs, identify and provide	
		individual assistance to potential victims of	
		THB. Other services include vocational training,	
		employment mediation, business development	
		training and assistance, medical, psychological	
		and legal assistance. Special assistance is	
		provided to victims identified abroad who are	
		referred to community services for their social	
		reintegration.	
		-The Law on prevention and combating	
		trafficking in human beings provides	
		rehabilitation and recovery of victims of human	
		trafficking, including medical and legal	
		assistance, psychological, material, professional	
		rehabilitation and accommodation. The Chisinau	
		Centre for Protection and Assistance which was	
		institutionalized in 2009 by the Ministry of	
		Labour, Social Protection and Family, offers	
		accommodation for up to 30 days subject to	
		extension for pregnant women.	
		-The repatriation procedure for victims of	
		human trafficking is set out by the Regulation	
		on Procedure for Repatriation of Children and	
		Adults-Victims of Human Trafficking,	
		Smuggling of Migrants, as well as	
		Unaccompanied Children, approved by	
		Government Decision No. 948 of August 2008.	
		-A hotline run by the NGO International Centre	
		La Strada is available 24 hours a day.	
(f) Health-care	Persons in the psychiatric	Government: Currently the Ministry of	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
facilities/psychiatric	clinic visited by the Special	Labour, Family and Social Protection	in the reporting porton
institutions	Rapporteur, in particular	undertakes a number of actions in order to	
i) Consider ratifying the	those serving court	prepare the ratification of the Convention on the	
Convention on the Rights of	sentences were held in	Rights of Persons with Disabilities, including	
Persons with Disabilities and	apathy, subject to excessive	drafting a strategy on social inclusion of persons	
ensure respect for the	use of tranquilizers;	with disabilities and adjusting national	
safeguards available to	Lack of clarity of whether	legislation to international standards in this	
patients, in particular their	the use of tranquilizers ways	respect.	
right to free and informed	based on free and informed	Within the psychiatric medical institutions,	
consent in compliance with	consent by the patients;	patients are treated with minimum therapeutic	
international standards (see	The medication given to the	doses of psychotropic substances and are	
also report A/63/175);	partly very young children,	involved in the rehabilitation process that	
ii) Allocate funds necessary	especially in terms of	includes ergo-therapy through attending the	
to reform the system of	tranquilizers, was clearly not	reading room and the gym. The reforms adopted	
psychiatric treatment.	suitable;	by the administration of the psychiatric medical	
	The Ministry of Health	institutions led to creating and extending the	
	recognized that the	recreational space. The patient is informed of	
	treatment, which consisted	the methods of treatment and the prescribed	
	almost exclusively of the use	medicine and is asked to sign the "Consent on	
	of strong neuroleptics was	hospitalization, investigation and therapeutic	
	inadequate and indicated	procedures provided within the psychiatric	
	that psychiatric care would	hospital". If the patient is unable to sign the	
	be individualized, new	form, it will be signed by his or her close	
	treatments developed, and	relative or legal representative.	
	modern drugs purchased	Within the health facilities, children are treated	
	once the necessary funds	with the last generation of psychotropic	
	were made available;	substances calculated in line with international	
		standards by bodyweight. The therapeutic	
		indications are prescribed in accordance with	
		treatment standards and are coordinated with	
		professors of the department of psychiatry,	
		narcology and psychology. Currently, the focus	
		in pedo-psychiatry is based on the use of	
		psychotherapy, occupational therapy,	
		physiotherapy and physical exercises.	
		N	

Non-governmental sources: On July 9 2010, Parliament approved the ratification of the

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(11/11/(0/10/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11	(10111(0)10) (1111(0.5))	Convention on the Rights of Persons with	in the reporting period
		Disabilities and on 21 September 2010, it	
		deposited the instrument of ratification.	
		- Reforms directed to the implementation of the	
		Convention are in initial stages and are expected	
		to take at least 18 months. Early areas identified	
		for reform in this regard include clarification of	
		the monitoring mechanisms; adoption of a	
		comprehensive anti-discrimination law;	
		reforming civil code provisions on guardianship	
		and trusteeship; ending practices of abusive	
		detention of persons with mental disabilities;	
		reorienting social inclusion systems for the	
		treatment of persons with disabilities; reforming	
		the Education Code to facilitate genuine	
		inclusion of persons with disabilities in	
		schooling and vocational training.	
		In March 2010, the Ministry of Health	
		established the Human Rights and Health	
		Working Group which elaborated a plan of	
		actions intended to address issues of concern	
		raised in the CPT report (CPT/Inf (2008) 39). It	
		generally recognized that the large mental health	
		institutions need to be significantly reorganized	
		and reformed to efficiently redress the situation.	
		In August 2010, the Ministry initiated the	
		revision of about 20 regulations. It is likely that	
		another reform will be needed, as the August	
		2010 was carried out without extensive	
		consultation.	
		Government: The Ministry of Health Order No.	
		591 of 20 August 2010, "Regarding the	
		organizational structure and functions of Mental	
		Health Services" along with 24 regulations of	
		service organisation was adopted. National	
		Clinical and Institutional Protocols, containing	
		treatment guidelines have been developed	

Recommendation A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
А/ПКС/10/44/Aaa.3)	(A/HKC/10/44/Aaa.3)	(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
		according to the international standards. The funds for centralized purchase of drugs	
		providing free drugs to patients who suffer from	
		chronic mental disabilities, and patients with	
		disabilities of I-II degree, have been increased	
		from 5 mln lei (2008) to 12 mln lei (2009). The	
		in-patients are provided with recent	
		psychoactive medications. Specific measures	
		have been undertaken with the purpose of	
		improving accommodation conditions. Such	
		measures include increasing nutrition from 11	
		lei (2009) to 16 lei (2010) per patient per day,	
		performing reparation in clinical units. Other	
		measures undertaken include:	
		- improved conditions for forced treatment,	
		installed video equipment ensuring safety and	
		protection; improved informed consent upon the	
		admission to the hospital; therapy; and	
		formulation of invasive investigations.	
		- established institutional rehabilitation service	
		for patients with mental disabilities and	
		behaviour disorders.	
		The following documents were drafted:	
		Informative notes/brochures on patients' rights	
		and responsibilities within the psychiatric	
		institutions; Legislation and Norms for the	
		medical and non-medical staff in mental health	
		services.	
		- By the Law No.166 –XVIII of 9 July 2010, the	
		Republic of Moldova ratified the UN	
		Convention on the Rights of Persons with	
		Disabilities. A strategy for social inclusion of persons with disabilities (2010-2013) was	
		developed defining the state policy in the field	
		of social protection of persons with disabilities	
		and its adjustment to international standards and	
		provisions of the Convention.	
		- On 9 July 2010, the Law on the approval of the	
		on y sury 2010, the Eaw on the approval of the	

Recommendation (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Strategy on social inclusion of persons with	
		disabilities (2010-2013) was adopted by the	
		Parliament.	
		- During 2010-2011, the Strategy envisages the	
		elaboration and adoption of the Law on social	
		inclusion of people with disabilities;	
		development and approval of methodology for	
		the identification of disabilities degree in	
		accordance with the WHO standards;	
		adjustment of national legislative-normative	
		framework to the European and international	
		standards on the protection of the rights of	
		persons with disabilities; reorganization of	
		structures and institutions responsible for the	
		coordination of the system of social inclusion of	
		persons with disabilities.	
(g) Transnistrian region of the	Conditions in sustady of the	Government: The existence of a secessionist	
Republic of Moldova	militia headquarters in	regime in the Eastern part created serious	
Republic of Moldova	Tiraspol were in violation of	difficulties to the implementation of	
i) In addition to the	minimum international	commitments resulting from relevant	
introduction and			
	with few sleeping facilities,	protection and other international treaties to	
implementation of legal	1 0	1	
safeguards, such as inter alia the reduction of the length of	almost no daylight and ventilation, 24 hours	which Moldova is party throughout the country. Moldovan authorities do not have access and are	
e			
police custody to a maximum of 48 hours and the medical	artificial light, restricted	unable to effectively exercise constitutional	
	access to food and very poor	prerogatives in the region, because of parallel	
examination of newly arrived	sanitary facilities); A	structures that have usurped local power in this	
detainees in places of	"Human Rights Commissioner" had been	part of the country. The state of affairs	
detention, establish		concerning torture or cruel, inhuman or	
independent monitoring of	instituted, but does not	degrading treatment and punishment applied to individuals remains unknown. The Government	
places of detention;	undertake monitoring visits		
	to places of detention. Most	periodically raises awareness of international	
ii) Criminalize torture and	of the Special Rapporteur's	organizations on cases of violations of human	
abolish the death penalty de-	interlocutors expressed	rights and fundamental freedoms by the	
jure.	distrust in this institution;	separatist regime in Tiraspol, aiming at	
	Whereas the Transnistrian	determining it to comply with the rigors of	
iii) Stop immediately the	"Criminal Code" did not	international standards in this matter.	

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recommendation	Situation during the visit (A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3)		(A/HRC/10/44/Add.3 and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	in the reporting period
practice of solitary confinement for persons sentenced to death and to life imprisonment.	contain the definition of torture required by the Convention against Torture, it criminalized "istyazanie" (torment), to be punished with up to 3 years imprisonment and stated that it can be combined with "torture", to be punished with up to 7 years imprisonment; Although abolitionist in practice, the death penalty was still provided for by the "legislation" of the Transnistrian region of the Republic of Moldova; Legislation in force required solitary confinement for persons sentenced to capital punishment and to life imprisonment and prescribed draconic restrictions on contacts with the outside world.	 Non-governmental sources: An independent monitoring mechanism for places of detention has not been established. Transnistrian authorities refused to cooperate with international organizations wanting to monitor places of detention. On 21 July 2010, a delegation of the Committee against Torture had to interrupt its visit to Transnistria because of the lack of guarantees by the official representatives to interview detainees confidentially. The Transnistrian Ombudsman has not been responsive to the United Nations country office's approaches of initiating joint visits to places of detention. In practice, cases of arbitrary detention have been regularly reported in Transnistria and the Special Rapporteur on Torture has taken them up. The death penalty has not yet been abolished as per article 43 of the Transnistrian Criminal Code. Torture has not been introduced as a crime in the Criminal Code. The practice of solitary confinement for persons sentenced to death and to life imprisonment has not changed. 	

Spain

Seguimiento de las recomendaciones del Relator Especial (Theo van Boven) en su informe relativo a su visita a España del 5 al 10 de octubre de 2003 (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)

122. El 22 de noviembre de 2011, el Relator Especial envió la tabla que se encuentra a continuación al Gobierno de España solicitando información y comentarios sobre las medidas adoptadas con respecto a la aplicación de sus recomendaciones. El Relator Especial lamenta que el Gobierno no haya proporcionado una respuesta a su solicitud. Él espera recibir información en cuanto a sus esfuerzos para aplicar las recomendaciones, e informa de su disposición a ayudarle en los esfuerzos para prevenir y combatir la tortura y los malos tratos.

123. Con respecto a las garantías de las personas detenidas, el Relator Especial pide al Gobierno a que proporcione información acerca del proceso de seguimiento, evaluación y reformulación del Plan Nacional de Derechos Humanos. En particular, el Relator agradecería contar con información en cuanto a la reforma del artículo 520.4 de la Ley de Enjuiciamiento Criminal a fin de reducir el actual plazo máximo de ocho horas dentro del cual debe hacerse efectivo el derecho a la asistencia letrada. En relación a las relaciones sociales entre los presos y sus familias, el Relator Especial quisiera agradecer al Gobierno de España por la explicación detallada de la política de separación de los presos. Sin embargo, tomando en cuenta la importancia de la familia, el Relator Especial exhorta al Gobierno a reconsiderar esta política.

124. Con relación a la limitación de las garantías para los detenidos incomunicados, el Relator Especial solicita al gobierno que proporcione información acerca de las reformas legales previstas por el Plan Nacional de Derechos Humanos que garantizarían el examen médico de las personas en situaciones de incomunicación por un segundo médico adscrito al sistema público de salud, designado por el mecanismo nacional de prevención de tortura. Además, tomando en cuenta el hecho de que ha sido adoptado en la práctica por la mitad de los juzgados encargados de la instrucción de los delitos de banda armada, el Relator reitera su recomendación de aplicar el protocolo que permite a los detenidos ser examinados por médicos de su elección en todo juzgado encargado de la instrucción de los delitos de banda armada.

125. Con respecto a los casos de delitos de terrorismo, el Relator Especial toma en cuenta que tres de los seis juzgados encargados de la instrucción de los delitos terroristas vienen aplicando un protocolo por el cual comunican a las familias de los detenidos el lugar de la detención y los traslados que se lleven a cabo. A la luz de ello, consideraría recomendable que este protocolo se aplicara en todo juzgado encargado de la instrucción de los delitos de terrorismo.

126. En relación al régimen de incomunicación, el Relator Especial toma nota de la información proporcionada por el Gobierno de España sobre este tema. Sin embargo, a la luz de la información recibida por el Relator Especial en los últimos años acerca de un presunto alto porcentaje de alegaciones de actos de maltrato o tortura relacionados con situaciones de detención e incomunicación, llama al Gobierno a considerar seriamente la supresión del régimen de incomunicación, o en todo caso regularlo más estrictamente para que no sea la circunstancia propicia para la aplicación de tormentos.

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
Las más altas autoridades deberían reafirmar y declarar oficial y públicamente la prohibición de la tortura y los tratos o penas crueles, inhumanos o degradantes en toda circunstancia e investigar con las denuncias de la práctica de la tortura en todas sus formas.	2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: La promoción y defensa de los derechos humanos constituye una de las prioridades de la política exterior del gobierno así como de su política de cooperación internacional. Así se recoge en los Planes recién aprobados en Consejo de Ministros el pasado 12 de diciembre de 2008 como en el nuevo Plan Director de Cooperación Española 2009- 2012.		
		- En los pocos casos en que la tortura se ha demostrado fehacientemente, las autoridades han minimizado su importancia, considerándolos en todo caso hechos aislados sin mucha importancia.	
		Este talante de ocultación colisiona con la posición de la sociedad civil, de sectores profesionales y académicos que han denunciado la existencia de la tortura y exigido la implementación de medidas concretas para su prevención y por medio de ella, su erradicación.	
	- En cuanto a las actuaciones gubernamentales, cabe destacar la aprobación de la Ley Orgánica 4/2010, de 20 de mayo, del Régimen disciplinario del Cuerpo Nacional de Policía, en la que se tipifican como faltas muy graves "la práctica de tratos inhumanos, degradantes, discriminatorios o vejatorios a los ciudadanos que se encuentren bajo custodia policial".		
		- El Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención, en su primer informe anual insistirá en el principio de "tolerancia cero" del Gobierno no sólo ante cualquier acto de tortura o	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		maltrato, sino también ante las irregularidades procedimentales que se puedan cometer en el trato contra detenidos, fomentando el establecimiento de buenas prácticas en este sentido.	
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que la Constitución española establece en su artículo 15 el derecho a la vida y la integridad física y moral de las personas sin que en ningún caso puedan ser sometidas a tortura ni a penas o tratos inhumanos o degradantes.	
		Los malos tratos y las torturas constituyen en España un delito perseguible de oficio, siempre que hay indicios de su comisión, contemplando el ordenamiento jurídico varias vías para la investigación de dichos supuestos y la garantía del derecho fundamental. En particular, la Constitución española establece en su articulo 24 que todas las personas tienen derecho a obtener la tutela efectiva de los jueces y tribunales en el ejercicio de sus derechos e intereses legítimos, sin que en ningún caso pueda producirse indefensión.	
		Por tanto la investigación se realiza a través de los órganos judiciales que son, por su propia naturaleza, independientes. El sistema español vigente en materia de investigaciones sobre denuncias de malos tratos ya esta por tanto adecuado a las normas internacionales y a los principios generales que exigen que dichas investigaciones sean prontas, independientes, imparciales y exhaustivas. En efecto, dicha investigación corresponde a los órganos judiciales que, en un estado de	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		derecho como el español, reposan y actúan de conformidad con dichos principios por lo que no caben mas mecanismos que los procedimientos judiciales que establece la ley de enjuiciamiento criminal. España considera que los órganos judiciales, cuya independencia es inherente a sus funciones, son la institución idónea para llevar a cabo dichas investigaciones, estando en total conformidad con los principios relativos a la investigación y documentación eficaces de la tortura y otros tratos o penas, crueles, inhumanos o degradantes, adoptados por la Asamblea General en su resolución 55 /89 anexo, de 4 de diciembre de 2000.	
		En el mismo sentido el articulo 53 establece que cualquier ciudadano podrá recabar la tutela de las libertades y derechos reconocidos en el articulo 14 y la sección primera del capitulo 2°- entre los que se encuentra la interdicción de la tortura o los malos tratos- ante los tribunales ordinarios por un procedimiento basado en los principios de preferencia y sumariedad, e, incluso, a través del recurso de amparo ante el Tribunal Constitucional.	
		Asimismo, el Tribunal Constitucional ("alta autoridad del Estado" utilizando los términos empleados en las recomendaciones) y máxima instancia nacional en materia de garantías constitucionales y protección de los derechos fundamentales, ha reiterado durante 2010 la obligación que incumbe a todos los órganos judiciales de investigar las denuncias de torturas y malos tratos. Las sentencias del Tribunal Constitucional	

/HRC/19/61/Add.	₽
RC/19/61/Add.	H
C/19/61/Add.	R
19/61/Add.	3
61/Add.	9
/Add.	61
dd.	A
-	ğ
Les l	5

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		de 19 de julio y de 18 de octubre de 2010, otorgan a los recurrentes el amparo necesitado y ordenan a las autoridades judiciales competentes que realicen una investigación más exhaustiva de los hechos denunciados.	
		Esta última sentencia señala que en relación con la investigación de este tipo de denuncias deben tomarse en consideración las circunstancias concretas de cada caso siendo preciso atender a la probable escasez de pruebas existentes en este tipo de delitos lo que debe alentar la diligencia del instructor para la práctica efectiva de las medidas posibles de investigación. La sentencia añade que la cualificación oficial de los denunciados debe compensarse con la firmeza judicial frente a la posible resistencia o demora en la aportación de medios de prueba, con especial atención a las diligencias de prueba cuyo origen se sitúe al margen de las instituciones afectadas por la denuncia, y con la presunción a efectos indagatorios de que las lesiones que eventualmente presente el detenido tras su detención, y que eran inexistentes antes de la misma, son atribuibles a las personas encargadas de su custodia. En concreto respecto a la valoración del testimonio judicial del denunciante advierte que el efecto de la violencia ejercida sobre la libertad y las posibilidades de autodeterminación del individuo no deja de producirse en el momento en que fisicamente cesa aquella y se le pone a disposición judicial, sino que su virtualidad coactiva puede pervivir y normalmente lo hará mas allá de su práctica.El Plan	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Nacional de Derechos Humanos, aprobado por el Gobierno de España en diciembre de 2008, constituye por sí solo la más amplia declaración oficial posible a favor del respeto de los derechos humanos y la interdicción de la tortura y los malos tratos. El Gobierno concibe el plan de derechos humanos como un mecanismo más para la garantía de tales derechos, estableciendo una lista de compromisos concretos destinados precisamente a fomentar, realizar y proteger el ejercicio de los derechos humanos. Unos compromisos cuya ejecución efectiva puede ser seguida y evaluada. Las obligaciones internacionales, la elaboración de determinadas leyes, la creación de organismos específicos para su defensa, las decisiones dirigidas a mejorar la calidad de la justicia, los mecanismos de control a los poderes públicos en su relación con la igualdad, con los derechos sociales, con el medio ambiente, la transparencia en la gestión pública, todos habrán de ser instrumentos con que perfeccionar la garantía de los derechos humanos en España.	
		 Cada medida del plan es una garantía en sí misma. Lo es porque compromete al Gobierno a realizar acciones en beneficio de un derecho determinado, y lo es porque lleva aparejada la información necesaria para que su ejecución pueda ser fiscalizada por las instituciones y organizaciones de la sociedad civil interesadas. 2009: El Gobierno declaró el principio de "tolerancia cero" contra cualquier acto de 	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		tortura o maltrato en la defensa ante el Comité contra la Tortura.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: Existe un compromiso claro del Gobierno en contra de la tortura y los malos tratos.	
		Las autoridades policiales españolas insisten en la política de tolerancia cero en relación con cualquier comportamiento delictivo de los funcionarios, y han adoptado una serie de disposiciones para proteger los derechos de los detenidos, así como para garantizar que las fuerzas del orden que trabajan en estas circunstancias observen una conducta apropiada (A/HRC/10/3/Add.2).	
		2008: El Gobierno confirma su compromiso con la defensa de los derechos humanos, el absoluto respeto a la legalidad y la máxima transparencia en la gestión pública.	
		Se aprobó la Instrucción 12/2007 de la Secretaría de Estado de Seguridad sobre los Comportamientos Exigidos a los Miembros de las Fuerzas y Cuerpos de Seguridad del Estado (FCSE) para Garantizar los Derechos de las Personas Detenidas o bajo Custodia Policial.	
		Se aprobó también la Instrucción 7/2007 de la Secretaría de Estado de Seguridad para poner a disposición de los ciudadanos en todas las dependencias policiales un libro de quejas y sugerencias, que deben ser investigadas y respondidas debidamente por los Cuerpos Policiales.	
		El Gobierno precisa que no tiene competencias sobre las Policías locales que dependen de los Ayuntamientos y de los	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Alcaldes, los cuales son elegidos democráticamente.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales 2008: No se observaron avances significativos durante el 2007 en relación con la implementación de esta recomendación.	
		2007: El Gobierno español reitera que el Ministerio del Interior ha venido aplicando siempre y sin excepción el principio de tolerancia cero ante la posible vulneración de los derechos constitucionales, favoreciendo la investigación, la transparencia y la cooperación con el resto de los poderes del Estado cuando haya sospecha de que se haya producido uno de estos actos.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales 2007: A nivel nacional se han producido algunas declaraciones institucionales en los últimos dos años. Sin embargo, siguen produciéndose declaraciones públicas de altos responsables políticos y policiales que niegan que en España se torture o que minimizan la gravedad de la situación. Son habituales las declaraciones públicas de apoyo a funcionarios denunciados o inculpados por tortura y/o malos tratos.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Las autoridades no cuestionan el régimen de incomunicación y tachan de falsa todas las denuncias por tortura presentadas en los Juzgados.	
		2005: El Gobierno informó que la defensa y promoción de los derechos humanos constituye uno de los ejes fundamentales de	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado	
		la política exterior.		
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Las valoraciones de representantes políticos en declaraciones públicas tras la visita, así como el tratamiento de los principales medios de comunicación fueron en todo momento de ocultación.		
Elaborar un plan general pa impedir y suprimir la	ra	2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Está		
tortura y otras formas de tratos o castigos crueles,		vigente el Plan Nacional de Derechos Humanos de diciembre de 2008, que tiene carácter plurianual.		
inhumanos o degradantes.		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que en diciembre de 2008 aprobó el Plan Nacional de Derechos Humanos que da pleno cumplimiento a esta recomendación del relator, por cuanto recoge expresamente todas las acciones y medidas del Gobierno español para hacer efectivo su compromiso a favor de los derechos humanos, así como para prevenir y combatir hasta las últimas consecuencias la tortura y cualquier otra forma de trato o castigo cruel, inhumano y degradante.		
		2009: El 12 de diciembre de 2008, el Consejo de Ministros aprobó el Plan de Derechos Humanos, el cual constituye una declaración a favor de los derechos humanos y un rechazo absoluto de cualquier violación, incluyendo la tortura.		
		El Plan señala la erradicación de la tortura como uno de los objetivos concretos de la política exterior. El Plan establece también una serie de medidas relativas a las garantías legales del detenido, incluidas las relativas a la detención incomunicada; al		

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		funcionamiento de la Inspección de personal y servicios, a la formación de las FCSE, las garantías de los derechos humanos en los centros de Internamiento de Extranjeros y garantiza la aplicación del principio de no devolución (non refoulement).	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Plan de Derechos Humanos indica que "el Ministerio del Interior asume con firmeza la decisión de fomentar la cultura del respeto a ultranza de los derechos humanos". Las medidas 94 a 97 y 101 a 104 se orientan a reforzar las garantías legales del detenido, mejorar la eficacia de la Inspección de Personal y Servicios de Seguridad del Ministerio del Interior y promover la formación en derechos humanos de los miembros de las Fuerzas y Cuerpos de Seguridad.	
		2008: El Gobierno informó que estaba prevista la elaboración de un nuevo manual para la actuación operativa en supuestos de custodia policial.	
		El Estado español no tiene competencias directas sobre la Policía Autónoma Vasca y los Mossos de Esquadra, por lo que no puede responder a alegaciones sobre el inadecuado funcionamiento de los sistemas de grabación.	
		El ordenamiento penitenciario prevé la existencia de un régimen cerrado para penados calificados de peligrosidad extrema o para casos de inadaptación a los regímenes ordinarios y abiertos. La aplicación de este régimen excepcional cuenta con una serie de garantías.	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		La actual Administración penitenciaria ha iniciado una serie de actuaciones de intervención para proteger sus derechos, tales como la reducción de población en régimen cerrado, la intervención especifica con internos de régimen cerrado y el Fichero de Internos de Especial Seguimiento (FIES).	
		En la actualidad no hay dudas sobre la posible ilegalidad o influencia automática sobre el régimen o el tratamiento penitenciario del FIES.	
		En 2006 se actualizó la instrucción sobre los ficheros. Esta no ha sido impugnada por oponerse al ordenamiento jurídico.	
		El primer borrador del Plan de Derechos Humanos se envió el 16 de enero de 2008 a diversas Instituciones y ONGs, pidiendo la formulación de comentarios y sugerencias para su mejora.	
		2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Durante el 2007 continuaron sin recibir ninguna información sobre la evolución del "Plan Nacional de Derechos Humanos", anunciado en junio de 2006.	
		2007: El Gobierno afirma que los derechos de las personas detenidas cuentan ya con un marco protector.	
		Los casos de desviación en la actuación policial son escasísimos y se han reforzado los instrumentos para garantizar su erradicación.	
		2007: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El protocolo que el Gobierno Vasco puso en marcha no ha impedido la aparición de	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		nuevas denuncias.	
		No existe información sobre el protocolo para determinar la actuación de los Mossos d'Esquadra en la atención a enfermos mentales.	
		El régimen de FIES sigue en vigor después de que un recurso interpuesto ante la Audiencia Nacional fuera desestimado. La sentencia que desestimó este recurso ha sido recurrida en casación ante el Tribunal Supremo.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se ha implementado esta recomendación. En torno al Protocolo diseñado por el Gobierno Autónomo Vasco, en ningún caso restringirían la aplicación de la incomunicación y los familiares de los denunciantes se han quejado de su inoperatividad.	
		2005: El Gobierno informó que proseguiría la política de colaboración con las instituciones internacionales que trabajan en el ámbito de la tortura.	
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se habría implementado esta recomendación. El Protocolo puesto en marcha por el Gobierno Autónomo vasco presenta deficiencias.	
Suprimir el régimen de incomunicación.	España parece ignorar la opinión	2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: La recomendación fue rechazada ya que el gobierno Español afirma la necesidad del mantenimiento del régimen de incomunicación, necesidad derivada de la mayor complejidad de las investigaciones policiales y judiciales cuando se trate de	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		casos implicando bandas armadas, organizaciones terroristas o rebeldes además de posibles implicaciones internacionales.	
		- En 2009, de 88 personas detenidas en régimen de incomunicación, 45 de ellas indicaron que fueron torturadas por la Ertzaintza (1), por la Policía Nacional (40) y por la Guardia Civil (15). Los métodos incluyen: asfixia por aplicación de bolsa, golpes, ejercicios físicos y agresión sexual a las mujeres.	
		- Hasta el 16 de Septiembre, 43 personas han sido detenidas bajo el régimen de incomunicación y 33 las personas que han denunciado malos tratos y tortura a manos de la Policía Nacional (4), Ertzaintza (14) y Guardia Civil (12).	
		- El Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención tiene presente el régimen de restricción de derechos que supone la detención incomunicada, y por ello presta una especial atención al cumplimiento de todas las garantías de los detenidos.	
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que la detención incomunicada se lleva a efecto en España con todas las garantías procesales. Su régimen legal es sumamente restrictivo, pues exige en todo caso autorización judicial mediante resolución motivada y razonada que ha de dictarse en las primeras 24 horas de la detención, y un control permanente y directo de la situación personal del detenido por parte del juez que ha acordado la incomunicación o del juez de instrucción del partido judicial en que el detenido se halle privado de libertad.	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		La necesidad de su mantenimiento deriva de que, en el caso bandas armadas, organizaciones terroristas o rebeldes, el esclarecimiento de los hechos delictivos requiere una investigación policial y judicial de mayor complejidad y con posibles implicaciones internacionales.	
		Tanto los tribunales ordinarios, como el Tribunal Constitucional, máximo órgano judicial encargado de velar por los derechos fundamentales en nuestro país, se han pronunciado sobre la adecuación de nuestro sistema legal de detención incomunicada a las exigencias de los convenios internacionales suscritos por España, precisamente por las rigurosas garantías que establece nuestra normativa al respecto.	
		En consecuencia, hay que señalar que: — El sistema de detención incomunicada existente en España se ajusta perfectamente a las exigencias de los convenios internacionales suscritos por nuestro país, precisamente por las rigurosas garantías que establece nuestra normativa al respecto, habiendo sido ratificada su legalidad tanto por los tribunales ordinarios como por el tribunal Constitucional español.	
		 La legislación y jurisprudencia españolas son particularmente rigurosas en la exigencia de una motivación y una valoración individualizada por parte del juez para acordar la incomunicación del detenido o preso. 	
		 El control continuo y permanente de la autoridad judicial, o en su caso del fiscal, que desde el primer momento debe tener 	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		constancia de la detención, del lugar de custodia, y de los funcionarios actuantes - para lo que cuenta con los medios necesarios y con el auxilio de los correspondientes médicos forenses- constituyen una garantía suficiente de los derechos del detenido incomunicado.	
		No existen, por lo tanto, previsiones para la modificación de la legislación española en el sentido apuntado por la recomendación del relator, si bien el Gobierno español se ha comprometido, mediante el Plan de Derechos Humanos aprobado en diciembre de 2008, a adoptar las siguientes medidas que vengan a reforzar las garantías con las que ya cuentan las personas detenidas en régimen de incomunicación:	
		a. prohibición de la aplicación del régimen de incomunicación a los menores de edad.	
		b. designación, por el mecanismo nacional de prevención de la tortura, de un segundo médico del sistema público de salud para que reconozca al detenido incomunicado.	
		c. grabación en vídeo de todo el tiempo de permanencia del detenido incomunicado en las instalaciones policiales.	
		2009: El Tribunal Constitucional se ha pronunciado sobre la adecuación del sistema legal de detención incomunicada a las exigencias de los Convenios Internacionales suscritos por España	
		El Tribunal Europeo de Derechos Humanos ha avalado la doctrina del Tribunal	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Constitucional, declarando expresamente que es causa razonable de limitación del derecho a la asistencia por el letrado de confianza. El régimen de incomunicación es sumamente garantista. El régimen es de aplicación absolutamente excepcional. En 2008, solo se aplicó al 0.049% del total de detenidos.	
		La Medida 97 del Plan señala algunas medidas para mejorar las garantías de los detenidos sometidos al régimen de incomunicación.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Plan Nacional de Derechos Humanos prohíbe la detención incomunicada de menores e incluye el derecho a un segundo examen médico por un médico designado por el titular del futuro MNP.	
		El porcentaje de detenidos incomunicados entre 2004 y 2008 en las que hubo alegaciones de maltrato o tortura varía entre 76 y 84 por ciento. Los métodos de tortura incluyen tortura física, métodos de privación, tortura sexual, amenazas, técnicas coercitivas y de comunicación.	
		Aunque la policía autónoma vasca no aplicó el régimen de incomunicación a ninguna persona detenido en 2007 y 2008, se ha sometido por los menos una persona a dicho régimen desde marzo de 2009.	
		En 2009 la Ertzaintza solicitó la incomunicación de un detenido, por primera vez desde 2006. El Parlamento Vasco rechazó una propuesta de ley que solicitaba la derogación de dicho régimen.	

	Situación durante la visita	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2,	
Recomendaciones		A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y	
'E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) Pese a que en la legislación española se han establecido ciertas salvaguardias jurídicas al respecto, como la asistencia de un abogado designado de oficio, el Relator Especial opina que el mantenimiento de ese régimen resulta altamente problemático y abre la posibilidad de que se inflija un trato prohibido al detenido y, al mismo tiempo, expone a España a tener que responder a denuncias de malos tratos a detenidos (A/HRC/10/3/Add.2).	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		2008: El Parlamento español rechazó varias iniciativas parlamentarias para modificar el régimen de incomunicación.	
		La incomunicación no se decide de modo automático, sino conforme al procedimiento establecido en la ley. Sólo se aplicó la detención incomunicada al 37.5 % de los detenidos, y al 29.7% cuando se refiere a los casos relacionados con ETA.	
		El detenido en régimen de incomunicación se ve privado de algunos derechos.	
		Existe una práctica sistemática en el entorno de la banda terrorista ETA de denunciar torturas, con el objetivo de provocar el continuo descrédito de las Fuerzas y Cuerpos de Seguridad.	
		2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Las personas detenidas en relación con el terrorismo son incomunicadas de manera sistemática, a petición de las fuerzas policiales que los detienen. Al menos una tercera parte denunció tortura y malos tratos durante su custodia policial.	
		2007: El Gobierno aclara que dicho régimen	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		se aplica a personas detenidas como medida cautelar, decretado por la autoridad judicial y siempre bajo tutela de ésta, y no tiene como finalidad el aislamiento del detenido, sino la desconexión del mismo con posibles informadores o enlaces, evitándose que pueda recibir o emitir consignas que perjudiquen la investigación judicial.	
		Asentada la base legal de una detención incomunicada, esta se lleva a efecto con todas las garantías procesales. El Tribunal Constitucional se ha pronunciado sobre la adecuación del sistema legal español de detención incomunicada a las exigencias de los convenios internacionales.	
		2007: Fuentes no gubernamentales: La legislación española prevé la posibilidad de mantener la incomunicación hasta 13 días en casos de terrorismo.	
		Las iniciativas parlamentarias para derogar el régimen de detención incomunicada han sido rechazadas por el Congreso de los Diputados.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: el Ministro de Justicia habló de la intención de reducir la duración de la detención incomunicada de 13 días a un máximo de 10 días, a través de una reforma legislativa. Esta detención crea condiciones que facilitan la perpetración de la tortura. En 2005, 46 de las 50 personas detenidas en régimen de incomunicación denunciaron haber sufrido torturas y malos tratos.	
		2005: El Gobierno informó que no considera que la detención incomunicada cree per se condiciones que faciliten la perpetración de	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		la tortura. El régimen de detención incomunicada vigente en España está rodeado de las máximas cautelas legales que aseguran su adecuación a los estándares internacionales de derechos humanos, e impiden la tortura o malos tratos.	
		La detención policial en régimen de incomunicación no produce que al detenido se vea privado de ninguno de sus derechos fundamentales, ni la falta de supervisión judicial que favorezca la posible tortura o malos tratos.	
		La incomunicación tiene por objeto evitar que el detenido pueda comunicar a otras personas elementos esenciales en la investigación.	
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se habría implementado esta recomendación. En la nueva redacción del párrafo 2 del artículo 509 de la Ley de Enjuiciamiento Criminal, se extiende a un plazo de hasta ocho días adicionales el régimen de incomunicación. Esto se habría aplicado anteriormente haciendo una interpretación extensiva de otros artículos de dicha Ley.	
Garantizar con rapidez y eficacia a todas las personas detenidas por las fuerzas de seguridad: a) el derecho de acceso a un abogado, incluido el derecho a consultar al abogado en privado; b) el derecho a ser examinadas por un médico de su elección; y c) el derecho a informar a sus familiares del	con el detenido. Aparentemente se informa a los detenidos que hay un abogado presente y se les facilita el número de	2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: España seguirá con los objetivos fijados en el Plan de Derechos Humanos aprobado en el 2008 que fortalecen las garantías con las que ya cuentan las personas detenidas en régimen de incomunicación, incluyendo la prohibición de la aplicación del régimen de incomunicación a menores de edad, la designación por el Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención de la Tortura de un segundo médico del sistema público de salud para	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
hecho y del lugar de su detención.	declaración. Durante el régimen de	que reconozca al detenido incomunicado y la grabación en vídeo de todo el tiempo de permanencia del detenido incomunicado en las instalaciones policiales.	
	incomunicación, el detenido no tiene acceso a un abogado y a un	Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que:	
		a) El sistema legal español garantiza el acceso rápido y eficaz del detenido a un abogado (artículo 17.3 de la Constitución y artículo 520 de la ley de enjuiciamiento criminal). Tan pronto como el funcionario policial practica un arresto, está obligado a solicitar la presencia del abogado de la elección del detenido o del colegio de abogados para que designe uno del turno de oficio. Si el funcionario no cumple con esta obligación con la debida diligencia puede ser objeto de sanción penal y disciplinaria.	
		Durante el plazo (ocho horas) que establece la ley para que dicho abogado efectúe su comparecencia en dependencias policiales, no se le pueden hacer preguntas al detenido, ni practicar con el mismo diligencia alguna. Además, desde el mismo momento del arresto, se informa al detenido de que tiene derecho a guardar silencio y a un reconocimiento médico.	
		La instrucción 12/2007 de la Secretaría de Estado de Seguridad sobre los comportamientos exigidos a los miembros de las fuerzas y cuerpos de seguridad del Estado para garantizar los derechos de las personas detenidas o bajo custodia policial, viene a reforzar estos derechos en los siguientes términos:	
		"se pondrá especial empeño en garantizar que el derecho a la asistencia jurídica se	

Recomendaciones E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		preste de acuerdo con lo previsto en el ordenamiento jurídico, utilizando los medios disponibles para hacer efectiva la presencia del abogado a la mayor brevedad posible.	
		Para ello, la solicitud de asistencia letrada se cursará de forma inmediata al abogado designado por el detenido o, en su defecto, al colegio de abogados, reiterando la misma, si transcurridas tres horas de la primera comunicación, no se hubiera personado el letrado.	
		En el libro de telefonemas se anotará siempre la llamada o llamadas al letrado o colegio de abogados y todas las incidencias que pudieran producirse (imposibilidad de establecer comunicación, falta de respuesta etc.)."	
		Para mejorar las garantías de las personas detenidas y dar cumplimiento a las recomendaciones de los organismos internacionales en materia de defensa de los derechos humanos, el Plan Nacional de Derechos Humanos contempla abordar la reforma del artículo 520.4 de la ley de enjuiciamiento criminal a fin de reducir el actual plazo máximo de ocho horas, dentro del que debe hacerse efectivo el derecho a la asistencia letrada.	
		Por lo que se refiere al derecho a entrevistarse en privado con un abogado, hay que señalar que todas las personas detenidas en España, con excepción de los sometidos a régimen de incomunicación, tienen derecho a entrevistarse en privado con su abogado tras la toma de declaración.	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		La privación, en el caso de detenidos sometidos al régimen de incomunicación, del derecho a entrevistarse en privado con un abogado responde, cuando nos enfrentamos a bandas armadas, terroristas o criminales altamente organizadas, a la necesidad de proteger la integridad del abogado y evitar el riesgo de que pueda ser objeto de coacción para la consecución de fines tales como la difusión de alertas que puedan facilitar la fuga del resto de integrantes de la banda terrorista, la destrucción de las pruebas del delito etc.	
		No se considera que la celebración de una entrevista privada del detenido con su abogado constituya una garantía esencial contra eventuales malos tratos policiales. Sí lo es, como recoge nuestro ordenamiento jurídico, el control continuo y permanente de la autoridad judicial, o en su caso del fiscal, que desde el primer momento debe tener constancia de la detención, del lugar de custodia, y de los funcionarios actuantes, para lo que cuenta con los medios necesarios para llevar a cabo dicho control, auxiliado por los correspondientes médicos forenses, y capacitado para tomar las medidas necesarias en cada momento, como por ejemplo denegar la incomunicación u ordenar que el detenido pase inmediatamente a su disposición.	
		En todo caso, una vez cesa, el periodo de detención incomunicada (máximo cinco días en dependencias policiales) el interesado recupera todos los derechos a la asistencia letrada y preparación de su defensa de modo confidencial con el abogado de su libre	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
· · · ·		elección.	J
		b) El sistema legal español atribuye específicamente a los médicos forenses la asistencia de todos los detenidos y no sólo de aquellos que se encuentran en régimen de incomunicación, constituyendo su labor la más eficaz forma de garantía contra eventuales malos tratos por las siguientes razones:	
		 Se trata de profesionales de la medicina con años de especialización en la investigación de las causas de la muerte o de las lesiones sufridas por una persona, por lo que tienen la mejor formación para detectar cualquier mal trato que pudiera sufrir el detenido. 	
		Prestan servicio a la administración de justicia tras ser seleccionados mediante oposición pública, conforme a los principios de mérito y capacidad, y en función de sus conocimientos técnicos y legales. Ni el juez, ni las autoridades gubernamentales pueden elegir qué médico forense atiende a un detenido concreto, tarea que corresponde a quien esté previamente destinado en dicho juzgado.	
		 En su actuación profesional, los médicos forenses están plenamente sometidos a las normas deontológicas de la profesión médica, sin que puedan recibir instrucciones ni del juez ni de las autoridades gubernativas. 	
		Pese a que la presencia del médico forense constituye por sí misma la principal garantía de los derechos del detenido, nuestra legislación permite que los detenidos no	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		incomunicados puedan ser visitados por un médico de su elección. Adicionalmente, la instrucción 12/2007 del Secretario de Estado de Seguridad contempla que en el caso de que el detenido presente cualquier lesión imputable o no a la detención deberá ser trasladado de forma inmediata a un centro sanitario para su evaluación.	
		Si bien, en el caso de detenidos incomunicados, la legislación española permite limitar su derecho a acceder a un médico de su elección, la mitad de los juzgados encargados de la instrucción de los delitos de banda armada, vienen en la práctica aplicando, desde diciembre de 2006, un protocolo por el que se permite que los detenidos puedan ser examinados por médicos de su elección, si así lo solicitan, en unión del médico forense adscrito al juzgado.	
		Además, y para generalizar estas garantías adicionales, el Plan de Derechos Humanos aprobado por el Gobierno de España prevé que se lleven a cabo las reformas legales oportunas para garantizar que el detenido en situación de incomunicación sea reconocido, además de por el forense, por otro médico adscrito al sistema público de salud libremente designado por el mecanismo nacional de prevención de la tortura.	
		c) La ley española permite, en el caso de delitos de terrorismo, limitar temporalmente la comunicación del hecho de la detención a los familiares del detenido. Es una limitación temporal que tiene el mismo fundamento que las anteriores: evitar que el contacto del detenido con personas de su	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores		
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado	
		entorno pueda frustrar las investigaciones judiciales.		
		En cualquier caso, conviene tener en cuenta que tres de los seis juzgados encargados de la instrucción de los delitos terroristas, vienen aplicando, desde diciembre de 2006, un protocolo por el cual sí comunican a las familias de los detenidos el lugar de la detención y de los traslados que se lleven a cabo.		
		2009: La detención incomunicada solo puede durar el tiempo estrictamente necesario para la realización de las averiguaciones tendentes al esclarecimiento de los hechos y, como máximo 120 horas. Transcurrido este plazo, el detenido deberá ser puesto a disposición judicial. El Juez puede acordar la prisión incomunicada por otro plazo no superior a 5 días. Si hay merito para ello, el Juez puede acordar una nueva incomunicación de 3 días. No existen casos en los que la incomunicación dure más de 5 días, y ninguno en 2009.		
		En cuanto a las presuntas amenazas a abogados por policías, los abogados tendrían el inmediato amparo de sus corporaciones profesionales, del ministerio físcal y de los juzgados y tribunales, además de poder presentar las denuncias correspondientes.		
		Los médicos forenses prestan servicio a la Administración de Justicia tras ser seleccionados mediante concurso público. Ni el Juez ni las autoridades pueden elegir qué médico atiende a un detenido concreto. Pese a que el Protocolo de Estambul no es de obligado cumplimiento, los médicos		

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(<u>2</u> cm)/200 //201 //201		forenses están aplicando las recomendaciones en él contenidas. El detenido es también examinado en el juzgado de guardia donde se le realiza un nuevo examen médico.	
		La instrucción 12/2007 señala que en el caso de que el detenido presente cualquier lesión, imputable o no a la detención, o manifieste presentarla, deberá ser trasladado de forma inmediata a un centro sanitario.	
		En la práctica, los Jueces Centrales de Instrucción acuerdan sistemáticamente la comunicación a las familias de los detenidos del lugar de la detención y de los traslados que se llevan a cabo.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: Al final del interrogatorio policial, el abogado de la persona detenida está autorizado a hacerle preguntas y a registrarlas como parte de la declaración formal. Sin embargo, en ocasiones los agentes del ordenan a los abogados a que se abstengan de intervenir. Los abogados que intentan hablar o que piden el número de identificación a los agentes presentes reciben un trato agresivo e intimidatorio.	
		Es frecuente que haya policías presentes durante el examen médico del detenido, por lo que puede sentirse intimidado y guardar silencio sobre los malos tratos sufridos. Por ello, los informes médicos no siempre reflejan de manera exacta y completa el estado físico y mental del detenido.	
		El Gobierno Vasco creó una línea de atención telefónica de 24 horas para que las familias de las personas detenidas en	

	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)		(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		régimen de incomunicación obtengan información sobre los motivos de la detención, el lugar y el estado de salud de los detenidos. Sin embargo, no siempre funciona correctamente.	
		2008: El Gobierno informó sobre la asistencia médica y letrada al detenido.	
		2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Se observa un avance, si bien débil y contradictorio. El Juez de la Audiencia Nacional, Baltasar Garzón, habría permitido en ocasiones concretas que personas detenidas bajo régimen de incomunicación, tengan derecho a ser visitados por médicos de su elección y que se informara a las familias sobre el paradero y la situación en que se encuentra su familiar detenido. Sin embargo, estas medidas sólo han sido aplicadas por un juez, no de oficio y en pocos casos. Las autoridades son renuentes a aplicar estas medidas de forma sistemática y protocolizada.	
		Ha aumentado el número de abogados que han sufrido agresiones o amenazas cuando realizaban su trabajo de asesorar a personas privadas de libertad o en el momento de ser detenidas. No se presentan quejas formales en la mayoría de estos incidentes para evitar perjuicios a las personas a las que se pretende defender. Existen denuncias de que el abogado de oficio no se identifica ante el detenido en régimen de incomunicación.	
		Existen determinadas irregularidades en la prestación de la asistencia letrada.	
		2007: El Gobierno señala que el sistema legal español garantiza el acceso rápido y	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		eficaz del detenido a un abogado. Desde el mismo momento del arresto, se le informa sobre su derecho a guardar silencio y a ver a un médico. La situación de incomunicación en dependencias policiales no priva al detenido de asistencia letrada.	
		El sistema legal español no reconoce el derecho del detenido a la asistencia por un médico de su elección bajo ningún régimen.	
		No es previsible una modificación legal a este respecto.	
		La ley prevé la posibilidad de que en caso de urgencia, el detenido sea atendido por otro facultativo del sistema público de salud e incluso por un médico privado.	
		En relación con los detenidos en régimen de incomunicación, la aplicación de la recomendación del Relator Especial presenta el grave inconveniente de posibilitar la utilización del "médico de confianza" para transmitir al exterior noticias de la investigación.	
		En estos casos, el retraso en la comunicación a los familiares ha encontrado plena justificación en el Tribunal Constitucional.	
		2007: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Siguen sin garantizarse estos derechos. No se les permite ser asistidas por un letrado de su elección. Se continúa impidiendo que el abogado se comunique con su cliente antes de la declaración o durante ella. Se han registrado casos en que los abogados defensores son amenazados por los jueces que interrogan al detenido. El reconocimiento de la persona detenida por	

₽	
Ħ	
R	
3	
9	
61	
A	
ģ	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		un médico de su elección es sistemáticamente rechazado. Los informes emitidos por los médicos forenses estatales siguen siendo deficientes. Finalmente, la Guardia Civil y la Policía Nacional no informan a los familiares de los detenidos sobre su paradero o las circunstancias de la detención. La Policía Autónoma Vasca es la única que dispone de un sistema telefónico de atención a las familias de los detenidos bajo incomunicación.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: no se ha observado ninguna variación en referencia a esta recomendación.	
		2005: El Gobierno informó que la designación del abogado de oficio la realiza el respectivo Colegio de Abogados. La libre elección de abogado forma parte del contenido normal del derecho del detenido a la asistencia letrada, pero no de su contenido esencial.	
		Los Médicos Forenses son destinados a los juzgados mediante un sistema objetivo basado en la antigüedad. La decisión judicial que acuerda la incomunicación impone, al menos, una visita diaria del médico forense al incomunicado, practicar los reconocimientos en un lugar apropiado y a solas con el detenido y emitir un informe escrito que se remite al Juzgado y consta en la causa.	
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se habría observado ninguna variación en referencia a esta recomendación.	
Todo interrogatorio debería	En la práctica, el abogado	2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: En	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
comenzar con la identificación de las personas presentes. Los interrogatorios deberían ser grabados, preferiblemente en cinta de vídeo, y en la grabación se	solamente aparece cuando el detenido está a unto de hacer y	 A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) abril de 2009, se habían instalado 2.500 cámaras en Cataluña. El Plan de Derechos Humanos incluye el compromiso de instalar el material necesario para la grabación de las personas detenidas en régimen de incomunicación. Sin embargo, no se incluye una medida similar para personas que no permanecen incomunicados. En el año 2009 se ordenó la grabación de todo el período de incomunicación en tan sólo 14 ocasiones. En el caso de los cinco detenidos por parte de la Ertzaintza la orden venía recogida en el Protocolo para el tratamiento de detenidos incomunicados, y en los restantes casos tuvo que ser una petición expresa de la defensa. En el año 2010, se ha autorizado la grabación en 16 ocasiones, 14 por actuación de la Ertzaintza. Pese a que varios juzgados en la instrucción de denuncias de torturas han solicitado la visualización y copia de estas grabaciones, aún no se han aportado en ninguna causa. Por medio de la Instrucción 12/2009, del Secretario de Estado de Seguridad, se creó el «Libro de Registro y Custodia de Detenidos» como único registro. Salvo los casos excepcionales previstos, sólo se anotarán los datos de personas detenidas mayores de 18 años. Se pretende que la nueva ficha recoja cualquier incidencia que se haya podido producir en la detención y durante el traslado del detenido y que facilite la información completa de su 	Información recibida en el periodo reportado

₽	
Ħ	
R	
3	
9	
61	
A	
ģ	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores		
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado	
		cadena de custodia, de tal modo que, a la vista de la misma, se pueda conocer la identidad de los funcionarios policiales responsables de la custodia durante la totalidad de la estancia en las dependencias policiales, reflejando, a tal efecto, cada cambio de custodia con indicación de cuándo se produce exactamente.		
		- El 14 de septiembre de 2007 se dictó una instrucción del Ministerio del Interior para el uso obligatorio del número de identificación personal en un lugar visible del uniforme de todos los agentes de los Cuerpos y Fuerzas de Seguridad del Estado, cuya entrada en vigor era en marzo de 2008. En noviembre de 2008, el gobierno autónomo catalán aprobó un decreto similar. Los agentes de la Ertzaintza no llevan ningún número de identificación en su uniforme.		
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que en cumplimiento de las recomendaciones formuladas por los organismos internacionales de defensa de los derechos humanos, incluido ese relator contra la tortura, el Plan de Derechos Humanos del Gobierno de España incluyó la siguiente medida (número 97 b) :		
		"se abordarán las medidas normativas y técnicas necesarias para dar cumplimiento a la recomendación de los organismos de derechos humanos de grabar, en vídeo u otro soporte audiovisual, todo el tiempo de permanencia en dependencias policiales del detenido sometido a régimen de incomunicación".		

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		seguridad del Estado están dando puntual cumplimiento a todas las resoluciones judiciales (normalmente de la audiencia nacional) por las que se acuerda la grabación en vídeo de los detenidos sometidos a régimen de incomunicación. Para ello, se les ha dotado de los medios técnicos necesarios, tales como un avanzado sistema de grabación de las zonas comunes y salas para práctica de diligencias (declaraciones, reconocimientos, desprecinto de efectos intervenidos) de la comisaría general de información en Madrid, así como unidades portátiles de grabación para su utilización por la guardia civil.	
		En cuanto a la instalación de videocámaras en todos los centros de detención de las FCSE, se están instalando cámaras en las zonas comunes de los centros de detención tanto del CNP como de la GC, estando ya cubierto un porcentaje superior al 50% de los mismos.	
		Las policía autónomas vasca y catalana también disponen de videocámaras en sus instalaciones para la prevención de malos tratos a los detenidos.	
		Señalar que las cámaras son instaladas en las zonas comunes por las que han de pasar los detenidos y los funcionarios que los custodian para la práctica de las diligencias oportunas (visitas de los forenses, abogado para tomas de declaración o ruedas de reconocimientos, comisiones judiciales y suministro de alimentos a lo detenidos).	
		En las salas de interrogatorio hay cámaras si el juez que instruye lo recomienda, toda vez	

	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)		(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		que la diligencia de la toma de declaración está validada jurídicamente por el letrado que asiste a la práctica de la diligencia.	
		En cuanto a la utilización de vendas o capuchas durante los interrogatorios, no sólo está expresamente prohibida, sino que tal actuación constituye un delito sancionado por el código penal.	
		El ordenamiento jurídico establece que la toma de declaración siempre se realizará en presencia de abogado, así como la absoluta interdicción del uso de cualquier medida coactiva y con estricta aplicación de los criterios rectores de la ley orgánica 2/1986, de 13 de marzo, de fuerzas y cuerpos de seguridad, que establece, como principio básico de actuación de las fuerzas y cuerpos de seguridad el absoluto respeto a la Constitución y al resto del ordenamiento jurídico. Todas estas normas de actuación, se ven respaldadas por la estricta tipificación de los delitos de tortura y malos tratos contenida principalmente en los artículos 173, 174 y 607 bis del Código Penal.	
		En base a todas estas normas, la instrucción 12/2007, sobre los comportamientos exigidos a los miembros de las fuerzas y cuerpos de seguridad del Estado para garantizar los derechos de las personas detenidas o bajo custodia policial establece:	
		"se garantizará la espontaneidad de la toma de declaración, de manera que no se menoscabe la capacidad de decisión o juicio del detenido, no formulándole reconvenciones o apercibimientos. Se le permitirá manifestar lo que estime	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		conveniente para su defensa, consignándolo en el acta. Si, a consecuencia de la duración del interrogatorio, el detenido diera muestras de fatiga, se deberá suspender el mismo hasta que se recupere.	
		Nuestro ordenamiento jurídico prohíbe terminantemente el uso de cualquier exceso físico o psíquico para obtener una declaración del detenido, de manera que el empleo de tales medios constituye infracción penal o disciplinaria, y como tal será perseguida."	
		2009: Las FCSE dan cumplimiento a las resoluciones judiciales por las que se acuerda la grabación en video de los detenidos en régimen de incomunicación. A la fecha se han instalado en un 50% de los centros de detención de las FCSE. En las salas de toma de declaración, se utilizan siempre que lo ordene el Juez que instruye el procedimiento.	
		Todas las personas que participan en la toma de declaración quedan debidamente identificadas en las diligencias policiales que se instruyen. El uso de capuchas u otros elementos susceptibles de ser utilizados para maltratar, coaccionar, desorientar o presionar al detenido están absolutamente prohibidos por el ordenamiento jurídico.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: Aunque el Plan Nacional de Derechos Humanos incluye la propuesta de instalar cámaras de video-vigilancia durante el periodo de incomunicación, no prevé la grabación en las salas de interrogatorio. Asimismo, la grabación no es obligatoria, y sólo se realiza	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		a petición del juez.	
		La incomunicación permite proceder a interrogatorios de los que no se extiende diligencia sin la presencia de un abogado, realizados por funcionarios que no siempre llevan uniforme, con el fin de obtener información que permita avanzar en las investigaciones o para preparar una declaración de la que quedará constancia. En la mayoría de los casos, se dice que la tortura y los malos tratos, infligidos por medios tanto físicos como psicológicos, tienden a producirse durante los interrogatorios, mientras que en algunas denuncias se mencionan malos tratos infligidos durante el traslado de los sospechosos de terrorismo a Madrid (A/HRC/10/3/Add.2).	
		2008: Actualmente existe un estudio con relación a la viabilidad de extender la video- vigilancia a determinadas dependencias policiales.	
		Con respecto a los derechos del detenido en la toma de declaración, el Gobierno informó sobre las garantías incluidas en la Instrucción 12/2007 de la Secretaría de Estado de Seguridad.	
		El ordenamiento jurídico prohíbe terminantemente el uso de la tortura o cualquier exceso físico o psíquico para obtener una declaración del detenido. El empleo de tales medios constituye una infracción y será perseguida.	
		Si tales hechos delictivos se cometen por funcionarios policiales, es imprescindible que las denuncias contengan datos	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		suficientes para iniciar una investigación.	
		Las Fuerzas de Seguridad no disponen de capacidad técnica para grabar de manera permanente a todas las personas que se hallen en situación de detención incomunicadas.	
		2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Confirmaron la vigencia de las alegaciones presentadas en el anterior informe y agregan que el Juez Garzón habría pedido a la policía que se grabe de manera permanente a todas las personas en detención incomunicada. La Policía no cuenta con la capacidad técnica para su implementación.	
		2007: El Gobierno reitera que las garantías de los detenidos son establecidas por la Ley de Enjuiciamiento Criminal, la cual estipula que durante los interrogatorios los detenidos serán asistidos por un abogado.	
		Cuando se presume que el detenido participó en alguno de los delitos a que se refiere el artículo 384 bis se le nombrará un abogado de oficio.	
		La grabación de los interrogatorios no añade ventajas apreciables frente al riesgo de que el detenido la utilice para "dramatizar" el interrogatorio.	
		2007: Fuente no gubernamentales: No ha habido modificación en este punto y las propuestas que se han efectuadas han sido rechazadas por algunos sindicatos policiales. Diversas causas contra funcionarios públicos por torturas y/o malos tratos, han tenido que ser archivadas porque se "extravían" o se "borran" las cintas en las que se habían	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		grabado las agresiones denunciadas.	
		Nunca se utilizan vendas o capuchas durante los interrogatorios efectuados en sede policial y con presencia del abogado. Durante interrogatorios no "formales" en los que no está presente un abogado ni se realiza un acta, se les ha obligado a mantener la cabeza baja, en posiciones dolorosas, mientras son amenazados con ser golpeados si miran al agente que los interroga.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se graban ni los ni se recoge acta de los interrogatorios. En el interrogatorio que se efectúa en sede policial, el instructor y el secretario se identifican por sus números de agente, y el abogado le enseña su carné profesional al detenido.	
		2005: El Gobierno informó que la cautela de identificar a los intervinientes se aplica no sólo respecto al interrogatorio policial de un detenido, sino a cualquier diligencia practicada en dependencias policiales. La grabación del desarrollo del interrogatorio contravendría disposiciones sobre el derecho a la intimidad.	
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se habría observado ninguna variación en referencia a esta recomendación en las diligencias efectuadas por la Policía Nacional o por la Guardia Civil.	
Investigar las denuncias e informes de tortura y malos tratos. Tomar medidas	Existen mecanismos y procedimientos de investigación en el ordenamiento jurídico,	2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Los casos de malos tratos ocurren de manera esporádica y no sistemática.	
legales contra los funcionarios públicos	pero por diversas razones esa capacidad de investigación	- Se recogieron 243 denuncias de agresiones y/o malos tratos contra 629 personas al	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
implicados, y suspenderlos de sus funciones hasta conocerse el resultado de la investigación y de las diligencias jurídicas o disciplinarias posteriores. Realizar investigaciones independientes de los presuntos autores y de la organización a la que sirven, de conformidad con los Principios relativos a la investigación y documentación eficaces de la tortura y otros tratos o penas crueles, inhumanos o degradantes.	resulta con frecuencia ineficaz. La negación de la práctica de la tortura o malos tratos, el temor de que las denuncias de tortura sean respondidas con querellas por difamación, y la imparcialidad e independencia discutibles de los mecanismos internos de exigencia de responsabilidades a los miembros de las fuerzas y cuerpos de seguridad son algunos de los factores que contribuyen a la ausencia de una política y una práctica de investigaciones prontas e imparciales.	 momento de ser detenidos o durante la privación de libertad. La mayor parte de las denuncias tuvieron lugar en Catalunya, Eskal Herria y Madrid. Los denunciantes son en su mayoría personas que participan en movilizaciones sociales (302), migrantes (103), personas privadas de libertad (69) y personas en régimen de incomunicación (45). Los principales presuntos perpetradores son los Mossos d'Esquadra y el Cuerpo Nacional de Policía. Tras la instalación de circuitos cerrados de televisión en comisarías catalanas durante el ultimo año, el número de acusaciones por agresiones de los Mossos d'Esquadra ha disminuido en un 40%. El informe anual de la Fiscalía General del Estado reveló que durante el año se habían presentado más de 230 denuncias de tortura y otros malos tratos a manos de funcionarios encargados de hacer cumplir la ley. No se han tomado medidas para crear una comisión independiente de quejas contra la policía. Hubo un aumento de la tendencia a no denunciar las agresiones. La Fiscalía General del Estado dedicó por primera vez en 2008 un capítulo de su Memoria anual a los delitos de torturas y contra la integridad moral cometidos por funcionarios. En 2007 se investigaron o juzgaron 75 casos de presuntas torturas u otros malos tratos. Cuatro de ellos 	

₽
H
R
2
19
6
1
d
Ū.

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		concluyeron con una declaración de culpabilidad y siete con una absolución. 21 casos fueron sobreseídos por el fiscal o el juez de instrucción antes de llegar a la fase de juicio.	
		- Muchas de las denuncias interpuestas son inmediatamente archivadas, en la mayoría de los casos sin que se hubiera practicado ningún tipo de prueba. Son pocos los casos en que se desarrollan diligencias probatorias tales como la toma de declaración del denunciante o la comparecencia de Médicos Forenses. Asimismo, existe una negativa por parte de las autoridades penitenciarias para facilitar pruebas y una insuficiencia de impulso procesal de oficio.	
		- El Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención ha recibido, desde el inicio de su funcionamiento, varias quejas por las sospechas de malos tratos hacia personas detenidas por la presunta comisión de delitos de terrorismo. Dichas quejas han sido adecuadamente estudiadas, requiriendo al juez de la Audiencia Nacional responsable del caso, la documentación pertinente y entrevistándose, en algún caso que se ha estimado necesario, con las personas privadas de libertad. En ningún caso se desprendió la existencia de malos tratos, si bien si se formularon las correspondientes recomendaciones, con el fin del establecimiento de buenas prácticas en el régimen de incomunicación.	
		- El Defensor del Pueblo formuló	
		una recomendación para que cuando se	

reciba una denuncia de algún ciudadano

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		contra la actuación de agentes locales se investiguen los hechos sin limitar la investigación a recoger la versión de los agentes denunciados, integrando la investigación con cuantos testimonios, grabaciones de video-vigilancia y demás medios de prueba disponibles.	
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que los malos tratos y las torturas constituyen en España un delito perseguible de oficio, siempre que hay indicios de su comisión. El ordenamiento contempla varias vías para investigación de estos supuestos y la garantía del derecho fundamental. En particular, la Constitución española establece en su artículo 24 que todas las personas tienen derecho a obtener la tutela efectiva de los jueces y tribunales en ejercicio de sus derechos e intereses legítimos, sin que en ningún caso pueda producirse indefensión.	
		En el Estado de derecho, corresponde a los jueces y tribunales, en su condición de órganos totalmente autónomos e independientes de los Gobiernos y administraciones públicas, llevar a cabo las actuaciones necesarias e investigar las denuncias hasta las últimas consecuencias, para lo que cuentan con los medios y la capacidad legal necesarios.	
		El sistema actual garantiza la independencia de las investigaciones del siguiente modo:	
		En el transcurso de la investigación judicial, el juez ordena a la policía judicial la realización de las diligencias de averiguación oportunas. En su función de	

₽
H
R
2
19
6
1
d
Ū.

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		policía judicial, los funcionarios policiales responden únicamente de las órdenes e instrucciones del juez, sin tener que dar cuenta de ellas a sus superiores. Para mayor garantía, el procedimiento habitual incluye la prevención de que el juez encargue la investigación a los expertos de policía judicial de un cuerpo policial distinto del investigado.	
		En el caso de que la investigación no derive de un procedimiento judicial sino que se esté realizando de modo interno en el ámbito administrativo, los cuerpos policiales disponen de sus propias unidades especializadas en la investigación de asuntos internos y derivación de responsabilidades disciplinarias.	
		Para mayor garantía, junto con estas unidades policiales especializadas, existe un órgano administrativo, la inspección de personal y servicios de seguridad, con dependencia directa de la Secretaría de Estado de Seguridad y por lo tanto plenamente independiente de los cuerpos policiales que tiene amplias competencias y los medios necesarios para la investigación de los casos de presunta actuación irregular de los que tenga conocimiento (incluso a través de noticias aparecidas en los medios de comunicación o los que le sean planteados por particulares o por las ONGs).	
		En todo caso, la suspensión de funciones de los funcionarios policiales denunciados por torturas o malos tratos ya está contemplada en la normativa reguladora de su régimen disciplinario y se adopta siempre que existen indicios suficientes para acordar esta medida	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		cautelar y teniendo en cuenta, en todo caso, el derecho a la presunción de inocencia reconocido en la Constitución española.	
		2009: La regulación actual contempla la apertura de un expediente disciplinario contra los presuntos responsables de tortura o malos tratos, así como la medida cautelar de suspensión de funciones en espera del resultado de la acción penal. Los funcionarios policiales responden solamente a las órdenes e instrucciones del Juez, sin tener que dar cuenta a sus superiores.	
		En 2008, el Tribunal Constitucional amplió y precisó su doctrina respecto a la investigación de supuestos malos tratos mediante seis sentencias.	
		En cuanto a la aplicación de los tipos penales de torturas, el Tribunal Supremo ha visto, entre 2002 y 2009, 34 casos relativos a la aplicación de estos. El numero de condenas a agentes de policía y funcionarios de prisiones, en esos mismos años y en los diferentes tribunales supera los 250.	
		La caída del número de denuncias en 2006 es compatible con una retirada temporal de la instrucción por parte del grupo terrorista ETA durante ese periodo.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: Entre 2000 y 2008, 634 de las 957 personas detenidas en régimen de incomunicación alegaron malos tratos. De estas, el 70 por ciento interpusieron una denuncia judicial.	
		En 2008, 95 personas fueron detenidas en régimen de incomunicación. El 68 por ciento alegaron malos tratos. En 2009, 37	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		personas han sido puestas en régimen de incomunicación. El 39 por ciento han alegado malos tratos. Existe también de una relación directamente proporcional entre la frecuencia de alegaciones de tortura y la duración de la incomunicación.	
		La mayoría de las denuncias de malos tratos físicos y psicológicos presentadas ante el juez de instrucción tras el período de detención policial en la investigación de los ataques terroristas perpetrados el 11 de marzo de 2004 e incluso reiteradas ante el tribunal durante el juicio, fueron ignoradas.	
		Ninguna denuncia ha finalizado en una condena judicial. Las denuncias son investigadas por la fiscalía, jueces, inspección interna y el Defensor del Pueblo.	
		Ha habido acusaciones de injurias a los cuerpos y fuerzas de seguridad del estado, en contra de abogados y personas que denuncian torturas, aún cuando sus propias denuncias son archivadas sin haberse efectuado las debidas diligencias para investigar los hechos.	
		Existen sentencias recientes del Tribunal Constitucional en las que se recoge la doctrina de que la gravedad del delito de torturas y la especial dificultad probatoria en esos casos obligan a actuar con especial diligencia en las investigaciones judiciales (A/HRC/10/3/Add.2).	
		2008: El Gobierno informó sobre la Instrucción 12/2007. Los retrasos durante la investigación de las denuncias de malos tratos responden a problemas estructurales	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		del sistema de Justicia español.	
		2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Esta recomendación continúa sin cumplirse. Algunos de los problemas más habituales en las investigaciones judiciales son la falta de investigación por parte del Juzgado, retrasos en la investigación y la no separación de los funcionarios implicados.	
		A partir de 2004 no existen denuncias judiciales por malos tratos o tortura entre los detenidos por la Ertzaintza, aunque si existe una alta frecuencia de alegaciones en los detenidos por la Guardia Nacional. En 2008, el 68% de los detenidos incomunicados en el País Vasco indicaron haber sido víctimas de malos tratos o tortura. Ninguna denuncia judicial ha finalizado en condena.	
		2007: El Gobierno reitera que en la actualidad los malos tratos y torturas son un delito perseguible de oficio cuando hay indicios de su comisión.	
		2007: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se aprecia ningún avance en este sentido. Es habitual que transcurran varios meses o más de un año entre el momento en que se formula una denuncia por torturas y el momento en que el juzgado comienza la investigación, toma declaración al denunciante y ordena su reconocimiento por un médico forense.	
		La aplicación de medidas cautelares contra los funcionarios imputados por tortura y/o malos tratos no es habitual. Las organizaciones no gubernamentales han criticada la falta de seriedad y profesionalismo de algunos jueces frente a	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		denuncias de tortura y/o malos tratos.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se ha observado ninguna variación. El departamento encargado de investigar las denuncias de tortura no es independiente.	
		2005: El Gobierno recalca que el marco legal permite la pronta investigación de toda denuncia de torturas. El empleo de la tortura y de los malos tratos por parte de los miembros de las Fuerzas y Cuerpos de Seguridad puede tener consecuencias penales y disciplinarias. El Tribunal Supremo ha dictado entre 1997 y 2003 16 sentencias condenatorias de torturas. Una confesión obtenida bajo tortura no tiene ninguna validez y no podrá ser utilizada en juicio.	
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se habría observado ninguna variación en referencia a esta recomendación. Se alega que la diligencia se demostraría en la voluntad de los Juzgados de archivar la denuncia. No hay información sobre casos donde funcionarios permanezcan suspendidos hasta conocerse el resultado de la investigación.	
Asegurar a las víctimas de la tortura o de los malos tratos el remedio y la reparación adecuados, incluida la rehabilitación, la indemnización, la satisfacción y las garantías de no repetición.	No existe una legislación eficaz que garantice a las víctimas de tortura una indemnización justa y suficiente. Las normas aplicadas por los tribunales para calcular el monto de la indemnización son las establecidas por la legislación de seguros, que son aplicables a las lesiones sufridas en accidentes,	 2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: no se ha producido ningún avance este año en cuanto a la reparación de las víctimas de tortura. En el año 2010 hay conocimiento de dos casos en los que denunciantes de tortura fueron después denunciados por las autoridades. La causa de un detenido fue archivada sin ni siquiera tomarle declaración 	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	pero no a las lesiones producidas deliberada e intencionalmente.	para ratificarla. Varios días después el Juzgado de Instrucción incoaba diligencias previas por un delito de falsa denuncia tras atender a un informe de la fiscalía.	
		2009: El Gobierno indicó que España es uno de los pocos países europeos que prevé desde hace tiempo que la acción de reparación se sustancie en el correspondiente procedimiento penal a efectos de agilizar su resolución.	
		El perjudicado por el delito o falta puede optar por ejercitar su acción civil en el proceso penal o reservarse dicha acción para su ejercicio ante la jurisdicción civil. En el caso de que en el proceso penal se dicte sentencia absolutoria, la victima puede reclamar indemnización de daños y perjuicios por "funcionamiento normal o anormal de los servicios públicos".	
		2008: El Ministerio del Interior afirma que su cumplimiento excede su ámbito de competencia.	
		Todos los ciudadanos tienen el mismo derecho a la presunción de inocencia y a la tutela judicial efectiva.	
		El acoso y las amenazas por parte de funcionarios públicos a quienes los han denunciado constituyen graves delitos.	
		 2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se ha producido ningún avance. La deficiente investigación judicial y excesiva duración de la instrucción de los procedimientos por tortura y malos tratos hacen imposible una pronta y eficaz reparación de las víctimas. La levedad de las penas impuestas a los 	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		funcionarios cuando son condenados, así como el hecho de que en muchos los casos no son suspendidos, constituyen una nueva agresión a las víctimas.	
		2007: Fuentes no gubernamentales: no se ha producido ningún avance. Las víctimas de tortura o malos tratos son, casi en su totalidad, objeto de una contra-denuncia por parte de los funcionarios imputados. Se han registrado casos de acoso y amenazas por parte de los agentes policiales denunciados.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No hay constancia de que se haya producido ni un solo avance.	
		2005: El Gobierno informó que este régimen exhaustivo de investigación y castigo de la tortura se ve completado por las disposiciones del ordenamiento español que aseguran un adecuado resarcimiento a las victimas de tortura. La legislación ofrece la posibilidad de ejercer conjuntamente, en el mismo proceso, la acción penal y la acción civil derivadas del delito.	
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Esta recomendación no se habría implementado debido a la falta real de un sistema jurídico y disciplinario eficaz para la represión de los delitos de tortura.	
Prestar la consideración debida al mantenimiento de las relaciones sociales entre los presos y sus familias, en interés de la familia y de la rehabilitación social del preso.	La dispersión de los presos no tiene ninguna base jurídica y se aplica de manera arbitraria. Los presos están lejos de sus familias y de sus abogados, lo que puede también causar problemas a la hora de preparar	2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Gobierno sigue aplicando la misma política penitenciaria. Un promedio de 615 kilómetros separa a los presos de sus familias. Sólo 40 vascos se encuentran encarcelados en tierra vasca. Los demás se encuentran separados en 80 cárceles y hay	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	su defensa. Las autoridades explicaron que esta política se aplicó para separar a los terroristas de ETA de los presos que se reinsertarán en la sociedad.	69 presos encarcelados a más de 1.000 kilómetros. El alejamiento también conlleva su dispersión o separación en diferentes módulos de cada una de la totalidad de 53 prisiones. En muchas de ellas incluso no se ven entre ellos, y como consecuencia de ello, son una treintena de presos los que se encuentran totalmente aislados; es decir, sin poder ver a otro compañero.	
		- El Área de Seguridad y Justicia del Defensor del Pueblo ha prestado atención a este aspecto, a raíz de la recepción de quejas de familiares de presos o de la iniciación de quejas de oficio. Las penas de privación de libertad deberían ser ejecutadas teniendo en cuenta la necesidad de guardar un equilibrio que respete los derechos elementales de los presos y que pueda conducir a la reinserción de esas personas en la comunidad.	
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que la política de separación y destino a los distintos centros penitenciarios de los presos y penados por delitos de terrorismo, se ajusta a la legalidad, es controlada judicialmente y no es contraria a los derechos humanos. Además, es una medida hoy por hoy necesaria, desde un punto de vista de política criminal frente al terrorismo. Es decir, no se adopta como castigo, sino como medida eficaz para la seguridad colectiva, la seguridad y buen orden de los establecimientos penitenciarios, así como para facilitar a los individuos la posibilidad de sustraerse a la presión del grupo. Los tres elementos que persigue son:	

₽	
Ħ	
R	
3	
9	
61	
A	
ģ	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		a. razones de seguridad. Hay que recordar las fugas (1985 Martutene) y los numerosos intentos de fuga habidos por parte de miembros de ETA (1987 Alcalá Meco; 1990 Herrera de la Mancha; 1992 en Puerto I y Ocaña; 1993 Granada; 2001 Nanclares y la reciente en Huelva del 2008)	
		b. reinserción. La cohesión del colectivo de presos de ETA en un mismo centro impide la adecuada reinserción y tratamiento de los internos, mediante amenazas y la exclusión social de las familias de aquéllos que quieren abandonar la disciplina de la banda terrorista.	
		c. evolución personal del preso: los requisitos de prueba de desvinculación de la banda suponen un reconocimiento firme de posicionamientos no violentos y de reparación del daño causado, principios inspiradores del nuestro ordenamiento jurídico.	
		En relación con la legalidad y oportunidad de esta medida:	
		 El defensor del pueblo manifestó en su informe de 19 de octubre de 2009, dirigido al Comité contra la Tortura (CAT): "la permanencia de un recluso en una prisión próxima a su domicilio no es un derecho subjetivo. La ley general penitenciaria configura esta posibilidad como una medida relacionada con el tratamiento individualizado, de tal manera que según los casos puede ser contraproducente para la reinserción social del preso a que se refiere el artículo 25.2 de la Constitución española. Tanto en los casos 	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		de terrorismo como en cualesquiera otros delitos puede ser inadecuado que el preso se ubique en un lugar próximo a su entorno social. La llamada dispersión de presos ha sido y es una actuación legal y respetuosa con los derechos de todos."	
		 El apartado XI de las líneas directrices sobre los derechos humanos y la lucha antiterrorista adoptadas por el Comité de Ministros del Consejo de Europa el 11 de julio de 2002 reconoce que "los imperativos de la lucha contra el terrorismo pueden exigir que el trato de una persona privada de libertad por actividades terroristas sea objeto de restricciones más importantes que las aplicadas a otros detenidos en lo que se refiere en particular a: "la dispersión de estas personas dentro del mismo centro penitenciario o en diferentes centros penitenciarios, con la condición de que haya una relación de proporcionalidad entre el fin perseguido y la medida tomada" 	
		La Comisión Europea de Derechos Humanos, en su decisión 1 de octubre de 1990, , estimó que la negativa de trasladar a un recluso a un centro próximo a residencia puede estar justificada en razones de diversa índole, entre las que se señalan razones de seguridad nacional, seguridad pública, bienestar económico del país, la defensa del orden en la prevención del delito, la protección de la salud o de la moral, o la protección de los derechos y libertades de los demás en los términos señalados en el párrafo 2 del artículo 8 del convenio europeo para la protección de los derechos humanos y libertades fundamentales.	

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		2009: Las circunstancias tenidas en cuenta para la asignación del centro penitenciario a los reclusos son, principalmente: a) intervención penitenciaria o razones de seguridad; b) criterios para la reinserción; y c) evolución personal del preso.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: Los derechos de las víctimas y la reeducación y reinserción social son la finalidad de la pena. Por tanto, puede ser positiva la dispersión de presos.	
		Hay aproximadamente 570 presos de ETA dispersados en más de 50 prisiones a una distancia media de 600 km. del País Vasco, un hecho que en sí constituye un riesgo y una carga económica para los familiares que los visitan, así como un obstáculo práctico para la preparación de la defensa en los casos en que los acusados que se encuentran en prisión provisional están internados a gran distancia de sus abogados (A/HRC/10/3/Add.2).	
		2008: El Gobierno confirma la información presentada anteriormente.	
		De los 66 centros penitenciarios dependientes de la Administración General del Estado en todo el territorio nacional, solamente 23 disponen de Departamentos de régimen cerrado.	
		Dado el reducido número de población penitenciaria que se encuentra clasificada en régimen cerrado, no es posible contar con estas infraestructuras en todos los establecimientos. Casi todas las Comunidades Autónomas cuentan con	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		departamentos de régimen cerrado.	
		El Gobierno informó sobre su política de dispersión y las condiciones de reclusión especialmente severas.	
		Los internos en régimen cerrado, representan un conjunto de población más vulnerable, por lo que cuentan con una intervención más directa e intensa.	
		2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se observa ningún avance. Actualmente, sólo 22 de las 474 personas presas, condenadas o acusadas de pertenencia o colaboración con ETA se encuentran presas en prisiones vascas.	
		2007: El Gobierno afirma que el régimen penitenciario que se aplica a los presos del País Vasco es el mismo que se aplica a todos los presos.	
		Las instituciones penitenciarias españolas procuran la reinserción social de los penados, pero atienden también a la retención y la custodia, la ordenada convivencia y la seguridad.	
		La dispersión es una condición necesaria para la función rehabilitadora de la pena en casos de reclusos pertenecientes a bandas de criminalidad organizada o a grupos terroristas.	
		2007: Fuente no gubernamentales: No se observan avances. Solamente 13 presos se encuentran en cárceles vascas. No hubo ninguna repatriación al País Vasco entre finales de 2005 y 2006.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Se ve	

	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	precisamente la tendencia contraria. De los 528 presos vascos encarcelados en las prisiones del Estado español, sólo 11 están en el País Vasco.	
	2005: El Gobierno informó que el número de condenados por delitos de terrorismo y la estrategia de presión intimidatoria de la banda terrorista ETA hace inviable por el momento su concentración en establecimientos penitenciarios cercanos al domicilio de sus familias.	
	2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Se habría producido un mayor alejamiento de los presos de sus lugares de origen.	
Se recibieron denuncias de tortura y malos tratos de personas no originarias de Europa occidental o por miembros de minorías étnicas. Esas personas pueden también	2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Gobierno apoya a las recomendaciones de elaborar y publicar estadísticas oficiales sobre crímenes e incidentes de motivación racial y desarrollar un plan nacional de acción contra el racismo y la xenofobia.	
tropezar con dificultades para formular una denuncia o sostenerla durante la tramitación judicial.	Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que es plenamente favorable a la invitación del relator especial sobre formas contemporáneas de racismo, discriminación racial, xenofobia y formas conexas de intolerancia. España se encuentra entre los países que han cursado una "invitación permanente" a todos los relatores especiales, lo que significa que, el Gobierno de España está dispuesto a aceptar automáticamente las solicitudes de cualesquiera de los titulares de mandatos de procedimientos especiales para visitar nuestro país.	
	(E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2) Se recibieron denuncias de tortura y malos tratos de personas no originarias de Europa occidental o por miembros de minorías étnicas. Esas personas pueden también tropezar con dificultades para formular una denuncia o sostenerla durante la tramitación	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)(E/CN.4/2005/6/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)precisamente la tendencia contraria. De los 528 presos vascos encarcelados en las prisiones del Estado español, sólo 11 están en el País Vasco.2005: El Gobierno informó que el número de condenados por delitos de terrorismo y la estrategia de presión intimidatoria de la banda terrorista ETA hace inviable por el momento su concentración en establecimientos penitenciarios cercanos al domicilio de sus familias.Se recibieron denuncias de tortura y malos tratos de personas no originarias de Europa occidental o por miembros de minorías étnicas.2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Gobierno apoya a las recomendaciones de elaborar y publicar estadísticas oficiales sobre crímenes e incidentes de motivación racial y desarrollar un plan nacional de acción contra el racismo y la xenofobia.Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que es plenamente favorable a la invitación del relator especial sobre formas contemporáneas de racismo, discriminación racial, xenofobia y formas conexas de intolerancia. España se encuentra entre los países que han cursado una "invitación permanente" a todos los relatores especiales, lo que significa que, el Gobierno de España está dispuesto a aceptar automáticamente las solicitudes de cualesquiera de los titulares de madatos de procedimientos especiales para

2009: Existe una invitación a todos los Relatores para que visiten España.

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		La política para eliminar las diferentes formas de discriminación racial se basa en el ordenamiento jurídico español y en varios instrumentos aprobados por el Consejo de Ministros, incluido el Plan Estratégico de Ciudadanía e Integración (2007-2010).	
		2008: El Gobierno reitera su opinión favorable a la invitación al Relator Especial sobre las Formas Contemporáneas de Racismo.	
		La Comisaría General de Extranjería y Documentación ha informado que no tiene constancia del alto número de denuncias.	
		2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No hay constancia de que se haya cursado esta invitación, aunque existe un alto número de denuncias por torturas y/o malos tratos con trasfondo xenófobo.	
		Los migrantes afrontan más dificultades que los nacionales cuando pretenden denunciar agresiones por parte de funcionarios de policía.	
		2007: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No existe información con relación a una visita futura de dicho Relator Especial.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No se ha cursado la invitación.	
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: No hay información de las gestiones para su invitación.	
Ratificar el Protocolo		2010: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El 10	
Facultativo de la Conven contra la Tortura y Otros	ción	de mayo del 2010 tuvo lugar en el Senado la presentación del Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención con arreglo al Protocolo	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
Tratos o Penas Crueles, Inhumanos o Degradantes.		Facultativo de la Convención contra la Tortura u otros Tratos o Penas Crueles o Degradantes, cuyas funciones en España han sido atribuidas al Defensor del Pueblo, por Ley Orgánica 1/2009, de 3 de noviembre. El propósito de la jornada era dar a conocer el Mecanismo de Prevención español, así como cambiar impresiones y criterios en torno a los diferentes enfoques y situaciones existentes en Europa acerca del cumplimiento del citado Protocolo Facultativo.	
		- El Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención entró en funcionamiento a comienzos del año 2010, y la primera visita a un lugar de privación de libertad tuvo lugar en el mes de marzo. Al 17 de septiembre de 2010, se han llevado a cabo 158 visitas.	
		- Se tiene conocimiento de una visita llevada a cabo por el MNP. Las personas que presuntamente gestionaban el mecanismo no mostraron una actitud mínima de empatía o entendimiento hacia el testimonio que se les estaba relatando, además de no mostrar un formulario o protocolo de actuación a seguir en estas visitas. La reunión no se dio en circunstancias de privacidad y de garantía ante la policía actuante. Asimismo, se desconoce el procedimiento que sigue el informe que siguió a la entrevista y una queja al respecto fue presentada ante la Defensoría.	
		Gobierno: El Gobierno informó que España ratificó el protocolo facultativo de la Convención contra la Tortura y otros tratos o penas crueles, inhumanos o degradantes el	

Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Medidas tomadas en años anteriores (E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		4 de abril de 2006.	
		En cumplimiento del protocolo facultativo de la Convención contra la Tortura mediante la ley orgánica 1/ 2009 de 3 de noviembre, se atribuyó al Defensor del Pueblo la condición de mecanismo nacional de prevención de la tortura.	
		2009: El 15 de octubre de 2009 se aprobó la modificación a la Ley Orgánica del Defensor del Pueblo por lo que se atribuye a esta institución la titularidad del MNP.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales:	
		En junio de 2009 se anunció el modelo de Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención de la Tortura, integrado al Defensor del Pueblo.	
		2008: El Gobierno afirma que se han llevado a cabo numerosas reuniones para definir la estructura del Mecanismo Nacional de Prevención de Tortura (MNP), con la participación de los actores relevantes de la sociedad civil.	
		 2008: Fuentes no gubernamentales: Durante el 2007, miembros de organizaciones no gubernamentales y organizaciones de Derechos Humanos del Estado mantuvieron reuniones con representantes de la Administración relativas al diseño del MNP. El Gobierno no ha dado pasos efectivos para su pronta implementación y estaría obstaculizando el acceso de organizaciones de derechos humanos a los centros de detención. 	
		2007: El Gobierno español informa de que el Protocolo Facultativo entró en vigor el 22	

455

		Medidas tomadas en años anteriores	
Recomendaciones (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2)	(E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/4/33/Add.2, A/HRC/7/3/Add.2 y A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		de junio de 2006.	
		2007: Fuentes no gubernamentales: En abril de 2006, el Gobierno ratificó el Protocolo Facultativo.	
		2006: El 13 de abril de 2005, el Ministro de Asuntos Exteriores y de Cooperación depositó la firma del Protocolo Facultativo.	
		2006: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Gobierno español firmó el Protocolo Facultativo.	
		2005: Se han iniciado los trámites internos para la firma y la ratificación del Protocolo Facultativo.	
		2005: Fuentes no gubernamentales: El Gobierno español todavía no ha dado ningún paso práctico para su ratificación.	

Sri Lanka

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Manfred Nowak) in the report of his visit to Sri Lanka from 1 to 8 October 2007 (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)

127. By letter dated 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of Sri Lanka, requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of his predecessor's recommendations. He expresses his gratitude to the Government for providing detailed information on steps taken during the reporting period.

128. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the Government's efforts to expedite criminal proceedings relating to torture cases by establishing various ad hoc commissions of inquiry, including the Presidential Commission of Inquiry to investigate serious cases of human rights violations that occurred since 1 August 2005, as well as the establishment of Lessons Learnt Reconciliation Commission (LLRC) and the Inter-Agency Advisory Committee (IAAC).⁵⁶

129. The Special Rapporteur echoes the concern raised by the Committee against Torture regarding the prevailing climate of impunity for acts of torture and ill-treatment and the failure to investigate promptly and impartially wherever there is reasonable ground to believe that an act of torture has been committed.⁵⁷

130. He calls upon the Government to take steps to address the outstanding concerns raised by the Committee over the LLRC's limited mandate and its alleged lack of independence, and promptly launch impartial and effective investigations into all allegations of torture, rape, enforced disappearances and other forms of ill-treatment, occurred during the last stages of the conflict and in the post-conflict phase.⁵⁸ He looks forward to receiving information on the investigations undertaken into allegations of torture and illtreatment and steps taken to hold accountable those responsible.

131. The Special Rapporteur takes note of the steps taken to monitor the implementation of the Presidential directions of 7 July 2006 (reissued in 2007) and the Rules with regard to Persons in Custody of the Police (Code of Departmental Order No. A 20). He expresses concern that, as also noted by the Committee against Torture, the suspects held in custody are not afforded statutory rights to inform a family member and are not given access to legal counsel at the moment of arrest.

132. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to abolish unacknowledged custody and detention facilities allegedly run by the Sri Lankan military intelligence and paramilitary groups,⁵⁹ make police station chiefs, investigating and operative officers criminally accountable for any unacknowledged detention, and make it a serious crime.

133. The Special Rapporteur expresses concern about the 18th Constitutional Amendment of 8 September 2010, which eliminates the Constitutional Council and empowers the President to make direct appointments of members to key Commissions, including the

See para. 21 of CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4. See para. 18 of CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4.

See para. 21 of CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4.

⁵⁹ See para. 9 of CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4.

National Police Commissioner and the Chairman and members of the Human Rights Commission (NHRC). He calls upon the Government to ensure that all allegations of torture and ill-treatment are promptly and thoroughly investigated by an independent authority with no connection to the authority investigating or prosecuting the case against the alleged victim, and that the constitution and activities of the NHRC comply with the Paris Principles. The Special Rapporteur echoes the recommendation of the Committee against Torture about establishing an independent national system to effectively monitor and inspect all places of detention, including, *inter alia*, facilities holding LTTE suspects.⁶⁰

134. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the Government's decision to lift the long-standing state of emergency on 31 August 2011, and expresses concern about the new regulations under the Prevention of Terrorism Act No. 48 of 1979 (PTA), which unduly restrict legal safeguards for persons suspected or charged with a terrorist or related crime. This has also been pointed out by the Committee against Torture.⁶¹

135. The Special Rapporteur expresses concern about the fact that the burden of proof lies on the prosecution to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that a confession or other evidence has not been obtained under any kind of duress, except for cases of confessions falling under the PTA. He calls on the Government to ensure that its anti-terrorism measures are compatible with the provisions of article 2, paragraph 2 of the Convention. The Special Rapporteur recalls that international customary law and treaty law require States to ensure that any statement that is established to have been made as a result of torture shall not be invoked as evidence in any proceedings, except against a person accused of torture as evidence that the statement was made.⁶²

136. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the proposed plan of the Minister for Prison Reforms and Rehabilitation to separate convicts from pre-trial detainees and minor offenders from criminals, and looks forward to receiving information on the steps undertaken with respect to implementing a comprehensive structural reform of the prison system, aimed at reducing the number of detainees, increasing prison capacities and reducing the overcrowding, separating juveniles and adult detainees as well as remand and convicted prisoners.

137. Finally, the Special Rapporteur wishes to reiterate the appeal to the Government to abolish capital punishment or, at a minimum, commute death sentences into prison sentences. In his view, the manner of imposition and execution of the death penalty in Sri Lanka inevitably involves the commission of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment and, in some cases, torture. He regrets that no action was taken to ratify the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture (OPCAT) and calls upon the Government to take measures to ratify it and establish a National Preventive Mechanism.

⁶⁰ See para.9, CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4.

⁶¹ See para.10, CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4.

⁶² See the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, art. 15.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(a) End impunity for members of the TMVP- Karuna group.	The Special Rapporteur was concerned about the reported links between the Government and the TMVP-Karuna group, which were confirmed by the TMVP representative the Special Rapporteur met in Trincomalee. The TMVP-Karuna group has been accused of brutal human rights abuses.	 Non-governmental sources: The TMVP continues to carry out unlawful killings, hostage-taking for ransoms, recruitment of child soldiers and enforced disappearances. On 7 October 2008, Karuna was sworn into Parliament, with the full support of President and Government. As military commander of the TMVP, and previously as a military commander in the LTTE, Karuna was suspected of serious human rights abuses and war crimes, including the abduction of hundreds of teenagers to serve as child soldiers, holding civilians as hostage, torture and killings. There has been no official investigation into these allegations. Non-governmental sources: Karuna continues to be a Member of Parliament from the ruling Party, the UPFA. In his report following his December 2009 visit to Sri Lanka, the Special Envoy of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Children & Armed Conflict, Patrick Cammaert, stated that cases of child recruitment and threats of re-recruitment have been attributed to a 'commander' Iniya Bharathi who is part of the TMVP breakaway faction under Karuna's leadership in the Ampara district of the Eastern Province. To date, these reports have not been investigated. 	Government: There have been no reported killings, hostage-taking or recruitment of child soldiers as stated by the NGOs. Karuna is no more with the Tamil Makkal Viduthalai Pulikal (TMVP). He broke away and he is now a Member of Parliament. Both TMVP and the Karuna group denounced terrorism and have joined the democratic mainstream. According to the Crimes Division of the Sri Lanka Police, there are no pending investigations arising out of any complaints of either Karuna or the TMVP after 2009. If there are any complaints with credible information the Police will conduct impartial investigations. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, no progress has been achieved. It is reported that impunity persists and new human rights abuses continue to be reported by both forces reportedly loyal to "Karuna" (SLFP Minister Vinayagamoorthy Muralitharan) and those reportedly loyal to his former deputy "Pillayan" (TMVP leader and Chief Minister of the Eastern Province, Sivanesathurai Chandrakanthan). Internecine violence between the two groups has reportedly harmed civilians. Reportedly, in December 2010,

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(b) Ensure that detainees are given access to legal counsel within 24 hours of arrest, including persons arrested under the Emergency Regulations.	The Code of Criminal Procedure lacks fundamental safeguards, such as the right to inform a family member of the arrest or the access to a lawyer and/or a doctor of his or her choice for a person arrested and held in custody. The Code does not specify the interrogation conditions and is silent about the possibility of the presence of a lawyer and an interpreter during the interrogation.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Sri Lanka's criminal procedure has not been reformed in this regard. In practice, linkages between the police and criminal lawyers sometimes prevent a suspect from being adequately represented. In other cases, lawyers who have visited police stations along with their clients had themselves been assaulted afterwards. Non-governmental source also quotes a senior police officer stating that one of the principal causes for torture was the absence of legal representation when a suspect was produced before a Magistrate at the very first instance. This privilege is afforded, if at all, only to the elite. Where the emergency laws were concerned, the situation was even worse. Since lawyers did not visit army camps or STF camps, persons detained under emergency regulations did not receive legal assistance. Detainees are denied confidential information with their legal counsel and interviews take place in the presence of law enforcement personnel, which undermines reporting of ill-treatment.	witnesses before Sri Lanka's "Lessons Learnt and Reconciliation Commission" (LLRC) blamed the groups for numerous human rights violations including enforced disappearances. ⁶³ Government: The implementation of the Presidential directions of 7 July 2006 (reissued in 2007) is monitored by the National Human Rights Commission (HRCSL) by way of visiting Police Stations and examining the records and also the conditions of detainees. These directives were widely disseminated to reach the entire Police Force and the three Armed Forces. When a suspect is arrested under the laws of Sri Lanka, he or she has to be informed about the reason for arrest; the next of kin have to be informed of the arrest; facilities would be made available for the person to contact a lawyer; there are Police departmental orders with regard to the safe custody of the persons including many other relevant instructions to Officers.

⁶³ See, for example. Proceedings of public sittings of the Commission of Inquiry on Lessons Learnt and Reconciliation appointed by His Excellency the President in terms of Section 2 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, District Secretariat, Trincomalee, 3 December 2010 (LLRC/FV/03-12-10/01); and Ottamavadi Divisional Secretariat, 10 December 2010 (LLRC/FV/03-12-10/01),

http://www.llrc.lk/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=26&Itemid=56

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 Non-governmental sources: Most lawyers are now unwilling to take torture cases. Even in cases where the accused (victim of torture) is able to obtain legal counsel, he is not ensured of a private consultation. Additionally there are lapses in informing the family within 24 hours when a person has been arrested. 	Non-governmental sources: Reportedly, there has been no change in law or actual procedures which is an important protection gap. There is still no legal requirement that detainees have access to legal counsel within 24 hours of arrest. There is no law or regulation on the right to have a lawyer during interrogation. Although Sri Lanka lifted the State of Emergency at the end of August, it retained the Prevention of Terrorism Act (PTA) and introduced new regulations under the PTA on 29 August (24 hours before the state of emergency lapsed) that provide, among other things, for the continued detention of persons previously detained under the Emergency Regulations ⁶⁴ .
(c) All detainees should be granted the ability to challenge the lawfulness of the detention before an independent court, e.g. through habeas corpus proceedings.	Detainees regularly reported that habeas corpus hearings before a magistrate either involved no real opportunity to complain about police torture, given that they were often escorted to courts by the very same perpetrators, and that the magistrate did not inquire into	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Extreme delays in the legal procedures in the Court of Appeal for habeas corpus applications have rendered this remedy practically ineffective. In the majority of cases, the preliminary inquiry before the Magistrates' Court takes many years and applications filed in the 1980s are still pending in the Court of Appeal. No time	Government: In total there are 131 <i>habeas corpus</i> applications pending with the following breakdown: Colombo (2010 -3; 2011 - 3), Vavuniya (2010-122; 2011-2) and Trincomalee (2011- 1). In addition, the detainee can also challenge the grounds of

⁶⁴ See, "CPA Statement on the new Regulations under the Prevention of Terrorism Act," Centre for Policy Alternatives, 23 September 2011 http://cpalanka.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/CPA-Statement-on-the-new-Regulations-under-the-Prevention-of-Terrorism-Act.pdf

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	whether the suspect was mistreated in custody.	limits for the final determination of these applications exist.	detention in the Supreme Court by way of a fundamental rights application.
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> A practice that has now become routine in Sri Lanka is to pressure victims of torture to 'settle' their cases. The alleged accused (and victim of torture) who is facing criminal charges initiates a Fundamental Rights (FR) case with regard to the torture s/he underwent due to the ineffectiveness of habeas corpus. When officials learn of the FR case, the police (or other officials) then pressure the accused (victim) to "settle" wherein the criminal charges are dropped in exchange for a withdrawal of the FR case. Pressure to 'settle' cases is so prevalent that it is reported that even Supreme Court judges have begun encouraging victims to 'settle'.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There has been no change in law or actual procedures. It is alleged that the problem persists for criminal detainees and reliance on extraordinary measures to hold detainees without judicial review (as has been the case in detention for "rehabilitation" of former LTTE members) further compounds this problem.
(d) Ensure that magistrates routinely ask persons brought from police custody how they have been treated and, even in the absence of a formal complaint from the defendant, order an independent medical	Detainees face various obstacles in filing complaints and having access to independent medical examinations, which are frequently alleged to take place in the presence of the perpetrators, or are performed by junior doctors with little experience. The fact that a system of Judicial	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Detainees may complain of ill-treatment and request a medical examination by a JMO. However, detainees rarely complain due to fear of retribution by the custodial officers, to whose charge they are returned after production in court. Furthermore, detainees have little access to independent medical examinations; in many instances victims of torture are	Government: Under section 8.1 (Arrest and Detention) of the National Action Plan for the Protection of Human Rights (NHRAP) i.e. safeguards have been proposed and the Government is in the process of implementing it.
examination in accordance with the Istanbul Protocol.	Medical Officers (JMO) is in place in the country is a positive sign. Obstacles for victims of torture to access the JMOs result in loss of important medical evidence, impeding criminal proceedings against perpetrators. There is also no obligation for law enforcement officials or judges to	accompanied to the examination by the alleged perpetrators. In addition, doctors and JMOs often fail to record evidence of torture or provide false reports, and some doctors provide treatment to victims without disclosing the evidence of torture in official records. JMOs have been found to be complicit in covering up acts of torture.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, no instructions have been issued to magistrates to ask persons brought from police custody about their treatment. Inquiring into treatment is left to the discretion of individual magistrates.
	investigate cases of torture ex	Non-governmental sources: The Magistrate	It is reported that magistrates do

462

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	officio.	almost never inquires about torture. The Police also do their best to ensure that there is no opportunity for the accused (victims) to talk. - The medical-legal forms are not questioned by the Magistrate and in cases when the forms do indicate torture took place; the forms are not submitted by the Police as part of the record. - It was also reported that in cases where medical examinations are performed, the accused (victims) are presented before the doctor when scars have largely healed.	not always question about treatment even when suspects have visible injuries. Lawyers allege that individuals with injuries have been produced outside of normal working hours and at the homes of magistrates rather than in court, without lawyers present. Reportedly, suspects have been threatened with further violence, and their lawyers and families (and other witnesses) with arrest or physical harm by police officers attempting to suppress information, including information about torture.
(e) Ensure that all allegations of torture and ill-treatment are promptly and thoroughly investigated by an independent authority with no connection to the authority investigating or prosecuting the case against the alleged victim.	Jurisdiction for offences under the Anti-Torture Act No. 22 lies with the High Court. Complaints have to be addressed to the Attorney General's (AG) Department. Upon instruction of the AG, the Special Investigation Unit (SIU), under the supervision of the Inspector General of the Police (IGP), conducts the investigations. The Prosecution of Torture Perpetrators Unit (PTP) monitors the work of the SIU and the Criminal Investigation Department (CID), and is also in charge of investigating torture cases. The	ASP/SP records statements of the victims as well as that of witnesses and thereafter forwards the complaint to the legal division of the police. Upon receipt, the complaint is referred to the IGP, who then forwards it to the SIU with instructions to begin investigations. Since the SIU is directly under the command of the IGP, investigations	Courts are provided separately. All the indictments were referred to the High Court. And 44 police officers have been indicted

<i>Recommendation</i> A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	(A/HRC/7/3/Add.6) AG's Department decides to indict alleged offenders based on files submitted by the SIU and the PTP. The indictments by the Attorney General have lead so far to only three convictions and eight acquittals. Senior police officers with regional command responsibilities also conduct inquiries into torture allegations. The National Police Commission (NPC) is in charge of disciplinary control over all officers except the Inspector General. However, this procedure was only established in January 2007. The legitimacy and credibility of the NPC has been questioned because of the appointments of the Commissioners by the President. The CID was given the mandate to handle all criminal investigations into complaints of alleged torture, other than complaints relating to allegations against CID officers. However, complaints of torture	(A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) investigate a complaint. These "special cases" are dealt with by the CID, headed by an ASP. The SIU is not solely dedicated to investigating allegations of torture; it also investigates other offences allegedly committed by police officers, such as fraud. According to the same information, the SIU's cadre is insufficient and its officers are liable to transfer. The very fact that police officers investigate their colleagues impairs public confidence in the propriety and efficiency of the investigations. The National Police Commission's long-term effectiveness is threatened by the lack of a strong constituency supporting its independence and by the fact that it has failed to improve police accountability. The police routinely fabricate information and alter reports to support their version of the facts. The period within which a crime is investigated by the police is susceptible to abuse of the parties associated with this process in several ways. Despite Government commitments to addresss impunity, perpetrators of human rights violations do not face a serious threat of	reporting period situation has reportedly deteriorated. It is reported that between 2005 and 2008, when the SIU had primary responsibility for investigating torture complaints reported to the Attorney General, 60 indictments were issued agains suspected perpetrators of torture. But after 2008 implementation of the CAT Act (investigations and indictments) stalled as the AG's office took over responsibility for preliminary investigation of tortur complaints and referred few cases to the SIU. Since 2009, there have reportedly been no new investigations under CAT and no prosecutions ⁻⁶⁵ It is alleged that the National Police Commission is no longer ar independent body since the 18th Constitutional Amendment passed on 8 September 2010, eliminating
			on 8 September 2010, eliminating the Constitutional Council and giving the President full control over appointments.
	of the relevant area. The SIU also handles allegations of torture referred to the Government by the National Human Rights	longer is referred to in practice, but the IGP hands over the investigation to the Deputy IGP, who hands it over to the Assistant Superintendant who issues a statement	

⁶⁵ See, Asian Human Rights Commission, "SRI LANKA: A review of Sri Lanka's compliance with the obligations under the Convention against Torture and Ill-treatment," 8 July 2011.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	Commission, NGOs and the Special Rapporteur on Torture.	 indicating that there was an investigation and the case is closed. The National Police Commission is largely defunct and has communicated to various civil society organizations and families they are not in a position to take up such cases. 	
officials, in particularnoted withprison doctors, prisonan effectiveofficials and magistratesinvestigation	In general, the Special Rapporteur noted with concern the absence of an effective ex officio investigation mechanism in accordance with Art. 12 CAT.	Non-governmental sources: Detainees do not	Government: Section 8.1 and the item 2 (Torture) of the NHRAP, recommend improved standards of Medico-legal work focusing on judicial officers in the detection in cases of torture.
			<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Medical care for torture-related injuries is available in prisons or with referral to hospitals (including for injuries sustained in police custody before prisoners reach the prison), but prison authorities and prison doctors are reportedly not required to report injuries or request investigations.
			It is reported that public officials are not instructed to ensure prompt and impartial investigations when they have reason to suspect an act of torture or ill-treatment.
(g) Ensure that confessions made by persons in custody without the presence of a lawyer and that are not confirmed before a judge should not be admissible as evidence against the persons who made the confession.	Art. 24 to 27 of the Evidence Ordinance (EO) do not allow confessions in court that are extracted through torture. In addition, ordinary law provides that a confession made to a police officer or to another person while in police custody is inadmissible before the courts. This rule,	See (b). <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The emergency laws still allows the admissibility of confessions given to police officers above the rank of an ASP and imposes a burden on the accused to prove that the confession was not voluntary. It has been observed by a senior human rights lawyer that in 99% of the cases filed under the PTA, the sole evidence relied upon are confessions made to an ASP	Government: Prior to a confession of an accused person being admitted in a Court of Law, a <i>voir dire</i> inquiry is conducted. It is only upon satisfying the judge at the <i>voir dire</i> inquiry that the confession has been made voluntarily that the confession is deemed admissible as evidence. It

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	however, is not applicable to persons detained under Emergency Regulations. Over the course of his visits to police stations and prisons, the Special Rapporteur received numerous consistent and credible allegations from detainees who reported that they were ill-treated by the police during inquiries in order to extract confessions.	the Emergency Regulations that enabled the admissibility of confessions was repealed when the ERs were amended by Gazette 1651/24 in May 2010. However though the confession is not admissible when given to a police officer, the confession is often brought up in cross examination. For example, in cases where there is an alleged robbery and	will be incumbent on the judge to assess the evidentiary value, i.e. the truth of the statement. The Magistrates have the power to order medical examinations and they have exercised this judicial power in appropriate cases. Non-governmental sources: No real change has been reported: whilst the Emergency Regulation have been repealed, it is alleged that persons are still arrested and detained under the Prevention of Terrorism Act, under which such persons may and have been convicted on the basis of a "confession" made to a police
		the stolen goods are found, the prosecution brings up the confession as the indication of where the stolen goods were hidden.	officer, even when that "confession" is retracted in court and torture has been alleged.
(h) The burden of proof should shift to the prosecution to prove beyond reasonable doubt that the confession was not obtained under any kind of duress.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The burden of proof regarding confessions obtained under duress continues to be on the accused, in accordance with the Criminal Procedure Code.	Reportedly, there remains no leg requirement that a lawyer be present during questioning of a detainee. Government: The burden of proving the ingredients of an offense is always on the prosecution. It is only with regar to confessions under PTA that th burden shifts to the accused to show that it is inadmissible under section 24 of the evidence ordinance.
			Under section 24 of the evidence

466

ordinance, a confession made by

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			an accused person is inadmissible in criminal proceedings if the making of the confession appears to the court to have been made under inducement, promise or threat.
			Voluntariness and truth are benchmarks that are taken into consideration before a court would admit a confession against an accused.
(i) Expedite criminal procedures relating to torture cases by, e.g., establishing special courts dealing with torture and ill- treatment by public officials.	The Special Rapporteur is concerned about the long duration of investigation with regard to cases of torture and ill-treatment of often more than two years and allegations of threats against complainants and torture victims.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There is no constitutional or statutory safeguard against protracted trials. Indictments take several years to be forwarded to the accused. Even after an indictment is served and the case commences in the High Court, proceedings may drag on for years, allowing ample time for the accused police officers to threaten, intimidate or kill witnesses. The Government has said that the Attorney General has instructed his officers to give preference to cases coming under the CAT Act. However, there is little evidence of such prioritisation. There have been only three convictions and several acquittals under this Act. The vast majority of cases remain pending in the courts with little hope of a successful outcome.	Non-governmental sources: No change has been reported: without the PTA being repealed or revised to the effect recommended by the Special Rapporteur this situation will reportedly remain. Government: It is admitted that there is some delay in criminal proceedings. It is difficult to give priority to any one type of case. Murder, rape, child abuse are examples of cases, that also need equal attention. The Attorney General however has instructed the State counsel to expedite the pending torture cases. Non-governmental sources: No progress.

Non-governmental sources: In the past, if

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		there was a case of torture alleged against a Police Officer, the officer was interdicted till the inquiry into the case was complete and the Police Officers innocence was proven. However this practice is no longer adhered to.	
(j) Allow judges to be able to exercise more discretion in sentencing perpetrators of torture under the 1994	The Special Rapporteur appreciates that, by enacting the 1994 Torture Act, the Government	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There has been recent precedence in the Supreme Court to award lesser sentences.	Government: The judiciary is in the process of formulating guidelines on sentencing policy.
Torture Act.	has implemented its obligation to criminalize torture and bring perpetrators to justice. He is also encouraged by the significant number of indictments, 34, filed by the Attorney General under this Act. However, he regrets that these indictments have led so far only to three convictions. One of the reported factors influencing this outcome is the Torture Act's high mandatory minimum sentence of seven years. It is effectively a disincentive to apply against perpetrators.		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No change in policy; no apparent official plan to address this recommendation.
(k) Drastically reduce the period of police custody under the Emergency Regulations and repeal other restrictions of human rights under them.	For three decades, emergency rule	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> By Amendment Regulation 2008, the period of preventive detention of suspects arrested under Regulation 19 EMPPR was extended to a further period of six months, where it appeared that the release of such a person would be detrimental to the interests of national security, thus extending the entire period of preventive detention to one and a	Government: Since the lapse of the ER, Regulations have been made in terms of Section 27 of the PTA for the treatment of detainees consequent to the lapsing of the emergency regulations. According to the current regulations: 1. Detainees under the lapsed
	period of "three months in the first instance, in such place and subject to such conditions as may be determined by the Minister", renewable to a maximum of 18	half years. Such a suspect was mandated to be	regulations shall be produced forthwith befor a Magistrate who will bring the suspect under the Code of Criminal

months, still applies. Although the		
CFA provided for the temporary suspension of the PTA, throughout this time many provisions of the PTA were reintroduced under the Emergency Regulations and now that the CFA has been abrogated, the temporary suspension of the PTA has been repealed. New Emergency Regulations (ER, or Emergency Miscellaneous Provisions and Powers Regulations, EMPPR) were imposed on 14 Aug. 05. They are drawn from the PTA and allow detention without charge for 90 days, renewable for up to one year. Suspects can also be held for up to a year under "preventive detention" orders.	which meant that the old Regulations 19 and 21 of EMPPR 2005 were revived. Accordingly, the current state of the law is that suspects are required to be brought before a Magistrate after 30 days following arrest and can thereafter be kept up to ninety days in detention, in a place "authorised by the Inspector General of Police" (IGP). Following the expiration of the 90 day period, they must be remanded by a Magistrate into fiscal custody. Although the Regulation indicates that custody thereafter cannot be more than one year, the current practice is that fiscal custody is indefinitely extended by periodic remand orders issued by Magistrates. Lawyers appearing for these suspects say that a suspect is typically detainees for up to two years or more until the Attorney General decides to indict him/her or alternatively ask for the suspect's discharge. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> According to the amendment to the Regulation of May 2010, the detention of a person under ER 19 has to be notified to a Magistrate within 72 hours and the detainee has to be produced before a competent court within 30 days. The maximum period of detention has been reduced to three months. - Due to the short period of detention, charges are sometimes framed without adequate evidence. Bail is not given in cases of those detained under the Emergency Regulations or the PTA.	 Procedure Act. 2. If this production does not take place within 30 days from 30th August 2011 and the Magistrate does not take steps to remand him on material available, the detainee shall be released. 3. If a detention order either under Part II or Part III has been issued in respect of the detainee before the expiry of 30 days, the detainee shall not be released subject to the availability of the right of bail in given circumstances. 4. Those who were remanded by the magistrate under the provisions of the lapsed regulations will be deemed to have been remanded under the Prevention of Terrorism Act. As of 1 September 2011, any person arrested or detained are given the above guarantees in terms of Code of Criminal Procedure Act or in the appropriate case under the Provisions of the PTA.
	PTA were reintroduced under the Emergency Regulations and now that the CFA has been abrogated, the temporary suspension of the PTA has been repealed. New Emergency Regulations (ER, or Emergency Miscellaneous Provisions and Powers Regulations, EMPPR) were imposed on 14 Aug. 05. They are drawn from the PTA and allow detention without charge for 90 days, renewable for up to one year. Suspects can also be held for up to a year under "preventive	PTA were reintroduced under the Emergency Regulations and now that the CFA has been abrogated, the temporary suspension of the PTA has been repealed. New Emergency Regulations (ER, or Emergency Miscellaneous Provisions and Powers Regulations, EMPPR) were imposed on 14 Aug. 05. They are drawn from the PTA and allow detention without charge for 90 days, renewable for up to one year. Suspects can also be held for up to a year under "preventive detention" orders.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			have been issued on 29 Decemb 2011. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Th state of emergency was lifted at the end of August 2011; the PTA was reactivated in 2006 and sinc 31 August 2011, is being used to manage detentions that were previously under ERs. It is reported that the PTA allows for an even longer period of detention without charge (18 months) than was allowed under the ERs (12 months).
(1) Develop proper mechanisms for the protection of torture victims and witnesses.	In general, the lack of effective witness and victim protection prevents the effective application of the laws in place.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The police and security forces are known to put severe pressure on petitioners, lawyers, litigants, witnesses and families to drop human rights cases involving torture. Intimidation of witnesses is a common practice among law enforcement agencies. Once accused or indicted for torture, the law enforcement officers are kept in their positions. A draft law on Witness and Victims of Crime Protection was presented to Parliament in 2008; however, this Bill has been pending for many months in the House. Its range is commendably wide but it has also been criticized for being seriously flawed otherwise.	Government: The Draft Bill on Victims, Witnesses and Victims Crime Protection which was presented in the Parliament, was referred to the Consultative Committee and several recommendations were made therein. Subsequently, the Parliament was prorogued and thereafter the Bill has not been represented in the Parliament. The recommendations and amendme are being incorporated into the E and the Bills is currently with the Legal Draftsman. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Not progress. Reportedly, there remains no witness protection program in Sri Lanka. A bill (albeit flawed) aimed at creating witness protection program was presented to Sri Lanka's parliament in 2008. It has not to

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			date been debated or voted on.
(m) Ensure that the constitution and activities of the NHRC comply with the Paris Principles, including with respect to annual reporting on the human rights situation and follow-up on past cases of violations.	The NHRC is empowered to conduct investigations into complaints of violations of fundamental rights. However, it can only make recommendations and is not empowered to approach courts directly. In Oct. 07, the International Coordinating Committee of National Institutions for the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights (ICC) downgraded the NHRC's status, due to concerns about the independence of the Commissioners, in view of the 2006 presidential appointments, which were done without the recommendation of the Constitutional Council, as prescribed in Sri Lanka law. Concern was also express regarding the balance, objectivity and politicization of its work and its failure to issue annual reports on human rights, as required by the Paris Principles.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The NHRC is mandated to investigate fundamental rights violations. In practice however, it has no enforcement powers, and has been unable to put clear policies into place, as well as effective and consistent practices in relation to investigations. It also suffers from a lack of resources and qualified staff. Its independence and integrity has been negatively affected as a result of its members being unilaterally appointed by the President, bypassing the pre- condition of approval by the Constitutional Council. The current Commissioners have not demonstrated any commitment to human rights protection and are mainly lawyers and retired judges. The NHRC is still under special review of the ICC; until a decision has been reached, it will remain under "B" status.	Government: In terms of the 18 th Amendment to the Constitution, the Chairman and Members of the Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka are appointed by the President after seeking the observations of a Parliamentary Council. This Council consists of the Prime Minister, the Speaker, the Leader of the Opposition and nominee each of the Prime Minister and the Leader of the Opposition. The aforementioned nominees have to belong to communities other than those to which the Prime Minister, Speake and Leader of the Opposition belong, ensuring a wide representation by all communities Other safeguards to guarantees the independence and integrity of the Human Rights Commission are incorporated into the constituting legislation, in terms Act No 21 of 1996. The independence is similar to the independence of the judiciary, in that they are not removable prior to the expiration of their terms.

Section 29 of Act No. 21 of 1996

casts a positive duty on the State to provide the Commission with adequate funds to discharge its statutory functions and duties.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> With passage of the 18th amendment, eliminating the Constitutional Council and formally empowering the President to make direct appointments to key Commissions it is reported that the NHRC can no longer be considered an independent body as is required by the Paris Principles. As of August 2011, the ICC grades the NHRC a a B Status Institution.
(n) Establish appropriate detention facilities for persons kept in prolonged custody under the Emergency Regulations.	During the Special Rapporteur's visit to various police stations, he observed that detainees were locked up in basic cells, slept on the concrete floor and were often without natural light and sufficient ventilation. The conditions for those held in police stations under detention orders pursuant to the Emergency Regulations for periods of several months up to one year were inhuman. This applies both for smaller police stations, but particularly for the CID and TID headquarters in Colombo, where detainees were kept in rooms used as offices during the daytime, and forced to sleep on desks in some cases.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The emergency laws continue to create an environment which permits torture and CIDTP, due to the extended period of detention, which at times take place in locations not supervised by the prison administration. Under the PTA, normal prison rules do not apply. Torture, denial of proper food and restrictions on visiting hours are observed, together with deplorable conditions at police stations and Special Task Force camps. Unauthorized detention centres continue to be maintained. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The conditions have worsened since the Special Rapporteur's visit in 2007.	Government: At present, 361 persons (354 males and 7 females) are held in custody under Prevention of Terrorism Act. Sri Lanka maintains only one detention centre located in Boossa Medical facilities are available 24 hours and a doctor is present at the Boossa detention centre. Medical treatment is provided by the Government Hospitals at any time Visits by parents, next of kin and legal representatives will ensure the protection of the rights of the detainee and the detainee is legally entitled to apply to court for medical and other forensic examinations of reports. They are also entitled to engage the services of medical and forensic experts privately. The material emanating from the examination can be adduced in court and will be

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			treated with equal weight. The detainee is entitled to make a statement to the examining medical officer providing a clinical history that would set out any injury or bodily harm.
			<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, there has been no improvement in detention facilities for detainees held under the PTA and previously detained under ERs.
			It is reported that there was a marked deterioration in overall conditions under which people detained without charge were held It is alleged that at the conclusion of the armed conflict in May 2009, the Sri Lankan Government forcibly and arbitrarily detained almost 300,000 displaced persons in closed camps under military guard and under extremely poor conditions – including inadequate shelter, sanitation and access to medical care. Rigid restrictions on displaced people's freedom of movement in and out of the camps were reportedly maintained for more than six months, until December 2009, and then gradually loosened.
			Reportedly, the Sri Lankan authorities detained separately and also without charge some 11,000

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(o) Establish an effective and independent complaints system in prisons for torture and abuse leading to criminal investigations.	The medical personnel in various prisons acknowledged that they received on a regular basis allegations of torture and other forms of ill-treatment by persons who are transferred from police stations to the prisons. In many cases, these complaints are corroborated by physical evidence, such as scars and haematomas. However, the medical personnel only feels responsible for treating obvious wounds and does not take any further action, like reporting the alleged abuse to the authorities or sending the victim to a JMO. Sometimes the guards beat detainees if they have done something wrong.	 Non-governmental sources: Prison officials admit that torture and ill-treatment occurred within prison walls and that there were no regular procedures of inquiry and report. There have been very few visits to detention facilities by Magistrates, the so-called Board of Visitors and the Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka. There is no effective monitoring of facilities that accommodate inmates detained under emergency law or at police detention facilities. Non-governmental sources: Magistrates rarely, if ever, visit prisons. There has been no appointed Board of Visitors nationally or locally in each area. Over the past two years, the HRC has dramatically scaled down and completely stopped its surprise visits to detention facilities in some areas. 	 people (including children) suspected of links to the LTTE in variety of "rehabilitation" camps, many of which were repurposed school buildings or old displacement camps with minimal facilities. It is alleged that torture has been reported in these facilities and more than 1,000 of these detainees remain in detention (as of 30 September 2011) without charge more than two years later. Government: The Ministry of Rehabilitation and Prison Reform has issued instructions to the administrators of all prisons to visit all wards and cells of their prisons daily and to take proper immediate action with regard to complaints against prison officers and to take disciplinary action If necessary. A complaint box has been placed at the prison entrance for the people to visit the inmates to place their complaints. Instructions were also given to refer any inmate who has been assaulted by the prison officer. Once in every 30 days authorized magistrates visit the detainees in the detention centers.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			Section 18 (Civil and Political Rights) of the NHRAP, proposes several prioritized measures to ensure compliance with minimum standards for the treatment of prisoners and will address issues including deficiencies in prison conditions and overcrowding.
			<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There is no complaint mechanism or any apparent plan to initiate one. Inmates who have complained of torture have reportedly been threatened by prison authorities.
(p) Investigate corporal punishment cases at Bogambara Prison as well as torture allegations against TID, mainly in Boosa, aimed at bringing the perpetrators and their commanders to justice.	The Special Rapporteur heard of a number of instances of corporal punishment at Bogambara prison. In one case, a preliminary disciplinary inquiry was conducted against the officer concerned and formal charges were to be presented against an officer by the Prisons Department.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There were reports of women guards in Bogambara being interdicted but they have all been released.	Government: The Ministry of Rehabilitation and Prison Reforms has taken disciplinary action against the officer at Bogambara prison that is said to have assaulted an inmate. It is proposed to relocate the prisons in Colombo and Kandy to new premises with modern state of the art facilities. It is believed that there will be a significant reduction of the prison population by the adoption of non- custodial sentences which will further reduce the problem of overcrowding. As regards errant prisons officials, there are a number of them who have been indicted for abuses.

Non-governmental sources: Reportedly, no known action has been taken to investigate these

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			allegations. On 14 June 2011, seven Tamil detainees in Bogambara Prison were reportedly tortured by investigating officers following a dispute between two groups of Sinhalese prisoners who were allegedly selling drugs within the prison. Guards reportedly threatened the prisoners with death, saying they would die like two well-known Tamil prisoners who were tortured and killed by Sinhalese prisoners in a 1983 prison massacre.
			bleeding and in severe pain, were eventually admitted to the prison hospital. ⁶⁶
	The Government provided the Special Rapporteur with statistics indicating severe overcrowding of prisons. While the total capacity of all prisons amounts to 8,200, the actual prison population has reached 28,000. The combination of severe overcrowding and the antiquated infrastructure of certain prison facilities places unbearable strains on services and resources, which for detainees in certain prisons, such as the Colombo	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Prisons are faced with severe overcrowding. There is a lack of adequate sanitation, food and water, and inadequate medical treatment, and prisoners have little exposure to sunlight. The buildings are old, and the possibility of the spread of contagious disease remains a serious problem. <i>Non-governmental sources</i> : In September 2010, it was reported that the President suggested an overhaul of the Penal Code and the administration of justice in the lower courts as jails are overcrowded and congested.	Government: The Ministry of Rehabilitation and Prison Reforms has taken steps to ease the congestion in prisons by establishing new open prison camps and prisons. More sanitary facilities and better water supply are being provided. Medical facilities have also been enhanced <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Reportedly, Sri Lankan prisons remain extremely overcrowded

⁶⁶ See, "SRI LANKA: Seven Tamil detainees hospitalised after severe torture by the prison officials inside Bogambara Remand Prison," ASIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION, Urgent Appeal Case: AHRC-UAC-133-2011, 3 August 2011.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	to degrading treatment. rehabilitation programme would b	Reforms was quoted as saying that a rehabilitation programme would be established with the assistance of the state and private sector agencies.	Sri Lanka claimed to have an institutional capacity of about 11,000 and a prison population of nearly 34,000. A. Dissanayake, Secretary to the Ministry of Rehabilitation and Prison Reforms, told the Sri Lankan newspaper the Daily Mirror that Welikada prison near Colombo was overcrowded at 220 percent of capacity – housing 4,500 inmates in a facility intended for 2,000. ⁶⁷
			The Department of Prisons reported that in 2010 its facilities overall were overcrowded by 129.4 percent. ⁶⁸
			In September 2010, Sri Lanka's Minister of Prisons Reforms and Rehabilitation announced a proposal to relocate jails situated in cities to the suburbs, but there has been no apparent progress since then.
(r) Remove non-violent offenders from confinement in pre-trial detention facilities, and	The Colombo Remand Prison is a very old institution and the conditions of detention are appalling: the institution is	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> A large number of prisoners are remand prisoners and it is estimated that only 25 % of remand prisoners are ultimately convicted.	New prison construction has also been proposed for Jaffna. Government: Arrangements are made to keep remand prisons separate from convicted prisoners.
subject them to non- custodial measures (i.e.	extremely overcrowded and prisoners are detained in poor	Non-governmental sources: Bail is given	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> It is reported that in 2010, the Minister

 ⁶⁷ "Sri Lankan jails 'hell' for women," *Aljazeera*, 25 July 2011, http://english.aljazeera.net/indepth/features/2011/07/2011725142452794174.html.
 ⁶⁸ "Accommodation and Buildings, 2001-2010," Department of Prisons, http://www.prisons.gov.lk/Statistics/Statics/Title9/9.7.pdf

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
guarantees to appear for trial, at any other stage of the judicial proceedings and, should occasion arise, for execution of the judgement).	the visit there were a total of 1,552 detainees. Ninety persons were convicted, 1,332 persons were in	 with unreasonable conditions. Either the bail amount is too high, or the sureties have to be civil servants or in some cases civil servants from that area. Sometimes the crime is committed in an area that is not the same as the court, even though it is within the court's jurisdiction, making it difficult to obtain such sureties. Due to enormous delays in the courts, victims are encouraged or simply decide to plead guilty even when innocent, immediately begin their prison sentence and hope that the sentence is ultimately commuted. 	for Prison Reforms and Rehabilitation said his Ministry planned to separate convicts from pre-trial detainees and minor offenders from criminals. This, however, remains to be implemented. Reportedly, in 2010, 18,000 people received sentences to do community service in lieu of incarceration. Since 2010, there have been two significant prison riots.
(s) Ensure separation of remand and convicted prisoners.	The lack of adequate facilities also leads to a situation where convicted prisoners are held together with pre-trial detainees in violation of Sri Lanka's obligation under Art. 10 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Section 48 of the Prisons Ordinance states that convicted prisoners, whenever practical, shall be separated from remand prisoners (subsection c). The rule that convicted prisoners should be separated from remand prisoners is reflected also in the Sri Lanka Prison Rules 177 and 178. Upon admittance of a person into the prisons system, however, there is no distinction between the innocent and the guilty. Remand prisoners are not separated from those convicted.	Government: The Ministry of Rehabilitation and Prison Reforms has taken steps to separate remand prisoners from convicts. It was decided to construct a new prison complex so that remand and convicted prisoners can be kept separately. <i>Non-governmental sources</i> : No apparent progress
(t) Ensure separation of juvenile and adult detainees, and ensure the deprivation of liberty of children to an absolute	In the TID facilities in Colombo the Special Rapporteur met eight children who were being held on account of being child soldiers for the LTTE. He strongly condemns	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Please refer to point (q). The Minister for Rehabilitation and Prison Reforms has made statements that reforms are envisaged. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Section 48 of the Prisons Ordinance states that juvenile prisoners, whenever practical, shall be separated from adults; Section 13 of the Children and Young Persons Ordinance No	Government: The Ministry of Rehabilitation and Prison Reforms has instructed officers to transfer child suspects to children's homes without delay and to separate them

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
minimum as required by Article 37 (b) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child.	the recruitment of children in the conflict, be it for fighting or other forms of servicing the armed groups. He also deems prolonged detention of minors in counter- terrorism detention facilities deeply worrying.	48 stipulates that children and young offenders should be kept separate from adults in police stations and courts, etc. Furthermore, the Community Based Corrections Act. No 46 of 1999 stipulates a wide range of non- custodial orders for the rehabilitation of (child) offenders including unpaid community work. In practice, child offenders are kept in police cells together with adult offenders prior to being produced in court. Consequently, children are often exposed to abuse. Children kept in custody under emergency law face even greater hardships. Further, the police hardly follow alternatives to detaining children in the police station, such as releasing them to their parents or placing them in a remand home. The placement of children in adult prisons pending trial is a common practice, as there is lack of capacity in remand homes for children.	alleged that after the armed conflict ended in May 2009, about 600 children were detained as former LTTE child combatant. They were initially held with adult
(u) Abolish capital punishment or, at a minimum, commute death sentences into prison sentences.	The death penalty is foreseen by Art. 52 of the Penal Code. Murder is punishable by death (art. 296). No death sentence has been carried out in Sri Lanka since 1977. However, the High Court sentenced five police officers guilty of rape and murder to death sentences.	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There is a facility in Thaldena which was reserved for youth offenders between the ages of 18 and 22. However, many of those convicted for drug offences have been recently placed in that facility. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Although Sri Lanka is ranked as "abolitionist in practice" by non-governmental sources, it has not abolished capital punishment in its legislation.	Government: The Death Penalty could be imposed only for limited and most serious crimes such as treason, murder and for possession and trafficking of drugs. Persons sentenced to death have a right to seek pardon or commutation of sentence and amnesty. The death sentence is not imposed on persons under 18 years of age or on pregnant women. No executions

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
			have been carried out for nearly 30 years.
			A moratorium on death sentence i in place in Sri Lanka. In the case of killings in Angulana, three police officers were convicted.
			<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No change in law or policy. Reportedly, according to Sri Lanka's Department of Prisons, 94 people were sentenced to death in 2010, all were convicted of murder. Since 2006, 673 death sentences have been issued 15 for drug offences and 658 for murder. ⁶⁹
			Reportedly, in a very rare prosecution of deaths in police custody, in August 2011, four policemen received the death sentence for the 2009 custodial killing of two young men in Angulana. It is reported that news of the killings sparked large public demonstrations against the police.
			In April 2011, three Sri Lankan solders were sentenced to death for rape and murder of a 22 year old woman in Jaffna in 1996.

⁶⁹ "Direct Admissions of Convicted Prisoners by Type of Offences, and Rate Per 100,000 of Population, 2004-2010," Department of Prisons, http://www.prisons.gov.lk/Statistics/Statics/Title4/4.12.pdf

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(v) Establish centres for the rehabilitation of torture victims		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> There is no state sponsored system of rehabilitation afforded to torture victims.	No death sentences have reportedly been carried out. Government: Section 8 on Torture of the NHRAP – Rehabilitation and Reparation, a comprehensive reparation programme for victims of torture has been proposed.
(w) Ratify the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture, and establish a truly independent monitoring mechanism to visit all places where persons are deprived of their liberty throughout the country, and carry out private interviews.	A number of shortcomings remain and, most significantly, the absence of an independent and effective preventive mechanism mandated to make regular and unannounced visits to all places of detention throughout the country at any time, to conduct private interviews with detainees, and to subject them to thorough independent medical examinations. It is the Special Rapporteur's conviction that this is the most effective way of preventing torture. In the case of Sri Lanka, he is not satisfied that visits undertaken by existing mechanisms, such as the NHRC, are presently fulfilling this role, or carrying out this level of scrutiny. In this regard, the Special Rapporteur welcomes information from the Government that it intends to establish an inter- agency body to study possible modalities and mechanisms to undertake visits to places of	Sri Lanka has not signed or ratified the OPCAT. <i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The Release of Remand Prisoners Act No. 8 of 1991 provides for monthly visits to prisons by a Magistrate, and Section 39 of the Prisons Ordinance empowered judges, members of parliament and Magistrates to visit the prisons at any time and hold therein "any inspection, investigation or inquiry." Section 28(2) of the Human Rights Commission Act, No 21 of 1996 empowers any person authorised by the Commission to enter at any time any place of detention, police station, prison or any other place in which any person is detained by judicial order or otherwise and to make such examinations or inquiries as may be necessary, to ascertain the conditions of detention of the persons detained therein. However, current emergency laws allow for detention of persons in places other than official detention facilities. By inference, visits to such undisclosed and secret places of detention are not possible. Though it is provided for by law that Magistrates visit remand prisons, this is seldom observed in	 Non-governmental sources: No progress. Government: Sri Lanka has not accepted Article 21 and 22 of the Convention, Ratification of the Optional Protocol of the Convention would be considered by the State party. Non-governmental sources: No action taken to ratify the Optional Protocol. It is reported that Sri Lanka's National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) does not make regular unannounced visits to places of detention, but will make a surprise visit if alerted to a problem, for example through their public hotline. It is alleged that security forces often fail to alert the NHRC to detentions. The NHRC reports, for example, that officers of the Commission visited Mount Lavinia Police Station on 15th August 2011, on the information

detention and also to strengthen		reporting period
the capacities and efficacy of the NHRC in this connection.	practice. The Government has announced that it was considering the introduction of legislation to make it compulsory that Magistrates inspected places of detention. Yet, this intention has not been translated into actual practice. Officers of the NHRC, even on the rare occasions when they did visit places where persons were detained, were only shown the regular holding areas rather than the mess rooms and the toilets, where torture may actually be taking place. Also, the fact that they have to obtain prior permission for these visits renders a 'surprise element' impossible in such visits, which could help uncover abuse. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The situation remains the same.	received through the hotline. It is alleged that detainees had been held in police custody for more than seven days and appeared to have been tortured. Several other detainees were being held on detention orders under emergency regulations. Reportedly, information about these detention had not been sent to the Commission as required by per provisions of the Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka Act and Presidential Directives. Some places of detention have reportedly been kept secret, making independent monitoring impossible.
	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Human rights education, which was introduced into police training in the early 1980s, is part of the curricula at the Sri Lanka Police Training School as well as at the Police Higher Training Institute. Human rights and humanitarian law also form part of the curricula in the training courses at all levels of the army and some courses are supplemented by training programmes conducted by the NHRC, the ICRC, NGOs and universities. However, the quality of the training is poor and the training facilities are sub-standard. The State has no national human rights plan.	Government: In service training programmes are conducted by the Judges Training Institute under th auspices of the Judicial Services Commission. In-house training an workshops are periodically conducted by the Attorney General's Department for prosecutors. In 2010, 23 newly recruited polic officers were trained on torture prevention; 50 inspectors of polic sub-inspectors of police, police constables, police sergeants, and 50 chief inspectors, inspectors of
		 Yet, this intention has not been translated into actual practice. Officers of the NHRC, even on the rare occasions when they did visit places where persons were detained, were only shown the regular holding areas rather than the mess rooms and the toilets, where torture may actually be taking place. Also, the fact that they have to obtain prior permission for these visits renders a 'surprise element' impossible in such visits, which could help uncover abuse. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The situation remains the same. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Human rights education, which was introduced into police training in the early 1980s, is part of the curricula at the Sri Lanka Police Training School as well as at the Police Higher Training Institute. Human rights and humanitarian law also form part of the curricula in the training courses at all levels of the army and some courses are supplemented by training programmes conducted by the NHRC, the ICRC, NGOs and universities. However, the quality of the training is poor and the training facilities are sub-standard.

Nations Resident Coordinator's Office held a Human Rights Training of Trainers for the HRCSL.

Recommendation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Situation during visit (A/HRC/7/3/Add.6)	Steps taken in previous years and (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Police Training College in May 2009. The Unit subsequently provided the police with a comprehensive Human Rights Manual, corresponding lesson plans and role plays, which the Deputy Inspector General Training was instrumental in finalising in August 2009. However, the United Nations has still not received word that the manual was cleared for official use by the IGP and formally integrated into the College's training programme.	<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : Some human rights training has been provided to security personnel but implementation of government directives and regulations aimed at protecting detainees reportedly remains extremely weak.
(y) Establish a field presence of the UNOHCHR with a mandate for both	During the visit of the Special Rapporteur, two technical cooperation officers of the OHCHR were located in	No monitoring mission of the OHCHR has been set up due to refusal by the Government. However, there is a Human Rights Advisor in the country.	Government: An independent national mechanism in the form of the National Human Rights Commission for monitoring the
monitoring the human rights situation in the country, including the right	Colombo. The establishment of a field presence of the OHCHR with a mandate to monitor the human	<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The situation remains the same.	human rights situation was established in the country under Act No. 21 of 1996.
of unimpeded access to all places of detention, and providing technical assistance particularly in the field of judicial, police and prison reform.	rights situation was strictly refused by the Government.		The National Action Plan for Promotion and Protection of Human Rights has already been approved by the Government and is in the process of implementation.
			<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> No progress.

Togo

Suivi des recommandations du Rapporteur spécial (Manfred Nowak) contenues dans le rapport de mission au Togo en avril 2007 (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)

138. Le 22 Novembre 2011, le Rapporteur spécial a envoyé le tableau ci-dessous au Gouvernement togolais pour lui demander des informations et commentaires sur les mesures prises suite aux recommandations émises par le Rapporteur spécial après sa mission d'avril 2007. Le Rapporteur spécial regrette qu'à ce jour, le Gouvernement n'ait pas encore répondu à cette demande. Il attend de recevoir des informations sur les efforts du Togo pour assurer le suivi des recommandations et reste disponible pour fournir tout appui technique dont aurait besoin le Gouvernement togolais.

139. Le Rapporteur spécial se félicite de la ratification, par le Gouvernement togolais, du Protocole facultatif à la Convention contre la torture et autres peines ou traitements cruels, inhumains ou dégradants (OPCAT) le 20 juillet 2010. Il note que le Comité des droits de l'homme a recommandé l'élaboration d'un mécanisme permettant à tout détenu de signaler toute violation dont il serait victime.⁷⁰ Le Rapporteur spécial aimerait recevoir des informations détaillées sur les mesures envisagées et/ou prises pour la mise en place d'un Mécanisme National de Prévention au Togo qui pourra effectuer des visites inopinées dans tous les centres de détention.

140. Le Comité des droits de l'homme et le Comité contre la torture ⁷¹ regrettent que la pratique des mutilations génitales féminines reste encore répandue malgré les mesures prises par le Gouvernement pour y mettre fin. Le rapporteur spécial est préoccupé par le fait que cette pratique ne soit pas sanctionnée par le système pénal togolais. Le Gouvernement devrait poursuivre et renforcer ses efforts pour mettre fin aux pratiques discriminatoires, telles que les mutilations génitales féminines. À ce titre, le Gouvernement devrait intensifier ses efforts de sensibilisation aux mutilations génitales féminines, en particulier au sein des communautés où elles sont encore répandues. Il devrait pénaliser la pratique et veiller à ce que les auteurs de mutilations génitales féminines soient traduits en justice.

141. Le Rapporteur spécial est préoccupé par le fait que le Gouvernement togolais n'ait toujours pas adopté de disposition pénale qui définisse et criminalise explicitement la torture; et que, dans ce contexte, la pratique de la torture et des traitements cruels, inhumains ou dégradants demeure impunie. Le Rapporteur spécial exhorte le Gouvernement togolais à adopter une disposition pénale définissant la torture conformément aux standards internationaux, ainsi que des dispositions incriminant et sanctionnant les actes de torture par des peines proportionnées à leur gravité; et à s'assurer que tout acte de torture ou traitement cruel, inhumain ou dégradant soit l'objet de poursuites et de sanctions proportionnellement à sa gravité.

142. Le Rapporteur spécial reste préoccupé par les allégations de torture et de mauvais traitements en détention, notamment dans les locaux de l'Agence Nationale de Renseignement (ANR), et par les allégations de décès résultant de mauvais traitements en détention. L'État partie devrait prendre des mesures afin d'enquêter sur toutes les allégations de torture et de mauvais traitements,

⁷⁰ CCPR/C/TGO/CO/4, para.18.

⁷¹ CCPR/C/TGO/CO/4, para.13; CAT/C/TGO/CO/1, para.27.

ainsi que sur tout décès survenu en détention. De telles enquêtes doivent être diligemment menées de manière à traduire les auteurs en justice et accorder des réparations effectives aux victimes.

143. Dans l'objectif de lutte contre l'impunité persistante au Togo, le Gouvernement devrait poursuivre ses efforts pour aboutir à la conclusion prochaine des travaux de la Commission Vérité, Justice et Réconciliation. Des enquêtes indépendantes et impartiales doivent par ailleurs être diligentées pour faire la lumière sur les violations des droits de l'homme commises en 2005 et poursuivre les responsables. Le Rapporteur spécial exhorte le Gouvernement à mettre en place un système de justice transitionnelleet réitère son souhait de continuer à suivre les activités de la Commission.

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la périod considérée
	La législation ne contient aucune disposition définissant la torture et érigeant les actes de torture en infraction comme l'exige l'article 4 de la Convention contre la torture.	Gouvernement : Le Togo donne la primauté aux traités internationaux sur la législation interne et les a intégrés dans sa loi fondamentale Le Togo a prévu dans sa législation interne des dispositions pour prévenir des actes de torture.	
		En 2008, certains projets de textes, en particulier ceux qui visent à mettre en conformité le Code pénal avec les normes internationales relatives à la torture, ont été validés au cours d'un atelier national de validation les 24-26 novembre 2008 à Lomé.	
		<i>Des sources non gouvernementales :</i> Le nouveau Code pénal et Code de procédure pénale, qui n'étaient pas encore adoptés par l'Assemblée nationale, intègrent la torture en tant qu'infraction avec les peines applicables. Le code de l'enfant interdit explicitement la torture sans l'ériger en infraction.	
		- La torture continue d'être pratiquée	
		au Togo. Aucune mesure législative	
		n'a été prise depuis 2007 pour prévenir et sanctionner les actes de tortures. ⁷²	
94. Il devrait lutter contre l'impunité en mettant en place sur les lieux de détention des mécanismes d'examen des	Aucune condamnation prononcée par un tribunal pénal pour des actes de torture ou des mauvais traitements infligés dans le passé.	Gouvernement: Tout détenu a le droit d'adresser un courrier confidentiel au Directeur de l'Administration Pénitentiaire ou au Procureur de la	

⁷² Le Rapporteur spécial regret que la réponse détaillée du Gouvernement togolais ne contenait pas d'information sur la mise en œuvre de la recommandation mentionnée au paragraphe 93 du rapport A/HRC/7/3/Add.5.

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
plaintes efficaces ouvrant la voie à une information pénale indépendante contre les auteurs d'actes de torture et de mauvais traitements et à la conduite d'office d'enquêtes approfondies sur les allégations de torture ou de mauvais traitements, et traduire en justice les auteurs d'actes de torture ou de mauvais traitements identifiés dans l'appendice.	Absence de mécanismes, internes ou externes, d'examen des plaintes auxquels les victimes présumées de torture ou de mauvais traitements pourraient recourir, Existence d'une permanence	République pour dénoncer tout mauvais traitement. Gouvernement: le 20 juillet 2010, le Togo a ratifié le protocole facultatif se rapportant à la convention contre la torture et aux autres peines ou traitements cruels, inhumains ou dégradants. Les 20 et 21 juillet 2010, un séminaire a été organisé par le Haut Commissariat des NU aux Droits de	constaeree
		l'Homme et par l'association pour la prévention de la torture sur les mécanismes de préventions de la torture pour le Togo. Une série de recommandations ont été mises en place, ce qui permettra une prévention efficace de la torture dans les lieux de détention.	
		Des sources non gouvernementales : Les mécanismes de communication entre l'administration pénitentiaire et les personnes détenues rencontrent des obstacles que constituent certains détenus qui sont institués 'Chef de cour', ou 'Chef de cellule', avec pour responsabilité de gérer le quotidien de leurs codétenus. Ainsi, ceux-ci prennent des mesures de gestion du courrier des détenus, mais la confidentialité des correspondances dans la pratique n'est pas souvent garantie. L'envoi de courriers est même souvent soumis au paiement de certains frais non comptabilisés. Les courriers	
		comptabilisés. Les courriers compromettants sont censurés et retenus par le régisseur de la prison.	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la périod considérée
95. Le Gouvernement devrait nterdire expressément les châtiments corporels et mettre en place des mécanismes efficaces pour lutter contre ces pratiques.	La législation ne contient aucune disposition définissant la torture et érigeant les actes de torture en infraction comme l'exige l'article 4 de la Convention contre la torture.	 Il n'existe aucun mécanisme formel d'examen des plaintes. Les détenus ne connaissent pas le droit de saisir par une lettre confidentielle le Directeur de l'Administration Pénitentiaire ou le procureur. Les tortures et intimidations continuent jusqu'à ce jour et aucune condamnation n'est prononcée par un tribunal pénal pour des actes de torture ou des traitements mauvais infligés. Pour les quelques rares victimes qui connaissent ce droit, les plaintes ne sont pas examinées. Gouvernement: Le Togo donne la primauté aux traités internationaux sur la législation interne et les a intégrés dans sa loi fondamentale Le Togo a prévu dans sa législation interne des dispositions pour prévenir des actes de torture. En 2008, certains projets de textes, en particulier ceux qui visent à mettre en conformité le Code pénal avec les normes internationales relatives à la torture, ont été validés au cours d'un atelier national de validation les 24-26 novembre 2008 à Lomé. Gouvernement: a mis en place le 14 janvier 2009, une ligne verte joignable qui peut être un moyen utilisé par tout individu pour dénoncer les cas de maltraitance, de violence, d'abus sur un enfant. 	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
96. En ce qui concerne les mineurs, le Rapporteur spécial réitère les recommandations formulées par le Comité des droits de l'enfant visant à ce que l'État prenne des mesures législatives et concrètes efficaces pour interdire l'application de châtiments corporels aux enfants et sensibiliser le public aux conséquences néfastes de cette pratique.	Les mineurs en détention sont particulièrement vulnérables de subir des châtiments corporels.	 mesure n'est prise pour sanctionner les auteurs de ces actes. Dans la brigade pour mineurs, des cas de châtiments corporels sont constatés y compris en présence de la principale responsable de la structure. Dans la moitié sud du pays (Lomé Commune, régions maritime et des plateaux) l'accompagnement et le renforcement des capacités des acteurs de la justice juvénile (OPJ, régisseurs et chefs prison), par la Bureau catholique de l'enfance avec l'appui technique et financier de l'UNICEF, a permis une régression sensible des châtiments corporels dans les commissariats et prisons. Les châtiments corporels sont administrés aux personnes interpellées dans le cadre des manifestations publiques, particulièrement dans les cellules de gendarmerie et de commissariat de police. Gouvernement: Suite à la dénonciation du responsable de la Brigade des Mineurs à cause de pratique de châtiment corporel contre les mineurs, ce dernier a été remplacé par une femme choisie à dessein pour ces aptitudes de protection des enfants. Des sources non gouvernementales: L'article 347 du code de l'enfant dispose que « Aucun enfant détenu ou emprisonné, arrêté, ou privé de sa liberté ne sera soumis à la torture, à des traitements, châtiments inhumains ou dégradants ». Le code l'enfant protège également les enfants contre la violence physique, sexuelle ou morale au sein de la 	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la périod considérée
97. Le Gouvernement devrait nettre en place des mécanismes pour faire respecter l'interdiction de la violence à l'encontre des femmes, y compris les pratiques raditionnelles comme les nutilations génitales, continuer d'organiser des campagnes de sensibilisation, et faire une étude pour évaluer la prévalence des nutilations génitales au Togo.	La pratique de la mutilation générale persiste et continue d'être acceptée par la société et les mécanismes pour faire respecter son interdiction sont quasiment inexistants. Le RS a eu connaissance d'une seule condamnation, prononcée en 1998, pour infraction à la loi no 98-106 de 1998.	 famille. L'article 357 dispose à cet effet: « les maltraitances physiques et psychologiques, les châtiments corporels, la privation volontaire de soins ou d'aliments sont punis». A la brigade pour mineurs de Lomé et dans certaines unités de police et de gendarmerie bénéficiant de l'accompagnement des ONG, les châtiments corporels sont devenus des exceptions. Les services de la Brigade des mineurs se trouvent seulement dans la ville de Lomé. Aucune brigade ne se trouve dans les autres régions et ville du pays; ce qui amène les agents des services pénitenciers à maintenir parfois en détention les mineurs avec les adultes. Gouvernement : En 1999, le Ministre des affaires sociales à l'époque, a entrepris une campagne de sensibilisation à l'échelle nationale avec l'appui de UNFPA et UNICEF, suite à laquelle les ONGs ont pris le relais. En janvier 2009, le Gouvernement a indiqué que la pratique n'est plus acceptée par la population. Le taux de prévalence des mutilations génitales au Togo est passé de 12%en 1996 à 6.9% en 2008 (rapport d'étude de Ministère de l'action sociale). 	
		Gouvernement: Une étude faite en 2008 par le Ministère de l'Action Sociale de la Promotion de la Femme, de la protection de l'enfant et des	

Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
	,	
	continuent; des projets de reconversion	
	éléments de la loi N°98-106 de 1998,	
	l'encontre des auteurs de cette pratique	
	dont la non-dénonciation est	
	qui est plus dangereux.	
	Gouvernement : En 2008, le Comité	
ne		
an		
	En 2008, les activités de la CNDH, ont	
	abouti à la libération de plus de 300	
ue		
	CNDH, dont deux sont mentionnées ci-	
	dessous:	
	février 2008 avec l'appui du Bureau du Haut Commissariat des Nations Unies	
	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/T/3/Add.5) précédenires (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.) 6,9% en 2008. Des sources non gouvernementales: - Les campagnes de sensibilisation continuent; des projets de reconversion des exciseuses sont mis en place dans le Nord du pays pour éradiquer le phénomène, néanmoins la pratique continue dans la clandestinité. - Le Code de l'enfant a repris les éléments de la loi N°98-106 de 1998, et les a améliorés en sanctionnant même la complicité des actes de mutilations génitales par le silence et la non dénonciation. Des peines d'emprisonnement sont prévues à l'encontre des auteurs de cette pratique dont la non-dénonciation est constitutive d'infraction. - Le manque de contrôle permet, puisque la tradition est complice, de continuer la pratique en cachette; ce qui est plus dangereux. Gouvernement : En 2008, le Comité international de coordination des institutions nationales (ICC) a accrédité la Commission nationale des ar d'oits de l'homme (CNDH) au statut A. En 2008, les activités de la CNDH, ont abouti à la libération de plus de 300 détenus préventifs dans les prisons du pays. Selon le Gouvernement, cette libération est le résultat de plusieurs actions conjuguées réalisées par la CNDH, dont deux sont mentionnées ci- dessous: 1. Les audiences foraines organisées en février 2008 avec l'appui du Bureau du

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
		 aux Droits de l'Homme (HCDH-OHCHR) au Togo par les tribunaux de Kévé (Préfecture de l'Avé) et de Kpalimé (Préfecture de Kloto) dont les détenus sont gardés à la prison civile de Lomé ont permis l'examen d'un nombre important de dossier qui souffraient d'un retard exagéré, a abouti à la libération des détenues. Dans le cadre des activités marquant le 60ème anniversaire la Déclaration universelle des droits de l'homme, la CNDH avec l'appui du HCDH-OHCHR a organisé un atelier technique d'échange sur l'application du code de procédure pénale, entre autre sur la détention préventive comme mesure exceptionnelle, ainsi prévu par l'article 112. Non seulement l'atelier a permis aux magistrats de réexaminer les modalités d'application, mais a été suivi par la visite des prisons par un groupe composé de membres de la CNDH et de magistrats de chaque ressort. Un certain nombre de lacunes procédurales ayant été constatées, les personnes irrégulièrement 	
		<i>Des sources non gouvernementales:</i> La CNDH manque de moyens matériels et financiers lui permettant de lutter efficacement contre la torture. - La visibilité sur le terrain des activités de la CNDH fait parfois défaut, surtout pour ce qui concerne les activités de ses deux antennes de terrain (Atakpamé et Kara). Celles-ci invoquent souvent le manque de moyens humain et financier pour faire le travail qui est attendu d'eux.	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
99. Le Gouvernement devrait améliorer les garanties contre la torture existantes en introduisant une procédure efficace d'habeas corpus, faire respecter les garanties comme le délai de quarante-huit heures pour la garde à vue dans les locaux de la police ou de la gendarmerie, veiller à ce que tout détenu fasse l'objet d'un examen médical indépendant après son arrestation et après tout transfèrement, faire en sorte que la famille du détenu soit rapidement informée de son arrestation, et mettre en place un système d'aide juridictionnelle pour les personnes accusées d'infractions graves.	Un fort pourcentage de détenus est maintenu en garde à vue au-delà de la durée maximale légale de quatre-vingt-seize heures que le ministère public peut autoriser, dont certains jusqu'à deux semaines. Aucun examen médical n'est effectué après l'arrestation ou transfèrement d'une personne. Aucun système d'aide juridictionnelle n'est en place.	 Même si le gouvernement a fait l'effort de donner une place à la CNDH, cette dernière n'a pas toujours les mains et la voix libres. Gouvernement : En 2009, l'inspection des prisons et autres lieux de détention est confrontée à des difficultés liées à l'insuffisance des moyens humains, matériels et financiers. C'est pourquoi, dans le cadre du Programme National de Modernisation de la Justice (PNMJ) (2005-2010), le sous-programme 1 a prévu le renforcement de contrôle des capacités des juridictions par le renforcement de contrôle de l'inspection générale des services juridictionnels et pénitentiaires et la création d'une direction des parquets. Il s'agira de réorganiser, d'équiper et de doter en personnel ces deux institutions. Depuis novembre 2007, le PNMJ a organisé plusieurs ateliers de renforcement des capacités des officiers de police judiciaire afin de mieux accomplir leur mission. 140 officiers de police judiciaire ont déjà profité des ces formations. Des sources non gouvernementales: Des mesures prises restent insuffisantes. Un effort est fait pour le respect des délais de garde à vue. A l'intérieur du pays la problématique du non respect du délai légal de garde à vue persiste à cause, très souvent, du manque chronique de moyens logistiques pour le transfèrement des personnes suspectées d'infraction. 	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la périod considérée
(A/HRC/7/3/Add.5) 100. Le Gouvernement devrait faire en sorte que les personnes	(A/HRC/7/3/Add.5) Le Rapporteur spécial a constaté personnellement dans de nombreux	 précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.) - L'examen médical des détenus n'est toujours pas devenu systématique. - La plupart du temps, ceux qui sont souffrants ou qui ont subit de mauvais traitements lors de leurs interpellation ou de leurs détention, sont obligés de payer de leurs propres poches les soins à l'infirmerie de la gendarmerie. De plus, les médecins ne consultent pas ou très peu dans les prisons. - L'Etat a mis en place un fonds d'aide juridictionnelle mais qui n'est pas opérationnel. D'autres institutions notamment l'Ambassade des Etats- Unis ont financé la mise en place d'une ligne verte d'assistance juridique à toute personne y compris les détenus. - Des personnes détenues pour des délits mineurs restent 3 à 6 mois voire un an sans jugement ni inculpation; les raisons évoquées sont souvent l'existence d'un juge unique. Gouvernement : La circulaire No. 022/MISD du 17 mai 2004 autorise la 	
placées en détention préventive comparaissent rapidement devant un juge et soient informées en tout temps de leurs droits et de l'état d'avancement de leur affaire, fixer des limites à la durée de la détention préventive et veiller à ce que ces délais soient respectés en organisant périodiquement des inspections indépendantes.	cas que la durée maximale de la garde à vue dans les postes de police ou de gendarmerie (quarante-huit ou quatre-vingt- seize heures) était expirée et qu'elle n'avait pas été prolongée par le ministère public comme la loi l'exige. Cela signifie que de nombreux détenus passent de longues périodes dans des conditions épouvantables sans aucun fondement juridique. De nombreux prisonniers en détention avant jugement ont déclaré qu'ils n'avaient pas été présentés à un juge ou un	personne placée en garde à vue à avoir un entretien de 15 minutes avec son conseil dès la 24ème heure de garde à vue dans le but de prévenir les traitements inhumains. - En 2008, les procureurs de la République et les juges d'instruction font des visites périodiques et inopinées dans les centres de détention (commissariats, brigades de gendarmerie et prisons). De plus, l'effectif des magistrats augmente de 25 personnes chaque année sur 5 ans suivant les objectifs fixés par le PNMJ. Les magistrats en fonction suivent des formations continues.	

Recommandation A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
	procureur même après plusieurs semaines ou mois de détention. Beaucoup ne connaissaient pas l'état de leur affaire même s'ils étaient détenus depuis longtemps.	- En outre, la révision du code de procédure pénale était en cours (voir 93). La réhabilitation des prisons est faite (appui UE) et celle des infrastructures juridictionnelles était en cours (appui UE) en vue de permettre, entre autre, la tenue régulière et en temps réel des audiences pénales. Gouvernement: Instauration du juge des libertés et de la détention en janvier 2010. L'article 457 de l'avant projet de code de procédure pénal donne compétence au juge des libertés et de l'application des peines de se prononcer sur les détentions illégales ou arbitraires.	
101. Le Gouvernement devrait	Souvent les aveux constituent le	 Des sources non gouvernementales: Quoique des efforts soient faits dans ce domaine, la situation de beaucoup de détenus est préoccupante. La durée de la détention préventive n'est pas toujours respectée. Les détenus préventifs sont souvent oubliés et les infractions graves demeurent de cinq à 10 ans sans jugement. Les personnes en garde à vue ne connaissent pas, pour la plupart, leurs droits. Elles pensent qu'un avocat est cher et elles sont livrées à elles-mêmes. Ainsi, la pratique constatée par le rapporteur spécial suit son cours. Les moyens ne sont pas à la disposition des juges pour faire à fond le travail. 	
nodifier la législation de sorte qu'aucune condamnation ne puisse reposer sur des preuves	principal élément de preuve. Dans la plupart des locaux de garde à vue qu'il a visités, le	du code de procédure pénale était en cours. De plus, la Commission Nationale des Droits de l'Homme	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
obtenues sous la torture et que les aveux ne constituent pas le motif principal des condamnations; il devrait d'ores et déjà donner aux tribunaux des directives claires à ce sujet.	Rapporteur spécial a vu des preuves de mauvais traitements infligés quotidiennement, essentiellement pour arracher des aveux.	(CNDH) appuyé par le OHCHR organisait des sessions de sensibilisation à l'interdiction de la torture et mauvais traitements et de renforcement des capacités des magistrats et des officiers de police judiciaire (OPJ).	
102. Le Gouvernement togolais devrait faire passer les infractions mineures du champ de la justice répressive à celui de la justice réparatrice, élargir l'application		Des sources non gouvernementales: La révision du code de procédure pénale est toujours en cours. - Le gouvernement n'a pas pris de mesures pour empêcher que des condamnations reposent sur des preuves obtenues sous la torture et que les aveux ne constituent pas le motif principal des condamnations. Si des consignes sont données, ces pratiques continuent malheureusement au niveau de la police judiciaire. Gouvernement : La révision du code pénal prévoit l'introduction des peines alternatives non privatives de liberté pour les infractions mineures.	
des mesures de substitution à la détention préventive et des peines non privatives de liberté, rendre obligatoire le recours à des mesures non privatives de liberté		Gouvernement: l'article 293 et suivants de l'avant projet de code de procédure pénale instituent la procédure du plaider coupable.	
à moins qu.il n'existe des raisons impérieuses de placer le prévenu en détention.		<i>Des sources non gouvernementales:</i> Aucune disposition n'est prévue ni prise pour faire la distinction entre les peines alternatives non privatives de liberté et les emprisonnements dans le cadre de la révision du code de procédure pénale.	
103. Le Gouvernement togolais devrait poursuivre ses efforts en	Les conditions de détention pendant la garde à vue dans les	Gouvernement : le gouvernement togolais est conscient que les	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
vue d'améliorer les conditions de détention, en particulier, fournir des soins médicaux, traiter les malades mentaux au lieu de les punir et prendre les mesures voulues pour les protéger de la torture et des mauvais traitements, améliorer la quantité de nourriture et la qualité, éventuellement en créant des fermes pénitentiaires où les détenus doivent cependant pouvoir être admis sans discrimination.	locaux de la police ou de la gendarmerie, mais aussi dans la plupart des établissements pénitentiaires, constituent un traitement inhumain. En particulier, il est préoccupé par le dramatique surpeuplement de la plupart des prisons, les conditions d'hygiène déplorables, l'insuffisance et la mauvaise qualité de la nourriture ainsi que par les difficultés d'accès aux services médicaux.	conditions de détention dans les prisons restent à améliorer. Il existe 14 prisons dont deux non-fonctionnelles, et le surplus carcéral se monte à 1140 détenus. Le sous-programme 2 du PNMJ, relatif à la modernisation de la législation, a prévu l'institution d'un juge de l'application de peines et d'un juge de la détention et des libertés. Ils auront certainement un grand rôle à jouer en matière d'inspection des prisons/exécution des peines. En 2008, la plupart des prisons venaient d'être réhabilités et d'autre seront bientôt construites (appui Union Européenne) afin d'améliorer les conditions de détention. Par ailleurs, le Gouvernement avait informé que l'Administration Pénitentiaire était en partenariat avec des ONGs internationales pour la prise en charge sanitaire des détenus. Des équipes médicales venaient périodiquement consulter les détenues Le budget de la santé pénitentiaire avait été sensiblement revu à la hausse dans la loi des finances 2009. Gouvernement a été organise en juin 2010. 500 surveillants et gardiens supplémentaires. Des sources non gouvernementales: La situation n'a guère évolué. Au niveau des quartiers pour mineurs dans	
	quatre prisons du ressort de la Cour d'appel de Lomé, des améliorations sensibles sont apportées aux conditions		

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
		de détention notamment en matière d'hygiène et d'assainissement, de la ration alimentaire, de soins de santé, de conditions de couchage, d'appui psychosocial et affectif par les ONG avec l'appui des partenaires dont l'UNICEF. - En dépit des diverses réformes et réaménagement des lieux de détention engagés (PAUSEP-UE), les conditions de détention demeurent particulièrement difficiles au regard de la surpopulation carcérale, des conditions d'hygiène, d'assainissement, d'alimentation et de couchage qui prévalent dans presque toutes les maisons d'arrêts du Togo. - A la prison civile de Lomé, il y a 1,154 détenus pour une capacité d'accueil de 600 personnes, à Atakpamé, 299 détenus pour 158, à Sokodé, 311 détenus pour 275, au 30 aout 2010. - Dans les prisons, les femmes ont été séparées des hommes mais il faut une politique de séparation entre les délinquants mineurs et les criminels et entre les adultes et les mineurs Un document de politique pénitentiaire et de réinsertion des détenus a été	
104. Le Gouvernement devrait séparer les prisonniers en détention préventive des condamnés et former et déployer du personnel féminin dans les quartiers des prisons et les locaux de garde à vue réservés aux femmes.	Contrairement à ce qu'exigent les normes internationales minima, il n'y a pas de personnel féminin dans les prisons ni dans les locaux de garde à vue de la police ou de la gendarmerie. Le Gouvernement a indiqué que ce problème était en train d'être résolu avec la création	valide au cours d'un atelier organisé du 13 au 15 octobre 2010. Gouvernement : dans les prisons, les commissariats de police ou les brigades de gendarmerie, il existe du personnel féminin, bien qu'en faible proportion. De plus, la législation pénitentiaire sera mise en conformité avec la règle 55 de l'ensemble des règles pour le	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
	d'un corps spécial de surveillants relevant du Ministère de la justice, qui comprendrait des surveillants des deux sexes.	traitement des détenus, en instituant une garde féminine dans les centres de détention. Le texte créant le corps des gardiens de prisons est adopté en conseil des ministres et le processus de recrutement des surveillants des deux sexes s'inscrit dans cette perspective. Un décret portant sur la création du corps des surveillants des établissements pénitentiaires a été adopté par le conseil des ministres le 14 janvier 2009.	
105. Les autorités togolaises devraient faire en sorte que les détenus ne soient pas obliges de se déshabiller lorsqu'ils sont placés en garde à vue dans les locaux de la gendarmerie.	Le Rapporteur spécial a été informé de l'existence d'une instruction spéciale de la gendarmerie visant à prévenir les suicides, que certains responsables ont interprétée comme signifiant que les détenus devaient rester nus jour et nuit dans leur cellule. Or, d'après le Gouvernement, la gendarmerie n'a jamais donné l'ordre de laisser nues les personnes en garde à vue.	Des sources non gouvernementales: Il n'y a pas de séparation entre les détenus préventifs et les détenus condamnés à cause du manque d'infrastructures. - Peu de personnel féminin existe pour le moment, notamment au sein de l'administration pénitentiaire, seule une femme régisseur sur les 12 prisons que compte le Togo. - Le gouvernement a donné l'impression d'agir dans ce sens, mais l'action n'est pas perceptible. Gouvernement : Depuis les recommandations formulées en avril 2007, les dispositions pratiques ont été prises par les autorités au niveau de la gendarmerie et de la police. En vertu de ces dispositions, les détenus sont dans leurs tenues lorsqu'ils sont en garde à vue au bureau en attendant les instructions. Lorsqu'ils doivent être internés dans la chambre de sureté, ils sont fouillés et débarrassés de tout objet pouvant leur permettre de se suicider. Ainsi, ils sont gardés en short de sport ou en culotte, mais jamais nus.	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la périod considérée
		Des sources non gouvernementales: Dans les cellules des commissariats de police, les hommes sont torse nu et les femmes sont habillées, et certains n'y ont pas droit à une douche. - Les cellules sont souvent réglementaires dans les commissariats de police, contrairement aux cellules de garde à vue de la gendarmerie, qui sont en réalité des salles 'cachots', sans ouverture ni moyen d'avoir une vue sur les personnes qui s'y trouvent. - Le traitement généralement subi par les personnes gardées à vue dépend aussi des circonstances d'interpellation; les personnes interpellées dans le cadre des manifestations publiques sont moins bien traitées que calles suspectées	
106. Le Gouvernement togolais devrait veiller à ce que le principe de non-discrimination soit respecté à tous les niveaux du système de justice pénale, lutter contre la corruption, qui touche particulièrement les pauvres, les groupes vulnérables et les minorités, et prendre des mesures efficaces pour lutter contre la corruption des agents de l'État, mais également des hauts responsables de l'administration pénitentiaire.		 bien traitées que celles suspectées d'autres délits. Gouvernement : En 2008, le projet de loi anti-corruption était en cours d'examen au conseil des ministres. Des sources non gouvernementales: Malgré la modernisation de la justice amorcée, la corruption dans le domaine de la justice persiste. Les actes de corruption demeurent un fléau dans le système judiciaire donnant lieu aux traitements arbitraires et à la discrimination pour les justiciables pauvres et vulnérables. Les visites aux détenus sont conditionnées au paiement de quelques sommes d'argent aux surveillants de 	
107. Le Gouvernement devrait préciser le statut de la gendarmerie et déterminer	Manque de clarté dans le partage des responsabilités entre la police et la gendarmerie. En principe la	l'administration pénitentiaire. Gouvernement : Le texte de loi No. 2007-010 du 1er mars 2007, fixe le statut général des personnels militaires	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
clairement les responsabilités de la gendarmerie et celles de la police, séparer les fonctions militaires et les fonctions de maintien de l'ordre, créer des chaînes de commandement claires dans les établissements pénitentiaires, et veiller à ce que dans les prisons le pouvoir soit détenu par les autorités et non par les hiérarques de la population carcérale.	gendarmerie opère essentiellement dans les zones rurales, mais la distinction entre police et gendarmerie est devenue très floue et les deux intervenaient simultanément dans les mêmes zones (en particulier à Lomé) Dans les prisons, le pouvoir est systématiquement délégué au « bureau interne », c'est-à-dire aux détenus les plus hauts dans la hiérarchie de la prison, ce qui est nécessairement source de corruption, de violence entre détenus et de dépendance de certains détenus à l'égard de leurs codétenus.	des Forces Armées Togolaises duquel découle le statut particulier de la gendarmerie nationale. Ce statut fixe les missions et les responsabilités de la gendarmerie. Calqué sur le modèle français, la gendarmerie est un corps des Forces Armées Togolaises dont le ministère de la sécurité et de la protection civile dispose pour emploi notamment en maintien de l'ordre pour la sécurité. Les missions essentielles sont : les missions de police judicaire, police administrative, militaires. En ce qui concerne les prisons, en 2008 des nouvelles dispositions ont été mises en place, d'après lesquelles une direction générales qui dispose du corps des gardiens de préfecture pour assurer la garde des prisons et la gestion des prisonniers sera créée. Selon le Gouvernement, le système de «bureau interne » n'existe plus de hiérarchie au sein de la population carcérales.	
108. Le Gouvernement devrait améliorer la formation des forces de l'ordre et du personnel	Le type de formation dispensée aux membres des forces de l'ordre semble aussi être excessivement	<i>Des sources non gouvernementales:</i> Le maintien de l'ordre dans les prisons est toujours confié à la hiérarchie de la population carcérale. Les textes sont peut-être clairs mais la pratique est souffrante En ce qui concerne la gestion de la garde des prisons, le gouvernement fait beaucoup d'effort pour l'élimination du « bureau interne » mais c'est un phénomène vieux qui tarde à disparaître. Gouvernement : Depuis le recrutement de 2005 dans le corps de la gendarmerie et de la police, le	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
(A/HRC///3/Add.5) pénitentiaire et intégrer les droits de l'homme dans les programmes correspondants.	(A/HRC///3/Add.5) militarisé, puisqu'il accorde beaucoup de place aux aptitudes militaires et peu à la préparation aux tâches complexes liées à l'enquête pénale ou au maintien de l'ordre.	niveau minimum exigé est le Brevet d'Etudes du Premier Cycle (BEPC). Avec ce niveau de formation les recrues sont intellectuellement aptes pour comprendre et assimiler les cours et les notions sur les modules des droits de l'homme, le maintien de l'ordre avec armes, les relations civilo- militaires, le droit international humanitaire (DIH), le droit relatif à la femme (phénomène) et de l'enfant. Les corps des gardiens de préfecture (GP) dont l'une de ses missions et la garde des prisons et la gestion des prisonniers subit les mêmes formations que les forces de sécurité. Les éléments de cette unité sont très bien imprégnés des mêmes modules. Gouvernement: les surveillants et gardiens des prisons auront des	consueree
		formations sur les droits de l homme. Des sources non gouvernementales: Les formations et les modules tels que décrits existent mais le problème se trouve au niveau de l'application et surtout par rapport à la chaîne de commandement qui imposent aux agents de ces corps de poser des actes qui ne sont pas toujours professionnels. - Depuis 2005, le recrutement dans les corps de la police et la gendarmerie exigeait officiellement le niveau BEPC (brevet d'études du premier cycle du second degré). Mais cette probité intellectuelle avancée par le gouvernement n'est pas prouvée sur le terrain par tous les agents qui donnent l'impression de ne pas connaître leur travail et de ne pas assimiler les	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
109. Le Gouvernement togolais devrait ratifier le Protocole facultatif se rapportant à la Convention contre la torture et créer des mécanismes nationaux en mesure d'effectuer des visites inopinées dans tous les lieux de détention.		notions sur les droits de l'homme. - Le maintien d'ordre est fait avec des moyens disproportionnés. La mentalité de ces deux corps fait ressortir un sentiment de supériorité sur la population. Gouvernement : En vue de la ratification de l'OPCAT et la mise en place d'un MNP, un atelier a été organisé en 2009. Le séminaire national a adopté des propositions concrètes en vue de la mise en place et désignation d'un MNP. Adoption d'une feuille de route sur la rapide ratification de l'OPCAT et la mise en place du MNP. La	
10. S'agissant des mineurs, le Fogo devrait sans tarder prendre les mesures pour que la privation le liberté ne soit utilisée qu'en lernier recours, pour la durée la plus courte possible et dans des conditions appropriées.	Le Togo ne dispose pas d'un système de justice pour mineurs compatible avec les dispositions et principes de la Convention relative aux droits de l'enfant, ce qui signifie qu'il n'y a pratiquement pas d'alternative à la détention pour les mineurs en conflit avec la loi et qu'il n'existe aucune mesure de protection particulière à l'égard des personnes de moins. de 18 ans.	création d'un groupe de travail sur le suivi du processus. Des sources non gouvernementales: L'OPCAT est ratifiée par le Togo le 20 juillet 2010. Le MNP est en cours d'être mise en place. Gouvernement : En 2007, le ministère de la justice a commandé une étude sur l'état de la justice des mineurs au Togo dont les recommandations serviront à formuler un programme de prise en compte de la justice pour mineurs. Ce programme complétera le PNMJ. De plus, dans la nouvelle organisation judiciaire, le juge des enfants et les tribunaux pour enfants seront	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)		considérée
		de l'homme a reçu des fonds de l'Union européenne pour l'organisation d'un atelier en mars 2010 sur la reforme de la brigade pour	

 111. Plutôt que d'être placés en détention, les enfants orphelins ou marginalisés, comme les enfants victimes de la traite ou les enfants des rues, devraient être confiés à des institutions ne relevant pas du système de justice pénale. Souvent les mineurs, et quelquefois même les jeunes enfants, sont placés en détention au lieu d'être confiés aux services sociaux. À la brigade des mineurs de Lomé, par exemple, des enfants abandonnés, victimes de la traite et marginalisés, dont certains âgés de moins de 10 ans, sont détenus avec de jeunes adultes délinquants. organise vue de m droits de Gouvern été adop 2007. De été érigé Des sour situation du code protection su situation du code protection su situation du code protection su situation de jeunes adultes délinquants. 	s le but de redéfinir et missions et structures, en a respecter les standards de omme. ent : Le code de l'enfant a promulgué le 6 juillet igades pour mineurs ont a niveau de chaque région. non gouvernementales: La corrigée depuis l'adoption enfant qui a prévu la éciale des enfants en icile ou en danger, et la es enfants victimes de is lors ces catégories
Togo vie - Actuell mineurs Des cent égaleme enfants v	sont plus envoyées à la mineurs mais ment orientées vers les prise en charge des l'appui des ONG et des nanciers. De même, un terminant le paquet services pour la prise en nfants vulnérables au Togo en 2009 et un décret es et standards applicables s d'accueil et de es enfants vulnérables au 'être adopté en août 2010. nt la seule brigade pour 'ogo se trouve à Lomé. le transit ont été ées en vue d'accueillir les nes de traite et les enfants psi, cing structures existent

Recommandation A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
ustice pénale au sein duquel xerceraient des policiers, des rocureurs et des juges dûment		(gendarmes et policiers) qui se fait déjà est rendue systématique par le PNMJ.	
ormés, et créer toutes les		Des sources non gouvernementales:	
aranties utiles, notamment l'aide uridictionnelle.		Aucune structure réelle n'est créée pour la formation continue des	
		magistrats et des officiers de police judiciaire.	
		- Les mécanismes de l'aide juridictionnelle sont en train d'être	
		mise en place. Des ateliers ont été	
		organisés sur le sujet au cours de l'année 2010.	
13. Le Togo devrait abolir la	Le Code pénal togolais (art. 17, 45,		
eine de mort.	222, 223, 233 et 234) prévoit toujours la peine de mort	l'Assemblée Nationale a adopté la loi sur l'abolition de la peine de mort.	
	pour un certain nombre	Le projet de la loi visant l'abolition de	
	d'infractions. Néanmoins, selon la délégation togolaise qui s'est	la peine de mort a été adopté par conseil des ministres le 10 décembre	
	exprimée devant le Comité des	2008.	
	droits de l'homme, la justice togolaise n'a eu à prononcer que		
	très peu de condamnations à la		
	peine capitale. Le RS informé que le Togo était abolitionniste dans la		
	pratique et que l'abolition de jure		
	de la peine de mort était envisagée dans le cadre des réformes		
	législatives en cours.		
14. Il encourage le Gouvernement et les partis	Une impunité entoure tous les actes de violence politique	Gouvernement : les membres de la Commission de Vérité, Justice,	
olitiques à continuer de signifier	perpétrés au fil des années	Réconciliation, sont onze et ils ont été	
lairement à toutes les parties renantes que la torture et les		nommés et installés respectivement le 27 et le 29.	
nauvais traitements sont nacceptables dans un contexte		Des sources non gouvernementales:	
lectoral et que quiconque		Lors des élections présidentielles du 4	
ommettra un acte de violence levra rendre des comptes. Les		mars 2010 et pendant la période de préparation, des formations ont été	
evia renure des comptes. Les		données aux forces de l'ordre et de	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
a participation de l'armée. 115. Les tribunaux devraient sans délai se prononcer sur les plaintes pour actes de torture, mauvais raitements ou autres violations les droits de l'homme infligés ors des élections de 2005 et d'élections antérieures, et poursuivre les responsables.	(A/HRC///3/Add.3) Une impunité entoure tous les actes de violence politique perpétrés au fil des années depuis 1958 et, en particulier, les événements liés aux élections de 2005	 AVHRC/10/32/Add.2.) sécurité sur le maintien de l'ordre sans violences en période électorale. Des membres des partis politiques ont été également formés sur la non-violence avant, pendant et après les élections. Des campagnes de sensibilisation ont été aussi organisées, ce qui a contribué à diminuer les violences redoutées avant et pendant les élections. Lors des élections des formations ont été dispensées aux membres du maintien de l'ordre par les bureaux du HCDH, UNREC et CICR. Depuis les élections du 4 mars 2010, les forces de l'ordre continuent de réprimer par des moyens disproportionnés les manifestants. On continue à compter des morts, des blessés et des arrestations arbitraires. Gouvernement : les membres de la Commission de Vérité, Justice, Réconciliation, sont onze et ils ont été nommés et installés respectivement le 27 et le 29 mai 2009. En 2007, un ministère délégué à la Présidence chargé de la réconciliation et des institutions ad hoc a été créé afin de résoudre le problème de l'impunité. Il est chargé de mettre en place deux commissions, la commission chargée de promouvoir les mesures susceptibles de favoriser le pardon et la réconciliation nationale et la commission chargée de faire la lumière 	
	sur les actes de violence. <i>Des sources non-gouvernementales:</i> Les victimes des événements de 2005 attendent toujours la justice. Rien ne semble avoir été mis en place pour		

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
			considérée
		se substituer à un processus judiciaire visant à établir la responsabilité pénale individuelle et doit venir en complément de celui des juridictions nationales.	
		<i>Des sources non gouvernementales</i> 2008 : Un ensemble de plus de 100	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la période considérée
		victimes de violations de droits de l'homme commises en 2005 ont déposé des plaintes en 2008 mais aucun examen des plaintes ne semble avoir été fait.	
		Gouvernement: le décret N 2009-046 / PR du 25 février 2009 établant la Commission Vérité Justice et Réconciliation vise à faire la lumière sur les actes de violence à caractère politique commis dans le pays entre 1958 et 2005 et d'étudier les modalités d'apaisement des victimes conformément aux recommandations de 1 Accord Politique Global du 20 aout 2006. La Commission est entrée dans sa phase active de ses travaux. Il faut noter que cette commission n'est pas un tribunal, elle n'a pas le pouvoir de juger. Elle ne se substitue donc pas à un processus judiciaire visant à établir la responsabilité pénale individuelle. Des plaintes deposées par le collectif de l'Associations contre l'impunité au Togo (CACIT) a commencé au niveau des tribunaux d'Arakpamé et d'Amlamé.	
		<i>Des sources non gouvernementales:</i> Des plaintes ont été déposées auprès des tribunaux après les violences électorales de 2005 mais elles n'ont pas eu de suite jusqu'à ce jour. - Néanmoins le Gouvernement a mis en place une Commission Vérité, Justice et Réconciliation (CVJR) chargée de faire la lumière sur les actes de violence à caractère politique de 1958 à 2005. Le rapport de la CVJR	

Recommandation (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Situation pendant la visite (A/HRC/7/3/Add.5)	Mesures prises pendant les années précédentes (A/HRC/10/44/Add.5 ; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2.)	Informations reçues pendant la périod considérée
		sera remis au gouvernement qui décidera de la suite à donner.	
		- Bien que le mandat de la Commission	
		vérité justice et réconciliation couvre	
		les actes de violations des droits de	
		l'homme commis dans la période allant	
		de 1958 à 2010, celle-ci n'est	
		cependant pas investie de la mission de	
		poursuite et de sanction propre à des	
		juridictions traditionnelles ; de plus, les	
		conclusions du rapport de ses activités	
		devront être remises au gouvernement,	
		qui décidera des suites et de	
		l'opportunité des poursuites à	
		entreprendre. La question de	
		l'impunité est davantage liée à	
		l'indépendance de la justice, vu	
		l'immixtion des acteurs politiques et	
		militaires qui est souvent observée dans la conduite de certaines	
		procédures.	
		- Depuis 2005, des nombreuses	
		plaintes déposées, dont 72 par le	
		Collectif des Associations Contre	
		l'Impunité au Togo (CACIT) seul,	
		aucune n'est instruite jusqu'à ce jour.	

Uruguay

Seguimiento a las recomendaciones del Relator Especial (Manfred Nowak) en su informe relativo a su visita a Uruguay del 21 al 27 de marzo de 2009 (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)

144. El 22 de noviembre de 2011, el Relator Especial envió el cuadro que se encuentra a continuación al Gobierno del Uruguay solicitando información y comentarios sobre las medidas adoptadas con respecto a la aplicación de sus recomendaciones. El Gobierno proporcionó información el 30 de enero de 2012. El Relator Especial quisiera agradecer al Gobierno por la información proporcionada, invitarle a enviar información sobre todas las recomendaciones emitidas, e informar de su disposición para ayudarle en los esfuerzos para prevenir y combatir la tortura y los malos tratos. El Relator Especial toma nota de las respuestas proporcionadas por el Gobierno. Sin embargo, desafortunadamente, en esta ocasión no ha sido posible incorporar la información en el presente informe, debido a la recepción de tal respuesta en fecha muy reciente.

145. En el mes de febrero de 2012, el Gobierno de Uruguay emitió una invitación formal al Relator Especial para realizar una visita de seguimiento. El Relator Especial se compromete a contemplar la posibilidad de una tal visita en su calendario de actividades y desea agradecer al Gobierno de Uruguay por esta invitación. Ella demuestra un compromiso serio de combatir la tortura y mejorar las condiciones de detención, y constituye un ejemplo de mejores prácticas.

146. Respecto a la reforma del sistema de justicia penal, el Relator Especial toma nota de que el proyecto del Código de Proceso Penal sigue a consideración de la Comisión de Constitución y Legislación del Senado y solicita al Gobierno a que siga proporcionando información detallada en cuanto al desarrollo del proyecto. Asimismo, el Relator ve como un paso positivo el lanzamiento del programa "Apoyo a la reforma del sistema de justicia penal y a la mejora de las condiciones de vida y de reinserción socioeconómica de las personas privadas de libertad". El Relator Especial toma nota de la inclusión de una definición del delito de tortura en la Ley 18.026 y apreciaría además contar con información acerca de los resultados de la evaluación de dicha definición según la recomendación del Comisionado Parlamentario para el Sistema Carcelario. Sin embargo, el Relator Especial lamenta que el Gobierno de Uruguay no haya proporcionado información en cuanto a la reforma del Código Penal, en particular con respecto a la inclusión de la tortura como delito independiente.

147. Con respecto al problema crónico de hacinamiento en los centros de reclusión, el Relator reconoce las acciones tomadas por el Gobierno, en particular la construcción de nuevos establecimientos penitenciarios y módulos, la habilitación o cierre de algunos de los módulos con mayores problemas de hacinamiento y deterioro edilicio, y los intentos a establecer medidas alternativas a la prisión preventiva. El Relator toma nota del cierre del módulo 3 del COMCAR e insta al Gobierno a tratar como prioridad el cierre de los módulos 2 y 4. El Relator agradece al Gobierno por proveer estadísticas detalladas en cuanto al hacinamiento en los establecimientos penitenciarios, reconoce los avances realizados en los últimos años, y toma nota que el Gobierno reconoce que la situación sigue siendo crítica en 11 de los 31 establecimientos.

148. En relación a las condiciones de vida en las cárceles, el Relator Especial ve como un paso positivo el aumento de los recursos financieros destinados al mejoramiento de la situación de las personas detenidas. El Relator aplaude el inicio de instancias de diálogo con la población detenida y las acciones tomadas para incluir a esta población en el proceso de mejorar las condiciones de vida. El Relator agradecería contar con información detallada sobre la participación de la población privada de su libertad en la formulación e implementación de la estrategia del Gobierno para dignificar las condiciones de reclusión. El Relator encomia el

énfasis de esta estrategia en el trabajo, la educación y el deporte, y comparte la perspectiva del Gobierno en cuanto a que las condiciones siguen siendo inadecuadas a la condición humana.

149. El Relator Especial toma nota también de las medidas adoptadas para realizar la reubicación de las cárceles de la órbita policial a la órbita civil. Sin embargo, lamenta que el Gobierno no tenga previsto la creación de un Ministerio de Justicia. Con respecto a la recomendación del Relator sobre la creación de un cuerpo de guardias de prisiones bien entrenado que sustituya al personal policial, el Relator toma nota de la creación de cargos para operadores penitenciarios. El Relator Especial solicita al Gobierno proporcionar más información acerca de los roles tanto del personal policial como del personal penitenciario en los establecimientos carcelarios. El Relator Especial lamenta que el Gobierno no haya proporcionado información en cuanto a la utilización de personal militar para encargarse de la custodia perimetral de establecimientos penitenciarios. El Relator además toma nota de los programas de capitación y sensibilización en derechos humanos planeados para funcionarios policiales y penitenciarios. Sin embargo, considera que la preparación de documentos y manuales no es suficiente; es necesario organizar cursos extensos y obligatorios para entrenar al personal policial a trabajar en el ámbito penitenciario aun si solo es por un periodo corto.

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
Reforma del sistema de administración de justicia penal (a) Emprender una reforma profunda de los sistemas penitenciario y de justicia penal encaminada a la prevención del delito y la resocialización de los delincuentes, en lugar de centrarse en las medidas punitivas y adoptar una política basada simplemente en encerrar a los sospechosos y a los delincuentes convictos.	 Recurso a la prisión preventiva como regla general y no excepción. Encierro de los reclusos durante casi 24 horas, escasas posibilidades de rehabilitación y preparación para la reinserción en la sociedad y falta de actividades educativas o de ocio. 	<i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> El Gobierno que asumió tareas el 1º de marzo de 2010 ha señalado que la atención de la situación carcelaria constituye una de las prioridades del gobierno. Las autoridades han expresado su decisión política de encarar reformas par aliviar las graves condiciones de hacinamiento y de carencias edilicias y abordar un tratamiento integral de las personas privadas de libertad. - El Ministro del Interior indicó que "es necesario formular una nueva política penitenciaria para los próximos 20 años, para salir de la emergencia y para tener un sistema que permita la reinserción de las personas que han delinquido." - Los antecedentes que intentaron reformas de tipo más integral, incluida la Ley de Humanización del Sistema Carcelario, han quedado inoperativos como consecuencia de la oposición de algunos sectores políticos y la no aplicación de la misma por parte del sistema judicial. - El Estado no ha emprendido ninguna iniciativa tendiente a la postergada reforma del Sistema de Justicia Penal, Código Penal y Código de Proceso Penal. Desde 2006, una Comisión elaboró una base mínima para la reforma del CPP, remitidas al Parlamento Nacional en setiembre de 2009, y aún siguen sin discutirse en las cámaras.	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(A/IIKC/15/59/Aud.2)	(A/IIKC/15/59/Aud.2)	Gobierno: El gobierno que asumió	Теропийо
		funciones el 1 de marzo de 2010 ha	
		señalado, sin dubitaciones, la	
		necesidad de actuar en varios niveles a	
		efectos de paliar la crisis penitenciaria	
		nacional. En tal sentido, en el terreno	
		de las soluciones profundas se ha	
		coincidido en la necesidad de	
		introducir reformas sustantivas en el	
		sistema penal y procesal penal	
		nacional. A ese respecto, y en	
		seguimiento del proceso iniciado por	
		el gobierno anterior, una Comisión de	
		alto nivel integrada por expertos ha	
		hecho entrega de los dos proyectos de	
		nuevos Códigos que se han elaborado.	
		Estos documentos han sido analizados	
		por el Poder Ejecutivo y se encuentran	
		en condiciones de ser remitidos al	
		Parlamento para su consideración. Sin	
		embargo, se han advertido lagunas o	
		vacíos en las normas propuestas y por	
		ello, el Ministerio del Interior con la	
		cooperación financiera y técnica del	
		Programa de las Naciones Unidas para	
		el Desarrollo, ha formulado la	
		iniciativa de contar con una	
		consultoría legal, especializada en	
		derechos humanos, cuyo propósito es	
		completar el marco normativo penal y procesal penal propuesto, supliendo las	
		carencias señaladas. Entre las	
		carencias anotadas se encuentra la falta	
		de formulación de un delito autónomo	
		de tortura, distinto del crimen de lesa	
		humanidad previsto ya en la ley	
		interna uruguaya, así otras conductas	
		punibles contenidas en la definición de	
		malos tratos.	
(b) Crear un Ministerio de	- En el sistema vigente son los	<i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> No se	

Recomendación A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
usticia que sea responsable del istema penitenciario, dentro del narco de una reforma global del istema de justicia penal.		ha considerado la creación de un Ministerio de Justicia. Las discusiones sobre una nueva institucionalidad, que podría depender directamente del Poder Ejecutivo y estuviera constituida colegiadamente por las diversas agencias del Estado involucradas en la materia, parece ser el próximo paso, una vez atendida la "emergencia carcelaria" y la reducción del hacinamiento. Sin embargo, se prevé la creación de un Instituto Nacional de Rehabilitación, dentro del Ministerio del Interior, aunque con cierto grado de autonomía. - Hasta tanto, las únicas medidas materializadas, a través de la promulgación de la Ley Nº 18.667 "de Emergencia carcelaria", de fecha 13/7/2010, prevé que, la faltante de recursos humanos que se adscriban al trato directo con la población, se superará con la creación de 1500 nuevos cupos para funcionarios policiales. - Un aspecto preocupante ha sido la introducción en el debate público a propósito de la incorporación de funcionarios del Ministerio de Defensa en labores policiales. El Presidente José Mujica ha planteado su propuesta de que efectivos militares pasen a ser quienes realicen los controles de seguridad de las visitas, en el ingreso y egreso de los establecimientos carcelarios. Esta responsabilidad se sumaría a la ya asumida para la custodia de la seguridad perimetral. La incursión de personal militar, formado para la Defensa, una función	

ecomendación /HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		esencialmente distinta de la Seguridad,	
		resulta altamente preocupante, máxime	
		cuando la reconversión de estos roles	
		estará dada por un "curso" de	
		capacitación. El argumento para ello	
		se asienta en la incapacidad del Estado	
		para gestionar eficientemente 29 establecimientos, hacinados, ruinosos	
		y con serio déficit de personal.	
		y con seno denen de personal.	
		Gobierno: Existe consenso sobra la	
		necesidad de que el Instituto Nacional	
		de Rehabilitación tenga	
		responsabilidades en la gestión de las	
		medidas de privación de libertad en	
		todo el país, y se integre por personal civil especializado sometido a un	
		Estatuto específico. En este marco, y	
		como mecanismos adecuados para	
		favorecer el proceso de transición,	
		además de la creación de vacantes	
		civiles en este nuevo escalafón, se ha	
		resuelto un paulatino traspaso de	
		vacante desde el Escalafón policial	
		hacia el Escalafón "S" (Penitenciario).	
		El citado Escalafón había sido creado	
		por el artículo 48 de la ley 15.851 del	
		14/12/1986 pero su puesta en	
		funcionamiento no se había	
) Dentro del nuevo ministerio	. Ver arriba.	instrumentado hasta el presente. <i>Fuentes no gubernamentales</i> : La	
ear un cuerpo de guardias de	- Para los adolescentes privados de	Dirección Nacional de Cárceles, a	
risiones bien entrenado y	libertad existe una escasez crónica	través de la Policía Nacional, dispone	
otado de recursos que sustituy		de cuerpos especiales para la gestión	
los oficiales de policía que	económicos. Los trabajadores	de conflictos intracarcelarios: motines,	
tualmente desempeñan esa	sociales no reciben formación	requisas, fugas. Son grupos	
nción. La escasez de personal			
los centros de reclusión	servicio.	que dirimen los conflictos por vía	
onduce a una falta de segurida	d	violenta o la persuasión a través de la	
ara los propios miembros de		fuerza física. No existen dispositivos o	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
ese personal y les dificulta el cumplimiento de su obligación de proteger a los internos de la violencia entre los reclusos.		cuerpos de mediación no violenta que pudieran intervenir sobre el conflicto antes de su estallido. La violencia intra-carcelaria se multiplica exponencialmente debido a las condiciones materiales de vida (edilicias y de servicio), de interelacionamiento con los pares y la autoridad, el hacinamiento, el ocio compulsivo y el encierro total. - Existe una iniciativa para la creación del Escalafón Penitenciario, el cual dote a los guardias de las cárceles de un estatuto propio diferenciado de lo policial. - La Ley de Presupuesto a estudio del Parlamento plantea la creación de 1.200 cargos para la atención directa de internos, 300 cargos técnicos, 60 altamente especializados y 100	
(d) Limitar la utilización de la prisión preventiva, especialmente en el caso de los delitos no violentos y menos graves, y recurrir con mayor frecuencia a las medidas que no entrañan la privación de libertad.	 Recurso a la prisión preventiva como regla general y no excepción. La ley no establece plazos máximos de dirección de la prisión preventiva. 	 administrativos. Gobierno: Se ha resuelto inaugurar una Oficina de Supervisión de Libertad Asistida, para tener un mejor control de las medidas alternativas a la prisión. Fuentes no gubernamentales: La prisión preventiva continúa a la fecha, siendo la medida judicial exclusiva para adultos infractores. El encierro compulsivo es la medida ejercida en más del 90% de los establecimientos de reclusión, tanto del sistema de adultos como de adolescentes. La eficiencia en el procesamiento de las solicitudes de libertad asistida, en la órbita del Ministerio del Interior, se encuentra igual con el cuello de botella que se produce en el ámbito del Poder 	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Judicial, y específicamente en la Suprema Corte de Justicia que tiene la potestad de otorgarlas o no. - En las discusiones en el Parlamento se ha planteado la necesidad de analizar la flexibilización de la prisión preventiva para los delitos menos violentos.	
		 Gobierno: El Gobierno ha señalado el tema penitenciario como una prioridad nacional se realizó una convocatoria abierta a todos los partidos con representación parlamentaria para generar un espacio de diálogo constructivo y abierto que permitiera arribar a acuerdos básicos sobre los temas de seguridad pública. El documento de consenso (Documento de Consenso Interpartidario), aprobado en agosto del 2010 plantea, reestructurar el sistema de privación de libertad tanto para adultos como para adolescentes, privilegiando las medidas alternativas o sustitutivas de la prisión preventiva, incluida la propuesta de que los centros penitenciarios se ubiquen institucionalmente fuera de la órbita y gestión de la policía. El Poder Ejecutivo ha remitido al Parlamento, un proyecto de ley que faculta la utilización de personal militar para ser destinado a la custodia perimetral de cárceles. El mismo texto contempla estrictas medidas de control para evitar toda forma de tráfico en las cárceles, fortaleciendo los mecanismos de prevención de objetos prohibidos. A través del apoyo del la Agencia 	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Especial de Cooperación se está trabajando en el rediseño del área de capacitación al personal penitenciario, con la puesta en práctica de un plan piloto con los 29 últimos ingresos. Asimismo, un proyecto emergente de la Conferencia de Ministros de Iberoamérica, que cuenta con el apoyo de la Agencia de Cooperación Española, promoverá la transferencia de buenas prácticas en la atención de mujeres privadas de libertad de Argentina en le marco de intercambio de experiencias entre países de Latinoamérica.	
		Gobierno: El Documento de Consenso Interpartidario, aprobado en agosto del 2010 plantea, reestructurar el sistema de privación de libertad tanto para adultos como para adolescentes, privilegiando las medidas alternativas o sustitutivas de la prisión preventiva, incluida la propuesta de que los centros penitenciarios se ubiquen institucionalmente fuera de la órbita y gestión de la policía. En dicho marco y tomando en consideración que durante el año 2010 dio comienzo la discusión de la asignación presupuestal para los próximos cinco años de gestión, el mensaje y el proyecto de ley remitido por el Poder Ejecutivo a las Cámaras contiene disposiciones financieras que permiten asegurar el funcionamiento de una nueva institucionalidad. En efecto, está prevista la creación de Institución Nacional de Rehabilitación que opere como servicio	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		descentralizado del Ministerio del	
		Interior, fuera de la dependencia	
		policial. A nivel parlamentario existe	
		un acuerdo para la creación de una	
		comisión bicameral dedicada a	
		redactar los cometidos y desempeños a	
		ser asumidos por le citado Instituto.	
(e) Velar por que, en el caso de		Gobierno: Al 31 de julio de 2009, el	
los adolescentes, la privación de		número de jóvenes privados de	
libertad se utilice únicamente		libertad era de 276, mientras que el	
como medida de último recurso		número de jóvenes bajo el sistema	
y se recurra lo menos posible a		público de ejecución de medidas no	
la prisión preventiva.		privativas de libertad era de 262. Al 31	
1 1		de diciembre de 2009, había 248	
		jóvenes privados de libertad, 28 en	
		centros de internación transitoria, 14	
		en régimen de semi-libertad y 216 con	
		medidas no privativas de libertad. El	
		SEMEJI/INAU ha desarrollado la	
		estructura del Programa de Medidas no	
		Privativas de Libertad de Base	
		Comunitaria, y se completó la	
		expansión a todo el país.	
		Fuentes no gubernamentales: El uso de	
		la privación de libertad sigue siendo	
		una acción sobre-utilizada. En el caso	
		de los adolescentes, de un total	
		aproximado de 600 jóvenes infractores	
		de ley, bajo medidas del Sistema Penal	
		Juvenil, la mitad es privada de libertad	
		y la otra mitad cumple medidas	
		sustitutivas a la reclusión. Estos	
		últimos son jóvenes que por provenir	
		de sectores socioeconómicos medios o	
		medios altos y que en un alto	
		porcentaje residen en Montevideo,	
		poseen redes sociales próximas que	
		aseguran su acceso a la justicia, el	
		cumplimiento de sus medidas de	
		protección y garantías y por ende la	
		protection y garantias y por chue la	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		capacidad de acompañar cercanamente la custodia. Los otros, en cambio, provienen de sectores históricamente desafiliados, segregados y estigmatizados. La justicia para ellos opera de una manera discriminadora, selectiva y con mayor punitividad. - En el caso de los adolescentes atendidos en la órbita de la libertad asistida (PROMESEC), se ha comprobado la eficiencia de las acciones ya que sólo el 2% de los casos reincide. - Las lógicas instaladas no son avanzar en el terreno de las penas alternativas sino reproducir más y mayor encierro, alimentando un circuito de reproducción de la violencia. - Las autoridades del INAU, quienes asumieron sus cargos en julio de 2010, plantean generar programas alternativos y de trabajo comunitario.	
Condiciones de reclusión (f) Asegurar que las personas privadas de libertad estén recluidas en centros penitenciarios en condiciones que cumplan las normas mínimas sanitarias e higiénicas internacionales y que los internos vean satisfechas sus necesidades básicas, como espacio suficiente, ropa de cama, alimentos y cuidado de la salud. Facilitar a los internos posibilidades de trabajar y estudiar, así como de realizar actividades de ocio y rehabilitación; debe abordarse de inmediato el problema crónico	las condiciones pueden considerarse como un trato inhumano y degradante. - El hacinamiento y el acceso	Gobierno: Se inauguraron tres nuevos centros para menores, se realizaron obras de reparación en dos centros y se realizaron dos nuevas perforaciones para el suministro de agua en Colonia Berro. La práctica para menores de satisfacer las necesidades fisiológicas en bolsas o botellas ha desaparecido. Actualmente, si el menor demanda concurrir al sanitario, a la hora que sea, debe atendérselo. La alimentación para menores es variada y de calidad nutritiva. Se permite también que los familiares ingresen alimentos. - Cien mujeres detenidas en el Establecimiento Correccional y de Detención para Mujeres fueron re-	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(<u>A/HRC/13/39/Add.2</u>) del hacinamiento.	(A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) localizadas, con lo cual se solucionó el problema de hacinamiento. El 15 de abril se inauguró el Establecimiento El Molino, para el alojamiento de mujeres privadas de libertad con hijos nacidos en prisión o en período de lactancia. El primer sector del Establecimiento Punta Rieles podrá albergar a 173 presos, del Centro Nacional de Rehabilitación y del COMCAR. Al final de 2010 se espera contar con entre 500 y 700 plazas. La finalización de la ampliación de 250 plazas en el COMCAR y en la Cárcel Las Rosas de Maldonado se prevé para julio 2010 y en el Establecimiento de Libertad se prevé para septiembre 2010. La finalización de las obras en el Departamento de Rivera con 400 plazas está prevista para septiembre 2010. Se estudia la posible apertura de una Casa de Medio Camino para aquellos penados en situación de preegreso. Se proyectan varias opciones de rehabilitación y tratamiento, en las áreas de trabajo y educación. Fuentes no gubernamentales: Las condiciones generales de reclusión no han cambiado, ya que hasta el momento son muy pocas las nuevas plazas habilitadas. El uso abusivo de la privación de libertad y la crisis estructural y sostenida del sistema carcelario, desembocan en una de sus más graves consecuencias: el hacinamiento que padece casi dos tercios de la población privada de libertad. Con una densidad 	reportado

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) general del 138 % -que supera el límite crítico de 120%- 5 de los 29 establecimientos de reclusión registran índices de entre el 173 % y el 301%. Bajo una superpoblación de tal magnitud, los impactos en la cotidianeidad son perversos, agravándose aún con la escasez, en el mejor de los casos, y la total ausencia en la mayoría de los otros, de alternativas socio-educativas, recreativas, culturales y laborales que, colaboren hacia el proceso de resocialización y rehabilitación de las personas privadas de libertad. La incapacidad del sistema para proveer alternativas de formación y de trabajo, están directamente vinculadas a la reincidencia en el delito, toda vez que, sin herramientas y sin la incorporación de competencias sociales para la inserción al egreso, seis de cada diez personas que han estado en prisión, vuelven al sistema. Uruguay posee marcos legislativos importantes a los efectos de garantizar el ejercicio de los derechos al trabajo y a la educación de la población reclusa. - El ejercicio concreto de los derechos a la educación y al trabajo, en situación de privación de libertad, es sin embargo un gran debe. Según datos de la Dirección de Desarrollo Penitenciario del Ministerio del Interior, el 45 % de la población	reportado
		reclusa, trabaja y/o estudia. Según estas cifras, alrededor de 2000 presos estudian y 998 trabajan en los establecimientos bajo la conducción de la Dirección Nacional de Cárceles.	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		Aproximadamente 1.370 presos	
		cumplen tareas laborales en las	
		cárceles departamentales y en los	
		establecimientos que dependen del	
		Ministerio del Interior. Sin embargo, a	
		través de la encuesta aplicada a una	
		muestra estadísticamente	
		representativa de 1300 personas	
		privadas de libertad, sólo el 13 % dice	
		estar trabajando, y de ese porcentaje,	
		sólo el 7 % recibe a cambio una	
		remuneración por la tarea. Esa	
		remuneración, se operativiza	
		solamente en las cárceles dependientes	
		de la Dirección Nacional de Cárceles y	
		en unas pocas dependientes del	
		Subsistema de Jefaturas del Interior.	
		- En cuanto a la educación, se han	
		registrado avances significativos en los	
		últimos años, incluyendo la	
		generalización de la enseñanza	
		primaria en la totalidad de los	
		establecimientos. Al finalizar 2009,	
		Educación Secundaria disponía de 110	
		docentes, distribuidos en 12	
		establecimientos, para dictar clases de	
		ciclo básico y bachillerato. Quedan	
		fuera de la cobertura sin embargo, 17	
		establecimientos.	
		- El más serio déficit detectado se	
		encuentra en los procedimientos y la	
		transparencia para la contabilización	
		de las medidas de redención de pena.	
		Son numerosos los casos denunciados	
		por personas privadas de libertad, que	
		a la hora de asistir a una revista de la	
		Suprema Corte de Justicia, constatan	
		que los cómputos que allí figuran, en	
		el mejor de los casos, son deficientes y	
		no corresponden a la cantidad de	

Recomendación A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		tiempo trabajado o estudiado; y en	
		otros muchos ni siquiera llegan al	
		expediente los informes que acreditan	
		que esa persona trabaja y/o estudia.	
		- En muchos casos, cuando la medida	
		de redención de pena se refiere al trabajo, si no media el peculio, el	
		registro es nulo. La persona trabaja	
		pues, además de sin percibir beneficio	
		económico alguno por su tarea, sin	
		tener la capacidad de acogerse al	
		beneficio de la conmutación de la pena	
		por trabajo.	
		- El acceso al agua sigue siendo un	
		problema central en diversos	
		establecimientos. COMCARr, "Las	
		Latas" en el Penal de Libertad,	
		Canelones, Cabildo y Las Rosas	
		(Maldonado), registran las situaciones	
		más graves. En algunos de estos	
		centros, por ejemplo en Las Rosas, el	
		suministro durante todo el año se	
		limita a dos horas diarias, distribuidas	
		en dos turnos. Ese suministro se hace a	
		través de un único caño de plastiducto	
		por módulo, a través del cual los	
		reclusos deben llenar tarrinas para	
		acopiar y administrar durante todo el	
		día. En Canelones, los cortes se	
		producen frecuentemente, por razones	
		no argumentadas desde las autoridades	
		carcelarias, y preponderantemente en los meses de verano. En la cárcel	
		femenina de Cabildo, el agua proviene	
		de tanques de almacenaje, muy	
		contaminados, por lo cual los índices	
		de potabilidad no son adecuados. A la	
		escasez de suministro de agua se	
		asocian problemas vinculados a la	
		higiene personal y del ambiente, la	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		propagación de enfermedades que	
		tienen como vehículo el agua, lo cual	
		en muchas ocasiones es factor además	
		de generación de conflictos internos.	
		- En relación con los menores, en	
		hogares de la Colonia Berro (Sarandí,	
		Piedras y Ser), las prácticas	
		discrecionales para la conducción de	
		los adolescentes a los gabinetes	
		sanitarios sigue siendo una constante.	
		Los jóvenes continúan encerrados 24	
		horas al día, y aún necesitan evacuar	
		sus necesidades fisiológicas en	
		condiciones inaceptables.	
		- Los adolescentes sólo tienen acceso a	
		actividades educativas o de ocio en	
		algunos centros. En otros centros, los	
		detenidos permanecen en sus celdas	
		entre 20 y 22 horas por día en general,	
		incluso 24 horas en caso de castigo. La	
		reinserción social es casi inexistente.	
		Existe también la utilización casi	
		sistemática de la violencia en contra de	
		los adolescentes por parte de la policía	
		durante el arresto, motines o requisas,	
		y por parte de los guardias de manera	
		cotidiana. En Puertas, Ser, Piedras y	
		Ariel, la mayoría de las celdas tienen	
		un dramático nivel de insalubridad.	
		- Para las mujeres, el traslado al actual	
		centro de Rehabilitación	
		descongestionó en parte la cárcel de	
		Cabildo. Sin embargo, esto ha	
		acarreado nuevas complejidades, como	
		la coexistencia de dos modelos de	
		privación de libertad contrapuestos: el	
		de CNR, gerenciado por un equipo	
		multidisciplinario y con un régimen de	
		mínima seguridad y el de la Dirección	
		Nacional de Cárceles que rige para las	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		 mujeres trasladadas desde Cabildo, con condiciones de administración de la seguridad y la reclusión idénticas a las de Cabildo. El descongestionamiento de Cabildo fue transitorio, ya que debido al alto número de nuevos ingresos, dicha cárcel ya está sobresaturada nuevamente. El Hogar "Nuevo Molino", para mujeres infractoras que conviven con sus hijos, si bien fue inaugurado formalmente el 15 de abril, no fue habitado sino hasta el mes de julio, ya que la Dirección Nacional de Cárceles no disponía de personal penitenciario para el funcionamiento del nuevo establecimiento. El calendario de obras estructurado para el plan de descongestionamiento carcelario no se ha cumplido. A la fecha, no están inauguradas la nueva cárcel de Punta de Rieles, el nuevo módulo de COMCAR, el nuevo módulo del Penal de Libertad, la cárcel espejo en Maldonado, la nueva cárcel regional de Rivera y el reacondicionamiento del Centro No. 2. Con excepción de Rivera, estos emprendimientos debían haberse culminado entre agosto y setiembre de 2010, según lo planificado. 	L
		Gobierno: en materia de gestión, se procurará la unificación de los centros carcelarios del país en un solo instituto y la posterior regionalización de los mismos, distribuidos en 6 regiones. La misma prevé en el marco de un sistema de tratamiento progresivo la creación de sistemas de mínima	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) seguridad en cada departamento del país, en la modalidad de chacras productivas. Frente a un déficit de 2000 plazas en el sistema, con una tasa de densidad global de 129% está previsto que entre finales del corriente año e inicios del 2011 se habiliten 2000 plazas nuevas, número que será fortalecido con la proyección de dos Unidades penitenciarias de al menos 900 plazas cada una durante este período de gobierno. Aprobación de la Ley No. 18.667 del 13 de julio de 2010 que habilita la utilización del Ministerio de Defensa Nacional bajo régimen de comodato para servir de instalaciones penitenciarias con el fin de reducir el hacinamiento y dispone la asignación de un monto significativo de recursos financieros del Estado con el fin de mejorar la situación edilicia y de las instalaciones de los centros penitenciarios. En uso de los citados fondos se adquirieron módulos portátiles dotados de calefacción, cama y ducha, alguno de los cuales han sido instalados en predios penitenciarios. Con el acuerdo de la Suprema Corte de Justicia, una Comisión integrada por representantes del citado órgano judicial y del Ministerio del Interior han elevado una propuesta de ley destinada a descomprimir el 	reportado
		hacinamiento actualmente existente, pero que también contempla normas permanentes destinadas a establecer cupos máximos carcelarios y	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		mecanismos de liberación cuando se superan los plazos razonables de prisión preventiva, sin acusación fiscal.	
		 La realización del derecho efectivo a la educación y el trabajo en el sistema carcelario constituye uno de los problemas más graves. En el marco de los Acuerdos Interpartidarios, el documento de consenso aprobado establece que "se asegurará que toda persona privada de su li8bertad en cumplimiento de un disposición judicial, pueda realizar tareas productivas y remuneradas (procurando el reconocimiento de sus tareas a los efectos previsionales en lo aplicable) así como formarse, estudiar y culminar sus estudios, lo que facilitará claramente la reinserción del detenido. En dicho marco, se han iniciado contactos con el Ministerio de Trabajo y Seguridad Social para el desarrollo de un plan nacional de estímulo al trabajo de los reclusos y los recién liberados. Este aspecto de la cuestión constituye un eje básico de la solicitud de cooperación formulada ante la Unión Europea, ya que es intención del gobierno instalar emprendimientos productivos de distinto alcance en los centros penitenciarios como una estrategia de reinserción social, desarrollo y estímulo de aptitudes y creación de alternativas útiles para la facilitación del egreso y la reintegración social. Actualmente se está implementado dentro del Proyecto 	

Medidas para la implementación de	
las recomendaciones1	Información recibida en el periodo
(A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	reportado
L (NNUU "Unidos en la Acción"-	
Gobierno) un efecto específico de	
estimulo a la generación de trabajo y	
una asistencia técnica para la mejora y	
fortalecimiento de los actuales	
instrumentos jurídicos.	
Fuentes no gubernamentales: Las	
"latas" del Penal de Libertad y los	
módulos 2 y 4 del COMCAR no se	
han clausurado. En esta última cárcel	
se prevé el cierre del módulo 5 y el	
módulo 2. Los reclusos alojados en el	
primero serán trasladados a la cárcel	
de Punta de Rieles, mientras que –la	
mayoría- de los reclusos del módulo 2	
serán trasladados al nuevo módulo que	
se está construyendo (310 plazas)	
dentro del establecimiento, los	
restantes reclusos serán distribuidos en	
el resto de la cárcel. El nuevo	
escenario que se generará por estos	

realojamientos dentro del

tanto materiales como de

con los funcionarios.

establecimiento elevará el números de reclusos por cada módulo (1,3,4), lo cual como la experiencia indica, agravará las condiciones ya

deplorables, inhumanas e inhabitables,

interelacionamiento entre reclusos v

- La única estrategia de regulación es la administración de la disciplina y el castigo en forma discrecional y arbitraria. En centros con poca población también se registran graves problemas de discrecionalidad, sobre todo en las cárceles dependientes de las Jefaturas Departamentales, debido a conductas autoritarias e inquisitivas, directamente vinculadas a un ejercicio

Situación durante la visita

- Los detenidos se encontraban

deplorables, con acceso restringido

celdas un máximo de cuatro horas a

la semana y no era fácil obtener

atención médica, por lo que los reclusos se autolesionaban para

poder visitar a un médico.

hacinados, en condiciones

popularmente como "Las Latas", al agua, sólo podían salir de las

(A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)

530

Recomendación

(A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)

(g) Clausurar inmediatamente

los módulos construidos con

chapa metálica, conocidos

del penal de Libertad y los

módulos 2-4 del COMCAR.

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		 del poder autoritario, que es rezago de la última dictadura en Uruguay. Se continúan recibiendo alegaciones de malos tratos y golpizas a adolescentes por parte de los funcionarios policiales que custodian los hogares. La violencia física se ejerce en general en las persecuciones que los funcionarios realizan durante las fugas y al momento de la detención de los jóvenes. A su vez, se ha constatado, a través de entrevistas con los jóvenes, que los mismos sufren graves maltratos y golpizas en los centros de detención transitorios. En establecimientos donde se encuentran recluidas las mujeres, estos fenómenos se agravan, ya que son doblemente estigmatizadas, toda vez que el sistema no está pensado en perspectiva de género ni contempla otras especificidades de este grupo de 	
(h) Garantizar la separación efectiva entre los presos en prisión preventiva y los que cumplen condena.	- No había separación alguna.	 población, con excepción de las vinculadas al rol de madre. <i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> Esta separación es inexistente en todas las cárceles, por dos factores: a) la capacidad edilicia de los establecimientos y b) la precariedad administrativa junto a la lentitud burocrática del sistema para procesar y sistematizar información, vinculadas a la escasez o inexistencia de herramientas y capacidades tecnológicas. El Ministerio del Interior ha anunciado que los nuevos centros que se abrirán tendrán en cuenta esta distinción. A pesar de la creación de nuevos 	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		mecanismos y agencias como la	
		Oficina de Seguimiento a la Libertad	
		Anticipada (OSLA), no se ha	
		modificado la relación entre personas	
		privadas de libertad procesadas y	
		condenadas. El 73% de la población carcelaria no tiene condena, vencido	
		además el plazo razonable y	
		contrariamente al carácter excepcional	
		del encarcelamiento cautelar. La	
		jurisprudencia local ha establecido en	
		diversos fallos que la prisión	
		preventiva es la regla, siendo	
		excepcional la procedencia de la	
		excarcelación.	
		Gobierno: Inicio del sistema de	
		clasificación de presos, en particular la	
		separación entre penados y	
		procesados. La tarea, de competencia	
		específica del Instituto Nacional de de	
		Criminología, ha sido completada en	
		la Cárcel Departamental de Rocha, en	
		el COMCAR, con la población que	
		será próximamente transferida al establecimiento de punta Rieles,	
		continuando en breve con el	
		establecimiento de Libertad,	
		Maldonado, Rivera y Canelones.	
		- Aprobación del Decreto 180/120 de	
		14 de junio de 2010 instituye el	
		mecanismo de la libertad asistida y	
		crea la Oficina de Seguimiento de la	
		Libertad Anticipada. En la actualidad,	
		la Oficina hace seguimiento a un	
		promedio de 70 casos.	
		 Modificación del régimen de salidas 	
		transitorias, ampliando los plazos de	
		permanencia y las razones por las	
		cuales se otorgan.	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
Garantizar que, como procedimiento habitual, profesionales médicos calificados realicen un examen a los internos en el momento de la detención, el traslado y la puesta en libertad (i)	- Los adolescentes eran llevados a un médico previo al traslado, pero la mayoría de los menores denunciaron haber recibido palizas y otros malos tratos por parte de la policía después del examen médico.		
(j) Seguir el proyecto piloto del COMCAR para que los servicios médicos queden a cargo del Ministerio de Salud.	- La calidad de los servicios médicos había mejorado desde que el Ministerio de Salud pasó a ocuparse de prestar servicios médicos en la prisión.	 Fuentes no gubernamentales: La calidad del servicio médico ha mejorado sustancialmente desde que la Administración de Servicios de Salud del Estado (ASSE) se hiciera cargo del mismo, tanto a nivel administrativo como a nivel operativo y procedimental. Se comprueba también un avance importante en la atención odontológica a los reclusos en todos los establecimientos de privación de libertad. Al final del periodo de la actual administración se espera que la cobertura de ASSE alcance a todos los centros penitenciarios del país. Existe una propuesta de crear una nueva unidad asistencial, llamada Servicio de Asistencia Integral para Personas Privadas de Libertad. 	
		Gobierno: Creación de un programa específico dentro del Ministerio de Salud Pública destinado a sumir gradual competencia en la atención primaria de la salud de los reclusos alojados en centros penitenciarios. El Ministerio de Salud Pública asumirá la atención del establecimiento de Punta Rieles cuya inauguración está prevista para el mes de noviembre, ampliando con ello, el número de establecimientos carcelarios atendidos	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		en salud bajo esta modalidad.	
Lucha contra la impunidad y reparación para las víctimas de la tortura			
(k) Enmendar el Código Penal a fin de incluir la definición de la tortura como delito independiente, en consonancia con lo dispuesto en los artículos 1 y 4 de la Convención contra la Tortura.	 Según la Ley No. 18026 cualquier caso de tortura se considera un crimen de lesa humanidad. La definición de tortura abarca también actos de trato cruel, inhumano o degradante. 	<i>Fuentes no gubernamentales</i> : La aprobación de la Ley No. 18026 incluye el delito de tortura entre otros, lo que ha significado un avance sustantivo en la legislación. Sin embargo, la no inclusión de la ley en el corpus del Código Penal hace difícil su aplicación práctica y no contempla casos de torturas de civiles y delincuentes comunes, es decir, que el delito de tortura no está tipificado como delito autónomo. Asimismo el marco conceptual desde donde se enunció la ley se relaciona directamente con contextos autoritarios, totalitarios, etc., lo que podría generar una lectura unilineal de la misma. En este sentido, la tortura en forma exclusiva y en forma excluyente se relaciona con las violaciones a los derechos humanos durante la última dictadura cívico-militar. El actual Gobierno ha expresado su voluntad de incluir el delito de tortura en la reforma del Código Penal, pero ello	
(1) Asegurar que todas las denuncias de tortura y malos tratos se investiguen minuciosamente y sin demora por una autoridad independiente que no tenga relación con la autoridad encargada de llevar la investigación o el enjuiciamiento del caso.	- La Dirección de Asuntos Internos del Ministerio del Interior se ocupa de investigar dichas denuncias, aunque depende de la misma autoridad ministerial que la policía.	aún no se ha concretado. Gobierno: La Gerencia del SEMEJI elaboró una cartilla para la recepción de denuncias o quejas, habilitándose un mecanismo de investigación. El Directorio del Instituto del Niño y Adolescente del Uruguay dispuso diversas medidas para evitar represalias en los casos donde menores hayan presentado alegaciones de malos tratos al Relator Especial. En	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		2009 se realizaron 133 investigaciones, de las cuales 6 eran por maltrato. Al 30 de abril de 2010, no se habían iniciado investigaciones por maltrato. Fuentes no gubernamentales: Si bien el recurso que ha instalado la gerencia de SEMEJI es un gran avance, aún resta por implementar de forma más eficiente los marcos en los cuales los menores pueden realizar sus denuncias.	
(m) Velar por que quienes cometieron violaciones de los derechos humanos durante la dictadura comparezcan ante la justicia, por que se imparta justicia en un plazo razonable y por que se respete la memoria de las víctimas, incluso de los muertos y los desaparecidos.	- Actualmente se llevan a cabo varios juicios, aunque con lentitud.	<i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> El accionar de la Justicia está limitado por la Ley de Caducidad, que otorga al Poder Ejecutivo la potestad de determinar cuáles casos pueden ser juzgados, violando el principio de separación de poderes, entre otras cosas. El Gobierno, a través de sus representantes legislativos, está redactando un proyecto de ley para dejar sin efecto la Ley de Caducidad. - La Ley de Caducidad fue ratificada en las elecciones de noviembre 2009. Sin embargo, esto no excluye la posibilidad de juicios.	
(n) Ofrecer una indemnización sustancial, así como tratamiento médico y rehabilitación adecuados, a las víctimas de la tortura y los malos tratos.	- Existe un proyecto de ley de reparación a las víctimas del terrorismo de Estado.	<i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> En 2009 se aprobó la Ley 18.650 que estableció indemnizaciones para las personas víctimas de tortura durante la dictadura. La Ley creó una Comisión Especial, integrada por representantes de varios organismos del Estado y de la sociedad civil. La Ley reconoce expresamente la responsabilidad del Estado por los daños causados y el derecho a la atención médica. Sin embargo, indemnizaciones económicas sólo serán otorgadas a aquellas	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		víctimas que certifiquen haber tenido	
		lesiones gravísimas, lo cual ha	
		generado polémica debido a que su aplicación sería bastante acotada.	
		- La ley sobre indemnización excluye	
		a todas aquellas víctimas con	
		ganancias de más de 1.500 USD	
		mensuales o aquellos que ya reciben	
		seguro social. En relación con	
		personas jubiladas, se les obliga a	
		decidir entre el seguro social que les	
		corresponde por su trabajo o 750 USD	
		de compensación mensual.	
		- Desde la recuperación democrática se	
		han aprobado diversas leyes de	
		reparación. De todas formas continúan	
		existiendo situaciones que no han sido	
		contempladas. Por ejemplo, los limites	
		cronológicos que definen las mismas,	
		excluyen gravísimos casos de	
		violaciones. Ha habido un avance	
		significativo en la reparación desde el	
		punto de vista económico y sanitario, pero resta un trabajo profundo sobre la	
		reparación psico-jurídica y simbólica,	
		de la cual todavía no se tiene una	
		postura clara. En este último caso los	
		avances han estado relacionados, en	
		gran medida, más con impulsos	
		aleatorios y esporádicos desde el	
		Estado, que con políticas de memoria	
		serias, democráticas, y sobre todo	
		presupuestadas.	
Prevención de la tortura			
(o) Ampliar el mandato del	- El mandado se limita a los adultos.	Fuentes no gubernamentales: El	
Comisionado Parlamentario par	a	mandato del Comisionado	
el sistema carcelario de manera		Parlamentario lo autoriza a monitorear	
que incluya todos los lugares de		todo el Sistema Carcelario del país,	
detención y velar por que ese		además de otros atributos que	
mecanismo se integre en la		dictamina la ley. No tiene la potestad	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
(A/HRC/13/39/Add.2) Institución Nacional de Derechos Humanos como mecanismo nacional de prevención.		de seguimiento en psiquiátricos, hogares para menores que cumplen medidas de ejecución de privación de libertad, hogares alojados en centros de amparo, y centros de detención transitoria. - La sociedad civil trabaja proactivamente en la instalación de la INDDHH, interviniendo en jornadas y debatas sobre el mismo, articulando acciones concretas, mantenido un dialogo fluido con el Estado, y participando en mesas intersectoriales. Gobierno: La Institución nacional de Derechos Humanos ya creada será incluida en las previsiones de funcionamiento y recursos junto con la aprobación del presupuesto del Parlamento uruguayo a ser votado en el mes de febrero de 2011, al así	
		 determinarlo su vinculación orgánica dispuesta en ley de creación. Se aguarda que culminado dicho proceso, se proceda a su integración y pronta puesta en funcionamiento. El mecanismo nacional de prevención previsto en el Protocolo Adicional a la Convención contra la Tortura caerá 	
(p) Asignar recursos humanos financieros suficientes para q la sólida base jurídica del mecanismo nacional de prevención se traduzca en un funcionamiento eficaz en la práctica.		bajo la égida de la Institución nacional de Derechos Humanos. <i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> Se está discutiendo la ley de presupuesto, la cual tiene como objetivo distribuir los recursos financieros a todos los ministerios, áreas y reparticiones del Estado, durante el período octubre 2010 a octubre de 2011. En ésta ley, no se presupuestó la asignación de	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		recursos para el funcionamiento real y concreto de la Institución Nacional de Derechos Humanos, y por ende, de la implementación del mecanismo, que se encuentra bajo esa institucionalidad.	
Administración de justicia penal para los menores delincuentes (q) Elaborar un sistema moderno de justicia de menores encaminado a la prevención del delito y la rehabilitación de los menores delincuentes.		Gobierno: El número y calidad de las actividades socio-educativas en la Colonia Berro ha aumentado considerablemente desde 2005. En 2009 se incrementaron las horas docentes en la Escuela Educacional Dr. Roberto Berro. Las mismas sufrieron alguna interrupción en 2009 pero se han normalizado. En los Centros SER y Las Piedras se duplicó la carga horaria de actividades. Asimismo, se logró elevar el tiempo de utilización de patio a tres horas diarias. Fuentes no gubernamentales: Si bien las actividades socio-educativas han aumentando considerablemente, no se planifican y ejecutan desde una propuesta educativa. A su vez la ausencia de un proyecto educativo, no permite construir trayectorias de	
(r) Introducir programas de rehabilitación del uso de drogas en los centros de internamiento de menores.	- Al menos la mitad de los internos son consumidores de drogas. A menudo se utilizan sedantes como terapia de sustitución.	egreso acordes a la perspectiva de derechos para los adolescentes privados de libertad. Gobierno : La medicación psiquiátrica se administra bajo receta médica especial, en forma técnicamente adecuada. Los jóvenes con problemas de consumo de sustancias psicoactivas reciben atención médica y psicológica en la División Salud del INAU, tratamiento con internación en el Centro Portal Amarillo y en dos Centros de Adicciones en la región	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
		metropolitana del país. Se están realizando también dos investigaciones sobre los jóvenes, las características del consumo y factores asociados al mismo y otra con los funcionarios, la forma como enfrentan el tema y las demandas de capacitación que presentan. Fuentes no gubernamentales: La discrecionalidad del sistema, la falta de personal idóneo, la ausencia de una gerencia eficiente y coherente a la hora de aplicar los lineamientos educativos, hace que las mejoras en diversas áreas, por ejemplo en salud, pasen desapercibidas. El tratamiento para adicciones a menores infractores es una de esas áreas en las cuales la inoperancia del sistema se hace evidente. Por eso es necesario incorporar a la estructura de SEMEJI, mecanismos, dispositivos y protocolos que tiendan a generar una nueva institucionalidad jerarquizada, fundada en el pleno cumplimento de los derechos de los jóvenes, acorde a lo establecido en el Código de la Niñez y	
Mujeres (s) De acuerdo con lo dispuesto en el Plan Nacional de Lucha contra la Violencia Doméstica, establecer mecanismos eficaces para abordar los casos de violencia contra la mujer, incluso mediante la organización de más actividades de fomento de la sensibilización entre los funcionarios judiciales y de las fuerzas del orden.	 Pocas de las actividades previstas en el Plan Nacional se habían ejecutado y se habían prorrogado las fechas límite para su puesta en práctica. Las dificultades incluyen la renuencia de los jueces a aplicar la ley, la ausencia de un procedimiento o mecanismo para velar por el cumplimiento de las medidas cautelares y la falta de 	la Adolescencia. <i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> Actualmente existen varias acciones y programas desde el ámbito público y por parte de la sociedad civil. - Sigue funcionando la Bancada Bicameral femenina, que constituye un espacio abierto a las inquietudes que ameritan medidas legislativas con enfoque de género. - El 26 de octubre se lanzará la campaña UNETE, impulsada por el	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
	infraestructura para prestar apoyo a las víctimas.	Secretario General de las Naciones Unidas, con una fuerte acción en los medios de comunicación y espacios públicos alertando sobre la violencia contra la mujer y llamando a detenerla.	
		Gobierno: en este plano, y como señala la información proporcionada al Relator por fuentes no gubernamentales, se está llevando adelante diversas acciones a nivel ministerial a efectos de revertir el proceso evidenciado con el alto número de policías, identificados como agentes de violencia doméstica.	
(t) Crear refugios para las víctimas de la violencia doméstica y centros de rehabilitación para quienes cometan delitos de esa naturaleza.	- No había refugios para mujeres.	<i>Fuentes no gubernamentales</i> : No existen aún refugios, aunque se estima que antes de que termine el 2010 estará operativo un centro. También existe un acuerdo entre el Ministerio de Vivienda par aportar soluciones habitacionales a las mujeres que deben dejar su residencia debido a la violencia que sufren. A esos efectos existe un subsidio para alquileres. - En relación a los perpetradores, existe un trabajo concreto en el ámbito de la Sanidad Policial con funcionarios policiales que han cometido estos hechos.	
(106) El Relator Especial recomienda también que los órganos competentes de las Naciones Unidas, los gobiernos donantes y los organismos de desarrollo presten asistencia al Gobierno del Uruguay en la aplicación de las presentes recomendaciones, en particular		Gobierno: Se encuentran en desarrollo varios programas con diferentes órganos de Naciones Unidas y gobiernos donantes, incluyendo para el tratamiento de personas con problemas de acción; fortalecimiento a la Oficina de Supervisión de Libertad Asistida; formación penitenciaria;	

Recomendación (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Situación durante la visita (A/HRC/13/39/Add.2)	Medidas para la implementación de las recomendaciones1 (A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Información recibida en el periodo reportado
en sus esfuerzos por reformar su sistema de justicia penal, mejorar el sistema penitenciario e impartir una formación apropiada a los policías y los guardias de prisiones.		 emprendimientos de trabajo, cooperativismo y orientación al egreso sustentable; promoción de actividades sociolaborales, acompañamiento a las personas privadas de libertad, fortalecimiento de capacidades en pre- egreso; y fortalecimiento del sistema, promoción del diálogo y el diseño de un sistema integral, relevamiento y estudio del sistema y condiciones de reclusión de adultos y menores de 18 años. <i>Fuentes no gubernamentales:</i> El 30 de junio el Gobierno firmó un programa de cooperación con las Naciones Unidas de "Apoyo a la reforma de las instituciones para personas privadas de libertad". El proyecto desarrollará una experiencia piloto en tres centros penitenciarios en materia de prevención del uso de sustancias adictivas y en emprendimientos productivos y de generación de empleo. También se apoyará el funcionamiento de una Oficina en el Ministerio del Interior destinada a aplicar medidas alternativas a la prisión. El Programa permitirá realizar un ciclo de diálogos interinstitucionales en torno a la reforma penitenciaria. Por su parte, la Unión Europea ha anunciado un Programa de 5.5. millones de euros a ser llevado a partir del 2012. 	

Uzbekistan

Follow-up to the recommendations made by the Special Rapporteur (Theo van Boven) in the report of his visit to Uzbekistan from 24 November to 6 December 2002 (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)

150. By letter dated 22 November 2011, the Special Rapporteur sent the table below to the Government of the Republic of Uzbekistan, requesting information and comments on the follow-up measures taken with regard to the implementation of his predecessor's recommendations. By letter dated 13 February 2012, the Government of Uzbekistan responded by providing information on the measures taken with regard to the implementation of the recommendations. The Special Rapporteur regrets that due to late submission of the Government's response, it has not been possible to consider the information for the purpose of drafting the observations.

151. The Special Rapporteur observes that the definition of torture in the amended Criminal Code does not cover acts by "other persons acting in an official capacity" and lacks adequate penalties. He reiterates his concern about the reports on the use, by courts, of evidence obtained under coercion, including by torture.

152. The Special Rapporteur expresses concern at the reported allegations of acts of harassment and intimidation, of forcible and arbitrary removal of peaceful protesters, and of lack of a fair trial in the context of two peaceful assemblies held in Tashkent in late 2010. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the Government to launch prompt, impartial and thorough investigations into these cases and initiate public prosecutions, where the evidence warrants it.

153. The Special Rapporteur remains concerned at the reported detention on allegedly fabricated charges, arbitrary detention, confession obtained under torture, and the absence of information on the complaints of torture and ill-treatment received by the Ombudsman. He wishes to note that an effective and independent mechanism still remains to be established outside the procuracy to investigate all allegations of torture and ill-treatment promptly, independently and thoroughly and prosecute and punish the alleged perpetrators by means of criminal sanctions.

154. The Special Rapporteur echoes the concern expressed by the Human Rights Committee⁷³ about the lack of full implementation of the right to habeas corpus and calls upon the Government to take steps to ensure that the amended legislation on habeas corpus is fully applied in practice.

155. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the consideration by the Office of the Prosecutor General of the question of ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture and encourages the Government to make the declaration provided for in article 22 of the Convention recognizing the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications from individuals who claim to be victims of a violation of the provisions of the Convention.

⁷³ See CCPR/C/UZB/CO/3.

156. Finally, the Special Rapporteur wishes to reiterate the request made in 2010 to conduct a follow-up visit to the country to make an assessment of the various legislative amendments and their practical implementation with regard to the fight against torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(a)The highest authorities need to publicly condemn corture. They should declare unambiguously that they will not tolerate torture and similar ill-treatment by public officials and that those in command at the time abuses are perpetrated will be held personally responsible for the abuses.	l c	 Government: this recommendation has been fully implemented as reflected in numerous legislative, practical and judicial reforms, such as the prohibition of torture contained in article 26 of the 1992 Constitution, the accession to the Convention against Torture in 1995; the criminalization of torture by article 235 of the Criminal Code; the participation of the Parliament of Uzbekistan in the monitoring of the Convention against Torture; the Supreme Court resolutions of 19 December 2003 and 24 September 2004 which excludes evidence obtained under torture, violence, threats, etc.; The Supreme Court issued a resolution on 14 June 2008 on "The courts' practice of the examination of criminal cases by judges related to torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment", which obliges judges to issue a separate decision in relation to the member of the law enforcement bodies who allegedly committed the violation; The concluding observations of the Committee against Torture were subject of sessions of a number of Senate Committees; The Office of the General-Prosecutor held a session on the strengthening of the prosecutorial supervision ('nadzor') in this regard; In 2008, the office of the Prosecutor received 2222 complaints in relation to unlawful actions by members of the law enforcement bodies (163 less then in 2007), among which 1643 concerned staff of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, 7 regarding servants of the Security Service and 104 regarding judges. 104 of the complaints were related to allegations of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. 9 criminal cases were opened against members of the law enforcement bodies; the concerned persons were 	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 suspended from their functions; Special units in charge of respect for human rights were created in the Ministry of Justice, the office of th Prosecutor General, and the Ministry of Interior, which deal with complains and petitions by citizens, includin about torture; The Prosecutor's office, Ombudsman, the National Human Rights Centre and a number of international organizations and diplomatic missions monitor places detention; The 2004 National Action Plan against Torture guide anti-torture work. 	n g of
		 Non-governmental sources: The practice of torture at other forms of cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment was not condemned by the highest authorities or the media; Official state agencies and Government-controlled national media still avoid the word "torture" in their official documents or publications. Official public figures who are responsible for the investigation of criminal cases or handling of complaints and petitions on tortures or other forms of cruel treatment, do not feel personally responsible despite the legal prohibition of torture in the Criminal cases in the system of law-enforcement agencies of Uzbekistan, enormous influence of the so-called principle of "automatism" on the system of criminal proceedings in the country, in practice results in the following – in case a person is arrested, he/she should necessarily be accused, pass through the investigation, face the trial, be convicted, and sentenced to punishment. Based on this approach, the highest officials encourage the use of torture in the system of criminal justice in order to obtain confessions as evidence. Concerning the 2004 National Action Plan against Torture, a set of formal actions were included. 	t le

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Since the successful performance of law enforcement bodies is measured by the number of resolved cases, torture remains the most frequent and widely practiced mean of obtaining confession in view of resolving cases.	
		Government: Special human rights entities established within the Ministry of Justice, Office of the Prosecutor General, Ministry of Internal Affairs, are responsible for complaints on various human rights issues. In 2009, out of 3089 complaints received on unlawful activities of members of law enforcement bodies (2283 complaints during 9 months in 2010), 2377 (1824 in 2010) was in relation to the staff of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, 3 (4 in 2010) in relation to the members of the National Security Services, 50 (109 in 2010), in relation to courts 15 (37 in 2010), in relation to procuracy. 65 (146 in 2010) complaints were related to the use of torture and other unlawful forms of treatment. 6 (7 in 2010) criminal cases were filed against the members of law enforcement bodies who were subsequently removed from the office. 9 criminal cases were filed in 2008. 4 employees of the law enforcement bodies were sentenced to various terms of imprisonment with charges of "intentionally causing body injury". - Incidents of torture and other forms of cruel treatment committed by law enforcement bodies are being discussed during the board meetings of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and the Prosecutor General, in the Parliament and in the Plenum of the Supreme Court as well as during the sessions of the Interagency task-force group established to examine the compliance of law enforcement bodies' activities with human rights norms and standards. The sessions are attended by the representatives of mass media and non-governmental organisations.	,

	Situation during visit	Steps taken in previous years	Information received
Recommendation	(See:	See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2,	in the reporting period
<i>E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)</i> b)The Government should umend its domestic penal law o include the crime of tortur he definition consistent with urticle 1 of the Convention against Torture and supporter by an adequate penalty.	e the Constitution;	 A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) filed against 285 employees of the organs of internal affairs, 75 were accused of misusing power, exceeding official authority, failing to act, neglecting official duty 4 members were accused of committing torture and other forms of ill-treatment. During 9 months of 2010, 186 employees of the organs of internal affairs were brought to trial with charges of committing various offences and suspended from organs of internal affairs. CAT/C/UZB/CO/3, para. 5 dated Novembe 2008 holds that: "While the Committee acknowledges the efforts made to amend legislation to incorporate the definition of torture of the Convention into domestic law it remains concerned that in particular the definition in the amended article 235 of the Criminal Code restricts the prohibited practice of torture to the actions of law enforcement officials and does not cover ac by "other persons acting in an official capacity", including those acts that result from instigation, consent or acquiescence of a public official and as such does not contai all the elements of article 1 of the Convention." 	r , ts
		Government: In December 2008, an order was issued by the Ministry of Internal Affairs on the "Adoption of the Plan for major activities for the implementation of the National Action Plan for the implementation of the concluding observations of the Committee against Torture."	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Article 235 of the Criminal Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan is practically not applied; no official judicial proceedings have been conducted on the basis of article 235 of the Criminal Code, as the judges are not independent in the decision making;	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 Torture is normally applied with the consent or a the request of higher officers, officials or other public figures; those who use tortures are encouraged, awarded or promoted in rank; There have not been cases of exclusion of testimonies extorted under torture. 	
(c)Amend the domestic pen law to include the right to habeas corpus.	al No habeas corpus.	 Government: Under article 235 of the Criminal Code, torture and other forms of other cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment and punishment are criminally punishable acts. If the crime is committed by a person not belonging to law enforcement bodies, but with the knowledge of or with implicit consent of the investigator, interrogator or a staff of the organs of law enforcement, the action is qualified as aiding and abetting the use of torture and other forms of ill-treatment. If unlawful actions were used to obtain confession, the perpetrators are held accountable for obtaining evidence by torture. Government: Article 19 of the Constitution holds that the rights and freedoms of citizens are inviolable and only a court has the right to restrict them; The institute of habeas corpus is being introduced in progressive stages. The adoption of the Presidential Decree of 2005 "On the transfer of the right to sanction pre-trial detention to the courts" was followed by the adoption of new legislation on 11 July 2007 which amended, inter alia, articles 18 and 29 of the Criminal Procedure Code and article 10 of the Law on Judges. In addition, according to the amended article 243 of the Criminal Procedure Code, judges are now obliged to issue: 1) a decision about the pre-trial detention; 2) deny the pre-trial detention or 3) extend the period of custody for up to 48 hours for the parties to present additional 	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	_,,,,	protection of the constitutional rights and freedoms in	1
		criminal procedures. First, it only allows pre-trial	
		detention for premeditated crimes for which the fores	seen
		sentence is higher than 3 years or for crimes by	
		negligence with a sentence higher than 5 years. Secon	nd,
		the amended legislation provides that the two parties	,
		(prosecutor and detained person as well as his/her	
		defence counsel) must be present in the judicial	
		deliberations on the decision regarding measures for	pre-
		trial detention. Third, the maximum period of remand	Î in
		custody is 72 hours, which can be extended for anoth	er
		48 hours on the request of both parties. Fourth, the	
		decision of the court to adopt measures for detention	in
		remand can be appealed to a court within 72 hours of	the
		adoption of the decision. The maximum period for	
		detention pending investigation is 3 months, which ca	an
		be extended by the court by 5 months upon request of	f
		the prosecutor of the department, by 7 months by the	
		Deputy Prosecutor-General, by 9 months by the	
		Prosecutor-General, by 1 year by the Prosecutor-Gen	eral
		in case of serious difficulties in the investigation. In	
		2008, 453 individuals were released from custody. In	the
		period of 6 months in 2009, 240 individuals were	
		released from custody: 127 by the investigators, 77 by	у
		the court and 36 by prosecutors. In the same period in	1
		2008, 216 individuals were released from custody.	
		- The proposal to shorten the maximum period of	
		custody from 72 hours to 48 hours is being considere	d.
		- Article 286 of the Administrative Code holds that	
		relatives and the lawyer of any detained person have	to
		be informed of the arrest. Article 294 provides for the	
		right of a person under administrative detention to leg	gal
		aid at any moment, starting from his/her arrest. Articl	
		297 describes in detail the rights of lawyers to	
		familiarize themselves with case materials, to file	
		petitions and complaints.	

Non-governmental sources: The situation did not

₽
Ħ
R
2
19
6
1
Б
i.

Recommendation E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
,	,	improve following the introduction of habeas corpus because the judges issue the sanctions to detain based or	1
		instructions of officials from the executive branch; in	
		99% of all cases the requests by prosecutors to sanction	
		pre-trial detention are granted by courts;	
		- The court does not have the right to verify the legality of detention; the reconsideration of the decision	
		of the court can be done only through an appeal within 3	
		days, i.e. the detainee does not have the right to	
		periodically apply to a court within reasonable time	
		periods asking for the detention order to be revoked; the	
		participation of the lawyer at this stage is not mandatory	
		the hearings are conducted in closed sessions;	
		- The judge who considers the detention request of	2
		the prosecutor has the right to consider the criminal case	;
		concerning the same individual in all instances;	
		- Article 286 of the Administrative Code is not applied,	
		relatives and friends of the detained persons are usually	
		not notified, in some cases the lawyers are not allowed	
		to be present in the courts.	
		Non-governmental sources: Despite the introduction of	
		habeas corpus, police custody without court order	
		exceeds 24 hours in cases involving juveniles.	
		Government: Article 110 of the Criminal Procedural	
		Code holds that the interrogation of the convicted person	1
		should take place immediately or within 24 hours after	
		arrest, summon for questioning or pre-trial detention.	
		Judges have to ensure the right of the person to provide	
		evidence at any time during the judicial investigation.	
		The defence counsel is allowed to take part at all stages	
		of the judicial process, and in case of arrest, from the	
		very beginning of factual deprivation of liberty. Both the	e
		suspect and accused are entitled to make a call, inform	
		their defence or close relative about their whereabouts	
		and detention in custody. Defence counsel is allowed to use new technological means during the examination of	
		use new technological means during the examination of	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
· / /		the case. If the defendant is under arrest, the defence is entitled to have a private appointment without any restriction as to the frequency and duration of the appointment and without asking for permission from public officials.	
		 With a view of ensuring the independence of defence, norms providing written permission for participation in the case or permission for appointment are excluded from the judicial process. For any form of influence on defence, an administrative liability is established. Article 243 of the Criminal Procedural Code provides the order of the use of pre-trial detention measures. Preventive measures in a form of pre-trial detention might be applied only in relation to the suspect in custody or any person involved as witness. The request on the application of measures for pre-trial detention is being considered in a closed judicial sessio attended by prosecutor, defence counsel, the suspect or accused, over the period of 12 hours from the moment of the detention. The decision of the court to admit or decline the use of pre-trial detention measure enters into force from the moment of its adoption and is subject to immediate execution. Under article 241 of the Criminal Procedural Code, the decision can be appealed to a court. The Court of appeal can approve or revoke the court decision on the use of pre-trial measures of detention within 3 days. The appeal or protest itself does not prevent the implementation of the decision of the court. 	e n of l rt
		The Supreme Court, together with international and national experts is systematically organising various conferences, round tables and seminars on the issue of developing the institute of "habeas corpus". Since the introduction of habeas corpus in 2008, in more than 700)

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Although granted by law, ir practice the judiciary was not fully independent.	 cases, courts rejected the request of investigation bodies to apply pre-trial detention measures. a. CAT/C/UZB/CO/3, para. 19: "The Committee remains concerned that there is a lack of security of tenure of judges, that the designation of Supreme Court judges rests entirely with the Presidency, and that lower level appointments are made by the executive which reappoints judges every five years." Government: The independence of judges is guaranteed by the Constitution and by the Law on "Courts"; the only basis for a judicial decision is the law and that no outside interference is permitted; the governing principles are objectivity, justice, transparency, openness and equality of the parties; The "Concept note on the deepening of judicial reform" led to amendments to several laws to ensure the effective implementation of the transfer of sanctioning of pre-trial detention to courts; The material basis of general courts has been improved; Regulations on "Guaranteeing the right to legal defence of detained, suspected and accused persons"; At present, the equality between the prokuratura and the lawyer exist in practice in judicial procedures. The President issued an order on 23 June 2008 on "The educational research centre on democratisation and the liberalisation of the court legislation and the respect for the independence of the judicial system". First, a separate information, analytical and consultative body was established within the system of the Supreme Court, which works on the development of the Supreme Court, which works on the development of the ludicial-legal reform. The Centre is also in charge of monitoring the courts' practice and elaborates proposals for the improvement of the justice system. The Centre initiated a series of legislative proposals such as the strengthening of the powers of the lawyer in the criminal trial, the improvement of the execution of judicial 	

Recommendation E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , ,	decisions and the limitation of the powers of the	
		prokuratura in the areas of the supervision ('nadzor')	
		over the investigation of criminal cases.	
		- In 2008, the prokuratura sent 14407 criminal cases in	n
		relation to 21865 individuals to the courts which	
		included an accusation; it closed 1088 cases in relation	n
		to 199 individuals and sent 415 cases in relation to 49	
		individuals to the courts where the parties have reache	ed
		an agreement. The Ministry of Internal Affairs, opene	
		30343 cases in relation to 38890 individuals; it closed	
		2149 cases in relation to 449 individuals and sent 987	
		cases in relation to 10430 individuals to the courts wh	
		the parties have reached an agreement.	
		Non-governmental sources: The courts in practice do	
		not perform the task of impartial, full and objective	
		consideration of complaints and petitions of defendan	ts
		in respect of torture or other similar forms of treatment	nt /
		punishment during the pre-trial period of the criminal	
		proceeding in contravention of provisions of the Law "On courts".	
		- One of the reasons for this situation is the involvement	ent
		of the President in the appointment of judges of all	
		levels (article 63 parts 1-4 of the Law "On courts") as	
		well as appointment of judges for a comparatively sho	ort
		period of five years (article 63 part 5 of the Law).	
		Though the law guarantees the independence of the	
		judiciary (articles 67 – 74 of the Law), judges realize	
		that their time in office would not be prolonged in cas	e
		they "offend" the government.	
		- In practice it is very difficult to find judges who act	in
		accordance with the law in pronouncing their verdicts	•
		cases are considered with an accusative bias and very	
		often the verdicts of the courts repeat the accusations,	
		sometimes including spelling mistakes and misprints	
		contained therein. The judges demonstrate with all the	
		appearance that nothing depends on them, they can no	ot
		deliver an objective and legal judgment due to the fact	t
		that the "case is under control", and the judgment of	

n recorting			

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		acquittal will be either repealed due to the mildness of the sentence by way of supervision on the basis of the	
		protest issued by the higher level prosecutor or court.	
		within one year after its coming into.	
		- The material status of judges of general jurisdiction	has
		partially improved; but the status of social protection	to
		judges does not correspond to their position.	
		Government: The functional independence of judici	ary
		is guaranteed by the Constitution and the Law on	
		"Courts". With a view of strengthening the	
		independence of judiciary, a Commission on the	
		selection and recommendation for the position of jud	ges
		was established. The Commission is composed of	
		judges, members of Oliy Majlis, academicians and	
		jurists, members of the law enforcement bodies and	
		NGOs. The upper house of the Parliament selects jud	ges
		of the Supreme Court and Supreme Economic Court upon the presentation of the President. The regional,	
		district and other judges are appointed by the Preside	nt
		- The outcome of the Review of the Universal Period	
		Review on Uzbekistan adopted on 21 August 2009,	
		provides measures to further strengthen the	
		independence of judges through examining the practi	ce
		of appointment of judges and conducting survey amo	
		judges on the issues of appointment of judges.	8
		- In accordance with the redrafted Law on "Courts",	he
		judicial system was separated from the organs of	
		executive branch. The Ministry of Justice is not invol	ved
		in presenting candidates for the position of judges,	
		dismissal or early termination of power.	
		- A High Qualification Commission under the Presid	
		was established to deal with the organizational issues	of
		the judiciary, including the selection and	
		recommendation of candidates for the position of	
		judges.	
		A department on the execution of judicial decisions v	vas

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(e)Ensure that all allegation of torture and similar Il-treatment are promptly, ndependently and thorough nvestigated by a body, outside the procuracy, capable of prosecuting perpetrators.	s - No such mechanisms functioned;	 support for the activities of judges. Specialization of judges of general jurisdiction was carried out; courts dealing with criminal and civil cases were established. The Centre for the professional qualification of judge operating under the Ministry of Justice, incorporated the issues on the application of article 235 of the Criminal Code in the training curriculum for induction and professional development of judges. Special manuals were developed for judges and employees of justice sector on the issues of examination of complaints related to torture. Lectures are organized for judges on the issues of international human rights treaties and international cooperation of the Republic of Uzbekistan in the area of human rights. In December 2009, a human rights Resource centre was established. In early 2010, a number of practical seminars on the issues of international standards in the area of executio of justice were organized together with the Ministry of Justice, Supreme Court and Research Centre within the Supreme Court. CAT/C/UZB/CO/3, paras. 6c and 10 "The failure to conduct prompt and impartial investigations into such allegations of breaches of the Convention" and "The Committee is disappointed that most of the 	ed of n e

Government: The Ombudsman office is responsible for dealing with complaints on the basis of article 10 of the

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		actions by members of the law enforcement forces and 270 complaints related to violations of the investigation procedure. In 2008, the Ministry of Internal Affairs conducted monitoring of 11 penitentiary facilities and SIZOs in which foreign visito participated.	n
		 Non-governmental sources: When the Office of the Ombudsman receives torture complaints, the latter are referred to the agencies accused of the torture for investigation; Judges do not take appropriate steps when they receivallegations of torture and illegal methods of interrogation; Order № 334 of the Ministry of Internal Affairs date 18 December 2003 is not operational. The groups that investigate cases of torture do not allow for any involvement of civil society or representatives of the International Committee of the Red Cross; Usually the report of the Government to the Human Rights Committee contains 3 or 4 cases which confirm massive absence of such cases – the reports are submitted with some periodicity once in 4 or 5 years i. the figures speak for themselves. The human rights units established in the Ministry of Internal Affairs and the Ministry of Justice act formally, there is no established practice of regular cooperation or partnership with human rights groups on non-governmental non-commercial organizations in terms of revealing cases of tortures and bringing officials to account. The "Regulation on the procedure of ensuring t protection of rights of detained, suspected and accused persons at the stage of pre-trial and trial investigation" provides that the detained persons have the right to support from the lawyer since the moment of their detention (i.e. at least 24 hours after their arrest) as we 	ve ed n e. e. r he

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		as to the right of having confidential meeting with their lawyers. However, the Regulations signed by the Chief Investigation Department of the Ministry of Internal Affairs jointly with the Bar Association of Uzbekistan were initially launched as a joint project. This pilot project covered only the capital of Uzbekistan i.e. Tashkent. Currently this project is no longer working. - According to the official statements, the Government of Uzbekistan has recently formed new divisions within several key ministries (Department on human rights with the Ministry of Justice, Commission on human rights with the Ministry of Internal Affairs, Division on human rights and international norms with the Office of the Prosecutor General of the Republic of Uzbekistan). - The newly established Department on Human Rights with the Ministry of Justice of Uzbekistan was created with the same purpose of receiving individual complaints on alleged cases of human rights violations, including alleged case of torture. - Nevertheless, all these measures above remain of a formal character for the following reasons: □These new structures operate on the basis of internal rules and regulations, which are usually not published and therefore rarely accessible to persons outside these institutions. For example it is very difficult to obtain information on measures and mechanisms of internal control in respect of behavior and discipline of the staff of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and National Security Service.	h N V
		The new ministerial regulations on human rights as well as ministries themselves both seriously lack transparency in their activities. Moreover, the staff of these subdivisions does not have specialized professional training for the receipt and handling complaints and petitions relating to the facts of torture and other violations of human rights. They are overloaded with other tasks, as many of them are still	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		involved in other types of law-enforcement work. Non-governmental sources: The procuracy has the authority to supervise the activities of all actors of judicial process, including judges, while it remains under the sole supervision of the superior prosecutor. Other bodies are hardly capable to uphold cases of torture independently and promptly.	
		Government: According to article 4 of the Law on "Procuracy", the organs of procuracy carry out state prosecution in the examination of criminal cases, participate in the consideration of civil cases and cases of administrative offences and examination of judicial acts inconsistent with the law. The procuracy does not have authority to supervise the activities of courts. - The Law of 10 April 2009 on "Amending and supplementing several legislative acts with a view of improving the activities of the Human Rights Commissioner of the Oliy Majlis (Ombudsman)", strengthens the authority of the Ombudsman in the criminal-procedural and criminal-executive legislation According to article 14 of the above law, during the examination of complaints and monitoring of human rights violations, the Ombudsman is allowed to meet a talk to person in custody. Relevant amendments to article 216 of the Criminal Procedural Code and article 18, 40 and 79 of the Criminal Administrative Code we made to this effect. Additional amendments to the Criminal Administrative Code allow the Ombudsman visit without any obstacles places of detention either b his/her own initiative or upon receiving a complaint. T Ombudsman and his regional representatives carry out regular monitoring in places of detention. From November 2009 to November 2010, the Ombudsman and his representative visited 2 medical treatment facilities in the system of execution of punishment, Zangiatinskaya correctional colony and 3 investigatior isolators. In 2009, the percentage of complaints receive	nd ere to y The

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(f)Any public official indict for abuse or torture should l immediately suspended from duty pending trial.	tedNo suspensions.	 by the Ombudsman related to the right to freedom and personal security was 21.5% of the total number of complaints, compared to 19.3% in 2008 which constitutes 2.2% increase in the number of complaints on the issues of human rights and personal security. In 2009, out of 1588 complaints received by the Ombudsman, 886 complaints have been taken under monitoring and 115 were resolved. In 2009, the estimated percentage of positively resolved appeals was 13% of all appeals transmitted by the Ombudsman. Complaints received from persons under detention, as well as from their relatives were related to the issues of their transfer to another detention facility, granting amnesty, allowing access to medical treatment. In the 2010 financial year, out of 48 complaints were taken under scrutiny, and 4 complaints were considered on merits. Government: articles 256, 257, and 266 of the Criminal Procedure Code provide for dismissal of public officials accused of torture. Disciplinary punishments are common; some criminal cases opened; In 2008, as a result of an examination by organs of the 'prokuratura' of complaints of alleged cases of torture by members of the law-enforcement bodies, 9 criminal cases were initiated. After the indictments, the respective members of the law-enforcement bodies were suspended from their functions in compliance with existing legislation. Non-governmental sources: Impunity is wide-spread; reprisals against complainats and intimidation is wide-spread; Articles 256, 257 and 266 of the Criminal and Procedural Code are not applied; judges and prosecutors do not suspend officials accused of torture; Disciplinary measures and transfers to other positions 	

Recommendation E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
,	,	are used;	
		- Practically there were no cases when an official	
		accused of using torture, was suspended from the cas	se
		and performing his responsibilities. The so called	
		principle of protecting the "esprit de corps" is in	
		operation.	uh a
		- There are many cases in practice, when an officer w used torture remains in the same unit of the law	vno
		enforcement agency and continues pressing the victin	mof
		torture and his/her relatives (for example, through	
		regular home visits, visits to the hospital as well as	
		making regular telephone calls) for the purpose of	
		withdrawal of their complaint on torture. Independent	nt
		observers and human rights activists have a lot of	
		information confirming frequent cases when an offic	er
		of law enforcement agencies, especially the prison	
		guards in the colonies of the penitentiary institutions	in
		Uzbekistan, are covertly encouraged to use torture	
		against the arrested and convicted persons, but they a	are
		asked to do that "carefully" in order to avoid traces of	f
		torture so that the victim would never let anybody kn	
		about the facts of torture. The victims of tortures and	
		their relatives very often say that the officers of law-	
		enforcement agencies that used torture receive	
		promotion and continue their service in the same sys	
		- Upon receipt of a complaint or petition on torture, t	
		management of law-enforcement agency traditionally	
		does its best not to accept or register such complaint,	
		stating that the facts in the complaint are not true, as	this
		is the intention of an arrested / suspected / accused person to avoid punishment. This irresponsible appro-	ach
		towards the complaints on cases of torture is very	Jacii
		frequently observed among judges. At best, when the	3
		traces of torture are visible and they are properly	
		documented by the defense, disciplinary proceedings	sare
		launched against the officer who used torture, or the	, ui •
		criminal case is launched on the basis of articles 205	and
		206 ("Abuse of authority" and 'Misuse of authority"	

	A/HR
	A/HRC/19/61/Add.3
	Add.3

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		the Criminal Code. The demands of the victims of torture or the defense on the initiation of a criminal prosecution on the basis of article 235 (torture) of the Criminal Code in 99.9% of cases remain not satisfied.	
(g) Ministry of Internal Affairs and National Security Service to establish procedures for internal monitoring of the behaviour and discipline of their agents; the activities of such procedures should not be dependent on the existence of a formal complaint.	prosecutor's office; however, these were not effective in practice.	Government: In 2009, the organs of procuracy registered 3089 (2222 in 2008) complaints about unlawful activities of members of law enforcement bodies. 146 (104 in 2008) complaints out of 3089 were related to the use of torture and other forms of ill- treatment. During the examination of complaints with respect to 10 employees of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, 7 criminal cases (9 cases in 2008) were initiated under article 235 of the Criminal Code. During the inspection carried out by the organs of procuracy in 2009 and in the period of 9 months in 2010, 13 criminal cases were initiated in relation to 20 employees of law enforcement bodies who were subsequently suspended from their duties. Government: A "Programme of Tasks" was approved by the Ministry of the Interior in 2007 in order to eliminate any mistakes in the area of human resources; - In every penitentiary facility, there is a post-box for communications and complaints addressed to the 'prokuratora'. The correspondence that is facilitated through this post-box is not submitted to censure. Penitentiary institutions are monitored by members and senators of the Parliament, of the Ombudsman and the National Human Rights Centre. In April 2009, legislation was adopted which introduced changes to the Criminal Procedural and Criminal Execution Codes, which prohibit censuring the correspondence of detainees with the Ombudsman and establish conditions for individuals deprived of liberty to hold unlimited meetings and discussions with the Ombudsman. The Ministry of Internal Affairs concluded agreements for cooperation: In 2004 with the Ombudsman; in 2008 with the National Centre for Human Rights the Office of the	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		General Prosecutor, and the Ministry of Justice in orde to take joint measures for the protection of the rights of individuals deprived of liberty. In September 2008, a Human Rights Unit was established within the system the Ministry of Internal Affairs and their territorial departments which are called upon to examine allegations of human rights violations by staff of the organs of internal affairs, including complaints about torture.	f
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The unit "On protection of human rights" created with the Ministry of Internal Affairs in 2007 is ineffective; - Responding to this recommendation the Government claims that "In 2007 the Ministry of Internal Affairs approved the "Program of actions" for the purpose of eliminating all violations in the area of human resources". This program remains on paper as there is a coordination of the efforts of involved agencies as well as responsibility for non-implementation of activities envisaged by the program. The agencies have their own units of control over the performance of their officers, but one can not speak of the efficiency of their activity as the professional achievements and effectiveness of a law-enforcement agency are assessed not on the basis of the number of complaints / petitions on tortures that were considered by this agency and acted upon, but on the basis of the number of criminal cases properly handled by this agency and the number of accused persons subsequently sentenced. Such an attitude is subsequently replicated in the behaviour and professional activity of officials and staff of the law enforcement agencies.	no l n i Df
		Government: Once in every 10 days, the members of the prosecutor's office review the lawfulness of holdin detainees in temporary isolators. Public prosecutors carry out monthly inspection in investigative isolators	-

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)		Information received in the reporting period
		review the complaints of detainees. - The Ombudsman is authorised to investigate cases of grave human rights violations, including cases of torture in relation to the activities of procuracy and Ministry of Internal Affairs.	
		In 2010, the employees of the human rights department at the Ministry of Internal Affairs considered 2442 complaints, out of which 5 complaints were related to human rights violations of detainees, 14 were on the issues of torture and ill-treatment, 12 were related to the failure of authorities to act, 17 were on illegal arrest or detention, 17 were on holding liable innocent persons, 29 were on human rights violations of citizens, 48 were related to abuse of power, 43 were on neglect of official duty, 52 were about exceeding power and official powers, 155 were related to violating the law on the treatment of citizens, 2050 were about other illegal activities committed by the employees of organs of internal affair. Out of 2442, 1882 or 77% were not justified, and criminal cases were initiated in relation to 358 (14.6%) complaints related to 49 employees. Administrative disciplinary measures were applied to other employees. During 2010-2011, the Ombudsman and the Ministry of Internal Affairs in collaboration with international organisations will be holding seminars and conferences on issues of the protection of human rights through increasing human rights culture in the activities of law enforcement bodies.	
(h) Independent non- governmental investigators should be authorized to have full and prompt access to all places of detention; they should be allowed to have confidential interviews with all persons deprived of their	No such mechanisms were in place.		

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
liberty.		were absent, causing, inter alia, the ICRC to cease prison visits in 2004."	
		 Government: recalls Instruction "On the Organization of Visits of Places of Detention by Representatives of the Diplomatic Corps, International and Local nongovernmental Organizations and Media Representatives" of 30 November 2004; Ministry of Interior's Order n. 346 contains the right persons seeking to visit a prison to appeal a denial to courts and limits the delays within which decisions about visits have to be taken. Ministry of Interior order n. 268 of 8 October 2004 "On the Approval of Instructions with regard to a meagreement on cooperation between institutions and organs of the penitentiary system with NGOs". Amendments to the Administrative Code of Decem 2005 strengthen the transparency of NGOs and seek reinforce their responsibility for the implementation their own statutes to ensure that the State can take leaction against persons or organisations that violate national legislation; On 13 December 2004, the ICRC decided to stop this sit, although the Uzbek side has asked them to tak up the visits again, the ICRC so far has denied to do In 2008, the ICRC has conducted 19 visits. Since the beginning of 2009, the ICRC visited 3 institutions of penitentiary system. The Department of the Ministry of Internal Affairs responsible for the execution of sentences facilitates visit of diplomats, members of international and domestic NGOs and the media to institutions of the penitentiary system. 	of ht of o the odel her to of gal heir te so; ies he f the
		 Non-governmental sources: no such mechanism is i place; Since 30 November 2004, representatives o 	

₽
Ε
~
H
/9
61
A
þ
13

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 international and local NGOs and media have not been allowed to visit the places of detention; Order № 346 of the Ministry of Internal Affairs is ineffective, the applications for visits by family members are often left without response; There is also lack of access by the independent doctors to inmates in order to reveal traces of torture; The system of execution of punishment is under the control of the Ministry of Internal Affairs if Uzbekistan. The Government claims that "the Ministry of internal affairs has issued the order No 268 dated October 8, 2004 'On the Approval of Instructions with regard to a model agreement on cooperation between institutions and organs of the penitentiary system with NGOs'. According to the Government, based on this model agreement on cooperation between the NGOs and penitentiary institutions, the NGOs and other independent observers have the opportunity to visit the colonies of the system of execution of punishment. This statement is far from the reality. This model agreement was never published, the NGOs and independent ob-servers willing to visit penitentiary institutions of Uzbekistan are not aware of this agreement and were never informed. Currently the penitentiary system of Uzbekistan remains completely closed and not accessible to the independent observers from the international organizations, NGOs and human rights groups. 	
		<i>Non-governmental sources</i> : The Instruction "On the Organization of Visits to Places of Detention by Representatives of the Diplomatic Corps, International and Local Non-Governmental Organizations and Media Representatives" of 30 November 2009 does not provide timeline for revision of requests by GUIN, which may perpetuate the processing time. In September 2010, a United Nations agency was denied access to the facility,	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		prior to the visit, when the usual processing time for other requests by state agencies is only five working days.	
		Government: The Department of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, together with interested ministries, entities and civil society representatives carries out activities for the establishment of independent monitoring system. In 2010, 84 visits were conducted in colonies and investigation isolators, out of which 74 visits were carried out by the representatives of state bodies, NGOs and mass media, compared to 56 visits carried out by national and international organisations in 2009. The ICRC delegates visited 51 colonies in 2010, 21 in 2009, 19 in 2008 and 1 in 2007 located in the territory of the Tashkent city, Tashkentskiy, Andijanskiy, Bukharskiy and Samarkandskiy districts. The Ministry of Internal Affairs order No 346 of 30 November 2004 "On the Organization of Visits to Places of Detention by Representatives of the Diplomatic Corps, International and Local nongovernmental Organizations and Media Representatives" does not regulate the order of request and organization of visits to places of detention by the relatives or family members. The request of visit by the representatives is subject to authorization by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and by the Ministry of Justice for organizations registered in the Ministry of	n
 (i) Magistrates and judges, well as procurators, should always ask persons brought from MVD or SNB custody 	asked.	 Justice. The request is being subsequently addressed to and considered by the Department of the Ministry of Internal Affairs which then informs the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Ministry of Justice. Government: the presumption of innocence is the cornerstone of the criminal justice system; Article 17 of the Criminal-Procedure Code requires judges, prosecutors, investigators and interrogators to 	

Recommendation (Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
how they have been treated and be particularly attentive to their condition, and, where indicated, even in the absence of a formal complaint from the defendant, order a medical examination.		 respect the dignity of participants in criminal proceedings; Point 19 of Resolution No. 17 of the Supreme Court of 19 December 2003 implements this recommendations, i.e. any investigator, prosecutor or judge has to ask each person coming from a place of detention how he/she wa treated during investigation and interrogation; In accordance with Prosecutor General's order no. 41 of 31 May 2004, the prosecutor, when sanctioning arrest, must question the suspected or accused person and ask about whether or not any forms of torture or illtreatment were used by the investigator or anybody else to extract a confession. The Supreme Court issued a resolution on 14 June 2008 on "The courts' practice of the examination of criminal cases by judges related to torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment", which obliges judges to issue a separate decision in relation to the member of the law enforcement bodies who allegedly committed the violation. Non-governmental sources: Judges do not observe the presumption of innocence in pronouncing verdicts; Judges, prosecutors and judges do not ask persons arriving from the places of detention how they were treated during the investigation and interrogation since the Resolution of the Plenary of the Supreme Court is not the law, but only a recommendation. Article 439 of the Crimina and Procedural Code ("Commencement of the judicial enquiry") says that the "chairperson announces the commencement of the judicial enquiry" has the accused 	s 1

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
) All measures should be ken to ensure in practice bsolute respect for the rinciple of inadmissibility c vidence obtained by torture accordance with iternational standards and the May 1997 Supreme Coursesolution.	obtained under torture; - Allegations of	 whether they admit their guilt." Article 442 "Schedule of interrogation in court" says the following: "The interrogation of the accused person starts with the proposal of the chairperson to give evidence on the aspects of the case which were known to this person After that the accused person is being interrogated by the public prosecutor, civic prosecutor, as well as the complainant, the civil claimant, and their representatives, the defence attorney, the civic defence attorney, the civil defendant and his representative". In general, investigation and proceedings in court are conducted with an accusatory bias. Government: In accordance with Article 23 of the Criminal Procedural Code, the person is innocent until proved guilty by the court. The person is not obliged to prove his or her innocence. All suspicions in the course of judicial investigation, if not approved, will be delivered in favour of the suspect. CAT/C/UZB/CO/3, para. 20: "While appreciating the frank acknowledgement by the representatives of the State party that confessions under torture have been use as a form of evidence in some proceedings, and notwithstanding the Supreme Court's actions to prohibit the admissibility of such evidence is not being respected in every instance." 	d

custody. - Article 3 of Supreme Court resolution "On the Application of Some of the Norms of the Criminal

₽
H
R
2
19
6
1
A
Б
i.

Recommendation E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Procedure Legislation on Admission of Proof" of 24 September 2004 prohibits the use of evidence obtained	
		by any illegal means of investigation;	
		- Between 2004 and 2007 about 50 criminal cases were	
		returned for additional investigation because the evidence was excluded as having been obtained under	
		torture, violence or deceit;	
		Non-governmental sources:	
		- Allegations of torture by the accused and	
		their lawyers are routinely ignored by	
		judges;	_
		Prosecutors interrogate the suspected and accused in the absence of lawyers;	
		- While the Criminal and Procedural Code of Uzbekistar	1
		is the main document which regulates criminal	
		proceedings, it does not contain any direct prohibition	
		on the use of evidence exerted under torture as a proof.	
		Article 3 of the Resolution of the Plenary of the	
		Supreme Court "On the application of certain norms of	
		criminal and procedural legislation in respect of the	
		admission of proof" dated 24 September 2004, prohibits the use of proofs obtained illegally. The Resolution of	
		the Plenary of the Supreme Court No 17 of 2003 also	
		says that the evidence received by means of torture,	
		force, threat, deceit or any other cruel and degrading	
		human dignity treatment, other illegal means as well as	
		in violation of the rights of the suspect, can not be	
		presented as the basis for the accusation. Moreover, in	
		accordance with this Regulation, the investigator,	
		prosecutor and judge should ask the person delivered	
		from the pre-trial prison or the detention centre how he/she was treated there. Each complaint of a person	
		brought from the pre-trial prison or the detention centre	
		on torture or any other illegal method of investigation,	
		should be fully checked and verified including through	
		the medical and legal examination. Based on the results	
		of this study, appropriate decisions should be taken,	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
	<i>E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)</i> - Many convictions were based on		al's d or are ng a on to lated

₽	
H	
R	
0	
1	
ž	
E	
dd	
ί.	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		confirmed. The examined judicial practice of the criminal cases revealed that in 2008, only in two cases violations of the right to defence were established. In those cases, the judicial decision was declared void and sent for additional investigation or a new procedure.	
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Judges continue to ignore torture complaints in practice. Available information shows that in 99% of cases the judges tend to think that complaints/ petitions relating to torture and ill-treatment by accused persons are attempts to escape justice. The Criminal and Criminal Procedure Codes do not contain a norm prohibiting the use of evidence obtained in a detention facility of the National Security Service or the Ministry of Internal Affairs in the absence of a lawyer or not confirmed in the presence of a judge. The response of the Government to the recommendation (k) of the UN Special Rapporteur refers to an order of the Prosecutor General as well as the Resolution of the Plenary of the Supreme Court of Uzbekistan, which are not laws and have only the character of recommendations.	a r
for the unmonitored presence	 e from taking part in es proceedings, most often at least for 10 days; - Access to legal counsel 	 Government: According to the National Plan of Action on the implementation of the recommendations of the UN Committee on Human Rights, the question of improving the practices of investigative activities (e.g., interrogations) through video and audio taping will be considered during the second half of 2011. d Government: Regulations "On the Invitation of Lawyers and their Participation in Preliminary Investigation", which provide that every suspect or accused has the right to be represented by a defence lawyer from the moment of deprivation of liberty, but in ne any case no later than 24 hours after apprehension; the lawyer can meet his/her client in private; Regulations "On Guaranteeing the Right to Legal Defence of Detained, Suspected and Accused Persons" of March 2003 provide for 	

Recommendation	Situation during visit (See: E/CN 4/2003/68/Add 2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/30/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/57/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	 A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) the participation of defence lawyers in criminal cases, describe mechanisms for providing free legal aid, establish a procedure for renouncing defence couns well as a procedure for filing complaints about violations of the right to legal defe By amended legislation of 31 December 2008, the rights of detained, suspected or convicted persons w strengthened. The amended provisions of the Crimin Procedural Code now provide, inter alia, for the right the suspected person to know with what he/she is charged; the right to make phone calls or otherwise inform a lawyer or a relative of the arrest and the plat of detention; to have a defense lawyer and to meet w him/her in person confidentially with no limitation i numbers and the right to request for the first interrogation not later then 24 hours after the arrest. amended provisions also guarantee that the defence lawyer have access to the case at all stages of the criminal trial and in case of a detained person from the defense counsel are provided by the amended legislation. Access to relatives or other persons, with the exception of the supervisions and the regression of the case at all stages of the criminal trial and in case of a detained person from the defense counsel are provided by the amended legislation. 	el, as ence. ere hal ht of ace with n The the hts of
		 of the defence counsel, is only granted with written permission of the respective investigator. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> Arrest protocols are or issued in violation of the prescribed time limits, as the Criminal and Criminal Procedure Codes provide that they should be composed immediately after the delire of the person to the law-enforcement agency; follow the issuing of the protocol, access to a lawyer is grant It is difficult to prove this, since the court, when sanctioning the arrest, does not have the power to construct the legality of detention and release the person in can determine that the detentions was illegal; Nobody has the opportunity to check whether the 	he t very ving nted. heck

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)		Information received in the reporting period
		rights of the detained were explained to the person at the moment of his/her detention since there is only a record on this in the transcript of interrogation.	
		Government: Following the amendment in article 66 of the Criminal Procedural Code providing for the participation of the legal counsel of the witness from the moment of calling the witness, a joint decree ensuring the requirements of the above law was signed by the General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, National Security Service, States Customs Committee and Ministry of Justice.	
(m) Improve legal aid service, in compliance with the United Nations Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers.		Chapter 60 of the Criminal Procedural Code provides separate procedural order for cases involving minors with additional safeguards. Article 51 of the Criminal Procedural Code provides for mandatory participation of the lawyer in cases involving minors. Government: On 8 June 2005, the Minister of Justice issued decree No. 92 "On Perfecting the Bar's Functioning" to improve the training of defence lawyers by introducing amendments to the law "On the Bar"; - In 2006/2007, 78 defence lawyers were trained in the Ministry of Justice's training centre; seminars on criminal justice are regularly being held for prosecutors at Tashkent State Juridical Institute; also the Institute of the National Security Service contributes to the up-grading of the qualifications of future defence lawyers; - Numerous seminars for staff members of law-enforcement organs were held; - Amendments in the Law on Lawyers made in December 2008 strengthen the right of the individual to professional legal assistance. The amendments include: (1) the creation of a Chamber of Defence lawyers with	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
·		Lawyers' Associations; (2) the establishr of new requirements such as preparative professional trainings; (3) the obligation lawyers to participate in continuing legal education; (4) the establishment of unifie ethical rules; (5) the oversight of the Chamber of the professional conduct of lawyers; (6) the strengthening of the disciplinary responsibility of lawyers.	of
		<i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The reform of the bar effectively deprived the lawyers of their independent the Chamber of defense lawyers with mandatory membership which was established instead of the Ba Association was turned into a quasi-ministry or sort department with the Ministry of Justice. The Chamb and the Ministry have the right of oversight over the performance of lawyers and compliance with the requirements and conditions of the license. They also have the right to make a submission for the purpose cancelling a lawyer's license.	ur of a er
	Government: The Constitution of the Republic of Uzbekistan guarantees everyone's right to profession legal aid at any stage of investigation and judicial proceedings. The activities of the institute of defence lawyers are regulated through the Law "On the Bar" the Law "On guarantees of functioning and social protection of defence lawyers". On 1 May 2008, a Presidential decree was adopted on "Measures for further improvement of the institute of the legal profession in the Republic of Uzbekistan". The defen is entitled to collect and present evidences independent which could be later used as evidence through inquir and obtaining the written consent of the person. The request for motion on submission of evidence is subj to mandatory consideration by the investigator. As a result of several reforms, a centralised system of self	and nce ently y	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(n) Medical doctors attached No independent medical to an independent forensic service in place. institute, possibly under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Health, and specifically trained in identifying sequelae of physical torture or prohibited ill-treatment should have access to detainees upon arrest and upon transfer to each new detention facility. Furthermore, medical reports drawn up by private doctors should be admissible as		administration of the Bar was established. A Chamber of Defence lawyers was established with territorial branches in the Republic of Karakalpakstan, districts and in the city of Tashkent. An effective system of accreditation is in place. Candidates have to pass an exam for qualification before the qualifying commission within territorial administration of the Chamber of Defence. Government: Under the "Plan of Action to Implement the UN Convention against Torture" approved by the Prime Minister trainings for doctors of forensic pathology institutes and institutions of execution of sentences; - The Ministry of Internal Affairs together with the International Rehabilitation Council for Victims of Torture conducted an educational project for medical staff of penitentiary facilities who work on the identification, examination and documentation of torture cases. To date, 132 individuals working in penitentiary institutions (104 doctors and 28 other medical staff) were trained in this connection. In 2009, 64 court medical experts participated in a training at the Tashkent University during which they familiarised themselves	
evidence in court.		 with the Istanbul Protocol of 1999. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> pathology institutes, pretrial detention centres and prisons remain under the Ministry of Internal Affairs; detainees there lack access to independent doctors as well as to relatives. Government: On 20 May 2010, 35 medical employees of the system of execution of punishment in the city of Tashkent were trained on the issues of identifying physical torture and other forms of ill-treatment. According to the 2010-2011 schedules of trainings for the development of doctors, the training of medical personnel of penitentiary system is ongoing. Pursuant to the guidance of 24 October 2002 "On the medical 	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(o) Priority should be given to enhancing and strengthening the training of law enforcement agents regarding the treatment of persons deprived of liberty. The Government should continue to request relevant international organizations to provide it with assistance in that matter.		 service of persons in investigative isolators and facilitie of the Chief Directorate for Execution of Punishment (GUIN) and Ministry of Internal Affairs (MVD)", every detainee is undergoing a mandatory medical examination and is entitled to have free and unlimited access to medical services. Pursuant to the Agreement on cooperation of the Ombudsman with the Ministry of Internal Affairs, a decision was taken to introduce the position of Ombudsman on the rights of detainees in detention facilities for minors and women. In 2009, 150 doctors and medical personnel of the penitentiary system of the MVD were trained on the issues of identification and documentation of torture. Trainings were organised in cooperation with the International Rehabilitation Council for Victims of Torture and WHO. Government: Regulations "On Ensuring the Protection of the Rights of Detainees, Suspects and Accused durin, Preliminary Investigation and Interrogation" of 1 October 2006. The National Human Rights Centre conducted trainings for law-enforcement agents; The National Security Service holds weekly training session; The 2006 Decree on "Moral-ethical courts" strengthened the control of the behaviour and discipline of Ministry of Interior officials in accordance with international norms; Between 2004 and 2007 Ministry of Interior staff were subjected to a re- attestation; In January 2009, a department on the "Theory and Practice of Human Rights Protection" was established within the Academy of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, where courses are held on international human rights standards at different levels. Together with the OSCE, a series of activities on human rights were organised for members of the Ministry of Internal Affairs during 2008-2009. In this framework a series of trainings were 	

Recommendation	Situation during visit (See:	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2,	Information received in the reporting period
(E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	
(, •,,,		held in February 2009 for 75 participants on	
		international human rights treaties, including the	
		Convention Against Torture and the understanding of	
		the issue of torture. This example was replicated in	
		several cities and towns of the country in March-April	
		2009. During 2009, 255 conferences were organised by	
		the Ministry of Internal Affairs for its staff of which 60	
		focused on the Convention Against Torture. Staff of the	
		Ministry attended 285 conferences organised by other	
		Ministries and state institutions.	
		- In the Centre for Improvement of the Qualifications fo	r
		Jurists under the Ministry of Justice and in the higher	
		scientific courses of the Office of the General-	
		Prosecutor particular attention is paid to increase the	
		knowledge of judges, court staff, members of the	
		prosecutor's office and the Ministry of Justice as well as	3
		lawyers. Course and modules include the protection of	
		human rights through the procedure of the supervision	
		("nadzor"), with international and national experts.	
		- In 2008, 21 individual complaints were received by the	2
		Prosecutor's Office from convicted or detained persons	
		in relation to unlawful actions by members of the law	
		enforcement bodies. In 19 cases, illegal behaviour was	
		confirmed and measures were taken according to the	
		Criminal Procedural Code.	
		Non-governmental sources: At the request of the	
		Ministry of Internal Affairs, a three-day training event	
		was organized by UNODC, the International	
		Rehabilitation Council for Torture Victims (IRCT) and	
		the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights	
		(OHCHR) between 16 and 18 December 2008, on the	
		"Prevention, Detection, Assessment and Documentation	L
		of Torture and Ill-treatment in line with International	
		Standards and National Legislation" in Tashkent; 35	
		persons including prison doctors of the Ministry of	
		Internal Affairs, fifteen forensic experts of the Ministry	

Internal Affairs, fifteen forensic experts of the Ministry of Health and fifteen prison staff, representing regime

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
<u>E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)</u>	E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	 A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2) and security departments of the prison administration the Ministry of Internal Affairs participated. UNODC had requested judges and prosecutors to also participin in the training, but this request did not receive a positive response from the Uzbek authorities. The training was guided by the provisions of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuma or Degrading Treatment or Punishment. The medical specialists received training on the medical aspects or torture prevention, detection, assessment and documentation, based on the "Manual on the Effective Investigation and Documentation of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishmet (The Istanbul Protocol). Prison staff received training on selected prison management topics, related to the prevention of ill-treatment and torture. The main training tool used for this element of the training was Human Rights Approach to Prison Management, Handbook for Prison Staff", published by the International Centre for Prison Studies. Following this training a request was received from Main Department of Execution of Penalties (GUIN) to conduct a follow up training activity to cover all regio of Uzbekistan. UNODC submitted a project proposa for discussion, which includes a nation-wide training the prevention, detection, documentation and assessm of torture, for prison staff, prison medical staff, Minis of Health forensic experts, as well as judges and prosecutors. In general, educational activities are rather of a one time character, very often they are formal and do not really influence the mentality of the staff of lawenforcement agencies; An analysis of educational activities and courses whare regularly organized for law-enforcement officers shows that these activities. 	2 ate ate ive n f re er ent g

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Government: During the 10 months of 2010, 216	
		members (150 members in 2009) of the organs of	
		internal affairs were trained on the issues of human	
		rights. From 11-12 May 2010, 50 members of the	
		penitentiary system of Tashkent city were trained on the	
		issues of human rights in the penitentiary system,	
		organized by the Academy of the MVD in cooperation	
		with the OSCE in the Republic of Uzbekistan. From 14-	
		15 May 2010, 5 employees of the MVD were trained on	
		the topic of prevention and warning of the trafficking of	
		human beings and international cooperation in the area	
		of providing assistance to the victims of trafficking. In	
		May and August 2010, 51 employees of the penitentiary	
		system were trained on the issues of education in the	
		penitentiary system. During 2010, 75 employees from	
		the department of human rights and legal support,	
		criminal investigation, prevention of crime, penitentiary	
		system, protection of public order of the MVD of the	
		Republic of Karakalpakstan, UVD of Samarkandksiy,	
		Novoyskiy, Djizayskiy, Tashkentskiy, Khorezmskiy	
		districts were trained on the issues of the realization of the provisions of the UN Convention against Torture in	
		the activities of law enforcement bodies.	
		The Centre for advanced training of defence lawyers by	
		the Ministry of Justice continues conducting systematic	
		trainings and advanced courses for judges, employees of	·
		courts and candidates for judges.	
		The organs of execution of punishment collaborate with	
		non governmental entities in the area of legal awareness	
		raising among detainees and employees of penitentiary	
		system and in the area of provision of legal,	
		psychological and medical assistance. Non	
		governmental organisations provide assistance for	
		detainees' employment, organisation of their leisure and	
		education, participation in spiritual-religious, legal,	
		physical and cultural activities.	
(p) Consider amending	- Correctional	Government: Concept paper on the further	
existing legislation to place		development and improvement of the penitentiary	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
correctional facilities (prisons and colonies) and remand centres (SIZOs) under the authority of the Ministry of Justice.	Ministry of Interior; - Transfer to the Ministry of Justice was	system 2005-2010 reflects transfer figures prominently. - The National Action Plan on the implementation of the concluding observations and recommendations of the Committee Against Torture foresees the study of international practices on the transfer of the penitentiary system from the Ministry of Internal Affairs to the . Ministry of Justice. Practices of European countries are currently being studied. In this connection, a number of penitentiary facilities in Germany were visited by members of the National Human Rights Centre and the Office of the Ministry of Internal Affairs. Non-governmental sources: This issue has not yet been settled. No decisions were made on the transfer of the system of penitentiary institutions and places of detention under the Ministry of Justice. <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The transfer of the correctional facilities and remand centres under the	
(q) Where there is credible evidence that a person has been subjected to torture or similar ill-treatment, adequate reparation should be promptly given to that person; for this purpose a system of compensation and rehabilitation should be put in place.	у	 Government: Reforming the judicial system is being implemented in phases. CAT/C/UZB/CO/3, para. 18: "Noting the State party's information about victims' rights to material and moral rehabilitation envisaged in the Criminal Procedure Code and the Civil Code, the Committee is concerned at the lack of examples of cases in which the individual received such compensation, including medical or psychosocial rehabilitation." Government: the "Concept note on the further development and perfecting of the penitentiary system for 2005 – 2010" provides for improved training, the transfer of the system to the Ministry of Justice, improvement of detention conditions and rehabilitation; - Articles 985 to 991 of the Civil Code and Resolution of the Supreme Court's Plenary of 28 April 2000 "On 	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		Questions of Applying the Law on Compensation for Moral Damage." provide for compensation; - With the objective to establish a system of adequate compensation for victims of torture, the Supreme Court and the Office of the Prosecutor-General are studying the courts' practices on compensation for victims of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, which is within the framework of the National Action Plan on the recommendations of the Human Rights Council on the results of the Universal Period Review of Uzbekistan.	
(r) Provide the Ombudsman office with the necessary financial and human resources; grant the authority to inspect at will, as necessary and without notice, any place	Ombudsman office under- resourced.	 Non-governmental sources: The existing criminal law provides for compensation in case of rehabilitation of the accused person. The civil legislation prescribes compensation of moral damage, but this requires that the person be recognized as a victim of a crime and that the perpetrator be found guilty by a court verdict; there are therefore no cases of compensation in practice. Government: "Law on the Ombudsman" was strengthened in 2004 and anchored it in the Constitution has been fulfilled; Ombudsman specialised in penitentiary institutions was established; A system of parliamentary control of the 	
of deprivation of liberty, to publicize its findings regularly and to submit evidence of criminal behaviour to the relevant prosecutorial body and the administrative superiors of the public authority whose acts are in question.		 implementation of CAT is in place; <i>Non-governmental sources:</i> The Ombudsman, which is part of the Parliamentary system, formally has the right to conduct surprise visits to penitentiary establishments, but the inmates are warned by the administration to keep silent about their problems, otherwise the situation might become even worse after the visit of the Ombudsman; Whereas formally the Ombudsman institution has the right to meet and talk with detained and accused persons in private and confidentially (Article 13 of the Law), according to article 11 of the Law "On 	S

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 Ombudsman", it can officially start an investigation of particular case of human rights violations only after the applicant had used all forms of appeal envisaged by the law, which renders the mechanisms ineffective; Upon receipt of a recommendation by the Ombudsman, any state agency should provide a reasonable reply to the recommendation in question, bu is not obliged to fulfil the recommendation. The National Human Rights Centre does not conduct monitoring of detention facilities. 	
(s) Treat relatives in a humane manner with a view to avoiding their unnecessar suffering due to the secrecy and uncertainty surrounding capital cases. It is further recommended that a moratorium be introduced on the execution of the death penalty and that urgent and serious consideration be give to the abolition of capital punishment.	y n	 Government: According to the Presidential decree of May 2008 "On the improvement of the activities of the Secretariat of the Human Rights Commissioner of the Oliy Majlis of the Republic of Uzbekistan", the Government was assigned to consider the issue of supporting the Ombudsman. A number of measures aimed at strengthening material-technical basis of human rights national institutions of the Republic of Uzbekistan were approved and necessary funds were allocated to equip the office. The allocation of annual financial means for the Secretariat of the Human Right Commissioner is currently under consideration. Government: The death penalty was abolished starting from 1 January 2008. However, it is to be underlined that whil the death penalty has been de jure abolished following the legislative change in 2007, the death penalty had already been de facto abolished with the presidential decree issued on 1 August 2005. The death penalty was replaced by life imprisonment or long-term imprisonment, which can only be applied for two crimes (premeditated murder under aggravating circumstances and terrorism). Notwithstanding the gravity of the crime committed, these two sentences cannot be applied to minors and women and men older than 60 years. In accordance with the legislation abolishing the death penalty, 35 sentences in relation to the secretary of the comment. 	s e 3

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		48 individuals have been commuted (33 individuals received life imprisonment and 15 long-term imprisonment). Family members and the lawyers of the concerned individuals were informed about the respective decisions.	
		 Non-governmental sources: Following the abolition of the death penalty, two new concepts were introduced in the criminal legislation: life imprisonment and long-term imprisonment. However, with regard to previously executed death penalties, information remains de-facto and de-jure a state secret, the relatives of persons that were executed by shooting in Uzbekistan had no opportunity to bear farewell to the condemned as no last meeting with them was envisaged in the legislation. Until now many people do not know the date of the execution of their relatives as well can not visit their grave, as the place of burial is not disclosed being a state secret. The law that was passed does not provide for the disclosure of the places of burial of executed persons as well as informing the relatives of the sentenced persons on the date of execution. The section of the Law on life imprisonment has a number of mutually exclusive provisions which leave room for the interpretation: The issue of pardoning those sentenced to the life imprisonment. Uzbekistan abolished the cruelest type of punishment – the death penalty. However, instead, other types of punishment that are almost equal in terms of severity (life imprisonment and long-term imprisonment) were introduced. In accordance with the Law "On introduction of changes and amendments to some legislative acts of the Republic of Uzbekistan due to the abolition of the death penalty" the right of submitting an application for pardon in respect of those sentenced to life imprisonment and long-term imprisonment emerges upon the expiration of a long period of time: 25 or 20 years for life imprisonment and 20 or 15 years for long- 	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		 term imprisonment. The law also contains a new version of article 50 of Criminal and Criminal Procedural Code, which says that, in case of presidential clemency, the persons sentenced to life imprisonment shall automatically be considered sentenced to long term imprisonment (25 years) and that they are bound to serve this sentence in strict regime colony. Therefore, it is possible to releas those who benefited from presidential clemency after years only. Moreover, the possibility of a pre-term submission of an application of pardon is dependent on the desire of the administration of the colony, as the administration decides at its discretion whether "the accused person h firmly embarked on the path of correction, has not had any disciplinary punishments for violations of the established regime, has a good attitude to labour and training as well actively participates in correctional measures". Against the background of the lack of transparency of penitentiary institutions and of any public rules in respect of administering the colonies in Uzbekistan, it is probable that the abovementioned powers of the administration of the colony on the execution of punishment will be implemented on an arbitrary basis. All this means that the Government does not apply a individual approach towards each person sentenced to life or long term imprisonment. Another proof of this assumption are the changes tha were introduced to article 136 (The rules of serving th life imprisonment sentence) after the abolition of the death penalty: "The persons sentenced to life imprisonment sentence) after the abolition of the death penalty: "The persons sentenced to life imprisonment sentence) after the abolition of the death penalty: "The persons sentenced to life imprisonment sentence) after the abolition of the death penalty: "The persons sentenced to life imprisonment sentence) after the abolition of the death penalty: "The persons sentenced to life imprisonment sentence) after the abolition of th	n a e 45 of nas d n n n o s at te

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		regime, can be transferred from strict conditions to ordinary conditions. After serving at least fifteen years the persons sentenced to life imprisonment, provided they have no disciplinary penalties for violations of the regime, can be transferred from ordinary conditions to softer conditions". These rules of applying encouragement measures towards persons sentenced to life imprisonment (the transfer from strict conditions to ordinary and relieved conditions of stay), prescribed in the legislation contradict the principle of progress in administering persons sentenced to life imprisonment. 2. The law does not provide for the possibility to have a criminal case reviewed. The risk of sentencing an innocent person to life imprisonment is as possible as it has been before. In case all instances of appeal in respec of indictment of the court have been exhausted and the presidential clemency remains the only hope for the restoration of justice, in accordance with the Law the right to appeal for pardon can emerge only after serving 20 years of sentence. - According to paragraph 2 article 136 of the Criminal and Criminal Procedural Codes, those sentenced to life imprisonment must be put in prison cells by at last two persons. Upon the request of inmates or in case of necessity they may be placed in solitary confinement. - Overall the rules imposed on persons convicted to long-term and life imprisonment are very restrictive and contradict the principle of rehabilitation and reintegration.	t
		 Government: In the period of 2005-2010, none of the earlier passed death penalties were executed. According to norms regulating the order of submitting a request for amnesty in the Criminal Administrative Code, a request for amnesty can be submitted by persons sentenced to life imprisonment or to long period of imprisonment. 	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
(t) The Government should		Government: Jaslyk prison was built taking into acco	ount
give urgent consideration to		all sanitary norms and international standards;	_
closing Jaslyk colony which by its very location creates		- The implementation of the recommendation to close Jaslyk colony is currently not being studied. More that	
conditions of detention		quarter of the prisoners detained at Jaslyk colony live	
amounting to cruel, inhuman		in the Republic of Karakalkakstan and Khorezmskoy	
and degrading treatment or		department prior to their arrests. As there are no othe	
punishment for both its		detention facilities in these regions, it is much cheape	
inmates and their relatives.		and easier for the prisoners' families to visit them in	
		Jaslyk than in other colonies.	
		Non-governmental sources: In 2008 a new colony w	vas
		built in Jaslyk; The Government has interpreted this	
		recommendation of the UN Special Rapporteur and	
		shifted the discussion on the situation in Jaslyk to the	
		issue of treatment of inmates rather than the issue of t	
		geographic location of the colony. Moreover, in 2008	
		new block was commissioned in Jaslyk for the purpo	se
		of holding the persons sentenced to life or long term	
(u) All competent governmen	. .	imprisonment. Government: The Supreme Court takes the decision	a.
authorities should give	IL .	about interim measures;	5
immediate attention and		- The Ministry for Foreign Affairs is continuously	
respond to interim measures		working on the preparation of replies to requests of	
ordered by the Human Rights	3	treaty bodies and special procedures, which include	
Committee and urgent		information on criminal cases against Uzbek citizens.	. In
appeals dispatched by United		2009, 5 replies have been sent to the Human Rights	
Nations monitoring		Committee in relation to 11 citizens of Uzbekistan an	nd
mechanisms regarding		10 replies have been sent to special procedures in	
persons whose life and		relation to 39 citizens of the country.	
physical integrity may be at			
risk of imminent and		Non-governmental sources: The relevant authorities	
irreparable harm.		are not responsive to the requests of the United Natio	
		Human Rights Committee in terms of persons whose	life
		and physical health can be subject to inevitable and	
		irrecoverable harm;	
		- Currently there are over 180 persons accused on the	

basis of political and religious grounds in colonies;

A/HRC/19/61/Add.3

Recommendation	Situation during visit (See:	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2,	Information received in the reporting period
(E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	
		- At least 50-80 persons die annually in colonies from	
		ill-treatment and disease.	
(v) Make the declaration		Government: the question of making a declaration	
provided for in article 22 of		under article 22 of the Convention against Torture is	
the Convention against		under consideration;	
Torture and Other Cruel,		- The recommendation to make the declaration under	
Inhuman or Degrading		article 22 of the Convention against Torture and the	
Treatment or Punishment		Optional Protocol (OPCAT) is an attempt to impose an	
recognizing the competence		unwanted step on a sovereign State. Whereas	
of the Committee against		Uzbekistan has not acceded to the OPCAT, many	
Torture to receive and		measures have been taken to extend national and	
consider communications		international monitoring efforts;	
from individuals who claim to	0	- A Working Group studies and elaborates proposals for	
be victims of a violation of		the implementation of article 22 of the UN Convention	
the provisions of the		Against Torture which provides for an individual	
Convention, as well as to		complaint procedure. The Government notes that the	
ratifying the Optional		country ratified the Optional Protocol to the	
Protocol to the Convention,		International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights in	
whereby a body shall be set		1995 which also provides for an individual complaint	
up to undertake regular visits		mechanism. Studies are being undertaken on the	
to all places of detention in		practices of the work of the Committee against Torture	
the country in order to		regarding the individual complaint mechanism for the	
prevent torture; invite the		establishment of a national preventive mechanism	
Working Group on Arbitrary		compliant with the Optional Protocol to the Convention	
Detention and the Special		Against Torture.	
Representative of the			
Secretary-General on human		Non-governmental sources: In November 2007, the	
rights defenders as well as the	e	Government of Uzbekistan confirmed that it is	
Special Rapporteur on the		considering making a declaration in accordance with	
independence of judges and		article 22 of the UN Convention Against Torture before	
lawyers to carry out visits to		the UN Committee Against Torture. There were no	
the country.		developments or news on this matter since then. Rather,	
-		in contradiction to that commitment, the Government	
		made another statement, which said that "the	
		recommendation to make a declaration in accordance	
		with Article 22 of the UN Convention Against Torture	
		as well as Optional Protocol thereto (OPCAT) is an	
		attempt to compel a sovereign state to make a move	

Recommendation (E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Situation during visit (See: E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2)	Steps taken in previous years See: A/HRC/7/3/Add.2, E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2, A/HRC/13/39/Add.6, and A/HRC/16/52/Add.2)	Information received in the reporting period
		which it does not intend to make". This is a manipulation of the term "national sovereignty". During the period under review none of the recommended representatives of the UN special procedures were invited.	
		Government: The question of the ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention was considered by the Office of the Prosecutor General and a position paper from 2009 November was transmitted to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. A positive decision on the ratification of the Optional Protocol could be taken after establishing a national preventive mechanisms against torture and introducing amendments in the criminal-procedural and criminal- administrative legislations in relation to unlimited access to places of detention by international experts and the level of readiness of the Republic of Uzbekistan to recognise the jurisdiction of the Sub-Committee.	

Appendix

590

Guidelines for the submission of information on the follow-up to the country visits of the Special Rapporteur on the question of torture

1 Follow-up is a key-element in ensuring the effectiveness of recommendations of Special Procedure mechanisms. In this context, all Governments are urged to enter into a constructive dialogue with the Special Rapporteur on torture with respect to the follow-up to his recommendations, so as to enable him to fulfil his mandate more effectively.

2 To obtain a comprehensive picture, the Special Rapporteur welcomes written information from international, regional, national and local organizations regarding follow up measures. The Special Rapporteur encourages information submitted through national coalitions or committees.

3. A summary of the content of the submissions from non-governmental sources is integrated in the follow-up table, which is then forwarded to the concerned State for its input and comments. In particular, States are requested to provide information on the consideration given to the recommendations, the steps taken to implement them, and any constraints which may prevent their implementation.

4. For a given country visit report, written information regarding follow-up measures to each of the recommendations should be submitted to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. Submissions should not exceed 10 pages in length.

5. The Special Rapporteur will include summaries of the written information submitted to him in the addenda on the follow-up to country visits of the report to the Human Rights Council.

	Country visit report	Previous follow-up information reported
China	E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.6	A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Denmark	A/HRC/10/44/Add.2	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Equatorial Guinea	A/HRC/13/39/Add.4	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Georgia	E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.3	A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Greece	A/HRC/16/52/Add.4	
Indonesia	A/HRC/7/3/Add.7	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Jamaica	A/HRC/16/52/Add.3	
Jordan	A/HRC/4/33/Add.3	A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6;

	Country visit report	Previous follow-up information reported
		A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Kazakhstan	A/HRC/13/39/Add.3	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Mongolia	E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.4	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Nepal	E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.5	A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/10/44/Add.5; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Nigeria	A/HRC/7/3/Add.4	A/HRC/10/44/Add.5; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6
Paraguay	A/HRC/7/3/Add.3	A/HRC/7/3/Add.3; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Papua New Guinea	A/HRC/16/52/Add.5	
Republic of Moldova	A/HRC/10/44/Add.3	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Spain	E/CN.4/2004/56/Add.2	E/CN.4/2005/62/Add.2; E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2; A/HRC/4/33/Add.2; A/HRC/7/3/Add.2; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Sri Lanka	A/HRC/7/3/Add.6	A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Togo	A/HRC/7/3/Add.5	A/HRC/10/44/Add.5; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Uruguay	A/HRC/13/39/Add.2	A/HRC/16/52/Add.2
Uzbekistan	E/CN.4/2003/68/Add.2	A/HRC/7/3/Add.2;E/CN.4/2006/6/Add.2; A/HRC/13/39/Add.6; A/HRC/16/52/Add.2